IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

))

)

)

PETER P. STRZOK, Plaintiff, v. ATTORNEY GENERAL MERRICK B. GARLAND, in his official capacity, et al., Defendants.

Case No. 1:19-cv-02367-ABJ

REDACTED

PETER P. STRZOK'S SUPPLEMENTAL FILING REGARDING DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS TO QUASH OR FOR PROTECTIVE ORDERS

Pursuant to the Court's August 10, 2022 Minute Order, Plaintiff Peter Strzok hereby submits this supplemental pleading addressing the impact of the depositions of David L. Bowdich and Rod Rosenstein on the "apex" issues in the pending Motions to Quash or for Protective Order.

David Bowdich: Mr. Bowdich testified that he recalled that

. (Ex. 1, Bowdich Dep. at 286:12 – 287:1). This was likely

, and it

occurred shortly before or after President Trump publicly called on the FBI to fire Strzok and referred to the IG report as having "exposed what he called the scum on top of the FBI as total thieves." (*Id.* at 294:8 – 296:19). Wray

. (*Id.* at 287:3-9).

The government invoked the Presidential Communication Privilege (PCP) to prevent his testimony about what, if anything, he learned about that meeting. (*Id.* at 286:12 - 288:13). Defense counsel similarly invoked the PCP and/or the Deliberative Process Privilege (DPP) to preclude testimony about *any* other discussions Wray had with President Trump (even as to general topics) (*id.* at 198:4 - 199:6), discussions that Bowdich had with Wray over the content of the IG's

Case 1:19-cv-02367-ABJ Document 88 Filed 09/29/22 Page 2 of 6

Midyear report (*id.* at 270:2-19), whether Trump similarly pressured Wray to fire acting FBI Director McCabe (*id.* at 203:15 – 206:3), discussions concerning President Trump's public accusation that Strzok had committed "treason" (*id.* at 190:4-17), and whether to ask the President to refrain from making such accusations (*id.* at 193:4 – 194:12).

Mr. Bowdich	what the President was
saying about the FBI (id. at 54:4-8), and that	daily news clips prepared by
the Bureau which included the President's pu	blic statements about Strzok (<i>id.</i> at 57:9-19). He had
ample opportunity to learn of the pressure 7	Frump imposed on Wray or DOJ
(id. a	at 156:3-6), and typically
(<i>id.</i> at 98:13-17). He	
	. (<i>Id</i> .

at 356:7 – 357:9). This testimony highlights the importance of learning whether (as reported) President Trump repeatedly pressured Director Wray and AG Sessions to fire Strzok.

Mr. Bowdich maintained that he fired Strzok based on

(*Id.* at 90:17 - 91:4, 102:21 - 104:5; *see also* Ex. 2, Draft Termination Letter).¹ Mr. Bowdich's motivation(s) for firing Strzok are central to Strzok's First Amendment claim, and Mr. Bowdich's assertions that he

hardly settles the matter. (Ex. 1 at 108:7-20). The deposition confirmed

¹ This draft termination letter was not included in prior pleadings because it was first produced on September 2, 2022.

Case 1:19-cv-02367-ABJ Document 88 Filed 09/29/22 Page 3 of 6

4 4 (1)	
that: (1)	
(<i>id.</i> at 391:17 – 395:21); (2)	
(<i>id.</i> at 66:19) _
68:19); (3)	
(<i>id.</i> at 90:13 – 91:4; Ex. 2); and (4)	
(Ex. 1 at 37:6-14), nor did he meet with Strzok prior to firing him to gauge Strzok's demeanor an	nd
remorse (<i>id.</i> at 346:20-350:18). Yet without depositions of former President Trump and Direct	or
Wray, Strzok's ability to rebut	is
incomplete. Mr. Bowdich is an interested witness, and his self-serving testimony is not dispositiv	/e;
nor does it speak to Director Wray's motives for permitting him to exercise authority based on	ı a
policy that pertains only to appeals. ²	
Rod Rosenstein: Mr. Rosenstein	
. He agreed that there were no	
, but President Trump	
. (Ex. 4, Rosenstein Dep. at 392:1	11
	14
- 393:5).	
$2 S_{22} F_{23}$ Fr. 1 at 62:10 16	



. (<i>Id.</i> at 379:16 – 380:1).
. (<i>Id.</i> at 340:5-18).
DAG Rosenstein also testified that
during which Trump reportedly pressured AG Sessions and Wray to fire Strzok. (Id.
at 342:2 – 342:18). Mr. Rosenstein's recollection
gaps in text messages collected from FBI phones. (Ex. 3). Assuming Mr. Rosenstein is
correct that
. The government
invoked the PCP as to what if anything he learned about that meeting, and Mr. Rosenstein indicated
only that . (<i>Id.</i> at 344:2 – 347:6).
In sum, Mr. Rosenstein conceded that there
. (<i>Id.</i> at 347:7-10).

<u>Conclusion:</u> In light of former President Trump's repeated statements calling for and then claiming credit for Strzok's firing and his otherwise egregious conduct towards Strzok, Plaintiff should be allowed to depose those with first-hand knowledge of his actions. Nothing from the Bowdich or Rosenstein depositions supports the government's arguments to the contrary. Dated: September 29, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

<u>/s/ Aitan D. Goelman</u> Aitan D. Goelman (D.C. Bar No. 446636) Christopher R. MacColl (D.C. Bar No. 1049153) ZUCKERMAN SPAEDER LLP 1800 M Street NW, Suite 1000 Washington, DC 20036 Telephone: (202) 778-1800 AGoelman@zuckerman.com

Richard A. Salzman (D.C. Bar No. 422497) HELLER, HURON, CHERTKOF & SALZMAN PLLC 1730 M Street NW, Suite 412 Washington, DC 20036 Telephone: (202) 293-8090 salzman@hellerhuron.com

Counsel for Plaintiff Peter Strzok

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on September 29, 2022, I caused to be served copies of the above PETER P. STRZOK'S SUPPLEMENTAL FILING REGARDING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO QUASH OR FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER on all counsel of record in the abovecaptioned actions via e-mail.

> <u>/s/ Aitan D. Goelman</u> Aitan D. Goelman (D.C. Bar No. 446636)