

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

**IN RE PAPST LICENSING GMBH & CO. KG
LITIGATION**

This document relates to

ALL CASES

Misc. Action No. 07-493 (RMC)

MDL No. 1880

ORDER

For the reasons set forth in the Opinion issued simultaneously with this Order, it is hereby

ORDERED that the Camera Manufacturers’ motion for summary judgment of noninfringement regarding the “customary in a host device” claim limitation [Dkt. 449] is **GRANTED in part and DENIED in part**; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED that summary judgment of noninfringement of Patent Number 6,470,399 is **GRANTED** in favor of the Camera Manufacturers with respect to the PTP Accused Devices because they do not meet the “customary in a host device” claim limitation; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED that summary judgment of noninfringement is **DENIED** with respect to the MSC Accused Devices; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED that Papst's motion for leave to file a surreply [Dkt. 514] is **DENIED**; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED that Papst's motion for Rule 56(d) discovery [Dkt. 479] is **DENIED** as moot with regard to that portion of the motion dealing with the "customary in a host device" claim limitation.

Date: July 1, 2013

/s/
ROSEMARY M. COLLYER
United States District Judge