UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

FUJIFILM CORPORATION, Midtown West, 7-3, Akasaka 9-chome Minato-ku, Tokyo 107-0052 Japan, FUJIFILM U.S.A., INC. 200 Summit Lake Drive, Floor 2 Valhalla, NY 10595, Plaintiffs, v. PAPST LICENSING GmbH & Co., KG Bahnhofstrasse 33 78112 St. Georgen, Germany, Defendant.	Civil Action No. _____

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF NON-INFRINGEMENT AND INVALIDITY OF PATENTS

Plaintiffs Fujifilm Corporation ("Fujifilm Japan") and Fujifilm U.S.A., Inc. ("Fujifilm U.S.A.") (collectively, "Fujifilm") bring this action against Papst Licensing GmbH & Co, KG ("Papst Licensing") for a declaration of non-infringement by Fujifilm digital camera products and invalidity with respect to two patents purportedly owned by Papst Licensing.

Parties

1. Plaintiff Fujifilm U.S.A. is a New York corporation with its principal place of business at 200 Summit Lake Drive, Floor 2, Valhalla, New York 10595.

2. Plaintiff Fujifilm Japan is a Japanese corporation with its principal place of business at Midtown West, 7-3, Akasaka 9-chome, Minato-ku, Tokyo 107-0052, Japan.

3. Fujifilm U.S.A. and Fujifilm Japan are in the business of manufacturing and selling a wide range of consumer electronics products, including digital cameras.

4. Upon information and belief, Papst Licensing is a company existing under the laws of The Federal Republic of Germany with its principal place of business at Bahnhofstrasse 33, 78112 St. Georgen, Germany.

5. Upon information and belief, Papst Licensing does not manufacture or sell any consumer products. Its sole business is to acquire and enforce intellectual property rights.

Patents in Suit

6. Papst Licensing has held itself out as the owner of United States Patent No. 6,470,399 B1 ("the '399 patent") entitled "Flexible Interface for Communication Between a Host and an Analog I/O Device Connected to the Interface Regardless of the Type of the I/O Device," which issued on October 22, 2002.

7. Papst Licensing has also held itself out as the owner of United States Patent No. 6,895,449 B2 ("the '449 patent") entitled "Flexible Interface for Communication Between a Host and an Analog I/O Device Connected to the Interface Regardless the Type of the I/O Device," which issued on May 17, 2005.

8. Papst Licensing has been active in prosecuting continuations and divisional applications of the patents in suit, including pending application No. 11/078,778.

9. Papst Licensing has represented that Fujifilm, along with dozens of other companies, must either license the patents in suit or be faced with costly litigation and massive injury to business and reputation. Papst Licensing has made these threats without conducting an appropriate analysis of the Fujifilm digital camera products it accuses of infringement and with knowledge that those products clearly and objectively do not infringe the patents in suit.

10. Papst Licensing has specifically accused Fujifilm products of infringing the '399 patent and the '449 patent. For example, in a letter dated March 31, 2006 Papst Licensing accused the Fujifilm FinePix E550 and other unnamed digital cameras of infringing both of the patents-in-suit. Papst Licensing has repeated its accusations of infringement on numerous occasions since that time.

11. Fujifilm has presented Papst Licensing with a detailed analysis of why Fujifilm products do not infringe the '399 patent or the '449 patent. Fujifilm has explained why its products do not infringe the patents-in-suit to Papst Licensing on multiple occasions, including in meetings in July and November 2006, and by letter in September 2006.

12. Notwithstanding the clear evidence that Fujifilm products to do infringe the patents-in-suit, Papst Licensing has continued to demand that Fujifilm pay Papst Licensing royalties for use of the '399 and '449 patents.

13. Fujifilm has refused to take a license to these patents on the ground that no such license is needed because Fujifilm's digital camera products clearly and objectively do not infringe the '399 and '449 patents.

Jurisdiction and Venue

14. This action arises under the Declaratory Judgment Act and the patent laws of the United States. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202; Title 35 U.S.C. §§ 100 *et seq.*

15. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338.

16. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Papst Licensing pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 293.

17. Venue in this judicial district is proper under at least 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c) and (d) and 35 U.S.C. § 293.

18. The patents in suit are already being litigated in this forum. Specifically, Casio, Inc. filed an action against Papst Licensing seeking a declaratory judgment that the '399 and '449 patents are not infringed by Casio products and are invalid. That action is styled *Casio v. Papst Licensing*, Case No. 1:06-cv-01751-GK.

19. An actual and justiciable controversy exists between Fujifilm, on the one hand, and Papst Licensing on the other, as to the infringement and validity of the patents in suit.

Count I
(Declaratory Judgment of non-infringement and invalidity of the '399 patent)

20. Fujifilm re-alleges and incorporates by reference its allegations in paragraphs 1 through 19 above as if fully set forth herein.

21. Fujifilm has not directly infringed, contributed to infringement of, or induced infringement of any valid claim of the '399 patent, nor is Fujifilm, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, directly infringing, contributing to direct infringement of, or inducing infringement of any valid claim of the '399 patent.

22. The '399 patent is invalid for failing to comply with one or more of the requirements for patentability set forth in Title 35 U.S.C. § 101, *et seq.*, including but not limited to 35 U.S.C. §§ 101, 102, 103 and/or 112.

23. An actual and justiciable controversy exists between Fujifilm and Papst Licensing regarding the alleged infringement and validity of the '399 patent by virtue of the allegations made by Papst Licensing that Fujifilm and/or its customers are or have been infringing the '399 patent.

24. This case is an exceptional case pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285 entitling Fujifilm to an award of its attorneys' fees.

Count II

(Declaratory Judgment of non-infringement and invalidity of the '449 patent)

25. Fujifilm re-alleges and incorporates by reference its allegations in paragraphs 1 through 24 above as if fully set forth herein.

26. Fujifilm has not directly infringed, contributed to infringement of, or induced infringement of any valid claim of the '449 patent, nor is Fujifilm, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, directly infringing, contributing to direct infringement of, or inducing infringement of any valid claim of the '449 patent.

27. The '449 patent is invalid for failing to comply with one or more of the requirements for patentability set forth in Title 35 U.S.C. § 101, *et seq.*, including but not limited to 35 U.S.C. §§ 101, 102, 103 and/or 112.

28. An actual and justiciable controversy exists between Fujifilm and Papst Licensing regarding the alleged infringement and validity of the '449 patent by virtue of the allegations made by Papst Licensing that Fujifilm and/or its customers are or have been infringing the '399 patent.

29. This case is an exceptional case pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285 entitling Fujifilm to an award of its attorneys' fees.

30. Fujifilm expressly reserves the right to amend this Complaint to add declaratory judgment counts with respect to any continuation or divisional applications relating to the patents in suit that may issue after the date this complaint is filed.

Prayer for relief

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs Fujifilm U.S.A. and Fujifilm Japan pray this Court for the following relief:

1. A declaration that Fujifilm has not infringed, and is not infringing, the '399 or '449 patents;

2. A declaration that Fujifilm has not infringed, and is not infringing, any of the claims of any continuation or divisional application relating to the patents in suit that may hereafter issue;

3. A declaration that each of the claims of the '399 and '449 patents are invalid;

4. An injunction prohibiting Papst Licensing from alleging infringement of the '399 and '449 patents by Fujifilm;

5. An award of damages that Fujifilm has sustained;

6. A declaration that this case is exceptional under 35 U.S.C. § 285, and that plaintiffs be awarded their reasonable attorney fees and costs incurred in connection with this action; and

7. Such other further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Jury Demand

Plaintiffs Fujifilm U.S.A. and Fujifilm Japan demand a jury trial on all issues so triable.

Dated: June 21, 2007

HOGAN & HARTSON LLP



Steven J. Routh (#376068)
Sten A. Jensen (#443300)
555 Thirteenth Street, NW
Washington, DC 20004
Telephone: (202) 637-6465
Telecopier: (202) 637-5910
E-Mail: sjrouth@hhlaw.com
sajensen@hhlaw.com

Of counsel:

William H. Wright
1999 Avenue of the Stars
Suite 1400
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Telephone: (310) 785-4670
Telecopier: (310) 785-4601
E-Mail: whwright@hhlaw.com

John R. Inge (#413383)
Shinjuku Center Building
46th Floor
25-1 Nishi-Shinjuku 1-Chome
Shinjuku-ku Tokyo, 163-0646
Japan
E-Mail: jringe@hhlaw.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS
FUJIFILM CORPORATION AND
FUJIFILM U.S.A., INC.

CIVIL COVER SHEET

JS-44
(Rev.1/05 DC)

I (a) PLAINTIFFS

Fujifilm Corporation
Fujifilm USA, Inc.

(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) 99999

DEFENDANTS

Papst Licensing GmbH & Co., KG

COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) 99999
NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED

(c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER)

Steve Routh
Sten Jensen
Hogan & Hartson, 555 - 13th St., NW
Washington, DC 2004, (202) 637-6465

ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN)

Unknown

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION

(PLACE AN x IN ONE BOX ONLY)

- ○ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff
- ◉ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)
- ○ 2 U.S. Government Defendant
- ○ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in item III)

III CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (PLACE AN x IN ONE BOX FOR PLAINTIFF AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT) FOR DIVERSITY CASES ONLY!

	PTF	DFT		PTF	DFT
Citizen of this State	○ 1	○ 1	Incorporated or Principal Place of Business in This State	○ 4	○ 4
Citizen of Another State	○ 2	○ 2	Incorporated and Principal Place of Business in Another State	○ 5	○ 5
Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	○ 3	○ 3	Foreign Nation	○ 6	○ 6

IV. CASE ASSIGNMENT AND NATURE OF SUIT

(Place a X in one category, A-N, that best represents your cause of action and one in a corresponding Nature of Suit)

○ A. *Antitrust*

- ☐ 410 Antitrust

○ B. *Personal Injury/ Malpractice*

- ☐ 310 Airplane
- ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability
- ☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander
- ☐ 330 Federal Employers Liability
- ☐ 340 Marine
- ☐ 345 Marine Product Liability
- ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle
- ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability
- ☐ 360 Other Personal Injury
- ☐ 362 Medical Malpractice
- ☐ 365 Product Liability
- ☐ 368 Asbestos Product Liability

○ C. *Administrative Agency Review*

- ☐ 151 Medicare Act

Social Security:
- ☐ 861 HIA ((1395ff)
- ☐ 862 Black Lung (923)
- ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)
- ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI
- ☐ 865 RSI (405(g)

Other Statutes
- ☐ 891 Agricultural Acts
- ☐ 892 Economic Stabilization Act
- ☐ 893 Environmental Matters
- ☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act
- ☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions (If Administrative Agency is Involved)

○ D. *Temporary Restraining Order/Preliminary Injunction*

Any nature of suit from any category may be selected for this category of case assignment.

(If Antitrust, then A governs)

◉ E. *General Civil (Other)* OR **○ F. *Pro Se General Civil***

Real Property
- ☐ 210 Land Condemnation
- ☐ 220 Foreclosure
- ☐ 230 Rent, Lease & Ejectment
- ☐ 240 Torts to Land
- ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability
- ☐ 290 All Other Real Property

Personal Property
- ☐ 370 Other Fraud
- ☐ 371 Truth in Lending
- ☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage
- ☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability

Bankruptcy
- ☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158
- ☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157

Prisoner Petitions
- ☐ 535 Death Penalty
- ☐ 540 Mandamus & Other
- ☐ 550 Civil Rights
- ☐ 555 Prison Condition

Property Rights
- ☐ 820 Copyrights
- ☒ 830 Patent
- ☐ 840 Trademark

Federal Tax Suits
- ☐ 870 Taxes (US plaintiff or defendant
- ☐ 871 IRS-Third Party 26 USC 7609

Forfeiture/Penalty
- ☐ 610 Agriculture
- ☐ 620 Other Food &Drug
- ☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881
- ☐ 630 Liquor Laws
- ☐ 640 RR & Truck
- ☐ 650 Airline Regs
- ☐ 660 Occupational Safety/Health
- ☐ 690 Other

Other Statutes
- ☐ 400 State Reapportionment
- ☐ 430 Banks & Banking
- ☐ 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc.
- ☐ 460 Deportation
- ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced & Corrupt Organizations
- ☐ 480 Consumer Credit
- ☐ 490 Cable/Satellite TV
- ☐ 810 Selective Service
- ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange
- ☐ 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410
- ☐ 900 Appeal of fee determination under equal access to Justice
- ☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
- ☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions (if not administrative agency review or Privacy Act

○ G. *Habeas Corpus/ 2255* ☐ 530 Habeas Corpus-General ☐ 510 Motion/Vacate Sentence	○ H. *Employment Discrimination* ☐ 442 Civil Rights-Employment (criteria: race, gender/sex, national origin, discrimination, disability age, religion, retaliation) *(If pro se, select this deck)*	○ I. *FOIA/PRIVACY ACT* ☐ 895 Freedom of Information Act ☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions (if Privacy Act) *(If pro se, select this deck)*	○ J. *Student Loan* ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (excluding veterans)
○ K. *Labor/ERISA (non-employment)* ☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act ☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations ☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act ☐ 740 Labor Railway Act ☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation ☐ 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	○ L. *Other Civil Rights (non-employment)* ☐ 441 Voting (if not Voting Rights Act) ☐ 443 Housing/Accommodations ☐ 444 Welfare ☐ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 445 American w/Disabilities-Employment ☐ 446 Americans w/Disabilities-Other	○ M. *Contract* ☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholder's Suits ☐ 190 Other Contracts ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	○ N. *Three-Judge Court* ☐ 441 Civil Rights-Voting (if Voting Rights Act)

V. ORIGIN

◉ 1 Original Proceeding ○ 2 Removed from State Court ○ 3 Remanded from Appellate Court ○ 4 Reinstated or Reopened ○ 5 Transferred from another district (specify) ○ 6 Multi district Litigation ○ 7 Appeal to District Judge from Mag. Judge

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STATUTE UNDER WHICH YOU ARE FILING AND WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE.)

Declaratory judgment of non-infringement and invalidity of Patents Under 28 USC Sec. 2201 and 2202; 35 USC Sec 1, et seq.

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT ☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 **DEMAND $** _____ Check YES only if demanded in complaint **JURY DEMAND:** YES ☒ NO ☐

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See instruction) YES ☒ NO ☐ If yes, please complete related case form.

DATE 6/21/07 **SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD** _____

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET JS-44
Authority for Civil Cover Sheet

The **JS-44** civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. Listed below are tips for completing the civil cover sheet. These tips coincide with the Roman Numerals on the Cover Sheet.

I. COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF/DEFENDANT (b) County of residence: Use 11001 to indicate plaintiff is resident of Washington, D.C.; 88888 if plaintiff is resident of the United States but not of Washington, D.C., and 99999 if plaintiff is outside the United States.

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES: This section is completed only if diversity of citizenship was selected as the Basis of Jurisdiction under Section **II**.

IV. CASE ASSIGNMENT AND NATURE OF SUIT: The assignment of a judge to your case will depend on the category you select that best represents the primary cause of action found in your complaint. You may select only one category. You must also select one corresponding nature of suit found under the category of case.

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION: Cite the US Civil Statute under which you are filing and write a brief statement of the primary cause.

VIII. RELATED CASES, IF ANY: If you indicated that there is a related case, you must complete a related case form, which may be obtained from the Clerk's Office.

Because of the need for accurate and complete information, you should ensure the accuracy of the information provided prior to signing the form.