UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

CASIO INC., 570 Mount Pleasant Avenue, Dover, New Jersey 07801 Plaintiff, v. PAPST LICENSING GMBH & CO. KG, Bahnhofstrasse 33, 78112 St. Georgen, Germany Defendant.	Civil Action No. 1:06 CV 01751 Judge Gladys Kessler
PAPST LICENSING GMBH & CO. KG, Bahnhofstrasse 33, 78112 St. Georgen, Germany Counter-Plaintiff, v. CASIO INC., 570 Mount Pleasant Avenue, Dover, New Jersey 07801, and CASIO COMPUTER CO., LTD., 6-2, Honmachi 1-chrome, Shibuya-ku, Tokyo 151-8543, Japan Counter-Defendants.	

DEFENDANT PAPST LICENSING GMBH & CO. KG's UNOPPOSED MOTION TO CONTINUE THE FEBRUARY 1, 2007 INITIAL STATUS CONFERENCE

Defendant and Counter-Plaintiff Papst Licensing GmbH & Co. KG ("Papst Licensing") respectfully requests that the Court postpone the initial status conference scheduled on February 1, 2007 until March 15, 2007, or such other date as is convenient for

the Court. The approximately six-week postponement is warranted to allow Papst Licensing to serve its Answer and Counterclaim on Counterclaim Defendant Casio Computer Co., Ltd. ("Casio Japan"), a Japanese company affiliated with Plaintiff Casio Inc. ("Casio US"). Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 7(m), counsel for Papst Licensing has conferred with counsel for Casio US, who do not oppose this motion.

Casio US filed its Complaint under the Declaratory Judgment Act against Papst Licensing, a German company. This case concerns two patents owned by Papst Licensing covering technology used in digital cameras. On January 3, 2007, Papst Licensing filed and served its Answer and Counterclaim against Casio US. Papst Licensing's Counterclaim alleges patent infringement by both Casio US and Casio Japan. Papst Licensing is in the process of serving in Japan the summons and Answer and Counterclaim on Casio Japan pursuant to the Hague Convention or other formal means. This process will assuredly not be completed before the initial status conference scheduled on February 1, 2007 in this case and it therefore appears that Casio Japan will not be a represented party at that hearing.

Papst Licensing respectfully suggests that a meaningful initial status conference with the necessary discovery plan and other pretrial tasks cannot be conducted until Casio Japan is formally made a party and is represented in this case. Papst Licensing commits promptly and diligently to pursue service in Japan. The interests of justice will be best served and the Court's time and resources best preserved if the initial status conference is postponed approximately six weeks to permit overseas service to be accomplished and for Casio Japan to participate as a party at the hearing.

As previously indicated, counsel for Casio US do not oppose this motion. Accordingly, Papst Licensing respectfully requests that the Court grant this motion and reschedule the initial status conference for March 15, 2007 or such other date as is convenient for the Court.

Dated: January 23, 2007

By: Campbell Killefer

Campbell Killefer (Bar. No. 268433)
VENABLE LLP
575 7th Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20004
(202) 344-4000
(202) 344-8300 (fax)

Of Counsel:

Jerold B. Schnayer
Joseph E. Cwik
WELSH & KATZ, LTD.
120 South Riverside Plaza, 22nd Floor
Chicago, IL 60606
(312) 655-1500
(312) 655-1501 (fax)

Attorneys for Papst Licensing GmbH & Co. KG

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing was served upon the following counsel for Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant Casio Inc. through the Court's ECF electronic service and by regular U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, this January 23, 2007:

J. Kevin Fee, Esq.
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20004

Scott D. Simpson, Esq.
Jeffrey M. Gold, Esq.
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
101 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10178

Campbell Killefer