EXHIBIT H

VOLUME: I
PAGES: 1 to 141
EXHIBITS: 53 to 65

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT

Civil Action
No. 3:02 CV 1267 (AVC)

INTERMARK FABRIC CORPORATION,)
Plaintiff,)
)
v.)
)
)
MICROFIBRES, INC.,)
Defendant.)

DEPOSITION of HEMENDRA K. SHAH, called as a witness on behalf of the Plaintiff, pursuant to the applicable provisions of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, before Jeanette N. Maracas, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, at the offices of Conn, Kavanaugh, Rosenthal, Peisch & Ford, LLP, Ten Post Office Square, Boston, Massachusetts, on Thursday, May 22, 2003, commencing at 11:15 a.m.

A. I'm not aware of right now.
Q. Now, Exhibit 57 was an experimental production run, correct?
MR. O'BRIEN: Objection.
A. Mm-hmm.
Q. Was that experimental production run part of a research and development effort?
MR. O'BRIEN: Objection.
A. To start with, yes.
Q. Do you have any Vertipile records, written records which discuss what happened after that experimental production run?
MR. O'BRIEN: Objection.
A. No.
Q. Did Leathertex do any wet printing?
A. As per my knowledge, no.
Q. Is that your handwriting on the bottom of Exhibit 58?
A. Yes.
Q. Could you read it for us?
A. This is to Peter Hadley. "As per our discussion, go ahead and schedule to cut 500 pounds of NI11 with standard finish for these trials."
Q. You signed it, correct?