EXHIBIT F

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

INTERMARK FABRIC CORPORATION,
Plaintiff

VS. C.A. No. 302 CV 1267 AVC

MICROFIBRES, INC.,
Defendant.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

DEPOSITION OF LAURA OUIMET, a WITNESS in the above-entitled cause, taken on behalf of the Defendant, before Julia A. Puleo, RPR, a Notary Public in and for the State of Rhode Island, at the Law Offices of Hinckley, Allen & Snyder, 1500 Fleet Center, Providence, Rhode Island, on August 7, 2003, at 10:30 A.M.

PRESENT:

FOR THE PLAINTIFF..... CHARLES F. O'BRIEN, ESQUIRE
CANTOR COLBURN
55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH
BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002

FOR THE DEFENDANT..... WILLIAM R. GRIMM, ESQUIRE
HINCKLEY, ALLEN & SNYDER
1500 FLEET CENTER
PROVIDENCE, RI 02903

FOR THE WITNESS..... JAMES B. PELOQUIN, ESQUIRE
CONN, KAVANAUGH, ROSENTHAL, PEISCH & FORD
TEN POST OFFICE SQUARE
BOSTON, MA 02109

ALLIED COURT REPORTERS
115 PHENIX AVENUE
CRANSTON, RHODE ISLAND 02920
(401) 946-5500

Q. What did Mr. Shah tell you about the dispute?
A. That Bill Lucchesi had gotten a letter in the mail, similar to the one that we had gotten a year or so prior, and that he was angry.
Q. What else did he say to you about the dispute?
A. That Bill Lucchesi was thinking about filing a lawsuit against Microfibres to put an end to what he called the frivolous lawsuits.
Q. Do you recall anything else about your conversation with Mr. Shah about the Microfibres/Intermark dispute?
A. We just discussed together about how long we had been doing it. We discussed, you know, how this could pop out of the woodwork after ten years. We spent time trying to find records, but we had a fire and many records were destroyed in that fire. So we didn't -- we were having a difficult time finding the records in-house that went back that far.
Q. Okay. And approximately when was this conversation with Mr. Shah?
A. I don't know.
Q. When did you do --
A. Prior to July 2002.
Q. When did you do the record search at Spectro that you

Q. What is your relationship with Mr. Fulks?
A. He is cordial to me.
Q. Has it changed since August 1, 2002?
A. No. I don't see him that often, so...
Q. Mr. Grimm asked you earlier as to whether Spectro would like to see the 021 patent invalidated; do you remember that?
A. Yes.
Q. Is there some interest on behalf of Spectro to see the 021 patent invalidated?
A. I would like to see it invalidated. We don't want to lose business.
Q. If there were no interest in seeing the 021 patent invalidated, would that change any of your testimony here today?
MR. GRIMM: Objection.
A. No, it would not.
MR. O'BRIEN: I think I'm all set. Just give me a second.
(ATTORNEY REVIEWS NOTES)
MR. O'BRIEN: That's it.
MR. GRIMM: Did you want to mark this?
(PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT A MARKED FOR I.D.)
THE REPORTER: Would you gentlemen like