EXHIBIT B

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT

No. 3:02 CV 1267 (AVC)

CONFIDENTIAL

ORIGINAL

INTERMARK FABRIC CORPORATION

VS

MICROFIBRES, INC.

Deposition of: RONALD S. PERRY, Ph.D., taken pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure before Barbara L. Murphy, Licensed Shorthand Reporter, License No. 305 and Notary Public within and for the State of Connecticut, held at the offices of Cantor Colburn, 55 Griffin Road, Bloomfield, Connecticut on September 29, 2003 commencing at 9:50 a.m.

effect.

But as far as the dye diffusion goes whether it goes to the tips or further down into the fiber flock, the pigment would have to role.

Q. Well, if you were desirous of producing this optical effect in the fabric, would the addition of pigment to the adhesive enable you to transfer print at reduced pressures because you didn't need to get the dye as deep as before?

A. Yes.

Q. That's exactly what Microfibres told the patent office during the prosecution, is it not?

MR. O'BRIEN: Objection to form. Mischaracterizes the evidence.

THE WITNESS: I don't think so. It's my -- you're saying pigments in general? If that's the case, they were adding pigments prior to that time.

MR. O'BRIEN: Just answer the question with a yes or no.

THE WITNESS: Yes or no? Ask me the question again, I'm sorry.