IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO
Chief Judge Daniel D. Domenico

Civil Action No. 1:26-cv-02389-DDD-SBP

ALVIN JOEL CRUZ-SOSA,

Petitioner,

v.

JUAN BALTAZAR, in his official capacity as Warden of the Aurora ICE Processing Center;
DAVID VENTURELLA, in his official capacity as Acting Director of U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement;
MARKWAYNE MULLIN, in his official capacity as Secretary of Homeland Security;
TODD BLANCHE, in his official capacity as Acting U.S. Attorney General,

Respondents.[1]

ORDER GRANTING WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

Petitioner Alvin Joel Cruz-Sosa seeks a writ of habeas corpus directing his immediate release from immigration detention or a bond hearing before an immigration judge. Doc. 1. For the following reasons, his petition is granted, and the respondents must provide the petitioner with a bond hearing or else release him within seven days of this Order.

[1] To the extent that any of the original respondents has ceased to hold office during the pendency of this action, that party's successor "is automatically substituted as a party," and "any misnomer not affecting the parties' substantial rights must be disregarded." Fed. R. Civ. P. 25(d).

BACKGROUND[2]

The petitioner is a twenty-one-year-old native and citizen of Honduras. Doc. 1 at 2, 5. He entered the United States without inspection on May 11, 2021, as an Unaccompanied Alien Child and was apprehended by the Department of Homeland Security and then released to his sponsor pursuant to 6 U.S.C. § 279. *Id.* The petitioner turned eighteen on January 27, 2023. Doc. 1-1 at 14. On December 29, 2025, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement detained the petitioner in Denver County, Colorado, and initiated removal proceedings under 8 U.S.C. § 1229a, which are ongoing. Doc. 1 at 2, 5-6; Doc. 1-1 at 7-10. The petitioner has no apparent criminal history. *See* Doc. 1-1 at 4-6; Doc. 1-3 at 8. He contends that the respondents are unlawfully detaining him without a bond hearing in violation of the Immigration and Nationality Act, the Administrative Procedure Act, and the Fifth Amendment's Due Process Clause. *See* Doc. 1 at 2-3, 6-10.

LEGAL STANDARD

An application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 "is an attack by a person in custody upon the legality of that custody, and . . . the traditional function of the writ is to secure release from illegal custody." *Preiser v. Rodriguez*, 411 U.S. 475, 484 (1973); *see also McIntosh v. U.S. Parole Comm'n*, 115 F.3d 809, 811 (10th Cir. 1997). Habeas corpus relief may be granted if the petitioner "is in custody in violation of the Constitution or laws or treaties of the United States." 28 U.S.C. § 2241(c)(3). Federal courts have habeas jurisdiction to hear statutory and constitutional challenges to immigration detention. *See Demore v. Kim*, 538 U.S. 510, 516-17 (2003); *Zadvydas v. Davis*, 533

[2] In this Order, pinpoint citations to the record use the blue page number appended by the Court's Electronic Case Filing system at the top of each page, which may differ from a document's internal pagination.

U.S. 678, 687-88 (2001); *Sierra v. INS*, 258 F.3d 1213, 1217-18 (10th Cir. 2001).

DISCUSSION

While removal proceedings are being adjudicated, a noncitizen may be detained under 8 U.S.C. § 1225 or 1226. *See Johnson v. Guzman Chavez*, 594 U.S. 523, 527-28, 533 (2021); *Jennings v. Rodriguez*, 583 U.S. 281, 287-89 (2018). Section 1225 is generally limited to noncitizens who are detained at the border, and Section 1226 applies to noncitizens who have entered the United States and are thereafter detained in the interior of the country. *See Santillan Quiroz v. Mullin*, — F.4th —, No. 26-6019, 2026 WL 1876709, at *4 to *17 (10th Cir. June 30, 2026). Except for certain criminal aliens, noncitizens who are arrested and detained under Section 1226 are entitled to a bond hearing before an immigration judge and may be released on bond or "conditional" parole if such release would not pose a danger to property or persons and the noncitizen is likely to appear for future proceedings. 8 U.S.C. § 1226; 8 C.F.R. §§ 236.1(d)(1), 1236.1(d)(1); *see also Guzman Chavez*, 594 U.S. at 527 & n.2; *Jennings*, 583 U.S. at 306.

The petitioner here contends that he may only be subjected to detention under Section 1226(a), and he is therefore entitled to a bond hearing before an immigration judge, which the respondents have thus far denied him. Doc. 1 at 8-9. The respondents state that they "are not submitting a brief in opposition to the Petition," and they "recognize that the Court may then decide to grant the Petition . . . and award appropriate relief." Doc. 6 at 1-2. Because the petitioner was detained in the interior of the country, is not in expedited removal proceedings under Section 1225(b)(1), and has no criminal history that would subject him to mandatory detention under Section 1226(c), he may only properly be detained under Section 1226(a), and he is entitled to a bond hearing.

See Santillan Quiroz, 2026 WL 1876709, at *4 to *17. I therefore "must grant [his habeas] petition forthwith," and "order the Government to, within seven days . . . either provide him with a bond hearing or else release him."[3] *Id.* at *17 n.13.

CONCLUSION

It is **ORDERED** that:

The Court's Order to Show Cause, Doc. 5, is **MADE ABSOLUTE**, and the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, **Doc. 1**, is **GRANTED**;

Within **one week** of this Order, the respondents must provide the petitioner with a bond hearing before an immigration judge pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a) and 8 C.F.R. §§ 236.1(d)(1), 1003.19, & 1236.1(d)(1) or else release the petitioner, and file a status report stating the results of any bond hearing that was conducted or the date of the petitioner's release if no bond hearing was held;

Petitioner's Motion for Temporary Restraining Order and/or Preliminary Injunction, **Doc. 1-3**, is **DENIED AS MOOT**; and

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 25(d), the Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to substitute David Venturella, in his official capacity as Acting Director of U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement, in place of Respondent Todd Lyons.

DATED: July 6, 2026

BY THE COURT:

~~Daniel D.~~ Domenico
Chief United States District Judge

[3] Because habeas relief is granted on the basis that the petitioner is in custody in violation of the Immigration and Nationality Act, I need not address his other asserted grounds for relief. *See Banks v. Dretke*, 540 U.S. 668, 689 n.10 (2004).