

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MAURICE GRIFFIN,  
Petitioner,  
v.  
JEFF MACOMBER,  
Respondent.


Case No. [25-cv-08498-HSG](#)  
**ORDER OF TRANSFER**

United States District Court  
Northern District of California

Petitioner, a parolee currently living in Walnut Creek, California, has filed this *pro se* action seeking a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241, challenging his 2019 sentence. *See generally* ECF No. 1. Petitioner was convicted and sentenced in San Diego County, which is in the Southern District of California. *Id.* Petitioner currently resides in the Northern District of California. *Id.* Venue for a habeas action is proper in either the district of confinement or the district of conviction. 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d). However, a petition challenging the sentence imposed is preferably heard in the district of conviction and sentencing. *See* N.D. Cal. Habeas L.R. 2254-3(b); *see also Dannenberg v. Ingle*, 831 F. Supp. 767, 767-68 (N.D. Cal. 1993). Petitioner was convicted and sentenced in the Southern District of California. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 84(b). Accordingly, this case is TRANSFERRED to the United States District Court for the Southern District of California. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a). The Clerk is directed to close this case.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: 10/14/2025

  
HAYWOOD S. GILLIAM, JR.  
United States District Judge