ROB BONTA, State Bar No. 202668 Acting Attorney General of California	335 The DiGuiseppe Law Firm, P.C.	
Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
Deputy Attorney General		
P.O. Box 944255	Attorneys	s for Plaintiffs
Telephone: (916) 210-7836		
E-mail: Jerry.Yen@doj.ca.gov		
official capacity as California Attorney		
capacity as Director of the Department of		
12 FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
		02450 WOWND
, ,		-cv-02470-WQH-MMP
v.	STIPULATION TO AMEND	
ROB BONTA, in his official capacity as	<b>SCHEDULIN</b>	G ORDER
Attorney General of Camorina, et al,	AND OTHER	R PRE-TRIAL
Defendants.		Hon. William Q.
	Juage.	Hayes
	Action Filed:	December 18, 2020
All parties to this case apply to the Co	urt and agree as	follows in support of this
All parties to this case apply to the Commotion and stipulation to amend the Third	· ·	• •
1	Amended Sche	• •
	Acting Attorney General of California ANTHONY R. HAKL, State Bar No. 197335 Supervising Deputy Attorney General JERRY T. YEN, State Bar No. 247988 Deputy Attorney General 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 210-7836 Fax: (916) 324-8835 E-mail: Jerry.Yen@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Defendants Rob Bonta, in his official capacity as California Attorney General, and Luis Lopez, in his official capacity as Director of the Department of Justice Bureau of Firearms  IN THE UNITED STATE FOR THE SOUTHERN DIST  MICHELLE NGUYEN, et al,  Plaintiffs, v.  ROB BONTA, in his official capacity as Attorney General of California, et al,	ANTHONY R. HAKL, State Bar No. 197335 Supervising Deputy Attorney General JERRY T. YEN, State Bar No. 247988 Deputy Attorney General 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 210-7836 Fax: (916) 324-8835 E-mail: Jerry. Yen@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Defendants Rob Bonta, in his official capacity as California Attorney General, and Luis Lopez, in his official capacity as Director of the Department of Justice Bureau of Firearms  IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CA  MICHELLE NGUYEN, et al,  Plaintiffs, v.  ROB BONTA, in his official capacity as Attorney General of California, et al,  Defendants.  Defendants.  JUINT MOT STIPULATIC THIRD AME SCHEDULIN REGULATIN AND OTHER PROCEEDIN Judge:

28

renewed motions for summary judgment was entered on February 21, 2023 (Dkt. No.

53), pursuant to which the cut-off for discovery was July 28, 2023, and the parties' pretrial motions are due September 1, 2023. Throughout this period, the parties have worked diligently in preparing their cross-motions for summary judgment. Defendants' counsel has produced multiple expert reports and voluminous documents underlying the same, which Plaintiffs' counsel has reviewed, and both counsel have made substantial progress in preparing their respective cases. To date, neither has requested an extension of time for the filing of their pretrial motions.

Given the implications of *Bruen*, both counsel are simultaneously managing time-sensitive obligations in multiple other cases involving Second Amendment challenges to firearms regulations, including extensive ongoing discovery and briefing responsibilities over the next several weeks. Additionally, counsel for Defendants is scheduled to be on vacation for the week of September 4th, and counsel for Plaintiffs has a deadline of September 9 for another summary judgment brief. Accordingly, they propose extending the filing deadline for the pretrial motions in this case by two weeks, such that the motions are due September 15, 2023.

For the opposition and reply briefs, in the previous rounds of briefing, the parties had three weeks to file their oppositions and then two weeks to file their replies. Reserving at least that much time makes sense at this stage as well, given that it represents the final stage of multiple stages of briefing and involves new evidence. Three weeks from September 15 would be October 6. However, that same day, counsel for Plaintiff has an opening summary judgment brief in another Second Amendment case, which also involves a lengthy record and complex issues. Therefore, the parties propose setting the due dates for the oppositions just one week later, on October 13, with the reply briefs being due two weeks later, on October 27.

Preparing the renewed summary judgment motions and related evidence that fully address the multi-faceted, inherently complex issues in this case will require a substantial amount of time. To ensure that the parties have sufficient time to do so while properly managing their time-sensitive obligations over the same period, they

26

27

28

SIGNATURE CERTIFICATION Pursuant to Section 2(f)(4) of the Electronic Case Filing Administrative Policies and Procedures Manual, I hereby certify that the content of this document is acceptable to Jerry T. Yen, counsel for Defendants, and that I have obtained his authorization to affix his electronic signature to this document. Dated: August 24, 2023 /s/ Raymond M. DiGuiseppe Raymond M. DiGuiseppe The DiGuiseppe Law Firm, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiffs