1	The DiGuisanne Law Firm P.C.	Rob Bonta
	The DiGuiseppe Law Firm, P.C. Raymond M. DiGuiseppe	Attorney General of California
2	CA State Bar No. 228457	Anthony R. Hakl
3	4320 Southport-Supply Road	Supervising Deputy Attorney General
4	Suite 300	Rita B. Bosworth
5	Southport, NC 28461 P: 910-713-8804	Deputy Attorney General CA State Bar No. 234964
6	E: law.rmd@gmail.com	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Ste 11000
7		San Francisco, CA 94102
	Michael P. Sousa	P: 415-510-3592
8	Law Offices of Michael P. Sousa, APC 3232 Governor Dr., Suite A	E: <u>Rita.Bosworth@doj.ca.gov</u> Attorneys for Defendants
9	San Diego, CA 92122	Thomeys for Defendants
10	T: 858-453-6122	
11	1 E: msousa@msousalaw.com	
12	William Sack	
13	Firearms Policy Coalition	
14	5550 Painted Mirage Road, Suite 320	
15	Las Vegas, NV 89149 Appearing Pro Hac Vice	
_	inppearing i to flue vice	
16	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
17		
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
19	FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
20	LANA RAE RENNA, et al.,	Case No.: 20-cv-2190-DMS-DEB
21	Plaintiffs, vs.	JOINT STIPULATION AND MOTION TO VACATE
22		
23		SCHEDULING ORDER
24	ROB BONTA, et al., Defendants.	
25	Defendants.	
26		
27	The parties hareby make this joint	etimulation and motion to vacate the pretried
	The parties hereby make this joint stipulation and motion to vacate the pretrial	
28	Scheduling Order for the reasons that fol	IOW:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

On June 23, 2022, the United States Supreme Court issued its opinion in *New* York State Rifle & Pistol Association, Inc. v. Bruen, \_\_ U.S. \_\_, 142 S.Ct. 2111 (2022), and significantly changed the legal landscape in Second Amendment cases by establishing a new framework for analyzing Second Amendment claims. *Bruen* is already impacting other cases involving Second Amendment claims. See e.g., McDougall v. Ventura County, Ninth Circuit case no. 20-56220 (reversing and remanding for further proceedings consistent with Bruen); Martinez v. Villanueva, Ninth Circuit case no. 20-56233 (same); Nguyen v. Bonta, case no. 3:20cv-02470-WQH-MDD (ordering supplemental briefing and inviting submission of supplemental evidence on pending cross-motions for summary judgment, so as to address the proper resolution of the claims in light of *Bruen's* impact on the analysis). It is also expected that *Bruen* will significantly impact the manner in which the Second Amendment claims are resolved here. Accordingly, the parties stipulate and agree that the current Scheduling Order (ECF No. 30; ECF No. 32) be vacated, and they respectfully request the Court's consent to do so for good cause under FRCP rule 16(b)(4). The parties further stipulate and agree that Plaintiffs will file a secondamended complaint on or before August 22, 2022, with the case to thereafter proceed in accordance with the process and timelines set forth in the applicable rules. A new scheduling order and any other matters related to the process ahead can be discussed and resolved as necessary and appropriate for efficiency at the upcoming status conference currently scheduled for July 29, 2022. Dated: July 19, 2022 Respectfully submitted, /s/Raymond M. DiGuiseppe The DiGuiseppe Law Firm, P.C. Raymond M. DiGuiseppe CA State Bar No. 228457 4320 Southport-Supply Road

Suite 300

Case 3:20-cv-02190-DMS-DEB Document 45 Filed 07/19/22 PageID.725 Page 3 of 3