

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MARCIANO PLATA, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
GAVIN NEWSOM, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. 01-cv-01351-JST

**ORDER SETTING BRIEFING
SCHEDULE**

Re: ECF No. 3266

Plaintiffs have requested an expedited briefing schedule on their motion requesting “an order directing Defendants and Receiver to develop a plan to manage and prevent the further spread of COVID-19 in California state prisons.” ECF No. 3266 at 1. The Court finds good cause to set the following schedule:

1. Defendants’ opposition shall be filed by April 13, 2020, at 2:00 p.m.
2. Although Plaintiffs “waive any right to file a reply,” *id.*, experience demonstrates that a reply brief might be essential to adequate consideration of the issues raised in Defendants’ opposition. *See* ECF No. 3247 at 1 (three-judge court order requiring reply brief despite Plaintiffs’ waiver). Plaintiffs shall file a reply brief by April 14, 2020, at 2:00 p.m.
3. The Court will hear argument on April 16, 2020, at 2:00 p.m. The hearing will proceed telephonically. The Court will provide information about dialing in to the hearing in a future order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 9, 2020



JON S. TIGAR
United States District Judge

United States District Court
Northern District of California