

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 ANTHROPIC PBC,

6 Plaintiff,

7 v.

8 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF WAR, *et al.*,

9  
10 Defendants.

Case No. 3:26-cv-01996-RFL

**DECLARATION OF EMIL MICHAEL**

11  
12 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I, Emil Michael, declare as follows:

13 1. I am the Under Secretary of War for Research and Engineering (USW(R&E)) and Chief  
14 Technology Officer for the Department of War (DoW). I have held this position since May 20, 2025.

15 2. In my current position, I am responsible for spearheading the Department's efforts to  
16 ensure U.S. military technological superiority and keep DoW at the forefront of innovation. I provide  
17 strategic direction and oversight for DoW's entire research, development, and prototyping  
18 enterprise, which includes providing critical input on the acquisition, implementation, and use of cutting-  
19 edge technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI).

20 3. This declaration is based on my personal knowledge as well as information made available  
21 to me through reasonable diligence in the course of my official duties.

22 **DoW's Title 10, Section 3252 Authorities**

23 4. Organized under Title 10 of the United States Code, DoW is the largest government  
24 agency of the United States. DoW oversees the United States' armed services and coordinates the  
25 national defense. In service of the national defense, DoW awards contracts to and sets terms and  
26 policies with various entities that supply the Department with the technologies needed to advance U.S.  
27 military and national defense capabilities.



1 chain risk assessments relating to AI issues. As a result, my office has assumed responsibility for  
2 providing CDAO's supply chain risk assessments relating to AI issues to the Under Secretary of War  
3 for Acquisition and Sustainment and the Chief Information Officer in accordance with 48 C.F.R.  
4 § 249.7304. In addition to CDAO possessing the relevant authorities, CDAO and my office are DoW's  
5 subject matter experts for AI issues and are therefore best able to provide the comprehensive risk  
6 assessment that informs the determinations under Section 3252. As appropriate, my office and CDAO  
7 also coordinate AI risk assessments with the Chief Information Officer.

### 8 **Supply Chain Risk and Harms to National Security**

9 9. As outlined in the Urgent Supply Risk Analysis (the "Analysis") provided to the  
10 Secretary of War, Anthropic PBC has become a supply chain risk following a progression of risk that  
11 reached a saturation point as a result of the behavior of its leadership during the course of contract  
12 negotiations with DoW in late 2025 and early 2026. As explained in the Analysis, the relatively opaque  
13 nature of large language model (LLM) technology that DoW procures from Anthropic creates a  
14 baseline risk. That risk escalated due to the unusual degree of control that Anthropic retains over the  
15 model, as well as Anthropic's adversarial posture towards DoW's statutory mission and the manner in  
16 which it is conducted. This technical opacity makes it difficult for DoW to assess technological  
17 features that may be encoded into the LLM product and that may cause it to subvert the appropriate  
18 execution of mission applications, also known as "model poisoning," or to fail to perform altogether.  
19 While this is, at least in part, a common concern with all LLMs, the risk is significantly elevated in this  
20 instance by the actions of Anthropic's leadership, detailed below.

21 10. In addition, the federal government has identified AI as a field that requires technology  
22 transfer restrictions, per the Technology Alert list. Anthropic employs a large number of foreign  
23 nationals to build and support its LLM products, including many from the Peoples Republic of China  
24 (PRC), which increases the degree of adversarial risk should those employees comply with the PRC's  
25 National Intelligence Law. Although other major U.S. AI labs that provide LLM products to DoW may  
26 present similar risks, the technical and security assurances of the other labs' leadership, along with their  
27 consistently responsible and trustworthy behavior during their engagement with DoW, mitigate these  
28 risks. Anthropic's case, however, is different. A series of additional risks came to light in 2026, when

1 DoW and the company engaged in contract negotiations to expand DoW's use of Anthropic's LLM  
2 products.

3 11. First, Anthropic's leadership demonstrated an intent to prevent the U.S. military's lawful  
4 use of their LLM product, Claude, despite the company's publicly stated knowledge that adversarial  
5 nation states have a practice of stealing Anthropic's LLM technology for their own unrestricted use.<sup>2</sup>  
6 This asymmetrical reality, imposed by Anthropic, disadvantages the U.S. military vis-à-vis its  
7 adversaries. During the 2026 contract negotiations, Anthropic's leadership insisted on multiple redlines  
8 that it would not allow the U.S. military to cross when using Claude. The company's leadership  
9 insisted on imposing restrictions on DoW's lawful military capability development, operations, and  
10 intelligence missions, even though it would impair the capabilities of the U.S. military relative to our  
11 adversaries. In short, Anthropic made clear that it will not allow the Government to deploy Claude for  
12 multiple lawful uses. Determinations about lawful military uses, however, must rest solely with DoW  
13 and not with a private company.

14 12. Second, Anthropic's leadership confirmed in an internal company memorandum  
15 published in February that the company sought to impose multiple restrictions over the Government's  
16 lawful use of Claude, including safety mechanisms that may be outside the control of DoW.<sup>3</sup>

17 13. Third, the company's leadership demonstrated bad faith by sharing with the press  
18 unclassified but sensitive details of private conversations with DoW leadership in order to exert public  
19 pressure on DoW to concede to Anthropic's demands.

20 14. Fourth, the Department learned that in 2025, the U.S. Centers for Disease Control's  
21 (CDC) lawful use of Anthropic's LLM technology to support its infectious disease prevention research  
22 mission was limited by Anthropic's use of safety filters in the LLM product CDC was using. The  
23 company did not inform the agency of these filters, and they caused the product to stop functioning  
24 normally for various sensitive, but research-aligned queries.

25  
26  
27 <sup>2</sup> <https://www.anthropic.com/news/detecting-and-preventing-distillation-attacks>.

28 <sup>3</sup> <https://www.theinformation.com/articles/read-anthropic-ceos-memo-attacking-openais-mendacious-pentagon-announcement>.

1           15. Fifth, the Department learned that during an active overseas military operation, an  
2 Anthropic executive expressed concern to one of DoW's primary operational support software vendors  
3 about the potential use of Anthropic's LLM products by U.S. military analysts during the operation.  
4 The Department was made aware of this conversation between cleared individuals by the primary  
5 vendor. During later discussions with Anthropic leaders, not all of whom have the requisite security  
6 clearances, an Anthropic executive repeated this information raising serious concerns about their  
7 processes and procedures for operational security. The same information subsequently appeared in the  
8 news media. In light of these incidents, it is reasonably likely that Anthropic's leadership would alter or  
9 even shut off DoW's use of Claude if Anthropic believes that the model may be used for purposes it  
10 deems, in its sole discretion, to extend beyond the company's unilaterally imposed boundaries before or  
11 during a military operation, which could endanger the lives of U.S. military personnel and civilians and  
12 compromise the United States' warfighting mission. Continuing to use Anthropic's technology under  
13 the current contract structures in any echelon in DoW's supply chain, namely the covered systems, thus  
14 presents a significant risk.

15           16. Taken together, this collection of risks demonstrates the clear technical capability and  
16 adversarial intent for Anthropic's leadership to potentially undermine lawful U.S. national security  
17 activities and objectives. Anthropic leadership's adversarial behavior has elevated the supply chain  
18 risks to a saturation point. DoW uses Anthropic's model in multiple ways, including in ongoing  
19 military operations. If Anthropic were to interfere during an operation, whether by shutting off access  
20 to the model or altering its functionality, such interference could cause serious harm to national security  
21 and loss of human life. This risk within a covered system is intolerable and warrants the designation  
22 under 10 U.S.C. § 3252.

23           17. This risk is not limited only to Anthropic and its model's standalone presence in DoW  
24 systems or as a subcontractor to DoW. The model's interactions with other technology and covered  
25 systems create additional risk to the DoW supply chain. When Anthropic's model is layered into other  
26 applications, there is a substantial risk that any company-imposed restrictions or alterations to the  
27 model would be transferred and impact mission applications, including in weapons systems  
28 development and other products or services that ultimately perform DoW activities.

1           18. As an example, if Anthropic’s technology is used as a plug-in to a larger application, it  
2 may limit the functionality of that larger system to the internal limitations built into or added to the  
3 Anthropic system. This would directly impair other covered systems by reducing their functionality to  
4 the same level as Anthropic’s system.

5           19. AI is functionally a tool to assist DoW in its national security mission. It is imperative  
6 that DoW be able to fully trust the functionality of its tools. Here, there are significant concerns due to  
7 Anthropic’s demonstrated willingness to modify or restrict its model’s functionality for DoW purposes.  
8 All lawfulness determinations are vested with DoW, which ensures the integrity of the chain of  
9 command, especially during active combat operations. Anthropic’s demonstrated willingness to  
10 interfere with that chain of command is a significant risk.

11           20. In assessing these significant supply chain risks and harms to national security, DoW  
12 considered whether less restrictive means than exclusion and removal could mitigate the supply chain  
13 risk and national security harm. While each risk identified above may not, standing alone, have  
14 necessitated exclusion and removal of plaintiff from DoW’s supply chain, when considered in the  
15 aggregate, a significant supply chain risk exists. The only potential mitigation to this collective set of  
16 risks—acquisition of LLM products with the usage terms and technical and service delivery  
17 specifications DoW requires—was not an option to which Anthropic would agree.

18           21. These risks and possible mitigation options were considered in the aggregate and in light  
19 of the escalating tension over the key differences concerning authority to determine DoW’s lawful use  
20 of Claude during DoW’s contract negotiations with Anthropic. DoW ultimately determined that  
21 Anthropic’s conduct constituted a fully mature and significant supply chain risk—including increased  
22 potential for AI model manipulation, insider threat risk, data exfiltration, and denial of service—that  
23 posed a direct, unmitigable risk to DoW’s warfighting capabilities and national security mission.

24           22. While Anthropic presents a supply chain risk, it is technically and operationally  
25 infeasible to remove the technology from all DoW systems immediately, particularly in the midst of  
26 active operations. Because of this reality, the designation allows a 180-day offramp to remove  
27 Anthropic’s Claude model from its systems and migrate to alternative LLM products without impacting  
28 operational readiness. This is a significantly compressed timeline to ensure that this risk is removed

1 from DoW's systems, particularly because of the need to integrate another vendor's products and  
2 services, including the associated requisite security clearance.

3 23. This reality is expressed in a March 5, 2026, memorandum issued by the DoW Chief  
4 Information Officer. In this memorandum, the Chief Information Officer determined that "DoW  
5 Components will discontinue all use of the Covered Company's products across all DoW systems  
6 within 180 days." The memorandum adds that new procurements involving Anthropic's products are  
7 disallowed, as these products are no longer authorized for installation in DoW covered systems.

8 24. As noted, Claude is used in a variety of functions throughout DoW. This is a result of  
9 Claude being the first AI model that was available to function in DoW's classified networks and one of  
10 the first AI models integrated through Amazon Web Services (AWS), which was awarded the first  
11 contract in 2016. This placed Claude in the lead on multiple fronts. However, other companies have  
12 been closing the gaps.

13 25. DoW expects that within 180-days, barring any significant change in necessity, it will be  
14 able to create the digital space needed for another system and prepare for a seamless handoff from  
15 Claude to ensure that the risk is efficiently removed from DoW networks.

16 26. This process has already been initiated. An injunction pausing this process would in and  
17 of itself be a significant threat to the national security of the United States.

18 27. An injunction preventing the removal of Anthropic's technology from DoW systems as  
19 soon as possible would result in an ongoing threat to national security remaining on DoW's systems,  
20 and allowing contractors to continue to engage with Anthropic as a subcontractor to DoW would itself  
21 create an additional intolerable risk. As a subcontractor, Anthropic poses the same threats as it would  
22 as a prime contractor. The incorporation of Anthropic's systems into a product on DoW systems would  
23 cause the same risks regardless of whether it flows directly to DoW systems or through a prime  
24 contractor.

25 28. During this transition period, DoW is taking additional measures to mitigate the supply  
26 chain risk and national security harms presented by Anthropic leadership's behavior with regard to  
27 DoW systems. The Department is working with third-party cloud service providers to ensure Anthropic  
28 leadership cannot make unilateral changes to the containerized version of its LLM product that DoW

1 currently uses. DoW is also working with its counterintelligence and law enforcement partners to  
2 assess the potential risk that Anthropic's LLM products may contain technical exploits, including ones  
3 that could have been embedded by foreign nationals, given the leadership's pattern of behavior.  
4 Finally, DoW is communicating its risk saturation findings with the other U.S. government departments  
5 and agencies to support their own risk mitigation efforts.

6 29. DoW has an obligation and a duty to ensure the integrity of its operations and the safety  
7 and security of its personnel, including from any risks that may be presented through its supply chain to  
8 its covered systems. Supply chain security is national security. Therefore, the Department took action  
9 to ensure the integrity of its covered systems.

10 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

11 EXECUTED this 17th day of March, 2026, at Washington, DC.



12  
13  
14 Emil Michael