

United States District Court
Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

AMERICAN FEDERATION OF
GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES, AFL-CIO,
et al.,

No. C 25-01780 WHA

Plaintiffs,

v.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

UNITED STATES OFFICE OF
PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT, et al.,

Defendants.


The temporary restraining order denied relief to the public-sector union plaintiffs, explaining that the district court likely lacked subject-matter jurisdiction to hear their claims (*see* TRO Mem. 11–13). The preliminary injunction order repeated that result, while noting that the Court would reconsider the issue in light of further briefing and facts (*see* PI Mem. 12). Based on that further briefing, today’s order concluded that the district court has subject-matter jurisdiction to hear the public-sector union plaintiffs’ claims.

Parties are therefore **ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE** why the relief extended to the organizational plaintiffs (or more, or less) should not be extended to the public-sector union plaintiffs.

1 Simultaneous responses are due by **FRIDAY, MARCH 28, 2025**. Replies are due by
2 **APRIL 4**. Argument will be heard in person on **WEDNESDAY, APRIL 9 AT 8 AM**.

3
4 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

5
6 Dated: March 24, 2025.

7
8 
9 _____
10 WILLIAM ALSUP
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
United States District Court
Northern District of California