1 2	PATRICK D. ROBBINS (CABN 152288) Acting United States Attorney PAMELA T. JOHANN (CABN 145558)	
3	Chief, Civil Division KELSEY J. HELLAND (CABN 298888)	
4	Assistant United States Attorney U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE	
5	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102-3495	
6	ERIC HAMILTON	
7	Deputy Assistant Attorney General DIANE KELLEHER Branch Director	
8	CHRISTOPHER HALL	
9	Assistant Branch Director JAMES D. TODD, JR.	
10	Senior Trial Counsel YURI S. FUCHS	
11	Trial Attorney U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE	
12	Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch P.O. Box 883	
13	Washington, DC 20044	
14	Counsel for Defendants	
15	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
<ul><li>15</li><li>16</li></ul>	FOR THE NORTHERN D	DISTRICT COURT ISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SCO DIVISION
	FOR THE NORTHERN D	ISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SCO DIVISION
16	FOR THE NORTHERN D	ISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
16 17	FOR THE NORTHERN DESAN FRANCE	ISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SCO DIVISION  Case No. 3:25-cv-1780-WHA
16 17 18	FOR THE NORTHERN DESAN FRANCE  AMERICAN FEDERATION OF	ISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SCO DIVISION  Case No. 3:25-cv-1780-WHA  NOTICE IN RESPONSE TO THE COURT'S THIRD REQUEST FOR
16 17 18 19	AMERICAN FEDERATION OF GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES, et al.	ISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SCO DIVISION  Case No. 3:25-cv-1780-WHA  NOTICE IN RESPONSE TO THE
16 17 18 19 20	AMERICAN FEDERATION OF GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES, et al.  Plaintiffs,	ISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SCO DIVISION  Case No. 3:25-cv-1780-WHA  NOTICE IN RESPONSE TO THE COURT'S THIRD REQUEST FOR
16 17 18 19 20 21	AMERICAN FEDERATION OF GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES, et al.  Plaintiffs,  v.  UNITED STATES OFFICE OF PERSONEL MANAGEMENT, et al.,	Case No. 3:25-cv-1780-WHA  NOTICE IN RESPONSE TO THE COURT'S THIRD REQUEST FOR INFORMATION
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	AMERICAN FEDERATION OF GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES, et al.  Plaintiffs,  v.  UNITED STATES OFFICE OF PERSONEL	Case No. 3:25-cv-1780-WHA  NOTICE IN RESPONSE TO THE COURT'S THIRD REQUEST FOR INFORMATION
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	AMERICAN FEDERATION OF GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES, et al.  Plaintiffs,  v.  UNITED STATES OFFICE OF PERSONEL MANAGEMENT, et al.,	Case No. 3:25-cv-1780-WHA  NOTICE IN RESPONSE TO THE COURT'S THIRD REQUEST FOR INFORMATION
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	AMERICAN FEDERATION OF GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES, et al.  Plaintiffs,  v.  UNITED STATES OFFICE OF PERSONEL MANAGEMENT, et al.,	Case No. 3:25-cv-1780-WHA  NOTICE IN RESPONSE TO THE COURT'S THIRD REQUEST FOR INFORMATION
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	AMERICAN FEDERATION OF GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES, et al.  Plaintiffs,  v.  UNITED STATES OFFICE OF PERSONEL MANAGEMENT, et al.,	Case No. 3:25-cv-1780-WHA  NOTICE IN RESPONSE TO THE COURT'S THIRD REQUEST FOR INFORMATION
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	AMERICAN FEDERATION OF GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES, et al.  Plaintiffs,  v.  UNITED STATES OFFICE OF PERSONEL MANAGEMENT, et al.,	Case No. 3:25-cv-1780-WHA  NOTICE IN RESPONSE TO THE COURT'S THIRD REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

Notice in Response to the Court's Third Request for Information 3:25-cv-1780-WHA

Defendants respond to this Court's March 17, 2025, Third Request for Information ("Request"), ECF No. 138. In that Request, the Court referenced "news reports that, in at least one agency, probationary employees are being rehired but then placed on administrative leave *en masse*" and stated that "[t]his is not allowed by the preliminary injunction, for it would not restore the services the preliminary injunction intends to restore." Request. The Court ordered Defendants to "state the extent to which any rehired probationary employees are being placed on administrative leave." *Id*.

Defendants note that in a separate proceeding the government filed a status report on March 17, 2025, explaining the actions taken by 21 Executive Branch agencies to comply with a temporary restraining order ("TRO") requiring the reinstatement of terminated probationary employees. *See* Status Rep., *State of Maryland, et al. v. U. S. Dep't of Agric., et al.*, No. 1:25-cv-748 (D. Md. Mar. 17, 2025), ECF No. 52, ("*State of Maryland*"), attached as Ex. 1; TRO, *State of Maryland, supra*, ECF No. 44 (requiring reinstatement of probationary employees terminated on or after January 20, 2025), attached as Ex. 2.

As highlighted in agency declarations attached to that status report, administrative leave is not being used to skirt the requirement of reinstatement but is merely a first part of a series of steps to reinstate probationary employees. For example, a declaration submitted by an official from the U.S. Department of Energy ("DOE"), which is a named defendant in both *State of Maryland* and in this case, is illustrative. *See* Decl. of Reesha Trznadel, *State of Maryland*, *supra*, ECF No. 52-1 at 5-8, attached as Ex. 3. As Ms. Trznadel explains, "Affected Probationary Employees have been placed in a retroactive Administrative Leave status that will continue until their badging and IT access are restored, at which time they will be converted to an Active Duty status" and "DOE continues working to reinstate employees by working with Agency leadership to arrange for an orderly return to the office (onboarding) while the employees are in an administrative leave status." Trznadel Decl. ¶ 12, at 7.

The declaration of an official with the U.S. Department of Agriculture ("USDA"), which is also a defendant in both *State of Maryland* and in this case, further confirms this. *See* Decl. of Mary Pletcher Rice, ECF No. 52-1 at 55–58. Ms. Pletcher Rice's declaration explains that "[a]s

part of a phased plan for return-to-duty, upon returning to pay status, the Affected Probationary Employee will initially be placed on paid administrative leave" and that in the meantime "USDA is acting diligently to complete the administrative steps related to notifying the Affected Probationary Employees of their reinstatement, processing the reinstatements for purposes of all relevant USDA record systems, and returning the reinstated employees to duty status." Pletcher Rice Decl. ¶ 5, at 57. Likewise, the declaration of an agency official with the Department of Veterans Affairs ("VA") explains that the agency "for all intents and purposes, reinstated all Affected Probationary Employees, placing them in an initial administrative leave status with full pay and benefits, effective March 17, 2025," and was "acting diligently to complete additional administrative processes related to the reinstatement of these employees." Decl. of Mark Engelbaum ¶ 10, ECF No. 52-1 at 61–62. Additionally, the VA official stated that "Affected Probationary Employees will also receive back pay from the date of termination to the date of reinstatement." Id. Defendants understand that, without this context, the Court may have had questions about whether the placement of employees on administrative leave was an effort to avoid the injunction but hope this clarification explains that this placement is an administrative, intermediate measure taken by a number of the agencies in order to return probationary employees to full duty status. Defendants propose to file a report with the Court on March 28, 2025, with any further updates about the return of probationary employees to full duty status.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Notice in Response to the Court's Third Request for Information

3:25-cv-1780-WHA

Dated: March 18, 2025 Respectfully submitted, 1 PATRICK D. ROBBINS (CABN 152288) 2 Acting United States Attorney PAMELA T. JOHANN (CABN 145558) 3 Chief, Civil Division KELSEY J. HELLAND (CABN 298888) 4 Assistant United States Attorney U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 5 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102-3495 6 **ERIC HAMILTON** 7 Deputy Assistant Attorney General 8 DIANE KELLEHER **Branch Director** 9 CHRISTOPHER HALL 10 **Assistant Branch Director** 11 JAMES D. TODD, JR. Senior Trial Counsel 12 s/ Yuri S. Fuchs 13 YURI S. FUCHS Trial Attorney U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 14 Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch 15 P.O. Box 883 Washington, DC 20044 16 Counsel for Defendants 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28