1	Douglas A. Winthrop (Bar No. 183532) Douglas.Winthrop@arnoldporter.com	Joseph R. Wetzel (Bar No. 238008) joe.wetzel@lw.com	
2	Joseph Farris (Bar No. 263405) Joseph.Farris@arnoldporter.com	LATHAM & WATKINS LLP 505 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000	
3	Jessica L. Gillotte (Bar No. 333517) Jessica.Gillotte@arnoldporter.com	San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 391-0600	
4	Estayvaine Bragg (Bar No. 341400) Estayvaine.Bragg@arnoldporter.com		
5	ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LL Three Embarcadero Center, 10th Floor	P	
6 7	San Francisco, CA 94111-4024 Telephone: (415) 471-3100 Facsimile: (415) 471-3400		
8	Angel T. Nakamura (Bar No. 205396) Angel.Nakamura@arnoldporter.com		
9	ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLI 777 South Figueroa Street, 44th Floor		
10	Los Angeles, CA 90017-5844 Telephone: (213) 243-4000 Facsimile: (213) 243-4199		
11	Attorneys for Defendant Anthropic PBC		
12	Thiorneys for Defendant Thinnople T Be		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
13	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
14		DISTRICT COURT  ICT OF CALIFORNIA	
	NORTHERN DISTR		
14	NORTHERN DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14 15 16 17	NORTHERN DISTR SAN FRANCE ANDREA BARTZ, CHARLES GRAEBER, and KIRK WALLACE JOHNSON, individually and on behalf of others similarly	ICT OF CALIFORNIA ISCO DIVISION  Case No. 3:24-cv-05417-WHA  ANTHROPIC PBC'S ANSWER TO	
14 15 16	NORTHERN DISTR SAN FRANCE ANDREA BARTZ, CHARLES GRAEBER, and KIRK WALLACE JOHNSON, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,	ICT OF CALIFORNIA ISCO DIVISION  Case No. 3:24-cv-05417-WHA	
14 15 16 17	NORTHERN DISTR SAN FRANCE ANDREA BARTZ, CHARLES GRAEBER, and KIRK WALLACE JOHNSON, individually and on behalf of others similarly	ICT OF CALIFORNIA ISCO DIVISION  Case No. 3:24-cv-05417-WHA  ANTHROPIC PBC'S ANSWER TO	
14 15 16 17 18	NORTHERN DISTR SAN FRANCE ANDREA BARTZ, CHARLES GRAEBER, and KIRK WALLACE JOHNSON, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,  Plaintiffs, v.	ICT OF CALIFORNIA ISCO DIVISION  Case No. 3:24-cv-05417-WHA  ANTHROPIC PBC'S ANSWER TO	
14 15 16 17 18 19	ANDREA BARTZ, CHARLES GRAEBER, and KIRK WALLACE JOHNSON, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,  Plaintiffs,  v.  ANTHROPIC PBC,	ICT OF CALIFORNIA ISCO DIVISION  Case No. 3:24-cv-05417-WHA  ANTHROPIC PBC'S ANSWER TO	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	NORTHERN DISTR SAN FRANCE ANDREA BARTZ, CHARLES GRAEBER, and KIRK WALLACE JOHNSON, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,  Plaintiffs, v.	ICT OF CALIFORNIA ISCO DIVISION  Case No. 3:24-cv-05417-WHA  ANTHROPIC PBC'S ANSWER TO	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ANDREA BARTZ, CHARLES GRAEBER, and KIRK WALLACE JOHNSON, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,  Plaintiffs,  v.  ANTHROPIC PBC,	ICT OF CALIFORNIA ISCO DIVISION  Case No. 3:24-cv-05417-WHA  ANTHROPIC PBC'S ANSWER TO	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ANDREA BARTZ, CHARLES GRAEBER, and KIRK WALLACE JOHNSON, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,  Plaintiffs,  v.  ANTHROPIC PBC,	ICT OF CALIFORNIA ISCO DIVISION  Case No. 3:24-cv-05417-WHA  ANTHROPIC PBC'S ANSWER TO	

6 7 8

10

9

12

13

11

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

25

26

24

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8, Defendant Anthropic PBC ("Anthropic") hereby responds to the Class Action Complaint for Damages ("Complaint") filed by Plaintiffs Andrea Bartz, Charles Graeber, and Kirk Wallace Johnson, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated, ("Plaintiffs"), as follows. All allegations not specifically admitted herein are denied.

## **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

- 1. Anthropic admits that it offers products based on large language models ("LLMs"), and that its principal offerings include the family of large language models known as "Claude." Otherwise, denied.
- 2. Anthropic lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph concerning authorship, and on that basis denies them. Otherwise, denied.
  - 3. Denied.
  - 4. Denied.
- 5. Anthropic admits that the quality of the data used to train its LLMs is one factor, among many others, that affects the performance of the LLM. Anthropic admits that it has raised funding from Amazon and Google, among other investors. Otherwise, denied.
  - 6. Denied.
- 7. Anthropic admits that it is a registered public benefit company. Anthropic admits that Dario Amodei is a co-founder of Anthropic PBC. To the extent the allegations in this paragraph purport to quote from the source cited in a footnote, Anthropic admits that the quoted language appears in that source. Otherwise, denied.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

8. For purposes of this action, Anthropic does not contest subject matter jurisdiction. The paragraph otherwise contains legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Anthropic denies the allegations of this paragraph.

-1-

- 9. For purposes of this action, Anthropic does not contest personal jurisdiction. The allegations in this paragraph otherwise contain legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Anthropic denies the allegations of this paragraph.
- 10. Anthropic admits that its business is headquartered in this District. Anthropic also admits that it markets, sells, and distributes its LLMs to citizens of California. Otherwise, denied.
- 11. For purposes of this action, Anthropic does not contest venue. The allegations in this paragraph otherwise contain legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Anthropic denies the allegations of this paragraph.

#### THE PARTIES

- 12. Anthropic lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph, and on that basis denies them.
- 13. Anthropic lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph, and on that basis denies them.
- 14. Anthropic lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph, and on that basis denies them.
  - 15. Admitted.

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 16. Admitted.
- 17. Admitted.
- 18. To the extent the allegations in this paragraph purport to quote from the source cited in a footnote, Anthropic admits that the quoted language appears in that source. Anthropic admits that Claude is useful for a variety of writing tasks. Otherwise, denied.
- 19. Anthropic admits that it currently offers access to its Claude 3.5 Sonnet model for free, and offers access to increased usage caps and models like Claude 3 Opus and Haiku for a monthly cost of

- 1	
1	between \$20 to \$25 in some cases. Anthropic admits that Claude can be accessed on Amazon Bedrock.
2	To the extent the allegations in this paragraph purport to quote from the source cited in a footnote,
3	Anthropic admits that the quoted language appears in that source. Anthropic admits that its customers
4	include Slack, ZoomInfo, Bridgewater, LexisNexis, and Jane Street Capital. Otherwise, denied.
5	20. Anthropic admits that AI safety and developing AI responsibly are core parts of its mission.
6	To the extent the allegations in this paragraph purport to quote from the source cited in a footnote,
7	Anthropic admits that the quoted language appears in that source. Otherwise, denied.
8	21. Anthropic admits that Claude is a type of large language model or "LLM." Anthropic admits
9	that building Claude required deriving statistical information from input data. Anthropic admits that
10	Claude uses that statistical analysis to generate human-like responses. Otherwise, denied.
11	22. Anthropic admits that building Claude requires breaking down input text into smaller pieces—
12	words or portions of words, called "tokens"—and then training a model on those tokens. To the extent
13	the allegations in this paragraph purport to quote from the source cited in a footnote, Anthropic admits
14	that the quoted language appears in that source. Otherwise, denied.
15	23. Anthropic admits that all of its LLMs are trained on a vast corpus of text. To the extent the
16	allegations in this paragraph purport to quote from the source cited in a footnote, Anthropic admits
17	that the quoted language appears in that source. Otherwise, denied.
18	24. Denied.
19	25. Denied.
20	26. Anthropic admits that many LLMs go through a fine-tuning process, which may involve
21	human supervision and smaller data sets. Otherwise, denied.
22	27. To the extent the allegations in this paragraph purport to quote from the source cited in a
23	footnote, Anthropic admits that the quoted language appears in that source. Otherwise, denied.

- 28. Anthropic admits that the quality and the quantity of the training corpus contribute to the quality of the resulting model and that the phrase "garbage in, garbage out" is one way to describe that idea. To the extent the allegations in this paragraph purport to quote from the source cited in a footnote, Anthropic admits that the quoted language appears in that source. Otherwise, denied.
- 29. Anthropic admits that Claude can support a context window of 100,000 tokens, or roughly 75,000 words, and generate responses to text provided in that context window. Otherwise, denied.
- 30. To the extent the allegations in this paragraph purport to quote from the source cited in a footnote, Anthropic admits that the quoted language appears in that source. Otherwise, denied.
- 31. Anthropic admits that it has used portions of the Pile in connection with the training of certain Claude models. Otherwise, denied.
- 32. To the extent the allegations in this paragraph purport to quote from the source cited in a footnote, Anthropic admits that the quoted language appears in that source. Anthropic otherwise lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the remaining allegations of this paragraph, and on that basis denies them.
- 33. Anthropic lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph, and on that basis denies them.
- 34. To the extent the allegations in this paragraph purport to quote from the source cited in a footnote, Anthropic admits that the quoted language appears in that source. Anthropic lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph, and on that basis denies them.
- 35. To the extent the allegations in this paragraph purport to quote from the source cited in a footnote, Anthropic admits that the quoted language appears in that source. Anthropic lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph, and on that basis denies them.

36. To the extent the allegations in this paragraph purport to quote from the source cited in a footnote, Anthropic admits that the quoted language appears in that source. Anthropic lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph, and on that basis denies them.

- 37. To the extent the allegations in this paragraph purport to quote from the source cited in a footnote, Anthropic admits that the quoted language appears in that source. Anthropic lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph, and on that basis denies them.
- 38. To the extent the allegations in this paragraph purport to quote from the source cited in a footnote, Anthropic admits that the quoted language appears in that source. Anthropic lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph, and on that basis denies them.
- 39. Anthropic lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph, and on that basis denies them.
  - 40. Denied.
- 41. To the extent the allegations in this paragraph purport to quote from the source cited in a footnote, Anthropic admits that some of the quoted language appears in that source. Otherwise, denied.
- 42. Anthropic admits that it has used portions of the Pile in connection with the training of certain Claude models. To the extent the allegations in this paragraph purport to quote from the source cited in a footnote, Anthropic admits that the quoted language appears in that source. Anthropic lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the remaining allegations of this paragraph, and on that basis denies them.
  - 43. Denied.

1	44. To the extent the allegations in this paragraph purport to quote from the source cited in a
2	footnote, Anthropic admits that the quoted language appears in that source. Otherwise, denied.
3	45. Denied.
4	46. Anthropic lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations
5	regarding specific agreements involving OpenAI, Google, Meta, Axel Springer, News Corporation,
6	and the Associated Press, and on that basis denies them. Otherwise, the remaining allegations are
7	denied.
8	47. Denied.
9	48. Denied.
10	49. Anthropic lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations of this
11	paragraph, and on that basis denies them.
12	50. Anthropic lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations of this
13	paragraph, and on that basis denies them.
14	51. To the extent the allegations in this paragraph purport to quote from the source cited in a
15	footnote, Anthropic admits that the quoted language appears in that source. Anthropic lacks
16	knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph concerning Kara
17	Swisher or Jane Friedman, and on that basis denies them. Otherwise, denied.
18	52. To the extent the allegations in this paragraph purport to quote from the source cited in a
19	footnote, Anthropic admits that the quoted language appears in that source. Anthropic lacks
20	knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph concerning Tim
21	Boucher, and on that basis denies them. Otherwise, denied.
22	53. Denied.
23	54. Denied.
24	
25	

- 55. Anthropic admits that it has used portions of the Pile in connection with the training of certain Claude models. As to the remainder of the paragraph, Anthropic lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph as to the rationale for Plaintiffs' allegations in the Complaint, and on that basis denies them.
- 56. Anthropic lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph, and on that basis denies them.
- 57. Anthropic lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph, and on that basis denies them.
- 58. Anthropic lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph, and on that basis denies them.

### **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

- 59. The allegations in this paragraph contain legal conclusions to which no response is required.

  To the extent a response is required, Anthropic denies the allegations of this paragraph.
- 60. The allegations in this paragraph contain legal conclusions to which no response is required.

  To the extent a response is required, Anthropic denies the allegations of this paragraph.
- 61. The allegations in this paragraph contain legal conclusions to which no response is required.

  To the extent a response is required, Anthropic denies the allegations of this paragraph.
- 62. The allegations in this paragraph contain legal conclusions to which no response is required.

  To the extent a response is required, Anthropic denies the allegations of this paragraph.
- 63. The allegations in this paragraph contain legal conclusions to which no response is required.

  To the extent a response is required, Anthropic denies the allegations of this paragraph.
- 64. The allegations in this paragraph contain legal conclusions to which no response is required.

  To the extent a response is required, Anthropic denies the allegations of this paragraph.

1	65. The allegations in this paragraph contain legal conclusions to which no response is required.		
2	To the extent a response is required, Anthropic denies the allegations of this paragraph.		
3	66. The allegations in this paragraph contain legal conclusions to which no response is required.		
4	To the extent a response is required, Anthropic denies the allegations of this paragraph.		
5	67. The allegations in this paragraph contain legal conclusions to which no response is required.		
6	To the extent a response is required, Anthropic denies the allegations of this paragraph.		
7	CLAIM FOR RELIEF: Copyright Infringement (17 U.S.C. § 501)		
8	Against Defendant Anthropic PBC		
9	68. Anthropic incorporates by reference its responses to all allegations set forth in paragraphs 1–		
10	67 as if fully set forth herein.		
11	69. The allegations in this paragraph contain legal conclusions to which no response is required.		
12	To the extent a response is required, Anthropic denies the allegations of this paragraph.		
13	70. The allegations in this paragraph contain legal conclusions to which no response is required.		
14	To the extent a response is required, Anthropic denies the allegations of this paragraph.		
15	71. The allegations in this paragraph contain legal conclusions to which no response is required.		
16	To the extent a response is required, Anthropic denies the allegations of this paragraph.		
17	72. The allegations in this paragraph contain legal conclusions to which no response is required.		
18	To the extent a response is required, Anthropic denies the allegations of this paragraph.		
19	73. The allegations in this paragraph contain legal conclusions to which no response is required.		
20	To the extent a response is required, Anthropic denies the allegations of this paragraph.		
21	74. The allegations in this paragraph contain legal conclusions to which no response is required.		
22	To the extent a response is required, Anthropic denies the allegations of this paragraph.		
23			
24			

2

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

3 4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13 14

16

17

15

18

20

19

21 22

23

24 25

- 1. In response to the Prayer for Relief, Anthropic denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to the requested relief, or to any relief whatsoever.
- 2. In response to the Prayer for Relief, Anthropic denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to the requested relief, or to any relief whatsoever.
- 3. In response to the Prayer for Relief, Anthropic denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to the requested relief, or to any relief whatsoever.
- 4. In response to the Prayer for Relief, Anthropic denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to the requested relief, or to any relief whatsoever.
- 5. In response to the Prayer for Relief, Anthropic denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to the requested relief, or to any relief whatsoever.

### **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Anthropic demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

# **AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

In further answer to the allegations made by Plaintiffs in the Complaint, Anthropic asserts the following affirmative defenses, without assuming the burden of proof on such defenses that would otherwise fall on Plaintiffs. Anthropic reserves the right to supplement or amend these defenses during and after the course of discovery, and does not knowingly or intentionally waive any applicable affirmative defense:

#### FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

#### (Failure to State a Claim)

Plaintiffs' claim fails, in whole or in part, because the Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted and/or to state facts sufficient to constitute a claim for relief against Anthropic.

-9-

1 SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 2 (Fair Use) Plaintiffs' claim for direct copyright infringement fails, in whole or in part, because, to the 3 extent there is any unauthorized copying of any of Plaintiffs' registered copyrighted works, that 4 5 copying constitutes fair use under 17 U.S.C. § 107. 6 **THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE** (Volitional Conduct) 7 Anthropic did not have the volition required to be liable for copyright infringement. 8 9 FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 10 (Copyright Standing) 11 At least Plaintiff Bartz's claim fails, in whole or in part, for lack of legal or beneficial ownership sufficient to establish standing to sue for copyright infringement. 12 13 FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 14 (Works or Elements of Works Not Protectable by Copyright) 15 Plaintiffs' claim fails, in whole or in part, to the extent they claim rights to elements of works or to works which are not protectable under copyright law, such as under the doctrines of scenes à 16 17 faire, merger, the idea/expression dichotomy, or under 17 U.S.C. § 102(b). 18 SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 19 (De Minimis Copying) 20 Plaintiffs' claim for copyright infringement fails, in whole or in part, because it is barred by the doctrine of de minimis copying. 21 22 23 24 25 -10-

1 **SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE** 2 (Lack of Injury) Plaintiffs' claim fails, in whole or in part, because Plaintiffs have not suffered and are not 3 4 likely to suffer any injury or damages as a result of the conduct alleged of Anthropic in the Complaint. 5 **EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE** 6 (Waiver, Estoppel, Laches) 7 Plaintiffs' claim is barred, in whole or in part, by one or more other equitable doctrines, such as waiver, estoppel, and laches. 8 9 **NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE** 10 (Implied/Express License) 11 Plaintiffs' claim fails, in whole or in part, because the complained-of use was authorized by 12 express or implied license. 13 TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Unavailability of Injunctive Relief) 14 15 Plaintiffs' claim fails, in whole or in part, because Plaintiffs are not entitled to injunctive relief, including because any injury to them is not irreparable, Plaintiffs would have an adequate remedy at 16 law, the balance of hardships favors no injunction, and the public interest is best served by no 17 18 injunction. 19 ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 20 (Innocent Infringement) To the extent Plaintiffs establish any act of infringement, that infringement was innocent, 21 22 allowing for the Court to reduce any award of statutory damages to an amount as low as \$200 per 23 work infringed. 17 U.S.C. § 504(c)(2).

-11-

24

25

## TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

2

3

# 2 ||

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

1314

15

16

18

17

19

20

21

2223

24

25

(Unconstitutional Damages)

Plaintiffs seek improper damages in violation of the United States Constitution and other applicable law. Any award of statutory or enhanced damages would constitute an unconstitutional penalty under the circumstances of this case, and would violate the due process and equal protection guarantees, and other substantive and procedural safeguards afforded by the United States Constitution.

### THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Statute of Limitations)

Plaintiffs' remedies are barred at least in part by the applicable statute of limitations.

### RESERVATION OF ADDITIONAL DEFENSES

Anthropic's investigation of the claim and its defenses is continuing. Anthropic has not knowingly or intentionally waived any applicable defenses and reserves the right to assert and rely on other applicable defenses as may become available or apparent during discovery in this matter. Anthropic reserves the right to amend this Answer and/or its affirmative defenses.

# **REQUEST FOR RELIEF**

Therefore, Anthropic respectfully requests that this Court:

- 1. Enter judgment in Anthropic's favor and against Plaintiffs;
- 2. Deny certification of any class;
- 3. Dismiss the claim by Plaintiffs with prejudice;
- 4. Award Anthropic its costs of suit;
- 5. Award Anthropic its attorneys' fees to the extent permitted by law; and
- 6. Grant Anthropic such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

-12-

# Case 3:24-cv-05417-WHA Document 57 Filed 10/21/24 Page 14 of 14

1	Dated: October 21, 2024	Respectfully submitted,
2		By: /s/ Douglas A. Winthrop
3		ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLP
4		Douglas A. Winthrop
5		Joseph Farris Angel Nakamura
6		Jessica Gillotte
7		Estayvaine Bragg
8		LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
9		Joseph R. Wetzel
10		Attorneys for Defendant, ANTHROPIC PBC
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
24   25		
23	ANTHRODIC'S ANSWED TO COMBLAINT	-13-