	Case 3:23-cv-06133-JD Document 43-1	. Filed 02/02/24 Page 1 of 4			
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>HAILYN J. CHEN (State Bar No. 237436) hailyn.chen@mto.com</li> <li>350 South Grand Avenue, Fiftieth Floor Los Angeles, California 90071-3426</li> <li>Telephone: (213) 683-9100</li> <li>Facsimile: (213) 687-3702</li> <li>BRYAN H. HECKENLIVELY (State Bar No. 2' bryan.heckenlively@mto.com</li> <li>MUNGER, TOLLES &amp; OLSON LLP</li> <li>560 Mission Street, Twenty-Seventh Floor San Francisco, California 94105-2907</li> <li>Telephone: (213) 683-9100</li> <li>Facsimile: (213) 687-3702</li> <li>Attorneys for Defendants, THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, et al.</li> </ul>	79140)			
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFO	ORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
12					
13	THE LOUIS D. BRANDEIS CENTER, INC.;	Case No. 3:23-cv-06133-JD			
14	JEWISH AMERICANS FOR FAIRNESS IN EDUCATION (JAFE),	DECLARATION OF BRYAN H.			
15	Plaintiffs,	HECKENLIVELY IN SUPPORT OF THE PARTIES' STIPULATED JOINT DEQUEST FOR OPDED EXTENDING			
16 17	vs.	REQUEST FOR ORDER EXTENDING DEADLINES REGARDING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS			
17	REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA; UNIVERSITY OF	DEFENDANTS MOTION TO DISMISS			
19	CALIFORNIA AT BERKELEY; BERKELEY SCHOOL OF LAW; MICHAEL DRAKE, in				
20	his official capacity as President of the University of California; CAROL T. CHRIST,				
21	in her official capacity as Chancellor of the University of California, Berkeley; BEN				
22	HERMALIN, in his official capacity as Provost of the University of California,				
23	Defendants.				
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
		-1- Case No. 3:23-cv-06133-JD			
		IN SUPPORT OF THE PARTIES' STIPULATED JOINT S REGARDING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS			

I, Bryan H. Heckenlively, declare as follows:

2 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice in the State of California and a Partner at the law 3 firm of Munger, Tolles & Olson, LLP. I am counsel for Defendants in the above-captioned 4 matter.

5 2. I make this Declaration pursuant to Civil L.R. 6-2(a) and in support of the parties' joint 6 stipulated request to extend time in this case, submitted concurrently with this Declaration.

7 3. Civil L.R. 6-2(a) states that when a stipulated request for an order changing time would 8 "extend time frames set in the Local Rules," the stipulated request "must be accompanied by a 9 declaration that: (1) Sets forth with particularity the reasons for the requested enlargement or 10 shortening of time; (2) Discloses all previous time modifications in the case, whether by 11 stipulation or Court order; and (3) Describes the effect the requested time modification would have 12 on the schedule for the case."

13 4. The reason for the requested enlarging of time is that the parties previously stipulated to 14 an extension of time for Defendants to answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiffs' Complaint. 15 (Dkt. 39). In light of that stipulation, the parties jointly agreed at that time that they would 16 stipulate to a briefing schedule regarding Defendants' Motion to Dismiss that was convenient to 17 all parties and would allow Plaintiffs an additional fourteen days to oppose the motion to dismiss. 18 The parties then met and conferred and jointly agreed to allow Plaintiffs a fourteen-day extension 19 for their opposition, and to allow Defendants' a seven-day extension for their reply.

20 5. There have been two previous time modifications in this case. On December 20, 2023, 21 the parties jointly stipulated (Dkt. 36), pursuant to Civil L.R. 6-1, to extend Defendants' deadline 22 to answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiffs' Complaint until January 22, 2024. On January 17, 23 2024, the parties jointly stipulated (Dkt. 39), pursuant to Civil L.R. 6-1, to extend Defendants' deadline to answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiffs' Complaint until February 5, 2024. 24

25 6. The request for enlarging of time would have no effect on the schedule for the case, 26 which has not yet been set.

27

1

28

51354234.2

354234.2	-2-	Case No. 3:23-cv-06133-JD
		HE PARTIES' STIPULATED JOINT FENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS

1	7. David Carroll, counsel for Plaintiffs in this matter, has informed me that he agrees with		
2	the foregoing and concurs in the filing of this Declaration in support of Plaintiffs' and Defendants'		
3	Stipulated Joint Request for Order Extending Time.		
4	I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is		
5	true and correct.		
6			
7	DATED: February 2, 2024	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON I	LP
8		By: /s/ Bryan H. Heckenla	ively
9		BRYAN H. HECKENLIVE Attorney for Defendants	LY
10		Automey for Defendants	
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	51354234.2	-3- KENLIVELY IN SUPPORT OF THE PARTIES	Case No. 3:23-cv-06133-JD
		DEADLINES REGARDING DEFENDANTS'	

	Case 3:23-cv-06133-JD Document 43-1 Filed 02/02/24 Page 4 of 4
1	FILER'S ATTESTATION
2	Pursuant to Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I certify that all persons on whose behalf the filing is
3	submitted concur in this filing's content and have authorized this filing.
4	
5	By: /s/ Bryan H. Heckenlively
6	BRYAN H. HECKENLIVELY Attorney for Defendants
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	51354234.2 -4- Case No. 3:23-cv-06133-JD
	DECLARATION OF BRYAN H. HECKENLIVELY IN SUPPORT OF THE PARTIES' STIPULATED JOINT REQUEST FOR ORDER EXTENDING DEADLINES REGARDING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS