

1 J. Noah Hagey, Esq. (SBN: 262331)  
[hagey@braunhagey.com](mailto:hagey@braunhagey.com)  
 2 Matthew Borden, Esq. (SBN: 214323)  
[borden@braunhagey.com](mailto:borden@braunhagey.com)  
 3 Ronald J. Fisher, Esq. (SBN: 298660)  
[fisher@braunhagey.com](mailto:fisher@braunhagey.com)  
 4 J. Tobias Rowe, Esq. (SBN: 305596)  
[rowe@braunhagey.com](mailto:rowe@braunhagey.com)  
 5 BRAUNHAGEY & BORDEN LLP  
 351 California Street, 10th Floor  
 6 San Francisco, CA 94104  
 Telephone: (415) 599-0210  
 7 Facsimile: (415) 276-1808

8 Jonathan Kortmansky, Esq. (*pro hac vice* forthcoming)  
[kortmansky@braunhagey.com](mailto:kortmansky@braunhagey.com)  
 9 Pratik K. Raj Ghosh, Esq. (*pro hac vice* forthcoming)  
[ghosh@braunhagey.com](mailto:ghosh@braunhagey.com)  
 10 BRAUNHAGEY & BORDEN LLP  
 118 W 22nd Street, 12th Floor  
 11 New York, NY 10011  
 Telephone: (646) 829-9403  
 12 Facsimile: (646) 829-9403

13 *Attorneys for Class Plaintiff Manish Aggarwal*  
*and Others Similarly Situated*

14 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
 15 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
 16 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

18 MANISH AGGARWAL, on behalf of himself  
 and all others similarly situated,

19 Plaintiff,

20 v.

21 COINBASE, INC. and COINBASE GLOBAL,  
 22 INC.,

23 Defendants.

Case No. 3:22-cv-4829

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

1 Representative Class Plaintiff Manish Aggarwal (“Plaintiff”) on behalf of himself, and  
2 those similarly situated, alleges as follows against Coinbase, Inc. and its parent Coinbase Global,  
3 Inc. (collectively, “Coinbase”):

#### 4 **INTRODUCTION**

5 1. This class action seeks to hold Coinbase, the country’s largest cryptocurrency  
6 investment platform, accountable for security failures leading to the repeated theft of ordinary  
7 customer accounts. Coinbase holds billions of dollars in consumer savings, and purports to  
8 safeguard those assets from robbery or theft. The company exacts substantial fees and  
9 commissions for this service and is one of the most highly valued cryptocurrency service providers  
10 in the world. However, Coinbase does a poor job of protecting its user accounts from unlawful  
11 intrusion and thievery. And it does an even worse job of working to mitigate those thefts after they  
12 occur – forcing ordinary consumers to navigate a faceless and impenetrable automated “customer  
13 service” process that leads nowhere. Coinbase is acutely aware of these problems and has paid  
14 large fines to regulators. Yet the problems persist and account holders like Plaintiff continue to be  
15 fleeced by hackers with access to Coinbase’s systems.

16 2. Plaintiff purchased several hundred thousand dollars-worth of Bitcoin and, like any  
17 liquid investment, wanted to keep it safe and be able to access it and conduct further investments  
18 and transactions. Plaintiff opened an account with Coinbase, the largest and most well-known  
19 cryptocurrency institution. Plaintiff’s account was an electronic “wallet” stored on Coinbase’s  
20 allegedly secure servers. He used the account like any other bank or investment holding to make  
21 transactions, withdrawals and additional investments.

22 3. In April 2022, hackers gained access to Plaintiff’s Coinbase account through no  
23 fault of his own and, after locking him out, drained it of more than \$200,000 of his family’s hard-  
24 earned savings. When Plaintiff tried to alert Coinbase, the company routed him through its  
25 automated complaint processing—a recursive loop of impenetrable screens that prevented him  
26 from explaining his situation to any human being and was incapable of redressing the theft of his  
27 savings. Plaintiff now brings this action so that he and countless others like him can be made  
28 whole, and so that others will not suffer similar misfortune.

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

1  
2 4. The Court has subject-matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 because  
3 this action is brought pursuant to the Electronic Funds Transfer Act of 1978, 15 U.S.C. § 1693 *et*  
4 *seq.* The Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff’s state-law claims pursuant to 28  
5 U.S.C. § 1367.

6 5. The Court also has subject-matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)  
7 because the amount of damages suffered by Plaintiff and alleged herein exceeds \$75,000 and  
8 diversity of citizen exists between Plaintiff and Coinbase in that Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of  
9 Connecticut, while Coinbase is a corporate citizen of the States of California and Delaware.

10 6. The Court also has subject-matter jurisdiction over the action pursuant to the Class  
11 Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d), because minimal diversity exists between Class  
12 Members and Coinbase and because the amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000, exclusive of  
13 interests and costs.

14 7. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(d) because Coinbase has its  
15 principal place of business and maintains its executive officers in San Francisco, California and is  
16 registered with the California Secretary of State to do business in California. Venue is also proper  
17 in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) and 15 U.S.C. § 22, because a “substantial part of the  
18 events or omissions” on which the claims are based occurred in this district where Coinbase’s  
19 operations and witnesses are located.

20 **THE PARTIES**

21 8. Plaintiff Manish Aggarwal is a Coinbase customer. He is a citizen of the State of  
22 Connecticut.

23 9. Defendant Coinbase, Inc., is a publicly-traded Delaware corporation with its  
24 headquarters and principal place of business in San Francisco, California. Defendant Coinbase,  
25 Inc. is registered with the Secretary of State of California and has an agent for services of process  
26 in California. Coinbase is the largest cryptocurrency exchange in the United States by trading  
27 volume and claims to have more than 98 million verified users. Defendant Coinbase, Inc. is a  
28 wholly owned subsidiary of Defendant Coinbase Global, Inc.



1 malicious actor to alter ownership information in the database using presently available technology.  
2 The secure ledger, combined with the limited supply of available coins, allows cryptocurrencies to  
3 function as a medium of exchange with which owners can purchase other assets (including,  
4 frequently, other cryptocurrencies).

5 16. Cryptocurrencies were first theorized beginning in the 1980s, but were not adopted  
6 widely until the last fifteen years. The most popular cryptocurrency today, Bitcoin, was launched  
7 in 2009.

8 17. In a short period of time, cryptocurrencies have gone from a novelty asset owned by  
9 a few tech-savvy early adopters to a major asset class. In 2010, in the first reported commercial  
10 transaction using Bitcoin, a buyer reportedly purchased a Papa John's pizza for 10,000 Bitcoin.

11 18. At today's prices, just twelve years later, the dollar value of that pizza would have  
12 been more than \$200 million. Today, each Bitcoin is worth more than \$20,000. The total market  
13 capitalization of Bitcoin alone is more than \$390 billion. And the total market capitalization of all  
14 cryptocurrencies has been estimated at more than \$2 trillion as recently as March 2022.

15 19. The astronomical growth of cryptocurrency as an asset class has been fueled in large  
16 part by ordinary American consumers. Cryptocurrency has gained popularity well beyond the early  
17 circles of technologically and financially sophisticated investors. Today, encouraged by platforms  
18 like Coinbase, ordinary consumers invest their savings and retirement plans in cryptocurrency, in  
19 addition to millions of others who invest in the hope of profiting from the "crypto revolution."

20 **B. The Role of Coinbase**

21 20. To trade in cryptocurrencies, consumers rely on a new breed of financial institutions  
22 that act both as banks to hold their assets in a secure location and trading platforms to facilitate  
23 trading in the open market.

24 21. The most prominent and successful of these institutions is Coinbase. Combining the  
25 features of a bank, brokerage, and stock exchange, Coinbase acts as a custodian for customers'  
26 funds, allows customers to trade cryptocurrencies, and operates an exchange where trading occurs.

27 22. Coinbase was founded in 2012 and has quickly grown into the largest and most  
28 profitable institution in the world of cryptocurrency. At its initial public offering in 2021,

1 Coinbase’s estimated value was reportedly \$47 billion. Today, Coinbase claims to have 98 million  
2 customers and handles customer trades totaling more than \$309 billion on a quarterly basis.

3 23. Coinbase’s business model depends on persuading ordinary consumers to begin  
4 trading cryptocurrency. Coinbase’s annual report for 2021 touts its “simple onboarding process  
5 that allows retail users to sign up and quickly purchase their first crypto asset” and claims that  
6 Coinbase is “a primary on-ramp for customers’ journeys into the cryptoeconomy.”

7 24. As part of these efforts, Coinbase also encourages people to invest their retirement  
8 savings in cryptocurrency through web advertisements like “The Crypto Guide to Retirement  
9 Savings (<https://www.coinbase.com/learn/crypto-basics/retirement>) and through its partnership  
10 with 401(k) plan provider ForUsAll.

11 **C. Coinbase Advertises its Platform as Secure**

12 25. Because it understands that public faith in the security of its platform is critical to  
13 the success of its business, Coinbase subjects consumers to a barrage of representations on its  
14 website stating that its cryptocurrency storage is secure, that it offers “best in class storage,” has  
15 “industry-leading security,” that it is the “most trusted crypto exchange,” that it uses “state-of-the-  
16 art encryption,” that “your assets are protected,” and that it offers “multifaceted risk management  
17 programs designed to protect customers’ assets.”

18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

 SECURITY

# The most trusted crypto exchange

98+ million users trust us, and so can you.

[Sign up now](#)



## Industry-leading security

Additional security options on all of your devices provide more ways to keep your crypto safe and secure.



## Your assets are protected

Your crypto is your crypto. It's that simple. Coinbase doesn't use, or lend, your assets without your permission. Also, we offer the most secure and multifaceted risk management programs designed to protect our customers' assets.

[Learn more →](#)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

# The proof is in our platform.

Here's why you can trust us:



## We're the largest public crypto company in the world

In April 2021, Coinbase became the largest publicly traded crypto company in the world. That means we operate with more financial transparency, and make our financial statements available each quarter.

[Learn more →](#)



## We use state-of-the-art encryption and security


The technology that powers our platform was developed with industry-leading security and encryption at its core. Our security team is constantly working to make sure you and your assets are protected from emerging threats.

## Most trusted. Most secure.

Learn why 89M+ people around the world trust us as their entry point into the cryptoeconomy.



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28



**We offer the finest tools to protect your account**

From auto-enrolled 2 factor-authentication (with security key support), password protection, to multi-approval withdrawals in Coinbase Vault, we provide powerful security features to all our users.

26. Coinbase separately represents that each aspect of its services is secure:

**C WALLET**

## Coinbase Wallet

Your key to the world of crypto

- Store all of your crypto and NFTs in one place
- Support for hundreds of thousands of tokens and a whole world of dapps
- Explore the decentralized web on your phone or browser
- Protect your digital assets with industry-leading security

[Download Coinbase Wallet](#)

## About Coinbase

We are building the cryptoeconomy – a more fair, accessible, efficient, and transparent financial system enabled by crypto.


We started in 2012 with the radical idea that anyone, anywhere, should be able to easily and securely send and receive Bitcoin. Today, we offer a trusted and easy-to-use platform for accessing the broader cryptoeconomy.

**C TRADE**

## Buy, sell, and store hundreds of cryptocurrencies

From Bitcoin to Dogecoin, we make it easy to buy and sell cryptocurrency. Protect your crypto with best in class cold storage.


[Sign up now](#)




## Store all of your NFTs

Safely store and easily view all of your Ethereum and Polygon NFTs right in your Wallet.

1 31. Indeed, the front page of Coinbase’s website explains that the purpose of the  
 2 platform is to provide a place to “securely buy, sell, and manage hundreds of cryptocurrencies”:



3 4 5 6 7 8 9  
 10 32. Coinbase’s representations are not limited to its own website. Coinbase’s search  
 11 engine marketing similarly represents that Coinbase is a secure location to store cryptocurrency.  
 12 Below is Coinbase’s search engine listing on Google:



13 14 15  
 16 33. Similarly, Coinbase’s social media advertising claims that Coinbase is the “safest  
 17 and most secure crypto experience” on the market:





1 [https://s27.q4cdn.com/397450999/files/doc\\_financials/2022/q1/Coinbase-Q1'22-Earnings-Call-](https://s27.q4cdn.com/397450999/files/doc_financials/2022/q1/Coinbase-Q1'22-Earnings-Call-Transcript.pdf)  
2 [Transcript.pdf](https://s27.q4cdn.com/397450999/files/doc_financials/2022/q1/Coinbase-Q1'22-Earnings-Call-Transcript.pdf)). Mr. Armstrong went on to state:

3           It comes down to cybersecurity – we’re storing more crypto securely  
4           for our customers. And so whenever people are coming into a new  
5           industry, they generally want to go with the one that it’s been around  
6           the longest, it’s trusted by the most people, it has the most number of  
7           users. Coinbase is really the only crypto company that’s public in this  
8           environment. And we’re storing such a large amount of crypto that I  
9           think that’s a defensible moat *because basically when people trust us,*  
10           *they store crypto with us.*

11 (*Id.* (emphasis added).)

12           38.     Coinbase is also well aware of the danger of hacking. In describing risks that  
13           potentially threaten its business in its 2021 Form 10-K, Coinbase stated: “cyberattacks and security  
14           breaches of our platform, or those impacting our customers or third parties, could adversely impact  
15           our brand and reputation and our business, operating results, and financial condition.” Coinbase  
16           Global, Inc. 2021 Annual Report (Form 10-K) at 26 (filed Feb. 24, 2022) (available at  
17           [https://www.sec.gov/ix?doc=/Archives/edgar/data/0001679788/000167978822000031/coin-](https://www.sec.gov/ix?doc=/Archives/edgar/data/0001679788/000167978822000031/coin-20211231.htm)  
18           [20211231.htm](https://www.sec.gov/ix?doc=/Archives/edgar/data/0001679788/000167978822000031/coin-20211231.htm)).

19           39.     As Coinbase itself acknowledges, both in filings to the SEC as well as statements  
20           made to its investors, these representations regarding security are material to consumers and  
21           essential for Coinbase to maintain a competitive edge in the cryptocurrency industry. Gaining the  
22           trust of consumers by marketing its platform as the “most secure” is a critical element of  
23           Coinbase’s brand and competitive strategy.

#### 24           **F.     The 2021 Coinbase Security Failure**

25           40.     Unfortunately, Coinbase’s representations regarding the security of its platform have  
26           proven untrue. Despite claiming to be “the only crypto exchange to have never been hacked,”  
27           Coinbase has been hacked and had customer funds stolen in multiple instances within the last two  
28           years.

          41.     Between March and May 20, 2021, attackers gained access to Coinbase customer  
          accounts and stole customers’ funds by transferring them off the Coinbase platform. The breach  
          affected more than 6,000 consumers.

1           42.     Coinbase acknowledged the 2021 security failure in a filing with the California  
2 Attorney General made pursuant to California’s data breach statute, Cal. Civ. Code § 1798.82(a).<sup>1</sup>

3           43.     Prior to Coinbase’s 2021 security failure, Coinbase used a two-factor identification  
4 security protocol using SMS (text messages). Users would access the Coinbase app or website and  
5 would be asked to create a password meeting certain requirements. During this process, Coinbase  
6 would require the user to provide a cellphone number. Coinbase would text a one-time  
7 authentication code that the user would have to input to create the password for their Coinbase  
8 account. Once the user input the code texted by Coinbase, Coinbase would then recognize the  
9 device and user’s password as secure. The same process applied for changing passwords: the user  
10 would have to input their old password and a new one-time authentication code texted by Coinbase.  
11 By Coinbase’s own admission, the attackers in the 2021 hack “took advantage of a flaw in  
12 Coinbase’s SMS Account Recovery process.”

13           44.     Coinbase acknowledged it was aware that a security vulnerability in its platform  
14 allowed hackers to access its customers full name, email address, home address, date of birth, IP  
15 addresses for account activity, transaction history, account holdings, and account balance.

16           45.     Coinbase further admitted that it was aware that a security vulnerability in its  
17 platform allowed hackers to access its customers’ accounts and change the email, phone number, or  
18 any other information associated with their accounts.

19           46.     Following the security breach, Coinbase claimed that it had “updated our SMS  
20 Account Recovery protocols to prevent any further bypassing of that authentication process.”

21           47.     Coinbase also announced that it had refunded customers for the cryptocurrency they  
22 had lost from their accounts as a result of the 2021 hack.

23  
24  
25  
26  
27

28 <sup>1</sup> Coinbase, Inc. March 17, 2021 Data Breach Sample Notice (available at <https://oag.ca.gov/system/files/09-24-2021%20Customer%20Notification.pdf>).

**G. Coinbase Fails to Render Its Accounts Secure, and Plaintiff's Money Is Stolen**

1  
2 48. Whatever security updates Coinbase may have made following the 2021 security  
3 failure have been insufficient to prevent customers from continuing to have funds stolen by hackers  
4 in the year since the 2021 incident.

5 49. One measure Coinbase took was to encourage customers to use an outsourced  
6 security program called Google Authenticator. Unlike text message-based authentication, Google  
7 Authenticator relies on a software application installed on a user's smartphone to deliver the  
8 authentication code, bypassing vulnerabilities in text message technology. This measure did not  
9 render Coinbase's platform secure because it is Coinbase's own security that is lacking.

10 50. Before using Coinbase, Plaintiff visited the website and read and relied on  
11 Coinbase's representations about its security. Like all users of Coinbase, Plaintiff had to go to the  
12 Coinbase website because it provided him the instructions needed to set up his account. He  
13 followed those instructions and relied on Coinbase's representations regarding the security of its  
14 systems. Plaintiff is one of the Coinbase customers who implemented Google Authenticator at  
15 Coinbase's urging.

16 51. Hackers nonetheless bypassed Coinbase's security and drained Plaintiff's account.

17 52. Between February 2021 and April 2022, Plaintiff accumulated an investment in  
18 Bitcoin totaling approximately \$200,000 in value, which he stored in his Coinbase wallet.


19 53. On April 20, 2022, Plaintiff began having trouble logging into his account. He  
20 attempted to reset his password through Coinbase's password reset system to no avail. Relying on  
21 Coinbase's representations that its platform was secure, he believed the issue would be resolved  
22 soon.

23 54. On April 24, 2022, Plaintiff received a call purporting to be from Coinbase  
24 acknowledging that he had had difficulty accessing his account and suggesting that he try resetting  
25 his password. Later that day, Plaintiff again attempted to reset his password using Coinbase's  
26 system, but was unsuccessful.

27 55. The same day, on April 24, 2022, all of Plaintiff's cryptocurrency was rapidly  
28 transferred to an external account. Within a span of just 49 minutes, Plaintiff's account was

1 drained without his authorization through more than 6,000 small transfers of cryptocurrency  
2 totaling approximately \$190,000 in value.

3 56. Unaware that his account had been emptied, Plaintiff tried in vain for days to regain  
4 access to his account. On April 25 and 26, 2022, Plaintiff called a Coinbase support line. The  
5 system refused to connect him with a human being; instead, he received a recorded message stating  
6 that his phone number was not associated with his account. That was inaccurate because Plaintiff  
7 was using the same telephone number he had registered with his account. It was also contrary to  
8 Coinbase's representations on its website that users would be able to get help by phone from  
9 Coinbase's team:



10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21 57. On April 27, 2022, Plaintiff attempted to reach Coinbase through its online support  
22 link. He again could not speak with a human being; instead, he received an email stating that his  
23 email address was not associated with his Coinbase account. That was inaccurate because Plaintiff  
24 was using the same email address he had registered with his account.

25 58. Finally, Plaintiff was able to make contact with individuals at Coinbase. On April  
26 28, 2022, Coinbase finally put a hold on Plaintiff's account while it investigated the issue. By that  
27 time, it was too late to stop the fraudulent transfers.  
28

1           59.     Coinbase refused to repay Plaintiff. Nor did it remedy its internal security problems  
2 that led to Plaintiff’s losses.

3           **H.     The Security Breaches as to Plaintiff’s Accounts Are Endemic to Coinbase’s**  
4           **System**

5           60.     After several frustrating days of being told that he did not have an account with  
6 Coinbase, Coinbase finally informed Plaintiff that his account had been emptied. Coinbase also  
7 admitted to Plaintiff that whoever accessed the account had obtained the correct Google  
8 Authenticator code to log into the account. This detail is important because Plaintiff logged into  
9 Coinbase through his phone, and his phone never left his control during the attack.

10          61.     Plaintiff is not aware of any viruses, worms, or other malware such that the security  
11 breach occurred on his end.

12          62.     Upon information and belief, Plaintiff was not victim to any third-party phishing  
13 attack or sim swap attack whereby hackers obtained his Coinbase login information or Google  
14 Authenticator security key.

15          63.     In light of these facts, the only explanation for how Plaintiff’s account was emptied  
16 is that a third party—either a hacker or Coinbase employee—was able to see Plaintiff’s Google  
17 Authenticator Code on Coinbase’s system because Coinbase did not take sufficient care to prevent  
18 access to that information.

19           **I.     Coinbase Refuses to Make Plaintiff Whole**

20          64.     Coinbase has refused to restore the value of Plaintiff’s account, unlike its restoration  
21 of funds to users in the 2021 security failure.

22          65.     As a result of Plaintiff’s experience, it is clear that security issues continue to plague  
23 Coinbase’s security procedures and that customers remain vulnerable to theft of their savings.

24          66.     It is also clear, both from Plaintiff’s experience and from the 2021 security collapse,  
25 that Coinbase’s marketing claims to be a “secure” platform that has “never [] been hacked” are  
26 false and misleading. Coinbase knew that its claims to be a “secure” platform that had “never []  
27 been hacked” were false and misleading but made those statements to induce Plaintiff and the Class  
28 to do business with Coinbase for Coinbase’s pecuniary gain.



1           67.     Coinbase’s intransigence in refusing to refund Plaintiff’s losses may be explained by  
2 the unlawful disclaimer of liability in its User Agreement. Despite its representations about  
3 security and that it maintains insurance to protect user accounts, buried in the middle of a user  
4 agreement totaling more than 45,000 words on the Coinbase website (in Section 8.2), the following  
5 provision purports to exculpate Coinbase for all liability for its own negligence:

6           In no event shall Coinbase, its affiliates and service providers, or any  
7 of their respective officers, directors, agents, joint venturers,  
8 employees or representatives, be liable (i) for any amount greater than  
9 the value of the supported digital assets associated with your digital  
10 asset wallet at the time of the event or circumstance giving rise to your  
11 claim or (ii) for any lost profits, loss of goodwill or reputation, loss of  
12 data, diminution in value or business opportunity, any loss, damage,  
13 corruption or breach of data or any other intangible property or any  
14 special, incidental, indirect, intangible, or consequential damages,  
15 whether based in contract, tort, negligence, strict liability, or  
otherwise, arising out of or in connection with any use of the Coinbase  
site or the Coinbase services, or this agreement, even if Coinbase has  
been advised of or knew or should have known of the possibility of  
such damages, and notwithstanding the failure of any agreed or other  
remedy of its essential purpose, except to the extent of a final judicial  
determination that such damages were a result of Coinbase’s gross  
negligence, fraud, willful misconduct or intentional violation of law.

16 (User Agreement Section 8.2.)

17           68.     This provision is unconscionable and unenforceable. It is also illegal under  
18 California public policy prohibiting exculpation for negligence in contracts that affect the public  
19 interest, including contracts for bailment and banking relationships.

20           **J.     Coinbase’s Security Failures Continue**

21           69.     Upon information and belief, consumers other than Plaintiff have suffered and  
22 continue to suffer security breaches and losses of funds similar to those experienced by Plaintiff.  
23 For example, on July 26, 2022, Mostafa El Bermawy reported that his Coinbase account had been  
24 drained of funds despite being protected by two-factor authentication, like Plaintiff’s. Mr. El  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 Bermawy further explained that, as with Plaintiff, Coinbase offered him little help. According to  
2 Mr. El Bermawy, as with Plaintiff, Coinbase continues to refuse to make him whole for his losses  
3 or provide significant information:  
4  
5



1           70. Other consumers have similarly complained that cryptocurrency was stolen from  
2 their Coinbase accounts despite them taking the precautions that Coinbase recommends:



18           71. Users on internet forums such as Reddit have also recently reported similar  
19 experiences losing funds on Coinbase due to security compromises, despite use of Coinbase’s  
20 recommended two-factor authentication.

21           72. One Reddit user, posting to the /r/Coinbase community on Reddit, complained that  
22 “[m]y Coinbase account with Google authenticator enabled was just hacked.”  
23 [https://www.reddit.com/r/CoinBase/comments/99rbqd/my\\_coinbase\\_account\\_with\\_google\\_authent](https://www.reddit.com/r/CoinBase/comments/99rbqd/my_coinbase_account_with_google_authenticator/)  
24 [icator/](https://www.reddit.com/r/CoinBase/comments/99rbqd/my_coinbase_account_with_google_authenticator/) (captured August 18, 2022).

25           73. In April 2022, another Reddit user similarly complained on the /r/Coinbase  
26 community on Reddit that despite using Google Authenticator, “[s]omeone was able to access my  
27 account without my authorization” which resulted in the user losing \$10,000 worth of Bitcoin.

28

1 [https://www.reddit.com/r/CoinBase/comments/tz88mt/hacked\\_coinbase\\_account\\_lost\\_10k/](https://www.reddit.com/r/CoinBase/comments/tz88mt/hacked_coinbase_account_lost_10k/)  
2 (captured August 18, 2022).

3 74. Coinbase's conduct alleged herein was fraudulent, oppressive, malicious and done  
4 with conscious disregard of Plaintiff's and the Class's rights. As a result of Coinbase's fraudulent,  
5 oppressive, and malicious conduct, Plaintiff and the Class have suffered cruel and unjust hardship  
6 in violation of their rights.

### 7 **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

8 75. This action is brought and may properly proceed as a class action pursuant to the  
9 provisions of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.

10 76. Plaintiff seeks certification of a Class which is composed of and defined as follows:

11 All current and former Coinbase users and/or consumers in the United  
12 States who registered for a Coinbase account from April 1, 2021  
13 through such time as Class notice is given, who maintained funds  
14 and/or cryptocurrency in their Coinbase accounts, and who were  
subsequently deprived of access to, or lost their funds and/or  
cryptocurrency.

15 77. Excluded from the Class are Defendants' officers and directors, current or former  
16 Coinbase employees, as well as their immediate family members, as well as any judge, justice, or  
17 judicial officer presiding over this matter and members of their immediate families and staff.

18 78. **Numerosity:** The number of Class members is so large that the joinder of all its  
19 members is impracticable. The exact number of Class members can be determined from  
20 information in the possession and control of the Defendant, but based on publicly available  
21 information, it appears that the number of class members is likely in the thousands.

22 79. **Typicality:** Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class.  
23 Defendants failed to secure the accounts of customers, and thereby injured all members of the Class  
24 in substantially identical fashion.

25 80. **Adequacy:** Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class.  
26 Plaintiff's interests are not antagonistic to or in conflict with the interests they seek to represent as  
27 Class representatives.  
28

1 81. Plaintiff’s counsel is experienced in prosecuting class actions, is committed to  
2 protecting consumers, and intends to prosecute this action vigorously.

3 82. **Common Violations:** Defendants have acted or refused to act on grounds that apply  
4 generally to the class, including by failing to secure consumer accounts, and making inaccurate  
5 representations about their products to the public, and failing to provide meaningful customer  
6 service related to security breaches such that final injunctive relief is appropriate respecting the  
7 class as a whole.

8 83. **Existence of Predominance of Common Questions of Fact and Law:** Numerous  
9 common issues of law and fact exist and predominate over questions affecting only individual  
10 members. These issues include, without limitation:

- 11 a. Whether the requirements regarding transfers of electronic funds in the  
12 custodial accounts of consumers in the Electronic Funds Transfer Act, 15  
13 U.S.C. § 1693 *et seq.* (“EFTA”) apply to Coinbase;
- 14 b. Whether Defendants violated the EFTA;
- 15 c. Whether Regulation E, 12 C.F.R. § 1005.11, applies to Coinbase;
- 16 d. Whether Defendants violated Regulation E;
- 17 e. The nature, extent and mechanics of the customer service processes  
18 Coinbase makes available for consumers to address security breaches;
- 19 f. The sufficiency of the customer service processes that Coinbase makes  
20 available for consumers to address security breaches;
- 21 g. The nature, extent and mechanics of the security measures that Coinbase  
22 takes with regard to the accounts maintained on its system;
- 23 h. Whether Defendants were, or should have been, aware of potential  
24 vulnerabilities in their security;
- 25 i. The nature, scope and extent of the obligation that Coinbase owes to  
26 depositors and users of their system;
- 27 j. Whether Defendants failed to properly secure customers’ Coinbase accounts,  
28 funds, and cryptocurrency;

- 1 k. Whether Defendants owed a duty to safely care for and maintain the
- 2 cryptocurrency in the wallets of class members;
- 3 l. Whether Defendants violated the duties of care that they owed to Plaintiff
- 4 and the Class;
- 5 m. Whether Defendants' statements that the Coinbase system was secure were
- 6 materially false and misleading;
- 7 n. Whether Section 8.2 of the Coinbase user agreement is illegal,
- 8 unconscionable or otherwise unenforceable;
- 9 o. Whether consumers were harmed by Defendants' security breaches;
- 10 p. Whether Defendants should have to repay the monies lost by the Class;
- 11 q. Whether Defendants should be required to make restitution to the Class;
- 12 r. Whether Defendants should be required to pay damages to the Class; and
- 13 s. Whether exemplary damages should be assessed against Coinbase.

14 84. These and other questions of law and fact are common to the Class and predominate  
15 over any questions affecting the Class members individually.

16 85. Absent certification of a class, the equitable relief sought by Plaintiff will create the  
17 possibility of inconsistent judgments and/or obligations among Defendants.

18 86. **Superiority:** A class action is also superior to other available methods for the fair  
19 and efficient adjudication of this controversy. Requiring Class members to pursue their claims  
20 individually would invite a host of separate suits, with concomitant duplication of costs, attorneys'  
21 fees, and demands on judicial resources. Furthermore, as the damages suffered by the individual  
22 members of the Class may be relatively small, the expense and burden of individual litigation make  
23 it impracticable for the members of the Class individually to seek redress of the wrongs perpetrated  
24 by Defendants. Plaintiff knows of no difficulty that could be encountered in the management of  
25 this litigation that would preclude its maintenance as a class action.

26  
27  
28

**CAUSES OF ACTION**

**First Cause of Action**  
**Against All Defendants**

**Violation of the Electronic Funds Transfer Act (“EFTA”)**  
**(15 U.S.C. § 1693 *et seq.*)**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 87. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations of the Paragraphs above as if fully set  
6 forth herein.

7 88. Defendants are “financial institutions” as defined by the EFTA because they are  
8 persons who, directly or indirectly, hold an account belonging to a consumer.

9 89. Plaintiff and the Class are “consumers” as defined by the EFTA because they are  
10 natural persons.

11 90. The Coinbase accounts owned by Plaintiff and the Class are “accounts” as defined  
12 by the EFTA because they are asset accounts established primarily for personal, family, or  
13 household purposes.

14 91. The unauthorized transfers from Plaintiff and the Class’s accounts were  
15 “unauthorized electronic fund transfers” as defined by the EFTA because they were electronic fund  
16 transfers from a consumer’s account initiated by a person other than the consumer without actual  
17 authority to initiate such transfer and from which the consumer receives no benefit.

18 92. Plaintiff and the Class provided timely actual and/or constructive notice to  
19 Defendants of the unauthorized electronic transfers from their accounts.

20 93. Defendants failed to timely investigate the unauthorized electronic transfers from  
21 Plaintiff and the Class’s accounts as required by 15 U.S.C. § 1693f(a)(3) and 15 U.S.C. § 1693f(d).

22 94. Defendants failed to timely correct the unauthorized transfers from Plaintiff and the  
23 Class’s accounts by timely crediting their accounts, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1693f(b)-(c).

24 95. Further, because Coinbase never provided disclosures to Plaintiff and the Class that  
25 were compliant with 12 C.F.R. § 1005.7(b), Plaintiff and the Class have no liability for the  
26 unauthorized electronic fund transfers under 15 U.S.C. § 1693g and/or 12 C.F.R. § 1005.6.

27 96. Coinbase lacked any reasonable basis to believe that the unauthorized transfers from  
28 Plaintiff’s and the Class’s accounts were not in error, but nonetheless wrongfully, knowingly, and

1 willfully concluded that the unauthorized transfers were not in error in violation of 15 U.S. C. §  
2 1693f(e).

3 97. As a result of Coinbase’s failures to comply with the statute, Plaintiff and the Class  
4 were injured as alleged above.

5 98. Plaintiff and the Class are therefore entitled to actual, statutory, and treble damages,  
6 costs, and attorneys’ fees.

7 **Second Cause of Action**  
8 **Against All Defendants**  
9 **Violation of the EFTA and Regulation E Customer Service Provisions**  
10 **(15 U.S.C. § 1693f(f)(6); 12 C.F.R. § 1005.11(a)(7))**

11 99. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations of the Paragraphs above as if fully set  
12 forth herein.

13 100. The EFTA, 15 U.S.C. § 1693f(f)(6) and Regulation E, 12 C.F.R. § 1005.11(a)(7) 4  
14 require financial institutions to address “a consumer’s request for additional information or  
15 clarification concerning an electronic fund transfer.”

16 101. Defendants violated the EFTA and Regulation E by failing to timely provide  
17 information or clarification concerning an electronic fund transfer, including requests Plaintiff and  
18 the Class made to determine whether there were unauthorized electronic transfers from their  
19 accounts. See 15 U.S.C. § 1693f(f)(6); 12 C.F.R. § 1005.11(vii).

20 102. Accordingly, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff and the Class for statutory damages,  
21 attorneys’ fees, and costs for this claim pursuant to 15 U.S.C § 1693m.

22 **Third Cause of Action**  
23 **Against All Defendants**  
24 **Violation of the EFTA and Regulation E Disclosure Provisions**  
25 **(15 U.S.C § 1693c, 12 C.F.R. § 1005.7)**

26 103. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations of the Paragraphs above as if fully set  
27 forth herein.

28 104. 15 U.S.C § 1693c and Regulation E, 12 C.F.R. § 1005.7, require financial  
institutions to make the disclosures set forth in § 1005.7(b) before the first electronic fund transfer  
is made involving a consumer’s account.



1           105. Defendants violated Regulation E by failing to provide adequate initial disclosures  
2 to Plaintiff and the Class including, as applicable: (1) a summary of Plaintiff's and the Class's  
3 liability, under 12 CFR § 1005.6 or under state or other applicable law or agreement, for  
4 unauthorized electronic fund transfers; (2) the telephone number and address of the person or office  
5 to be notified when Plaintiff or a member of the Class believes that an unauthorized electronic fund  
6 transfer has been or may be made; (3) Defendants' business days; (4) the type of electronic fund  
7 transfers that Plaintiff and the Class may make and any limitations on the frequency and dollar  
8 amount of transfers; (5) any fees imposed by Defendants for electronic fund transfers or for the  
9 right to make transfers; (6) a summary of Plaintiff's and the Class's right to receipts and periodic  
10 statements, as provided in 12 CFR § 1005.9 of this part, and notices regarding preauthorized  
11 transfers as provided in 12 CFR § 1005.10(a) and (d); (7) a summary of Plaintiff and the Class  
12 Members' right to stop payment of a preauthorized electronic fund transfer and the procedure for  
13 placing a stop payment order, as provided in 12 CFR § 1005.10(c); (8) a summary of Defendants'  
14 liability to Plaintiff and Class Members under section 910 of the Act for failure to make or to stop  
15 certain transfers; (9) the circumstances under which, in the ordinary course of business, Defendants  
16 may provide information concerning Plaintiff's and the Class's account to third parties; (10) a  
17 notice that is substantially similar to Model Form A-3 as set out in appendix A of 12 CFR 1005.1,  
18 et seq., concerning error resolution; and (11) a notice that a fee may be imposed by an automated  
19 teller machine operator as defined in 12 CFR § 1005.16(a), when Plaintiff or a Class Member  
20 initiates an electronic fund transfer or makes a balance inquiry, and by any network used to  
21 complete the transaction.

22           106. As a result of Defendants' violation of the notice requirements of 15 U.S.C. § 1693c  
23 and Regulation E, Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to statutory damages, attorneys' fees,  
24 and costs.

**Fourth Cause of Action**  
**Against All Defendants**  
**Violation of California Uniform Commercial Code Division 8**  
**(Cal. Com. Code § 8507(b))**

107. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations of the Paragraphs above as if fully set forth herein.

108. In the Coinbase User Agreement, Defendants characterize Coinbase, Inc. as a “securities intermediary” and characterize Coinbase wallets as “financial assets” subject to Division 8 of the California Uniform Commercial Code (“UCC”).

109. Under UCC § 8102(a)(7), the owner of assets held in an account with a securities intermediary is an “entitlement holder.”

110. If Defendants’ characterization of the relationship is correct, at the time of the unauthorized transfers alleged herein, Plaintiff and Class were “entitlement holders” with respect to the assets held in Plaintiff’s and Class’s Coinbase accounts.

111. Under UCC § 8102(a)(8), an order for a securities intermediary to transfer assets is an “entitlement order.”

112. Under UCC § 8107(b), an entitlement order is only “effective” if it is made by the entitlement holder or an authorized agent or ratified by the entitlement holder.

113. The orders for the unauthorized transfers from Plaintiff’s and Class’s Coinbase accounts alleged herein were ineffective because they were not made Plaintiff or by Plaintiff’s authorized representatives, nor were they ratified by Plaintiff.

114. Defendants completed the unauthorized transfers alleged herein despite the fact that they were made pursuant to ineffective entitlement orders.

115. Pursuant to UCC § 8507(b), Defendants are obligated to credit Plaintiff and Class’s accounts to correct the unauthorized transfers and to pay or credit any payments or distributions that Plaintiff and Class did not receive as a result of the wrongful transfers, in addition to liability for damages.

1 116. As a direct and foreseeable consequence of Defendants’ transfer of Plaintiff’s and  
2 Class’s assets pursuant to invalid entitlement orders and Defendants’ failure to credit Plaintiff and  
3 Class’s accounts to correct the unauthorized transfers, Plaintiff and Class were injured.

4 **Fifth Cause of Action**  
5 **Against All Defendants**  
6 **Bailment**

7 **(California Common Law and Civil Code §§ 1813, et seq.)**

8 117. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations of the Paragraphs above as if fully set  
9 forth herein.

10 118. Plaintiff and the Class deposited cryptocurrencies and other funds with Defendants  
11 in order to use, store, keep, sell, trade, or exchange cryptocurrencies.

12 119. Plaintiff and the Class provided consideration for the cryptocurrencies and funds  
13 deposited with Defendants in the form of fees and commissions.

14 120. Defendants, as bailees of Plaintiff and the Class’s personal property, had an  
15 obligation to return or account for the deposited personal property upon demand.

16 121. By their own acts or omissions, Defendants caused the personal property deposited  
17 by Plaintiff and the Class to be lost.

18 122. Defendants have refused to return the personal property deposited by Plaintiff and  
19 the Class.

20 123. Defendants have refused to provide complete disclosure to Plaintiff and the Class  
21 regarding the circumstances under which the loss of property occurred, as a result of which  
22 Defendants are “presumed to have willfully, or by gross negligence, permitted the loss or injury to  
23 occur” pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1838.

24 124. As a result of Defendants’ failure to uphold their obligations as bailees, Plaintiff and  
25 the Class have been injured because they are deprived of their personal property.

26 **Sixth Cause of Action**  
27 **Against All Defendants**  
28 **Breach of Contract**

125. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations of the Paragraphs above as if fully set  
forth herein.

1 126. Plaintiff and the Class deposited cryptocurrencies and other funds with Defendants  
2 in order to use, store, keep, sell, trade, or exchange cryptocurrencies pursuant to the Coinbase User  
3 Agreement.

4 127. Plaintiff and the Class provided consideration for the cryptocurrencies and funds  
5 deposited with Defendants in the form of fees and commissions.

6 128. In the User Agreement, Defendants agreed to hold Plaintiff's and the Class's assets  
7 on behalf of Plaintiff and the Class, to treat the assets as the property of Plaintiff and the Class, to  
8 follow Plaintiff and the Class's instructions with respect to disposition of the assets, and to return  
9 the assets to Plaintiff and the Class upon demand.

10 129. Through the conduct alleged above, Defendants breached the User Agreement,  
11 including by causing Plaintiff's and the Class's property to be lost, transferring the property to third  
12 parties without Plaintiff's and the Class's consent, failing to follow Plaintiff's and the Class's  
13 instructions with respect to the disposition of the property, and failing to return the property to  
14 Plaintiff and the Class upon demand.

15 130. As a result of Defendants' breach of the User Agreement, Plaintiff and the Class  
16 have been injured.

17 **Seventh Cause of Action**  
18 **Against All Defendants**

19 **Breach of Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing**

20 131. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations of the Paragraphs above as if fully set  
21 forth herein.

22 132. The Coinbase User Agreement contains an implied covenant of good faith and fair  
23 dealing.

24 133. Defendants violated the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing through the  
25 conduct alleged above, including by (a) causing Plaintiff's and the Class's property to be lost, (b)  
26 transferring the property to third parties without Plaintiff's and the Class's consent, (c) failing to  
27 follow Plaintiff's and the Class's instructions with respect to the disposition of the property, (d)  
28 failing to return the property to Plaintiff and the Class upon demand, (e) failing to implement  
proper security procedures to safeguard Plaintiff and the Class's property, (f) failing to respond to

1 requests for information from Plaintiff and the Class, and (g) failing to comply with the EFTA and  
2 UCC with respect to Plaintiff's and the Class's accounts.

3 134. As a result of Defendants' breach of the User Agreement, Plaintiff and the Class  
4 have been injured.

5 **Eighth Cause of Action**  
6 **Against All Defendants**  
7 **Negligence**

8 135. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations of the Paragraphs above as if fully set  
9 forth herein.

10 136. Defendants owed a duty of reasonable care toward Plaintiff and the Class based  
11 upon Defendants' relationship to them.

12 137. Defendants breached this duty by negligently, carelessly, and recklessly collecting,  
13 maintaining, and controlling its customers' funds, cryptocurrency, and failing to protect them from  
14 exposure to unauthorized third parties.

15 138. As a direct and foreseeable consequence of Defendants' breaches of their duty of  
16 care, Plaintiff and the Class were injured.

17 **Ninth Cause of Action**  
18 **Against All Defendants**  
19 **Violation of Consumer Legal Remedies Act ("CLRA")**  
20 **(Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1750, et seq.)**

21 139. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations of the Paragraphs above as if fully set  
22 forth herein.

23 140. At all relevant times, Plaintiff and the Class were "consumers" as under the terms of  
24 the CLRA as individuals seeking or acquiring, by purchase or lease, goods or services for personal,  
25 family, or household purposes.

26 141. Defendants' actions and conduct constituted transactions for the sale or lease of  
27 goods or services to consumers under the terms of the CLRA. The assets involved in the  
28 unauthorized transactions are "goods" and Defendants' financial and online platform services are  
"services" under the CLRA.

1 142. As detailed above, Defendants violated the CLRA by, among other things, making  
2 false statements about their services and including unconscionable and/or unlawful provisions in  
3 their contracts.

4 143. Defendants' misrepresentations were material. Defendants' violations of the CLRA  
5 were a substantial factor in causing Plaintiff and the Class to use Defendants' services.

6 144. As a direct and proximate consequence of the actions as identified above, Plaintiff  
7 and the Class suffered injury.

8 145. Defendants' conduct described herein was malicious, fraudulent, and wanton in that  
9 Defendants intentionally and knowingly provided misleading information to Plaintiff and the Class  
10 and refused to remedy the breach of its system long after learning of the inadequacy of its data  
11 protection measures and the unauthorized use of customers' accounts.

12 **Tenth Cause of Action**  
13 **Against All Defendants**  
14 **Unfair Competition Law ("UCL")**  
**(Violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 *et seq.*)**

15 146. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations of the Paragraphs above as if fully set  
16 forth herein.

17 147. Plaintiff and Defendants are "persons" within the meaning of the UCL. Bus. & Prof.  
18 Code § 17201.

19 148. As alleged above, Defendants have engaged in unlawful, fraudulent and/or unfair  
20 conduct that are harmful and deceiving to consumers within the meaning of the Unfair Competition  
21 Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, *et seq.* ("UCL").

22 149. Plaintiff suffered an injury in fact and lost money or property as a result of  
23 Defendants' violations of the UCL.

24 150. Defendants' conduct is substantially injurious to consumers, offends legislatively-  
25 declared public policy as announced by the violations of the laws alleged, and is immoral,  
26 unethical, oppressive, and unscrupulous. The gravity of Defendants' wrongful conduct outweighs  
27 any alleged benefits attributable to such conduct. There were reasonably available alternatives to  
28

1 further Defendants' legitimate business interests other than engaging in the above-described  
2 wrongful conduct.

3 **Eleventh Cause of Action**  
4 **Against All Defendants**  
5 **Unjust Enrichment**

6 151. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations of the Paragraphs above as if fully set  
7 forth herein.

8 152. Plaintiff and the Class conferred a benefit upon Defendants by depositing funds and  
9 cryptocurrencies into their Coinbase accounts, and paid Defendants fees and commissions for the  
10 maintenance of those funds and cryptocurrencies and for the transactions conducted on the  
11 Coinbase platform.

12 153. As a result of Defendants' actions and omissions alleged herein, Defendants have  
13 been unjustly enriched at the expense of Plaintiff and the Class. Under principles of equity,  
14 Defendants should not be permitted to retain the commissions and transaction fees paid by Plaintiff  
15 and the Class or the assets held within Plaintiff's and the Class's accounts.

16 154. Plaintiff and the Class have no adequate remedy at law.

17 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

18 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, prays  
19 that this Court enter judgment against Defendants and in favor of Plaintiff and the Class,  
20 and issue the following relief:

- 21 a. Injunctive relief;
- 22 b. Declaratory relief;
- 23 c. Compensatory damages;
- 24 d. Statutory damages;
- 25 e. Treble damages;
- 26 f. Restitution;
- 27 g. Disgorgement;
- 28 h. Punitive damages;
- i. Attorneys' fees and costs; and

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

j. All such further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: August 23, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

BRAUNHAGEY & BORDEN LLP

By: /s/ J. Noah Hagey  
J. Noah Hagey

*Attorneys for Class Plaintiff Manish Aggarwal  
and Others Similarly Situated*



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the Class, hereby demands a trial by jury on all causes of action and claims so triable.

Dated: August 23, 2022

BRAUNHAGEY & BORDEN LLP

By: /s/ J. Noah Hagey  
J. Noah Hagey

*Attorneys for Class Plaintiff Manish Aggarwal  
and Others Similarly Situated*