

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

Anthony Foreman, individually and on
behalf of all persons similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Apple, Inc,

Defendant.

Case No. 3:22-cv-03902

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER APPROVING AS
MODIFIED FLSA COLLECTIVE ACTION
SETTLEMENT**

Date: September 14, 2023

Time: 1:30 p.m.

Courtroom: 4, Videoconference only

Judge: Hon. Vince Chhabria

1 On September 14, 2023, a hearing was held on the motion of Plaintiff Anthony Foreman
2 for Approval of FLSA Collective Action Settlement. Daniel M. Hutchinson of Lief, Cabraser,
3 Heimann & Bernstein, LLP, and Charles J. Stiegler of the Stiegler Law Firm appeared for
4 Plaintiffs. Mia Farber and Scott P. Jang of Jackson Lewis P.C appeared for Defendant Apple Inc.

5 Having considered the papers on the motion, the arguments of counsel, and the law, the
6 Court now enters this Settlement Approval Order and FINDS, CONCLUDES, and ORDERS as
7 follows:¹

8 **I. NATURE OF ACTION**

9 Plaintiffs allege that Apple failed to include all statutorily required forms of
10 compensation—including commissions earned by Opt-Ins—in determining the regular rate for
11 purposes of calculating overtime pay. Plaintiffs also allege that Apple failed to pay Opt-Ins for all
12 hours worked, most notably unpaid travel time.

13 Apple denies each of the allegations in the First Amended Complaint and denies that any
14 Plaintiff or Opt-In is entitled to recovery. Apple denies that this action may be properly
15 maintained as a collective action under the FLSA. Both parties have agreed that the litigation
16 risks weigh in favor of settlement.

17 **II. JURISDICTION**

18 This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation and all federal claims
19 raised in this action and/or released in the Settlement, and personal jurisdiction over Apple and all
20 Opt-Ins. Specifically, this Court has federal question jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28
21 U.S.C. § 1331; 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) (the Fair Labor Standards Act or “FLSA”); 28 U.S.C. § 1337
22 (actions arising under Acts of Congress regulating commerce).

23 This Court also has jurisdiction to approve the Settlement’s release of claims by Opt-Ins
24 over which the Court has jurisdiction, even if the Court would not independently have jurisdiction
25 over those released claims. *See Cottle v. Plaid Inc.*, 340 F.R.D. 356, 380 (N.D. Cal. 2021) (the
26 “Ninth Circuit allows federal courts to release not only those claims alleged in the complaint, but

27 _____
28 ¹ Except as otherwise specified herein, the Court for purposes of this Settlement Approval Order adopts all defined terms set forth in the Settlement.

1 also claims based on the identical factual predicate as that underlying the claims in the settled
2 class action”) (internal quotation marks omitted); *Reyn’s Pasta Bella, LLC v. Visa USA, Inc.*, 442
3 F.3d 741, 748 (9th Cir. 2006) (stating that Ninth Circuit courts can release claims beyond those in
4 the complaint, including those “based on the identical factual predicate as that underlying the
5 claims” and “factually related claims against parties not named as defendants”).

6 **III. APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT**

7 The Court has reviewed the terms of the Settlement, including the \$500,000 Settlement
8 amount, the plan of allocation, and the release of claims. The Court has also read and considered
9 Plaintiffs’ Motion for Collective Action Settlement Approval and its supporting memoranda and
10 evidence, including the declarations of Daniel M. Hutchinson, Charles Stiegler and Robert B.
11 Landry in support of Settlement Approval. Based on review of those papers, and the Court’s
12 familiarity with this case, the Court finds and concludes that the Settlement is the result of arms-
13 length negotiations between the Parties, conducted under the supervision of an experienced,
14 independent mediator, after Plaintiffs’ Counsel had adequately investigated Plaintiffs’ and the
15 Opt-Ins’ claims and become familiar with their strengths and weaknesses. The framework used
16 for the settlement process confirms that the Settlement is non-collusive.

17 The Court finds and determines that the payments to be made to the Opt-Ins as provided
18 for in the Settlement are fair and reasonable. The proposed plan of allocation is rationally related
19 to the relative strengths of the respective claims asserted.

20 The Settlement is not a concession or admission, and shall not be used or construed
21 against Apple as an admission or indication with respect to any claim of any fault or omission by
22 Apple.

23 **IV. APPROVAL OF THE NOTICE PROGRAM**

24 Plaintiffs have also submitted for this Court’s approval a proposed Notice of Settlement
25 and Claim Form (submitted as Exhibit A to the Settlement).

26 The Notice is the best notice practicable under the circumstances. The Notice fairly,
27 plainly, accurately, and reasonably informs Opt-Ins of (1) appropriate information about the
28 nature of this action, the identities of the Opt-Ins, the definitions of the relevant job title and time

1 period, the identity of Plaintiffs' Counsel, and the essential terms of the Settlement, including the
2 plan of allocation; (2) appropriate information about the amounts being allocated to Plaintiffs as
3 Service Payments and to Plaintiffs' Counsel's as attorneys' fees and costs; and (3) appropriate
4 instructions as to how to obtain additional information regarding this action and the Settlement.

5 The proposed plan for distributing the Notice likewise is a reasonable method calculated
6 to reach all individuals who would be bound by the Settlement. Under this plan, the Settlement
7 Administrator will distribute the Notice to all Opt-Ins by email and first-class mail to their last
8 known addresses. Opt-Ins will have the option to receive their payment via mailed check or
9 electronic payment. There is no additional method of distribution that would be reasonably likely
10 to notify Opt-Ins who may not receive notice pursuant to the proposed distribution plan. In
11 addition, the Settlement Administrator will take reasonable steps to locate Opt-Ins who do not
12 promptly cash their Settlement Share checks.

13 The Settlement Administrator shall post a copy of this Order and the Settlement
14 Agreement on the website referred to in the Notice.

15 Accordingly, the Court finds and concludes that the proposed plan for distributing the
16 Notice will provide the best notice practicable and satisfies all legal and due process
17 requirements.

18 **V. PLAINTIFFS' AND OPT-INS' RELEASE OF CLAIMS**

19 The Court has reviewed the release in section 3 of the Settlement and finds it to be fair,
20 reasonable, and enforceable under the FLSA and all other applicable law. Plaintiffs and every
21 Opt-In shall, pursuant to the Settlement, be bound by the release of claims as set forth in the
22 Settlement, regardless of whether a Plaintiff or Opt-in cashes or deposits his or her settlement
23 check or opts for electronic payment.

24 **VI. APPOINTMENT OF SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATOR**

25 Simpluris is hereby appointed Settlement Administrator to carry out the duties set forth in
26 this Preliminary Approval Order and the Settlement.

27 **VII. SERVICE PAYMENTS TO THE NAMED PLAINTIFFS**

28 The Court approves the service payments to Plaintiff Foreman and Plaintiff Pflughaupt as

1 set forth under the Settlement. The Court finds and determines that the awards of \$5,000, and
2 \$5,000 to Plaintiffs Foreman and Pflughaupt, respectively, are fair and reasonable. The Plaintiffs
3 have taken significant actions to protect the interests of the Opt-Ins, and the Opt-Ins have
4 benefited considerably from those actions. Furthermore, the Plaintiffs have expended
5 considerable time and effort in pursuing the litigation. Plaintiffs' Counsel attest that Plaintiffs
6 were substantially involved throughout the litigation, educating Plaintiffs' Counsel on Opt-Ins'
7 job duties and Apple's policies and procedures. Plaintiffs provided information regarding their
8 Apple work experiences, which contributed to the Settlement. Plaintiffs produced numerous
9 documents to satisfy Apple's requests. Also, Plaintiffs' depositions were taken by Defendant.

10 **VIII. PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S AWARD OF FEES AND COSTS**

11 The Court finds and determines that the payment of \$200,000 in attorneys' fees (or 40 %
12 of the fund) and \$33,942.30 in litigation costs and expenses, for a total payment of \$233,942.30 to
13 Plaintiffs' Counsel, is fair and reasonable, particularly in light of Plaintiffs' Counsel's reported
14 lodestar of at least \$425,796.00. *See, e.g., Kerzich v. Cnty. of Tuolumne*, 335 F. Supp. 3d 1179,
15 1185 (E.D. Cal. 2018); *Adoma v. Univ. of Phoenix, Inc.*, 913 F. Supp. 2d 964, 981-984 (E.D. Cal.
16 2012); *Andrade v. Arby's Rest. Grp., Inc.*, 225 F. Supp. 3d 1115, 1143-1147 (N.D. Cal. 2016).

17 **IX. ATTORNEY FEE AWARD HOLDBACK**

18 Ten percent (10%) of the attorney fee award will be held back pending the filing of a Post-
19 Distribution Accounting by Plaintiffs' Counsel.

20 The Post-Distribution Accounting should explain in detail when payments were made to
21 collective members, the number of members who were sent payments, the total amount of money
22 paid out to members, the average and median recovery per collective member, the largest and
23 smallest amounts paid to collective members, the number and value of cashed and uncashed
24 checks, the number of members who could not be contacted (if any), any significant or recurring
25 concerns communicated by members to the settlement administrator and counsel since final
26 approval, any other issues in settlement administration since final approval, and how any
27 concerns or issues were resolved.

28 Plaintiffs' Counsel are expected to diligently supervise the administration of the

1 settlement and remain in close contact with the settlement administrator. With the Post-
2 Distribution Accounting, Plaintiffs' Counsel should submit a proposed order releasing the
3 remainder of the fees.

4 **X. ENFORCEMENT OF OBLIGATIONS**

5 Nothing in this Settlement Approval Order will preclude any action to enforce the Parties'
6 obligations under the Settlement or under this order, including the requirement that Apple makes
7 the Settlement Payments in accordance with the terms of the Settlement.

8 **XI. ORDER VACATED IF SETTLEMENT IS REVERSED OR MATERIALLY**
9 **MODIFIED**

10 If, for any reason, the Settlement is reversed or materially modified on appeal (as defined
11 in the Settlement, section X), this Settlement Approval Order will be vacated; the Parties will
12 return to their respective positions in this action as those positions existed immediately before the
13 parties executed the Settlement; and nothing stated in the Settlement or any other papers filed
14 with this Court in connection with the Settlement will be deemed an admission of any kind by any
15 of the Parties or used as evidence against, or over the objection of, any of the Parties for any
16 purpose in this action or in any other action.

17 **XII. FINAL JUDGMENT**

18 By means of this Settlement Approval Order, this Court hereby enters final judgment in
19 this action, as defined in Federal Rule of Procedure 58(a)(1).

20 The Parties are hereby ordered to comply with the terms of the Settlement.

21 This action is dismissed with prejudice, each side to bear its own costs and attorneys' fees
22 except as provided by the Settlement and the Court's orders.

23 **XIII. CONTINUING JURISDICTION**

24 Without affecting the finality of the Court's judgment in any way, the Court retains
25 jurisdiction over this matter for purposes of resolving issues relating to interpretation,
26 administration, implementation, effectuation and enforcement of the Settlement.

27 **XIV. SETTLEMENT APPROVAL**

28 Based on all of these findings and the applicable legal standards, the Court concludes that

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

the proposed Settlement meets the criteria for settlement approval, and Orders the Parties to distribute the payments required under the Settlement.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: ~~September __, 2023~~
October 3, 2023



The Honorable Vince Chhabria
United States District Judge