1	COOLEY LLP			
2	TIANA DEMAS (NY Bar No. 4210472) (Admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)			
3	(tdemas@cooley.com) 110 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 4200			
4	Chicago, Illinois 60606-1511 Telephone: +1 312-881-6500			
5	COOLEY LLP			
6	MICHAEL G. RHODES (116127) (rhodesmg@cooley.com) JOSEPH D. MORNIN (307766) (jmornin@cooley.com)			
7				
8	3 Embarcadero Center, 20th Floor San Francisco, California 94111-4004			
9	Telephone: +1 415 693 2000			
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff GOOGLE LLC			
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
12				
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION			
14	SAN JOSE	DIVISION		
15	COOCIETIC	L G N 5 22 02244 FID		
16	GOOGLE LLC,	Case No. 5:22-cv-02244-EJD		
17	Plaintiff,	[Proposed] Order Granting Google LLC's Motion For Default Judgment		
18	V.	AGAINST DEFENDANT NCHE NOEL NTSE		
19	NCHE NOEL NTSE,			
20	Defendant.			
21				
22	On October 6, 2022, the Court heard oral	l arguments for Plaintiff Google, LLC's motion		
23	for default judgment. See Dkt. No. 30. Defendant did not appear. The Court has reviewed the			
24	Eitel factors and finds that all the factors have been met. Eitel v. McCool, 782 F.2d 1470, 1471–			
25	72 (9th Cir. 1986); see Dkt. No. 26.			
26				
27				
28				
20		[Proposed] Order Granting		
	1	II KULUBULU UKUR CIKANTING		

COOLEY LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR DEFAULT JUDGMENT CASE NO. 5:22-CV-02244-EJD

- 1		
1	The Court orders as follows:	
2	1.	Plaintiff's motion for default judgment is GRANTED.
3	2.	Defendant is liable for breach of contract under California law.
4	3.	Defendant and Defendant's officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys,
5	successors, and assigns, and all other persons who are in active concert with or participation with	
6	any of them or who are affiliated with Defendant are hereby permanently enjoined from the	
7	following:	
8		a. Accessing or attempting to access Google's services;
9		b. Creating or maintaining any Google accounts;
10		c. Engaging in any activity (or facilitating others to engage in any activity) that
11		violates Google's Terms of Service or related policies;
12		d. Continuing to operate the websites listed in Exhibit 5 of Plaintiff's
13		complaint; and
14		e. Creating, operating, or facilitating any fraudulent schemes or websites
15		utilizing Google's services or any other third-party platforms.
16	4.	This Court shall retain jurisdiction for the purpose of making any further orders to
17	construe, modify, or enforce this judgment.	
18	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
19		
20	Dated: Octob	
21		Hon. Edward J. Davila U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		