	Case 5:22-cv-00179-EJD	Document 98	Filed 08/14/23	Page 1 of 25
1	Walter F. Brown (SBN 130248	3)		
2	wbrown@paulweiss.com PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, W	HARTON &		
3	GARRISON LLP 535 Mission Street, 24th Floor			
4	San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (628) 432-5100			
5	Facsimile: (628) 232-3101			
6	Karen L. Dunn (admitted <i>pro h</i> kdunn@paulweiss.com			
7	William A. Isaacson (admitted wisaacson@paulweiss.com	. ,		
8	PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, W GARRISON LLP	HARION &		
9	2001 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006			
10	Telephone: (202) 223-7300 Facsimile: (202) 223-7420			
11	William Michael (admitted <i>pro</i> wmichael@paulweiss.com	hac vice)		
12	Brette Tannenbaum (admitted p btannenbaum@paulweiss.com			
13	PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, W GARRISON LLP			
14	1285 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019			
15	Telephone: (212) 373-3000 Facsimile: (212) 757-3990			
16	Attorneys for Defendant			
17 18	WORLD WRESTLING ENTERTAINMENT, INC.			
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
20	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		DRNIA	
21				
22			Care Na 5-22	2 170 FID
23	MLW MEDIA LLC,		Case No. 5:22	
24	Plaintiff,		WRESTLIN	F DEFENDANT WORLD G ENTERTAINMENT, A INTIFE'S FIDST
25	v. WORLD WRESTLING		AMENDED	AINTIFF'S FIRST COMPLAINT
26	ENTERTAINMENT, INC.,			
27	Defendant.			
28				
		DEF.'S A		
		CASE NO. 5:22	2-cv-179-EJD	

Case 5:22-cv-00179-EJD Document 98 Filed 08/14/23 Page 2 of 25

Π

1	Defendant World Wrestling Entertainment, Inc. ("WWE" or "Defendant") hereby submits	
2	the following answer and affirmative defenses (the "Answer") to Plaintiff MLW Media LLC's	
3	("Plaintiff" or "MLW") First Amended Complaint ("FAC"). The paragraph numbers of WWE's	
4	responses set forth below correspond to the paragraph numbering of the FAC. The section	
5	headings are also reproduced accordingly, but any allegations of such headings are denied unless	
6	specifically admitted. Where not otherwise specified, abbreviations and definitions used below	
7	correspond to abbreviations and definitions used in the FAC. Pursuant to Rule 8(b)(3) of the	
8	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, WWE hereby denies all allegations of the FAC except those	
9	specifically admitted below. WWE's investigation and discovery regarding the facts alleged in	
10	the FAC are ongoing, and WWE reserves the right to amend or supplement this Answer as may	
11	be necessary.	
12	RESPONSES TO SPECIFIC ALLEGATIONS	
13	Preliminary Statement	
14	1. To the extent that Paragraph 1 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is	
15	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 1.	
16	2. To the extent that Paragraph 2 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is	
17	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 2.	
18	3. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of	
19	the allegations in Paragraph 3. WWE therefore denies the allegations in Paragraph 3. WWE	
20	denies that Plaintiff has properly defined a "Relevant Market."	
21	4. WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 4.	
22	5. To the extent that Paragraph 5 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is	
23	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 5.	
24	6. To the extent that Paragraph 6 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is	
25	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 6.	
26	7. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of	
27	the allegations in the first, sixth, and seventh sentences of Paragraph 7. WWE therefore denies	
28	- 2 - Def.'s Answer Case No. 5:22-cv-179-EJD	

Case 5:22-cv-00179-EJD Document 98 Filed 08/14/23 Page 3 of 25

the allegations in the first, sixth, and seventh sentences of Paragraph 7. WWE denies the
 remaining allegations in Paragraph 7.

8. WWE denies the allegations in the first sentence of Paragraph 8. WWE lacks
knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in
Paragraph 8. WWE therefore denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 8.

9. WWE denies the allegations in the first and second sentences of Paragraph 9.
 WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining
 allegations in Paragraph 9. WWE therefore denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 9.

9 10. To the extent that Paragraph 10 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is
10 required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 10.

11 11. To the extent that Paragraph 11 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is

12 required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 11.

WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations
in the third sentence of Paragraph 11. WWE therefore denies the allegations in the third sentence
of Paragraph 11.

16 12. To the extent that Paragraph 12 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is 17 required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 12. 18 13. To the extent that Paragraph 13 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is 19 required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 13. 20 14. To the extent that Paragraph 14 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is 21 required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 14. 22 15. To the extent that Paragraph 15 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is 23 required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 15.

PARTIES

25 16. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
26 the allegations in Paragraph 16. WWE therefore denies the allegations in Paragraph 16.

17. WWE admits the allegations in Paragraph 17.

24

27

	Case 5:22-cv-00179-EJD Document 98 Filed 08/14/23 Page 4 of 25
1	JURISDICTION AND VENUE
2	18. To the extent that Paragraph 18 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is
3	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 18.
4	19. To the extent that Paragraph 19 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is
5	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 19.
6	BACKGROUND
7	I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>
8	20. To the extent that Paragraph 20 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is
9	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 20.
10	21. To the extent that Paragraph 21 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is
11	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 21.
12	22. To the extent that Paragraph 22 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is
13	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 22.
14	23. To the extent that Paragraph 23 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is
15	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 23.
16	II. <u>THE PROFESSIONAL WRESTLING INDUSTRY</u>
17	24. WWE admits that professional wrestling is produced by professional wrestling
18	promotion companies such as WWE and MLW, among others, and that professional wrestling is
19	sometimes referred to as a form of sports entertainment.
20	25. WWE admits that the outcomes of professional wrestling matches are commonly
21	predetermined and scripted. WWE denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 25.
22	26. WWE admits that throughout the 1990s, it was a major wrestling promotion
23	competing in the United States. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief
24	as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 26. WWE therefore denies the remaining
25	allegations in Paragraph 26.
26	27. To the extent that the first sentence of Paragraph 27 sets forth conclusions of law,
27	no response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in the
28	- 4 - Def.'s Answer Case No. 5:22-cv-179-EJD

first sentence of Paragraph 27. WWE denies the allegations in the second sentence of Paragraph
 27.

3 28. WWE admits the allegations in the first and fourth sentences of Paragraph 28.
4 WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining
5 allegations in Paragraph 28. WWE therefore denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 28.

6 7

29. WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 29.

III. <u>THE RELEVANT MARKET</u>

8 30. To the extent that Paragraph 30 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is
9 required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 30.
10 31. To the extent that Paragraph 31 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is

11 required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 31.

32. WWE admits the allegations in the first and second sentences of Paragraph 32.
WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining
allegations in Paragraph 32. WWE therefore denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 32.

15 33. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of 16 the allegations in the first sentence of Paragraph 33. WWE therefore denies the allegations in the 17 first sentence of Paragraph 33. The allegations in the second sentence of Paragraph 33 refer to 18 and purport to interpret a document that speaks for itself. WWE respectfully refers the Court to 19 the full cited document for its language and complete contents, and otherwise denies the 20 allegations in the second sentence of Paragraph 33.

34. WWE admits that its programs have aired on USA Network, SYFY, and Peacock
(all owned by NBCUniversal), FOX, and Hulu. WWE admits that it operates channels on
YouTube. With respect to the remaining allegations in Paragraph 34, WWE denies that Plaintiff
has properly defined a "Relevant Market" and denies that professional wrestling promotions have
been aired on "a tiny fraction" of the vast number of U.S. media platforms. WWE therefore
denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 34.

- 27
- 28

1	35.	To the extent that the first sentence of Paragraph 35 sets forth conclusions of law,
2	no response is	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in the
3	first sentence of Paragraph 35. The allegations in the second sentence of Paragraph 35 refer to	
4	and purport to	interpret a document that speaks for itself. WWE respectfully refers the Court to
5	the full cited of	locument for its language and complete contents, and otherwise denies the
6	allegations in	the second sentence of Paragraph 35.
7	А.	Structure of Professional Wrestling Media Rights Deals
8	36.	The allegations in Paragraph 36 refer to and purport to interpret WWE's 2021 SEC
9	Form 10-K, w	hich speaks for itself. WWE respectfully refers the Court to its 2021 SEC Form 10-
10	K for its langu	age and complete contents, and otherwise denies the allegations in Paragraph 36.
11	37.	WWE admits that it receives forms of revenue or payment pursuant to media rights
12	agreements. V	WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
13	the remaining allegations in Paragraph 37. WWE therefore denies the remaining allegations in	
14	Paragraph 37.	
15	38.	WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
16	the allegations in Paragraph 38. WWE therefore denies the allegations in Paragraph 38.	
17	39.	WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
18	the allegations	s in Paragraph 39. WWE therefore denies the allegations in Paragraph 39.
19	40.	WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 40.
20	В.	There is no Meaningful Substitute for Professional Wrestling Programming
21	41.	To the extent that the first and second sentences of Paragraph 41 set forth
22	conclusions of	f law, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies
23	the allegations in the first and second sentences of Paragraph 41. The remaining allegations in	
24	Paragraph 41 refer to and purport to interpret a document that speaks for itself. WWE	
25	respectfully refers the Court to the full cited document for its language and complete contents,	
26	and otherwise denies the allegations in Paragraph 41.	
27		
28		- 6 - Def.'s Answer Case No. 5:22-cv-179-EJD

42. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 42. WWE therefore denies the allegations in Paragraph 42.

43. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
the allegations in Paragraph 43. WWE therefore denies the allegations in Paragraph 43.

44. The allegations of Paragraph 44 refer to and purport to interpret documents that
speak for themselves. WWE respectfully refers the Court to the full cited documents for their
language and complete contents, and otherwise denies the allegations in Paragraph 44.

8 45. The allegations of Paragraph 45 refer to and purport to interpret documents that
9 speak for themselves. WWE respectfully refers the Court to the full cited documents for their
10 language and complete contents, and otherwise denies the allegations in Paragraph 45.

46. The allegations of Paragraph 46 refer to and purport to interpret documents that
speak for themselves. WWE respectfully refers the Court to the full cited documents for their
language and complete contents, and otherwise denies the allegations in Paragraph 46.

47. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
the allegations concerning boxing and MMA in Paragraph 47. WWE therefore denies those
allegations in Paragraph 47. The allegations of the fourth sentence of Paragraph 47 refer to and
purport to interpret a document that speaks for itself. WWE respectfully refers the Court to the
full cited document for its language and complete contents, and otherwise denies the allegations
in the fourth sentence of Paragraph 47.

48. To the extent that Paragraph 48 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is
required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 48.
WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations
concerning live team and individual sports in Paragraph 48. WWE therefore denies those
allegations in Paragraph 48.

49. WWE admits that professional wrestling has no off-season. WWE lacks
knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations concerning
live team and individual sports in Paragraph 49. WWE therefore denies those allegations in

28

1

Case 5:22-cv-00179-EJD Document 98 Filed 08/14/23 Page 8 of 25

Paragraph 49. The allegations in the sixth sentence of Paragraph 49 refer to and purport to
interpret a document not cited in or attached to the FAC. As such, WWE lacks knowledge or
information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the sixth sentence of
Paragraph 49. WWE therefore denies the allegations in the second sentence of Paragraph 49.
Furthermore, the referenced document speaks for itself. WWE respectfully refers the Court to the
full cited document for its language and complete contents, and otherwise denies the allegations
in the sixth sentence of Paragraph 49.

8

50. To the extent that Paragraph 50 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 50.

10

9

IV. <u>WWE'S MONOPOLY POWER IN THE RELEVANT MARKET.</u>

11 51. To the extent that Paragraph 51 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is
12 required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 51.

13

A. <u>WWE Excludes Competitors and Charges Supracompetitive Prices</u>

14 52. The allegations in the third sentence of Paragraph 52 refer to and purport to
15 interpret the contents of a document that speaks for itself. WWE respectfully refers the Court to
16 the full cited document for its language and complete contents, and otherwise denies the
17 allegations in the third sentence of Paragraph 52. To the extent that the remaining allegations in
18 Paragraph 52 set forth conclusions of law, no response is required. To the extent a response is
19 required, WWE denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 52.

53. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
the allegations in the second and fourth sentences of Paragraph 53. WWE therefore denies the
allegations in the second and fourth sentences of Paragraph 53. The allegations in the third
sentence of Paragraph 53 refer to and purport to interpret a document that speaks for itself. WWE
respectfully refers the Court to the full cited document for its language and complete contents,
and otherwise denies the allegations in the third sentence of Paragraph 53. WWE denies the
remaining allegations in Paragraph 53.

- 27
- 28

Case 5:22-cv-00179-EJD Document 98 Filed 08/14/23 Page 9 of 25

1	54. To the extent that Paragraph 54 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is	
2	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 54.	
3	55. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of	
4	the allegations in the third sentence of Paragraph 55. WWE therefore denies the allegations in the	
5	third sentence of Paragraph 55. WWE denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 55.	
6	56. The allegations in the first, second, and third sentences of Paragraph 56 refer to	
7	and purport to interpret documents that speak for themselves. WWE respectfully refers the Court	
8	to the full cited documents for their language and complete contents, and otherwise denies the	
9	allegations in the first, second, and third sentences of Paragraph 56. To the extent that the fourth	
10	sentence of Paragraph 56 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is required. To the extent a	
11	response is required, WWE denies the allegations in the fourth sentence of Paragraph 56.	
12	57. To the extent that Paragraph 57 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is	
13	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 57.	
14	WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations	
15	in the third sentence of Paragraph 57. WWE therefore denies the allegations in the third sentence	
16	of Paragraph 57.	
17	58. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of	
18	the allegations in the third sentence of Paragraph 58. WWE therefore denies the allegations in the	
19	third sentence of Paragraph 58. WWE denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 58.	
20	B. <u>WWE Possesses a Dominant Market Share and Imposes and Exploits High</u>	
21	Barriers to Entry in the Relevant Market	
22	59. To the extent that Paragraph 59 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is	
23	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 59.	
24	i. WWE Has a Dominant Share of the Relevant Market	
25	60. To the extent that Paragraph 60 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is	
26	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 60. The	
27	allegations in the third sentence of Paragraph 60 refer to and purport to interpret a document that	
28	- 9 - Def.'s Answer Case No. 5:22-cv-179-EJD	

Case 5:22-cv-00179-EJD Document 98 Filed 08/14/23 Page 10 of 25

1 speaks for itself. WWE respectfully refers the Court to the full cited document for its language 2 and complete contents, and otherwise denies the allegations in the third sentence of Paragraph 60. 3 61. To the extent that Paragraph 61 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is 4 required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 61. 5 62. To the extent that the first sentence of Paragraph 62 sets forth conclusions of law, 6 no response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in the 7 first sentence of Paragraph 62. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief 8 as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 62. WWE therefore denies the remaining 9 allegations in Paragraph 62. 10 To the extent that Paragraph 63 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is 63. 11 required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 63. 12 64. WWE denies the first sentence of Paragraph 64. The allegations in the second 13 sentence of Paragraph 64 refer to and purport to interpret a document that speaks for itself. WWE 14 respectfully refers the Court to the full cited document for its language and complete contents, 15 and otherwise denies the allegations in the second sentence of Paragraph 64. 16 65. To the extent that Paragraph 65 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is 17 required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 65. The 18 allegations in the third and fourth sentences of Paragraph 65 refer to and purport to interpret a 19 document that speaks for itself. WWE respectfully refers the Court to the full cited document for 20 its language and complete contents, and otherwise denies the allegations in the third and fourth 21 sentences of Paragraph 65. 22 i. WWE Exploits Barriers to Entry in the Relevant Market Through Anti-competitive Practices Designed to Raise 23 **Competitors' Long-Run Costs of Production And Maintain Its** Dominance 24 25 66. To the extent that Paragraph 66 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is 26 required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 66. 27 28 - 10 -DEF.'S ANSWER CASE NO. 5:22-cv-179-EJD

(1) Substantial Foreclosure of The Relevant Market By Tying Up Key Media Partners

3 67. To the extent that Paragraph 67 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is 4 required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 67. 5 WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations 6 in the third, fourth, and fifth sentences of Paragraph 67. WWE therefore denies the allegations in 7 the third, fourth, and fifth sentences of Paragraph 67. 8 68. To the extent that Paragraph 68 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is 9 required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 68. The 10 allegations in the third sentence of Paragraph 68 refer to and purport to interpret a document that 11 speaks for itself. WWE respectfully refers the Court to the full cited document for its language 12 and complete contents, and otherwise denies the allegations in the third sentence of Paragraph 68. 13 69. To the extent that Paragraph 69 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is 14 required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 69. The 15 allegations in the fourth sentence of Paragraph 69 refer to and purport to interpret documents that 16 speak for themselves. WWE respectfully refers the Court to the full cited documents for their 17 language and complete contents, and otherwise denies the allegations in the fourth sentence of 18 Paragraph 69. 19 70. WWE admits that it began operating a streaming platform, the WWE Network, 20 beginning in 2014. Otherwise, to the extent that Paragraph 70 sets forth conclusions of law, no 21 response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in 22 Paragraph 70. The allegations in the third sentence of Paragraph 70 refer to and purport to 23 interpret a document that speaks for itself. WWE respectfully refers the Court to the full cited 24 document for its language and complete contents, and otherwise denies the allegations in the third

26

25

sentence of Paragraph 70.

1

- 27
- 28

1 71. WWE admits that it has an agreement with NBCUniversal's Peacock streaming 2 platform. Otherwise, to the extent that Paragraph 71 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is 3 required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 71. 4 72. The allegations in the second sentence of Paragraph 72 refer to and purport to interpret a document not cited in or attached to the FAC. As such, WWE lacks knowledge or 5 6 information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the second sentence of 7 Paragraph 72. WWE therefore denies the allegations in the second sentence of Paragraph 72. 8 Furthermore, the referenced document speaks for itself. WWE respectfully refers the Court to the 9 full cited document for its language and complete contents, and otherwise denies the allegations 10 in the second sentence of Paragraph 72. Otherwise, to the extent that Paragraph 72 sets forth 11 conclusions of law, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies 12 the allegations in Paragraph 72. 13 Restricting Access to and Raising Costs of Skilled (2) **Performers** 14 15 73. To the extent that Paragraph 73 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is 16 required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 73. 17 74. The allegations in the third and fourth sentences of Paragraph 74 refer to and 18 purport to interpret documents not cited in or attached to the FAC. As such, WWE lacks 19 knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the third 20 and fourth sentences of Paragraph 74. WWE therefore denies the allegations in the third and 21 fourth sentences of Paragraph 74. Furthermore, the referenced documents speak for themselves. 22 WWE respectfully refers the Court to the full cited documents for their language and complete 23 contents, and otherwise denies the allegations in the third and fourth sentences of Paragraph 74. 24 WWE denies remaining the allegations in Paragraph 74. 25 75. To the extent that Paragraph 75 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is 26 required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 75. 27 28 - 12 -DEF.'S ANSWER CASE NO. 5:22-cv-179-EJD

1	76. WWE admits that Stephon Strickland was a WWE wrestler from 2019 to 2022 and	
2	avers, on information and belief, that Strickland is now a wrestler for AEW. WWE otherwise	
3	denies the allegations in the first, second, and third sentences in Paragraph 76. WWE lacks	
4	knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in	
5	Paragraph 76. WWE therefore denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 76.	
6	77. WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 77.	
7	78. WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 78.	
8	79. The allegations in the second and third sentences of Paragraph 79 refer to and	
9	purport to interpret documents that speak for themselves. WWE respectfully refers the Court to	
10	the full cited documents for their language and complete contents, and otherwise denies the	
11	allegations in the second and third sentences of Paragraph 79. WWE denies the allegations in the	
12	first sentence of Paragraph 79.	
13	80. The allegations in the third sentence of Paragraph 80 refer to and purport to	
14	interpret a document that speaks for itself. WWE respectfully refers the Court to the full cited	
15	document for its language and complete contents, and otherwise denies the allegations in the third	
16	sentence of Paragraph 80. To the extent that the remaining allegations in Paragraph 80 set forth	
17	conclusions of law, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies	
18	the remaining allegations in Paragraph 80.	
19	(3) Cutting off Access to Arenas and Live Audiences	
20	81. To the extent that Paragraph 81 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is	
21	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 81.	
22	82. WWE denies the allegations in the first sentence of Paragraph 82. WWE lacks	
23	knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in	
24	Paragraph 82. WWE therefore denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 82.	
25	83. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of	
26	the allegations in the first sentence of Paragraph 83. WWE therefore denies the allegations in the	
27	first sentence of Paragraph 83. WWE denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 83.	
28	- 13 - DEF.'S ANSWER CASE NO. 5:22-cv-179-EJD	

1	84. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
2	the allegations in the third sentence of Paragraph 84. WWE therefore denies the allegations in the
3	third sentence of Paragraph 84. WWE denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 84.
4	85. WWE denies the allegations in the first sentence of Paragraph 85. WWE lacks
5	knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the second
6	sentence of Paragraph 85. WWE therefore denies the allegations in the second sentence of
7	Paragraph 85.
8	86. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
9	the allegations in the third sentence of Paragraph 86. WWE therefore denies the allegations in the
10	third sentence of Paragraph 86. WWE denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 86.
11	V. <u>WWE INTERFERES WITH MLW'S MEDIA RIGHTS DEALS.</u>
12	87. To the extent that Paragraph 87 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is
13	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 87.
14	A. <u>WWE Interferes with MLW's Deal with VICE</u>
15	88. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
16	the allegations in Paragraph 88. WWE therefore denies the allegations in Paragraph 88.
17	89. WWE admits that VICE's programs included a series titled <i>Dark Side of the Ring</i> .
18	WWE admits that A&E airs WWE programs. WWE denies the remaining allegations in
19	Paragraph 89.
20	90. WWE admits that Jerry McDevitt was interviewed as part of an episode of <i>Dark</i>
21	Side of the Ring. WWE denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 90.
22	91. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
23	the allegations in the first and second sentences of Paragraph 91. WWE therefore denies the
24	allegations in the first and second sentences of Paragraph 91. WWE denies the allegations in the
25	third sentence of Paragraph 91.
26	
27	
28	- 14 - Def.'s Answer Case No. 5:22-cv-179-EJD

1

B. <u>WWE Interferes with MLW's Deal with Tubi</u>

2	92. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of	
3	the allegations in Paragraph 92. WWE therefore denies the allegations in Paragraph 92.	
4	93. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of	
5	the allegations in Paragraph 93. WWE therefore denies the allegations in Paragraph 93.	
6	94. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of	
7	the allegations in Paragraph 94. WWE therefore denies the allegations in Paragraph 94.	
8	95. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of	
9	the allegations in Paragraph 95. WWE therefore denies the allegations in Paragraph 95.	
10	96. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of	
11	the allegations in Paragraph 96. WWE therefore denies the allegations in Paragraph 96.	
12	97. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of	
13	the allegations in Paragraph 97. WWE therefore denies the allegations in Paragraph 97.	
14	98. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of	
15	the allegations in Paragraph 98. WWE therefore denies the allegations in Paragraph 98.	
16	99. WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 99.	
17	100. WWE admits that, on or about August 9, 2021, Stephanie McMahon spoke with a	
18	Tubi executive. WWE denies the allegations in the second, third, and fourth sentences of	
19	Paragraph 100. The allegations in the fifth sentence of Paragraph 100 refer to and purport to	
20	interpret a document not cited in or attached to the FAC. As such, WWE lacks knowledge or	
21	information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the fifth sentence of	
22	Paragraph 100. WWE therefore denies the allegations in the second sentence of Paragraph 100.	
23	Furthermore, the referenced document speaks for itself. WWE respectfully refers the Court to the	
24	full cited document for its language and complete contents, and otherwise denies the allegations	
25	in the fifth sentence of Paragraph 100.	
26		
27		
28	- 15 -	
	DEF.'S ANSWER CASE NO. 5:22-cv-179-EJD	

1	101. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of	
2	the allegations in the first sentence of Paragraph 101. WWE therefore denies the allegations in	
3	the first sentence of Paragraph 101. WWE denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 101.	
4	102. The allegations in Paragraph 102 refer to and purport to interpret a document that	
5	speaks for itself. WWE respectfully refers the Court to the full cited document for its language	
6	and complete contents, and otherwise denies the allegations in Paragraph 102.	
7 8	VI. <u>WWE'S PREDATORY, ANTI-COMPETITIVE AND TORTIOUS CONDUCT HAS</u> <u>CAUSED HARM TO THE COMPETITIVE PROCESS, CONSUMERS AND</u> <u>MLW.</u>	
9 10	A. <u>WWE's Anti-Competitive Conduct Has Caused Harm to Competition and</u> <u>Consumers</u>	
11	103. To the extent that Paragraph 103 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is	
12	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 103.	
13	104. To the extent that Paragraph 104 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is	
14	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 104.	
15	WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations	
16	in the fourth sentence of Paragraph 104. WWE therefore denies the allegations in the fourth	
17	sentence of Paragraph 104.	
18	105. To the extent that Paragraph 105 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is	
19 20	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 105.	
20 21	B. <u>WWE's Predatory, Anti-Competitive and Tortious Conduct Has Caused</u> <u>Antitrust Injury to MLW</u>	
22	106. To the extent that the first and third sentences of Paragraph 106 sets forth	
23	conclusions of law, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies	
24 25	the allegations in the first and third sentences of Paragraph 106. WWE lacks knowledge or	
23 26	information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the second sentence of	
20 27	Paragraph 106. WWE therefore denies the allegations in the second sentence of Paragraph 106.	
28		
20	- 16 - DEF.'S ANSWER CASE NO. 5:22-cv-179-EJD	

1	107. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
2	the allegations in Paragraph 107. WWE therefore denies the allegations in Paragraph 107.
3	108. WWE denies the allegations in the first, third, and fifth sentences of Paragraph
4	108. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
5	remaining allegations in Paragraph 108. WWE therefore denies the remaining allegations in
6	Paragraph 108.
7	109. To the extent that Paragraph 109 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is
8	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 109.
9	FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF
10	(Monopolization Under Section 2 of the Sherman Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. § 2)
11	110. WWE incorporates by reference each response and denial set forth in the
12	foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
13	111. To the extent that Paragraph 111 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is
14	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 111.
15	112. To the extent that Paragraph 112 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is
16	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 112.
17	113. To the extent that Paragraph 113 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is
18	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 113.
19	114. To the extent that Paragraph 114 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is
20	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 114.
21	115. To the extent that Paragraph 115 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is
22	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 115.
23	116. To the extent that Paragraph 116 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is
24	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 116.
25	117. To the extent that Paragraph 117 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is
26	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 117.
27	
28	- 17 - Def.'s Answer Case No. 5:22-cv-179-EJD

1	118. To the extent that Paragraph 118 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is
2	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 118.
3	119. To the extent that Paragraph 119 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is
4	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 119.
5	120. To the extent that Paragraph 120 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is
6	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 120.
7	SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF
8	(Attempted Monopolization Under the Sherman Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. § 2)
9	121. WWE incorporates by reference each response and denial set forth in the
10	foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
11	122. To the extent that Paragraph 122 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is
12	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 122.
13	123. To the extent that Paragraph 123 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is
14	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 123.
15	124. To the extent that Paragraph 124 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is
16	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 124.
17	125. To the extent that Paragraph 125 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is
18	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 125.
19	126. To the extent that Paragraph 126 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is
20	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 126.
21	127. To the extent that Paragraph 127 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is
22	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 127.
23	128. To the extent that Paragraph 128 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is
24	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 128.
25	129. To the extent that Paragraph 129 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is
26	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 129.
27	
28	- 18 -
	DEF.'S ANSWER CASE NO. 5:22-cv-179-EJD

I	Case 5:22-cv-00179-EJD Document 98 Filed 08/14/23 Page 19 of 25	
1	THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF	
2	(Intentional Interference with Prospective Economic Advantage)	
3	130. WWE incorporates by reference each response and denial set forth in the	
4	foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.	
5	131. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of	
6	the allegations in Paragraph 131. WWE therefore denies the allegations in Paragraph 131.	
7	132. WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 132.	
8	133. WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 133.	
9	134. WWE denies the allegations in the first sentence in Paragraph 134. WWE lacks	
10	knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in	
11	Paragraph 134. WWE therefore denies the allegations in Paragraph 134.	
12	135. To the extent that Paragraph 135 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is	
13	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 135.	
14	136. To the extent that Paragraph 136 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is	
15	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 136.	
16	FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF	
17	(Intentional Interference with Contractual Relations)	
18	137. WWE incorporates by reference each response and denial set forth in the	
19	foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.	
20	138. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of	
21	the allegations in Paragraph 138. WWE therefore denies the allegations in Paragraph 138.	
22	139. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of	
23	the allegations in Paragraph 139. WWE therefore denies the allegations in Paragraph 139.	
24	140. WWE lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of	
25	the allegations in Paragraph 140. WWE therefore denies the allegations in Paragraph 140.	
26	141. WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 141.	
27		
28	- 19 - Def.'s Answer Case No. 5:22-cv-179-EJD	

1	142. To the extent that Paragraph 142 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is					
2	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 142.					
3	143. To the extent that Paragraph 143 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is					
4	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 143.					
5	FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF					
6	(Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §17200)					
7	144. WWE incorporates and realleges each response and denial set forth in the					
8	foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.					
9	145. WWE denies the allegations of Paragraph 145.					
10	0 146. To the extent that Paragraph 146 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is					
11	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 146.					
12	147. To the extent that Paragraph 147 sets forth conclusions of law, no response is					
13	required. To the extent a response is required, WWE denies the allegations in Paragraph 147.					
14	DEMAND FOR A JURY TRIAL					
15	Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38 and Northern District of California L.R. 3-					
16	6, WWE demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.					
17	PRAYER FOR RELIEF					
18	WWE denies that MLW is entitled to any of the requested relief and prays that MLW's					
19	Prayer for Relief be denied in its entirety. WWE further prays that judgment be entered for WWE					
20	and that the Court grant WWE such other and further relief as it deems just and appropriate:					
21	1. WWE denies that MLW is entitled to any of the requested relief and prays					
22	that MLW's Prayer for Relief be denied in its entirety. WWE further prays					
23	that judgment be entered for WWE and that the Court grant WWE such					
24	other and further relief as it deems just and appropriate.					
25	2. WWE denies that MLW is entitled to any of the requested relief and prays					
26	that MLW's Prayer for Relief be denied in its entirety. WWE further prays					
27						
28	- 20 - DEF.'S ANSWER CASE NO. 5:22-cv-179-EJD					

1 that judgment be entered for WWE and that the Court grant WWE such 2 other and further relief as it deems just and appropriate. 3 3. WWE denies that MLW is entitled to any of the requested relief and prays 4 that MLW's Prayer for Relief be denied in its entirety. WWE further prays 5 that judgment be entered for WWE and that the Court grant WWE such 6 other and further relief as it deems just and appropriate. 7 4. WWE denies that MLW is entitled to any of the requested relief and prays 8 that MLW's Prayer for Relief be denied in its entirety. WWE further prays 9 that judgment be entered for WWE and that the Court grant WWE such 10 other and further relief as it deems just and appropriate. 11 5. WWE denies that MLW is entitled to any of the requested relief and prays 12 that MLW's Prayer for Relief be denied in its entirety. WWE further prays 13 that judgment be entered for WWE and that the Court grant WWE such 14 other and further relief as it deems just and appropriate. 15 6. WWE denies that MLW is entitled to any of the requested relief and prays 16 that MLW's Prayer for Relief be denied in its entirety. WWE further prays 17 that judgment be entered for WWE and that the Court grant WWE such 18 other and further relief as it deems just and appropriate. 19 7. WWE denies that MLW is entitled to any of the requested relief and prays 20 that MLW's Prayer for Relief be denied in its entirety. WWE further prays 21 that judgment be entered for WWE and that the Court grant WWE such 22 other and further relief as it deems just and appropriate. 23 8. WWE denies that MLW is entitled to any of the requested relief and prays 24 that MLW's Prayer for Relief be denied in its entirety. WWE further prays 25 that judgment be entered for WWE and that the Court grant WWE such 26 other and further relief as it deems just and appropriate. 27 28 - 21 -DEF.'S ANSWER CASE NO. 5:22-cv-179-EJD

	Case 5:22-cv-00179-EJD Document 98 Filed 08/14/23 Page 22 of 25					
1	9. WWE denies that MLW is entitled to any of the requested relief and prays					
2	that MLW's Prayer for Relief be denied in its entirety. WWE further prays					
3	that judgment be entered for WWE and that the Court grant WWE such					
4	other and further relief as it deems just and appropriate.					
5	10. WWE denies that MLW is entitled to any of the requested relief and prays					
6	that MLW's Prayer for Relief be denied in its entirety. WWE further prays					
7	that judgment be entered for WWE and that the Court grant WWE such					
8	other and further relief as it deems just and appropriate.					
9	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES					
10	WWE asserts the following affirmative defenses on information and belief. In doing so,					
11	WWE does not assume any burden of proof, persuasion, or production on such defenses where					
12	such burden would otherwise fall on MLW. Additionally, WWE's affirmative defenses are					
13	asserted in the alternative, and none of them constitute an admission of liability or that MLW is					
14	entitled to any relief.					
15	First Defense					
16	The FAC fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.					
17	Second Defense					
18	MLW's claims are barred because MLW lacks antitrust injury or injury in fact.					
19	Third Defense					
20	MLW's equitable claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrines of unclean hands					
21	and <i>in pari delicto</i> .					
22	Fourth Defense					
23	MLW's equitable claims are barred, in whole or in part, based on the doctrines of					
24	estoppel, laches, and waiver, as MLW's claims are based, in part, on actions and events spanning					
25	decades.					
26						
27						
28	- 22 - Def.'s Answer Case No. 5:22-cv-179-EJD					

	Case 5:22-cv-00179-EJD Document 98 Filed 08/14/23 Page 23 of 25						
1	Fifth Defense						
2	MLW's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because it does not have standing to raise						
3	those claims.						
4	Sixth Defense						
5	MLW's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because WWE's actions were authorized or						
6	permitted under state and/or federal law.						
7	Seventh Defense						
8	If and to the extent that MLW has been damaged, which WWE denies, MLW, by the						
9	exercise of reasonable diligence, could have mitigated its damages but did not and is therefore						
10	barred from recovery. Alternatively, any damages sustained by MLW, which WWE denies, must						
11	be reduced by the amount that such damages would have been reduced had MLW exercised						
12	reasonable diligence in mitigating its damages.						
13	Eighth Defense						
14	MLW's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because, to the extent that MLW suffered						
15	any injury or incurred any damages as alleged in the FAC, which WWE denies, WWE's alleged						
16	conduct was not the actual or proximate cause of any injury or damage to MLW.						
17	Ninth Defense						
18	MLW's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because, to the extent that MLW suffered						
19	any injury or incurred any damages as alleged in the FAC, which WWE denies, any such injury						
20	or damage was caused and brought about by the acts, conduct, or omissions of individuals or						
21	entities other than WWE, and, as such, any recovery herein should be precluded or diminished in						
22	proportion to the amount of fault attributable to such other individuals or entities.						
23	Tenth Defense						
24	MLW's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because, to the extent MLW suffered any						
25	injury or incurred any damages as alleged in the FAC, which WWE denies, any such injury or						
26	damage was caused and brought about by intervening or superseding events, factors, occurrences,						
27							
28	- 23 - DEF.'S ANSWER CASE NO. 5:22-cv-179-EJD						

Case 5:22-cv-00179-EJD Document 98 Filed 08/14/23 Page 24 of 25

1	conditions, or acts of others, including forces in the marketplace, and not by the alleged wrongful					
2	conduct on the part of WWE.					
3	Eleventh Defense					
4	MLW's equitable claims are barred, in whole or in part, because any recovery would					
5	result in unjust enrichment to MLW.					
6	Twelfth Defense					
7	MLW's claims for equitable relief are barred because MLW has an adequate remedy at					
8	law.					
9	Fourteenth Defense					
10	MLW's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because WWE had legitimate business					
11	and/or economic justifications for the conduct at issue.					
12	Reservation of Rights					
13	WWE reserves the right to assert additional affirmative defenses as they become					
14	available. WWE has insufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a basis as to					
15	whether it may have additional, as yet unstated, separate defenses available. WWE reserves the					
16	right to amend this Answer to add, supplement, or modify defenses based on legal theories that					
17	may be or will be divulged through clarification, through discovery, or through further factual or					
18	legal analysis of MLW's allegations, contentions, and positions in this litigation.					
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28	- 24 - Def.'s Answer Case No. 5:22-cv-179-EJD					

I	Case 5:22-cv-00179-EJD	Document 98	Filed 08/14/23	Page 25 of 25			
1	Dated: August 14, 2023		Respectfully su	bmitted,			
2			PAUL, WEISS, GARRISON LI	RIFKIND, WHARTON &			
3			UARRISON LI	21			
4			By: /s/ William	Michael			
5				Brown (SBN 130248)			
6			wbrown@p	paulweiss.com s, Rifkind, Wharton &			
7			Garrison L				
8 9			San Francis Telephone:	sco, CA 94105 (628) 432-5100 (628) 232-3101			
10			Karen L. D	unn (<i>pro hac vice</i>)			
11			William A.	ulweiss.com Isaacson (<i>pro hac vice</i>)			
12				②paulweiss.com s, Rifkind, Wharton & D			
13			2001 K Str				
14			Telephone:	(202) 223-7300 (202) 223-7420			
15				ichael (<i>pro hac vice</i>)			
16			wmichael@	paulweiss.com Cannenbaum (<i>pro hac vice</i>)			
17			Paul, Weiss	um@paulweiss.com s, Rifkind, Wharton &			
18				ue of the Americas			
19 20			New York, Telephone:	(212) 373-3000			
20			Facsimile:	(212) 757-3990			
21				or Defendant stling Entertainment, Inc.			
23			nonu nre.	sung Entertainment, me.			
24							
25							
26							
27							
28		,	25				
	- 25 - DEF.'S ANSWER CASE NO. 5:22-cv-179-EJD						