Case 3:20-cv-05671-JD Document 150 Filed 07/02/21 Page 1 of 7

1 2	Karma M. Giulianelli (SBN 184175) karma.giulianelli@bartlitbeck.com BARTLIT BECK LLP	Paul J. Riehle (SBN 115199) paul.riehle@faegredrinker.com FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH
3 4	1801 Wewetta St., Suite 1200 Denver, Colorado 80202 Telephone: (303) 592-3100	LLP Four Embarcadero Center, 27th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 591-7500
5	Hae Sung Nam (<i>pro hac vice</i>) hnam@kaplanfox.com	Christine A. Varney (pro hac vice)
6	KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP 850 Third Avenue	cvarney@cravath.com CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP
7	New York, NY 10022 Tel.: (212) 687-1980	825 Eighth Avenue New York, New York 10019 Telephone: (212) 474-1000
8	Co-Lead Counsel for the Proposed Class in In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust Litigation	Counsel for Plaintiff Epic Games, Inc. in Epic
10	Steve W. Berman (pro hac vice)	Games, Inc. v. Google LLC et al.
11	steve@hbsslaw.com HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP	
12	1301 Second Ave., Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98101 Telephone: (206) 623-7292	
13	Co-Lead Counsel for the Proposed Class in In re	
14 15	Google Play Developer Antitrust Litigation and Attorneys for Pure Sweat Basketball, Inc.	
16	Bonny E. Sweeney (SBN 176174) bsweeney@hausfeld.com	
17	HAUSFELD LLP 600 Montgomery Street, Suite 3200	
18 19	San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 633-1908	
20	Co-Lead Counsel for the Proposed Class in In re Google Play Developer Antitrust Litigation and	
21	Attorneys for Peekya App Services, Inc.	
22	[Additional counsel appear on signature page]	
23		
24		
25		
2627		
28		

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 3 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 4 5 IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD 6 ANTITRUST LITIGATION 7 THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: NOTICE OF EPIC GAMES INC.'S AND **DEVELOPER PLAINTIFFS' INTENT TO** 8 Epic Games Inc. v. Google LLC et al., Case AMEND COMPLAINTS No. 3:20-cy-05671-JD 9 Courtroom: 11, 19th Floor In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust 10 Judge: Hon. James Donato Litigation, Case No. 3:20-cv-05761-JD 11 In re Google Play Developer Antitrust 12 Litigation, Case No. 3:20-cv-05792-JD 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Ahead of the holiday weekend, in light of the scheduled July 22, 2021 argument on the defendants' pending Omnibus Motion to Dismiss (Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD, Dkt. 21), Epic Games, Inc. ("Epic") and plaintiffs in In re Google Play Developer Antitrust Litigation ("Developer Plaintiffs") write to inform the Court of their intent to amend their complaints in light of document discovery obtained to date. Epic informed all other parties in this MDL action (with Epic, the "Parties") of its intent to amend its complaint based on documents uncovered in discovery to date, and tendered a draft of its proposed amended complaint to Google on June 29, 2021. In light of Epic's amendment, Developer Plaintiffs have also decided to amend their complaint at this time, based on document discovery reviewed to date. Developer Plaintiffs have not yet prepared a draft of their proposed amended complaint. Epic and Developer Plaintiffs intend to file their proposed amended complaints—with consent of defendants or pursuant to a motion—**by July 21, 2021**.

Plaintiffs in the Consumer Antitrust Litigation ("Consumer Plaintiffs") are prepared to proceed with oral argument on July 22, 2021, on their operative complaint, as they believe their current allegations are sufficient to overcome the pending motion to dismiss. And while discovery to date has revealed additional facts supporting their claims, Consumer Plaintiffs' preferred course would be for the motion to dismiss to be heard at this juncture and to seek leave to amend then, if appropriate. If the Court prefers omnibus briefing and a single hearing on a motion to dismiss, however, Consumer Plaintiffs reserve their right to seek leave to amend their complaint in accordance with any guidance by the Court.

The Parties have begun to meet and confer regarding defendants' position with respect to the proposed amendments and the schedule for any necessary briefing or the filing of responsive pleadings. The Parties will provide the Court with an update in the Joint Case Management Statement due July 15, 2021.

27 28

1 2 3 4 5 6	Dated: July 2, 2021	CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP Christine Varney (pro hac vice) Katherine B. Forrest (pro hac vice) Darin P. McAtee (pro hac vice) Gary A. Bornstein (pro hac vice) Timothy G. Cameron (pro hac vice) Yonatan Even (pro hac vice) Lauren A. Moskowitz (pro hac vice) Omid H. Nasab (pro hac vice) Justin C. Clarke (pro hac vice) M. Brent Byars (pro hac vice)
7 8		FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP Paul J. Riehle (SBN 115199)
9		Respectfully submitted,
10		
11		By: /s/ Yonatan Even Yonatan Even
12		Counsel for Plaintiff Epic Games, Inc.
13		
14	Dated: July 2, 2021	BARTLIT BECK LLP Karma M. Giulianelli
15		
16		KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP Hae Sung Nam
17		
18		Respectfully submitted,
19		By: /s/ Karma M. Giulianelli Karma M. Giulianelli
20		
21		Co-Lead Counsel for the Proposed Class in In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust
22		Litigation
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		3

1	Dated: July 2, 2021	PRITZKER LEVINE LLP
		Elizabeth C. Pritzker
2		Respectfully submitted,
3 4		By: /s/ Elizabeth C. Pritzker
		Elizabeth C. Pritzker
5		Liaison Counsel for the Proposed Class in In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust
7		Litigation
8	Dated: July 2, 2021	HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP
9	23.003. 03.1 2, 2021	Steve W. Berman
10		Robert F. Lopez Benjamin J. Siegel
11		SPERLING & SLATER PC
12		Joseph M. Vanek
13		Eamon P. Kelly Alberto Rodriguez
14		
15		Respectfully submitted,
16		By: /s/ Steve W. Berman
		Steve W. Berman
17 18		Co-Lead Interim Class Counsel for the Developer Class and Attorneys for Plaintiff
19		Pure Sweat Basketball
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	NO	TICE OF INTENT TO AMEND COMPLAINT

1 2	Dated: July 2, 2021	HAUSFELD LLP Bonny E. Sweeney Melinda R. Coolidge Katie R. Beran
3 4		Scott A. Martin Irving Scher
5		
6		Respectfully submitted,
7		By: <u>/s/ Bonny E. Sweeney</u> Bonny E. Sweeney
8		Co-Lead Interim Class Counsel for the
9		Developer Class and Attorneys for Plaintiff Peekya App Services, Inc.
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		_

E-FILING ATTESTATION

I, Yonatan Even, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this document. In compliance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that each of the signatories identified above has concurred in this filing.

/s/ Yonatan Even