UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	A

IN RE HIV ANTITRUST LITIGATION.

Case No. <u>19-cv-02573-EMC</u>

VERDICT FORM

#### VERDICT FORM

#### Element (1): Anticompetitive Conduct

	\					
1.	At step one of the rule of reason, did the plaintiffs prove that Gilead had market power					
	within the relevant	market(s) that inc	luded Truvada and/or Atripla?			
	a. Truvada	Yes	No			
	b. Atripla	Yes	No			
	If you answered "j	es" to either of the	e above, proceed to Question 2. If you answered			
	"no" to both of the	e above, skip the re	emaining questions, and sign and date the form.			
2.	At step one of the	At step one of the rule of reason, did the plaintiffs prove that the April 25, 2014, patent				
	settlement agreem	settlement agreement between Gilead and Teva included a "reverse payment" from Gilead				
	to Teva so that Te	va would delay its	entry into the market and Gilead could thereby avoid	i		
	the risk of generic	competition?				
	Yes	No				
	If you answered "j	ves" to the above, j	proceed to Question 3. If you answered "no," skip			
	the remaining que	stions, and sign an	d date the form.			
3.	At step two of the	rule of reason, did	the defendants come forward with evidence that the	ir		
	conduct had proco	mpetitive effects?				
	Yes	No				

If you answered "yes" to the above, proceed to Question 4. If you answered "no," skip

Question 4 and proceed to Question 5.

1	4.	At ste	p three of the	rule of reason, d	id the plaintiffs do	at least one of the	e following:
2		(a)	rebut the pr	ocompetitive effe	ects claimed by the	defendants;	
3		(b)	prove that t	he procompetitiv	e effects claimed by	y the defendants	could have been
4			reasonably	achieved in a sub	ostantially less restr	ictive manner; or	•
5		(c)	prove that t	he anticompetitiv	ve effects of the def	endants' conduct	substantially
6			outweighed	the procompetit	ive benefits claimed	l by the defendar	nts.
7		Yes_		No			
8		If you	answered "y	es" to the above,	proceed to Question	on 5. If you answ	vered "no," skip
9		the re	emaining ques	stions, and sign a	nd date the form.		
10							
11	Elem	ent (2):	Antitrust In	jury			
12	5.	Did tl	he plaintiffs p	rove that the defe	endants' conduct ca	used entry of ger	neric Truvada or
13		gener	ic Atripla to b	oe delayed, therel	by causing any one	or more of the be	elow plaintiffs to
14		pay s	ome amount r	nore for the drug	than they would ha	we paid if generi	c entry had not
15		been	delayed?				
16		a.	United			•	•
17			Truvada	Yes	No	_	
18			Atripla	Yes	No		
19							
20		b.	End-Payor	· Purchaser Clas	sses (EPPs)		
21			Truvada	Yes	No	<del>.</del> .	
22			Atripla	Yes	No	<u> </u>	
23							
24		c.	Florida Bl	ue (IHPP)			
25			Truvada	Yes	No		
26			Atripla	Yes	No		
27 .			,				

d.	Centene (I	HPP)		
•	Truvada	Yes	No	
	Atripla	Yes	No	
e.	HCSC (IH	PP)		
	Truvada	Yes	No	
	Atripla	Yes	No	
f.	Triple-S Sa	alud (IHPP)		
	Truvada	Yes	No	
	Atripla	Yes	No	
g.	Kaiser (IH	PP)		·
	Truvada	Yes	No	
	Atripla	Yes	No	
h.	Blue KC (I	(НРР)		
	Truvada	Yes	No	
	Atripla	Yes	No	
i.	Humana (l	(НРР)		
	Truvada	Yes	No	
	Atripla	Yes	No	
If yo	ou answered "y	ves" to one or more of	the above, then for those plaintig	fs/drugs for
whic	ch you answere	ed "yes" proceed to Q	uestion 6 below. If you answered	d "no" for all the
plair	ntiffs and all th	ne drugs above, skip th	ne remaining questions, and sign	and date the
form	<i>1</i> .			

28

Nevada

New Hampshire

1	6.	Pleas	se indicate the amount of	f overcharges for those p	laintiffs/drugs for which you
2		answ	vered "yes" above. Do n	ot fill in the below for an	y plaintiffs/drugs that you answered
3		"no"	to above.	·	· ·
4		a.	United		
5			Truvada \$		
6		•	Atripla \$		
7					•
8		b.	End-Payor Purchas	er Classes (EPPs)	
9				Truvada	Atripla
10			Alabama	\$	\$
11			Arizona	\$	\$
12			California	\$	\$
13			Connecticut	\$	\$
14			District of Columbia	\$	\$
15			Florida	\$	\$
16			Hawaii	\$	
17			Iowa	\$	
18			Kansas	\$	
19			Maine	\$	\$
20			Maryland	\$	<b>\$</b>
21			Massachusetts	\$	\$
22			Michigan	\$ <u>·</u>	\$
23			Minnesota	\$	<b>\$</b>
24			Mississippi	\$	\$
25			Missouri	\$	\$
26			Nebraska	\$	\$

## Case 3:19-cv-02573-EMC Document 2057 Filed 06/30/23 Page 6 of 13

1	New Mexico	\$	\$
2	New York	\$	\$
3	North Carolina	\$	\$
4	North Dakota	\$	\$
5	Oregon	\$	
6	Rhode Island	\$	
7	South Dakota	\$	
8	Tennessee	\$	\$
9	Utah	\$	\$
10	Vermont	\$	\$
11	West Virginia	\$	
12	Wisconsin	\$	
13	TOTAL:	\$	\$
14	·	·	•
15	c. Florida Blue (IHPP)		
	c. Florida Blue (IHPP)	Truvada	Atripla
15	c. Florida Blue (IHPP) Alabama		Atripla
15 16		Truvada	<u>-</u>
15 16 17	Alabama	Truvada \$	\$
15 16 17 18	Alabama Arizona	Truvada  \$ \$	\$ \$
15 16 17 18 19	Alabama Arizona California Connecticut	Truvada  \$ \$ \$	\$\$ \$\$
15 16 17 18 19 20	Alabama Arizona California Connecticut	Truvada  \$ \$ \$ \$	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Alabama Arizona California Connecticut District of Columbia	Truvada  \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$\$ \$\$ \$N/A
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Alabama Arizona California Connecticut District of Columbia Florida	Truvada  \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$\$ \$\$ \$\$ N/A \$
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Alabama Arizona California Connecticut District of Columbia Florida Hawaii	Truvada  \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$\$ \$\$ \$ \$ N/A \$N/A
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Alabama Arizona California Connecticut District of Columbia Florida Hawaii Illinois	Truvada  \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Alabama Arizona California Connecticut District of Columbia Florida Hawaii Illinois Iowa	Truvada \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$\$

1		Maryland	\$	N/A
2	50	Massachusetts	\$	N/A
3	. •	Michigan	\$	\$
4		Minnesota	\$	N/A
5		Mississippi	\$	
6	g 25	Missouri	\$	
7		Montana	N/A	\$
8		Nebraska	\$	\$
9		Nevada	\$	
10		New Hampshire	\$	
11		New Mexico	\$	N/A
12		New York	\$	\$
13		North Carolina	\$	\$
14	, e	Oregon	\$	N/A
15	- "	Rhode Island	\$	
16		South Carolina	\$	\$
17		South Dakota	\$	N/A
18	# # ##:	Tennessee	\$	\$
19	2	Utah	\$	N/A
20	1 1	Vermont	\$	N/A
21	6	Wisconsin	\$	\$
22		TOTAL:	\$	\$
23				
24	d.	Centene (IHPP)		at a second
25			Truvada	Atripla
26		Alabama	\$	\$
27	100	Arizona	\$	\$
28		California	\$	\$
	II.		_	

United States District Court Northern District of California

### Case 3:19-cv-02573-EMC Document 2057 Filed 06/30/23 Page 8 of 13

United States District Court Northern District of California

Connecticut	\$ \$
District of Columbia	\$ \$
Florida	\$ \$
Hawaii	\$ \$
Illinois	\$ \$
Iowa	\$ \$
Kansas	\$ \$
Louisiana	\$ \$
Maine	\$ \$
Maryland	\$ \$
Michigan	\$ \$
Minnesota	\$ \$
Mississippi	\$ \$
Missouri	\$ \$
Montana	\$ \$
Nebraska	\$ \$
Nevada	\$ \$
New Hampshire	\$ \$
New Mexico	\$ \$
New York	\$ \$
North Carolina	\$ \$
North Dakota	\$ \$
Oregon	\$ \$
Rhode Island	\$ \$
South Carolina	\$ \$
South Dakota	\$ N/A
Tennessee	\$ \$
Utah	\$ \$

1		Vermont	\$	\$
2		West Virginia	\$	
. 3		Wisconsin	\$	\$
4		TOTAL:	\$	\$
5				
6	e.	HCSC (IHPP)		
7			Truvada	Atripla
8		Alabama	\$	\$
9		Arizona	\$	\$
10		California	\$	\$
11		Connecticut	\$	N/A
12		District of Columbia	•	\$
13		Florida	\$	\$
14		Hawaii	\$	\$
15		Illinois	\$	\$
16		Iowa	\$	\$
17		Kansas	\$	\$
18		Louisiana	\$	\$
19		Maine	\$	\$
20		Maryland	\$	\$
21		Massachusetts	\$	N/A
22		Michigan	\$	\$
23		Minnesota	\$	\$
24		Mississippi	\$	\$
25	,	Missouri	\$	\$
26		Montana	\$	\$
27		Nebraska	\$	\$
	l I		•	•

28

Nevada

	New Hampshire	\$	N/A
	New Mexico	\$	\$
	New York	\$	
	North Carolina	\$	\$
	North Dakota	\$	_ N/A
	Oregon	\$	\$
	Rhode Island	\$	\$
	South Carolina	\$	\$
	South Dakota	\$	\$
	Tennessee	\$	<u> </u>
	Utah	\$	\$
	Vermont	\$	N/A
	West Virginia	\$	\$
	Wisconsin	\$	\$
÷	TOTAL:	\$	\$
f.	Triple-S Salud (IHP	<b>P</b> )	
		Truvada	Atripla
	Connecticut	\$	N/A
	Illinois	\$	N/A
	New York	\$	N/A
	North Carolina	\$	N/A
	TOTAL:	\$	N/A
g.	Kaiser (IHPP)		
		Truvada	Atripla
	California	\$	\$
		\$	

### Case 3:19-cv-02573-EMC Document 2057 Filed 06/30/23 Page 11 of 13

1		Hawaii	\$	\$
2		Maryland	\$	\$
3		Oregon	\$	\$
4		TOTAL:	\$	\$
5	,	•		
6	h.	Blue KC (IHPP)		
7			Truvada	Atripla
8		Alabama	\$	\$
9		Arizona	\$	\$
10		California	\$	\$
11		Connecticut	\$	N/A
12	·	District of Columbia	\$	N/A
13		Florida	\$	\$
14		Hawaii	\$	N/A
15		Illinois	\$	\$
16		Iowa	\$	N/A
17		Kansas	\$	\$
18		Louisiana	\$	N/A
19		Maryland	\$	N/A
20		Massachusetts	\$	N/A
21		Michigan	\$	N/A
22		Minnesota	\$	N/A
23		Missouri	\$	\$
24		Nebraska	\$	N/A
25		Nevada	\$	\$
26		New York	\$	N/A
27		North Carolina	\$	N/A
28		Oregon	\$	N/A

United States District Court Northern District of California

# Case 3:19-cv-02573-EMC Document 2057 Filed 06/30/23 Page 12 of 13

1		South Carolina	\$	N/A
2		Tennessee	\$	\$
3		Utah	\$	N/A
4		Wisconsin	\$	N/A
5		TOTAL:	\$	\$
6				
7	i.	Humana (IHPP)		
8			Truvada	Atripla
9		Alabama	\$	\$
10		Arizona	\$	\$
11		California	\$	\$
12		Connecticut	\$	\$
13		District of Columbia	\$	\$
14		Florida	\$	\$
15	,	Hawaii	\$	\$
16		Illinois	\$	
17		Iowa	\$	\$
18		Kansas	\$	\$
19		Louisiana	\$	\$
20		Maine	\$	<b>\$</b>
21		Maryland	\$	\$
22		Michigan	\$	\$
23		Minnesota	\$	\$
24		Mississippi	\$	\$
25		Missouri	\$	\$
26		Montana	\$	·\$
27		Nebraska	\$	\$
28		Nevada	\$	\$

United States District Court Northern District of California

· 1

7.

8.

New Hampshire	\$	<u> </u>	
New Mexico	\$	<u> </u>	
New York	\$	•	
North Carolina	\$	\$	
North Dakota	\$	<u> </u>	
Oregon	\$		· 
Rhode Island	\$	•	
South Carolina	\$ <u></u>		
South Dakota	\$		
Tennessee	\$		
Utah	\$		
Vermont	\$		
West Virginia	\$	•	
Wisconsin	\$		
TOTAL:	\$		
Proceed to Question 7 belo	•		
~	·		
Was the defendants' antitro	ust violation(s) that ye	ou found flagrant?	
Yes No			
Proceed to Question 8 below.			
<b>~</b>			
Was the defendants' antitro	ust violation(s) that ye	ou found willful?	
Yes No			
Please sign and date the fo	rm.		
	Pre	siding Juror	
	2.0	6/30/2024	
	Da	te 1 1222	