

1 KEKER & VAN NEST LLP
ASHOK RAMANI, #200020
aramani@kvn.com
2 MICHAEL S. KWUN, #198945
mkwun@kvn.com
3 SHARIF E. JACOB, #257456
sjacob@kvn.com
4 STACY CHEN, #261822
schen@kvn.com
5 633 Battery Street
San Francisco, CA 94111-1809
6 Telephone: 415 391 5400
Facsimile: 415 397 7188

7
8 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Counterdefendant
and Counterclaimant NETFLIX, INC.

McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP
YAR R. CHAIKOVSKY, #175421
ychaikovsky@mwe.com
DAVID L. LARSON, #112342
dlarson@mwe.com
HONG S. LIN, #249898
hlin@mwe.com
PHILIP OU, #259896
pou@mwe.com
McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP
275 Middlefield Road, Suite 100
Menlo Park, CA 94025-4004
Telephone: 650 815 7400
Facsimile: 650 815 7401

9 Attorneys for Defendants, Counterclaimants, and
Counterdefendants ROVI CORPORATION and
UNITED VIDEO PROPERTIES, INC.;
10 Defendants and Counterclaimants ROVI
TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION and ROVI
11 GUIDES, INC.; and Counterclaimants and
Counterdefendants APTIV DIGITAL, INC. and
12 STARSIGHT TELECAST, INC.

13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
15 OAKLAND DIVISION

16 NETFLIX, INC.,

17 Plaintiff,

18 v.

19 ROVI CORPORATION; ROVI
TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION; ROVI
20 GUIDES, INC. (f/k/a GEMSTAR-TV GUIDE
INTERNATIONAL); and UNITED VIDEO
PROPERTIES, INC.,

21 Defendants.

22
23 ROVI CORPORATION; ROVI
TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION; ROVI
24 GUIDES, INC. (f/k/a GEMSTAR-TV GUIDE
INTERNATIONAL); UNITED VIDEO
25 PROPERTIES, INC.; APTIV DIGITAL, INC.;
and STARSIGHT TELECAST, INC.,

26 Counterclaimants,
27
28

Case No. 4:11-CV-6591 PJH

**STIPULATION REGARDING FURTHER
CASE MANAGEMENT**

Date Comp. Filed: December 21, 2011

Trial Date: None

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

v.
NETFLIX, INC.,
Counterdefendant.
NETFLIX, INC.
Counterclaimant,
v.
ROVI CORPORATION; UNITED VIDEO
PROPERTIES, INC.; APTIV DIGITAL, INC.;
and STARSIGHT TELECAST, INC.
Counterdefendants.

In accordance with Minute Entry dated August 7, 2014 (Dkt. No. 54), Netflix, Inc. (“Plaintiff”) and Rovi Corp., Rovi Technologies Corp., Rovi Guides, Inc., United Video Properties, Inc., Aptiv Digital, Inc., and Starsight Telecast, Inc. (collectively, “Defendants”) hereby submit the following stipulation regarding further case management.

I. PRE-MARKMAN HEARING SCHEDULING

The parties submit the following agreed upon schedule for pre-*Markman* hearing deadlines.

Event	Agreed Upon Date
Initial Disclosures Due	August 28 2014
Rovi serves infringement contentions and makes document accompanying disclosures (Patent L.R. 3-1 and 3-2)	August 28, 2014
Deadline to amend pleadings	October 20, 2014
Netflix serves invalidity contentions and make document production accompanying invalidity contentions (Patent L.R. 3-3 and 3-4)	October 31, 2014
Exchange of proposed terms for construction (Patent L.R. 4-1)	November 14, 2014
Exchange of preliminary claim constructions and extrinsic evidence (Patent L.R. 4-2)	December 5, 2014
Joint claim construction and prehearing statement (Patent L.R. 4-3)	December 19, 2014

Event	Agreed Upon Date
Completion of claim construction discovery (Patent L.R. 4-4)	January 16, 2015
Rovi's opening claim construction brief	January 30, 2015
Netflix's responsive claim construction brief	February 18, 2015
Rovi's claim construction reply	March 2, 2015
Markman Tutorial (1/2 day)	March 13, 2015
Claim Construction Hearing	March 25, 2015

II. CONSENT TO MAGISTRATE

While the Court has assigned a magistrate judge to resolve discovery disputes, Rovi declines to consent to the assignment to a magistrate judge for any other purposes. Netflix consents to a magistrate judge for all purposes.

III. DEPOSITION

The parties agree that each side may take up to 150 hours of deposition time on the record subject to (1) a 70-hour limit on depositions of party witnesses, i.e., witnesses designated to testify pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(b)(6) and party employees testifying in their individual capacity, and (2) no party witness may be deposed more than once regardless of whether the witness is presented in his individual or corporate capacity.

1 Dated: August 21, 2014

KEKER & VAN NEST LLP

2 By: /s/ Sharif E. Jacob
3 ASHOK RAMANI
SHARIF E. JACOB

4 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Counterdefendant
5 and Counterclaimant NETFLIX, INC.

6 Dated: August 21, 2014

MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP

7 By: /s/ Yar R. Chaikovsky
8 YAR R. CHAIKOVSKY

9 Attorneys for Defendants-Counterclaimants
10 Rovi Corp., Rovi Technologies Corp., Rovi
11 Guides, Inc., United Video Properties, Inc.,
Aptiv Digital, Inc., and Starsight Telecast,
Inc.

12
13 **SIGNATURE ATTESTATION**

14 Pursuant to Civil L.R. 5.1, I hereby attest that I have obtained the concurrence in the
15 filing of this document from all the signatories for whom a signature is indicated by a
16 “conformed” signature (/s/) within this e-filed document and I have on file records to support this
17 concurrence for subsequent production for the Court if so ordered or for inspection upon request.

18
19
20 Dated: August 21, 2014

/s/ Yar R. Chaikovsky
Yar R. Chaikovsky

CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER

The above STIPULATION REGARDING FURTHER CASE MANAGEMENT is approved and all parties shall comply with its provisions.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 8/25/14

