

1 KEKER & VAN NEST LLP
ASHOK RAMANI - # 200020
2 aramani@kvn.com
MICHAEL S. KWUN - # 198945
3 mkwun@kvn.com
SHARIF E. JACOB - # 257546
4 sjacob@kvn.com
STACY S. CHEN - # 261822
5 schen@kvn.com
633 Battery Street
6 San Francisco, CA 94111-1809
Telephone: 415 391 5400
7 Facsimile: 415 397 7188

8 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Counter-Defendant,
and Counter-Claimant, NETFLIX, INC.

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11 OAKLAND DIVISION

12 NETFLIX, INC.,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 ROVI CORPORATION; ROVI
16 TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION; ROVI
GUIDES, INC. (f/k/a GEMSTAR-TV
17 GUIDE INTERNATIONAL); and UNITED
VIDEO PROPERTIES, INC.,

18 Defendants.

Case No. 4:11-CV-6591 PJH

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
NETFLIX'S UNOPPOSED MOTION TO
STAY CASE**

Date: June 13, 2012
Time: 9:00 a.m.
Dept.: Courtroom 3, 3rd Floor
Judge: Hon. Phyllis J. Hamilton

Date Filed: December 21, 2011

Trial Date: None

20 ROVI CORPORATION; ROVI
21 TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION; ROVI
GUIDES, INC. (f/k/a GEMSTAR-TV
22 GUIDE INTERNATIONAL); UNITED
VIDEO PROPERTIES, INC.; APTIV
23 DIGITAL, INC.; and STARSIGHT
TELECAST, INC.,

24 Counter-Claimants,

25 v.

26 NETFLIX, INC.,

27 Counter-Defendant.
28

NETFLIX, INC.,

Counter-Claimant,

v.

ROVI CORPORATION; UNITED VIDEO
PROPERTIES, INC.; APTIV DIGITAL,
INC.; and STARSIGHT TELECAST, INC.,

Counter-Defendants.

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Presently before the Court is Plaintiff Netflix, Inc.'s Unopposed Motion to Stay. The Court, having considered the papers filed in support of the motion, the non-opposition of Defendants and Counter-Claimants Rovi Corporation, Rovi Technologies Corp., Rovi Guides, Inc., and United Video Properties, Inc., and Counter-Claimants Aptiv Digital, Inc. and Starsight Telecast, Inc., and other matters relevant to the determination of the motion, hereby **GRANTS** the motion to stay. Accordingly, the Court orders as follows:

1. The present action shall be stayed pending final resolution of any action instituted upon the Complaint styled *Certain Products Containing Interactive Program Guide and Parental Control Technology*, filed in the ITC on May 1, 2012, and bearing docket number 2984, including any initial and final determinations of the Administrative Law Judge, the ITC, and review and appeals therefrom;

2. During the stay, any and all proceedings in the present action are stayed;

3. All pending conferences and hearings are taken off calendar;

4. All pending deadlines are vacated; and

5. Following the expiration of the stay, the parties shall confer with each other and contact the Court for purposes of lifting the stay and entry of a case management order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 15, 2012

HON. PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

