townsend.

TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP
PAUL W. VAPNEK (State Bar No. 36576)
MARC M. GORELNIK (State Bar No. 166833)
DAVID SCHNAPF (State Bar No. 100199)
MEGAN M. CHUNG (State Bar No. 232044)
Two Embarcadero Center, Eighth Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 576-0200
Facsimile: (415) 576-0300
Email: pwvapnek@townsend.com
Email: mmgorelnik@townsend.com
Email: dschnapf@townsend.com
Email: mmchung@townsend.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterdefendant
Z-LINE DESIGNS, INC.

JONES DAY
ARTHUR S. BEEMAN (State Bar No.237996)
PAMELA K. FULMER (State Bar No. 154736)
NOEL RODRIGUEZ (State Bar No. 228784)
M. ANDERSON BERRY (State Bar No. 262879)
555 California Street, 26th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: (415) 626-3939
Facsimile: (415) 875-5700
Email: asbeeman@jonesday.com
Email: pkfulmer@jonesday.com
Email: nrodrigez@jonesday.com
Email: aberry@jonesday.com

Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant
PLANET 3, LLC

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

Z-LINE DESIGNS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

PLANET 3, LLC,

Defendant.

AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.

Case No. CV-09-1153 MHP

**THIRD JOINT STIPULATION AND ~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER FOR MODIFICATION OF CASE MANAGEMENT SCHEDULE AND TRIAL DATE**

Judge: Honorable Marilyn Hall Patel

townsend.

WHEREAS, Z-Line Designs, Inc. ("Z-Line" or "Plaintiff") and Defendant Planet 3, LLC ("Planet 3" or "Defendant") are engaged in settlement discussions, which respective counsel believe will lead to a final resolution of this matter;

WHEREAS, the parties have reached a settlement-in-principle and are working to memorialize the terms of the settlement, with a written draft in circulation;

WHEREAS, the parties, mindful of this Court's interest in having this case resolved, and confident of the parties' ability to resolve this matter, are diligently working to reach a settlement. However, given the approaching close of fact discovery, the parties require additional time;

WHEREAS, counsel for the parties believe that an additional thirty (30) day suspension of applicable deadlines in this case will allow the parties and counsel to continue to devote their attention and resources to a final resolution of this matter.

WHEREAS, one previous suspension has been sought by the parties;

ACCORDINGLY, the parties, by and through their undersigned counsel, HEREBY STIPULATE as follows:

In order to avoid prejudicing the parties' discovery efforts and trial preparations, and to allow the parties to focus on settlement negotiations, the parties agree and respectfully request that the current pre-trial schedule be modified as follows:

1. The fact discovery cut-off, which is currently set for April 7, 2010, is extended to May 7, 2010.

2. The deadline to designate experts, which is currently set for May 5, 2010 is extended to June 4, 2010.

3. The deadline to designate rebuttal experts, which is currently set for June 9, 2010, is extended to July 9, 2010.

4. The expert discovery cut-off, which is currently set for Ju1y 7, 2010, is extended to August 6, 2010.

5. The hearing on dispositive motions, which is currently set for August 30, 2010, is reset for October 4, 2010, at 2:00 p.m., or as soon thereafter as the Court's schedule permits.

6. The pretrial conference, which is currently set for October 7, 2010, is adjourned to

townsend.

November ~~11~~ **10**, 2010, at 2:30 p.m., or as soon thereafter as the Court's schedule permits.

7. The trial date, which is current set for October 26, 2010, is adjourned to November 30, 2010, at 8:30 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the Court's schedule permits.

8. All other deadlines are tolled for thirty (30) days as of the date of this stipulation.

**IT IS SO STIPULATED BY THE PARTIES.**

Dated: March 3, 2010

TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP

By /s/
Marc M. Gorelnik
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Z-LINE DESIGNS, INC.

Dated: March 3, 2010

JONES DAY

By /s/
Arthur S. Beeman
Attorneys for Defendant
PLANET 3, LLC

**SIGNATURE ATTESTATION**

Pursuant to General Order No. 45(X)(B), I hereby attest that I have obtained the concurrence in the filing of this document from counsel for Defendant for whom a signature is indicated by a "conformed" signature (/s/) within this e-filed document, and I have on file records to support this concurrence for subsequent production for the court, if so ordered, or for inspection upon request.

Dated: March 3, 2010

/s/
Marc M. Gorelnik
Attorney for Plaintiff

**PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED**

Dated: 3/4/2010

MARILYN H[illegible]
United States D[illegible]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
IT IS SO ORDERED
Judge Marilyn H. Patel
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

62482854 v1