EXHIBIT C

JAMES C. YOON, State Bar No. 177155
Email: jyoon@wsgr.com
STEFANI E. SHANBERG, State Bar No. 206717
Email: sshanberg@wsgr.com
RYAN SMITH, State Bar No. 229323
Email: rsmith@wsgr.com
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
Professional Corporation
650 Page Mill Road
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050
Telephone: (650) 493-9300
Facsimile: (650) 565-5100

Attorneys for Proposed Intervenor
DisplayLink Corporation

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SOUTHERN DIVISION – SANTA ANA

MAGIC CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES, a Taiwan corporation

Plaintiff,

v.

KENSINGTON COMPUTER PRODUCTS GROUP, a division of ACCO Brands Corporation, a Delaware corporation; GWC TECHNOLOGY, INC., a California corporation; GOOD WAY TECHNOLOGY CO. LTD., a Taiwan corporation; and GOOD WAY ELECTRONICS, a China corporation.

Defendants.

CASE NO.: 8:07-CV-00833 AG (MLG)

VAN DEN HEEVER DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF DISPLAYLINK CORPORATION'S MOTION TO INTERVENE AND TO STAY OR TRANSFER ACTION

Date: September 10, 2007
Time: 10 a.m.
Courtroom: 10D
Before: Hon. Andrew J. Guilford

DECLARATION OF DANIEL ADRIAN VAN DEN HEEVER IN SUPPORT OF DISPLAYLINK'S MOTION TO INTERVENE AND STAY OR TRANSFER ACTION

I, Daniel Adrian van den Heever, hereby declare as follows:

1. I am the Vice President of Engineering Strategy and Intellectual Property for DisplayLink Corporation. If called as a witness to testify, I could and would competently testify to the matters asserted herein.

2. DisplayLink was founded in 2003 and is in the business of designing and selling new and powerful ways of connecting computers to displays over data networks and general purpose bus interconnection technologies.

3. DisplayLink's technology enables personal computers and laptops to connect to displays via a general purpose data networks including universal serial bus (USB) connection. DisplayLink designs and manufactures technology used to implement USB-to-VGA display adapters and USB Docking Stations with Video. DisplayLink also provides a reference design using its technology for such a USB-to-VGA adapter.

4. DisplayLink is Magic Control Technology ("MCT")'s primary, if not only, competitor in the market for USB-to-VGA display adapter technology.

5. Between December 2006 and April 2007, MCT engaged in series of acts that indicated its intent to assert its alleged claim under the '788 patent against DisplayLink's products. Specifically, MCT made statements to DisplayLink customers and commercial partners, including Kensington Corporation, that it intended to enforce its rights in the '788 patent against competing USB-to-VGA display technology providers. These statements threatened to disrupt DisplayLink's relationship with these customers and partners.

6. In January, 2007, despite having earlier reached an agreement with DisplayLink to resolve the '788 patent dispute through arbitration, MCT demanded that DisplayLink direct its customers and commercial partners to use MCT's facilities to produce products incorporating DisplayLink's USB-to-VGA technology. MCT further demanded that DisplayLink inform its customers and commercial partners that they will be required to pay a license fee to MCT for rights arising under the '788 patent. DisplayLink also learned that MCT had expressly told a DisplayLink customer that it would be required to use MCT's facilities for the production of its products that incorporate DisplayLink's USB-to-VGA technology and that it would be required to pay a licensing fee to MCT for the production of such products.

7. On March 30, 2007, DisplayLink discovered that MCT CEO, Mr. Liu, believed that the two companies should not engage in negotiations (or the previously agreed-to arbitration) relating to the '788 patent before MCT instituted legal proceedings against DisplayLink.

8. In early April, DisplayLink received reports that MCT was investigating whether DisplayLink's products indeed infringed one or more claims of the '788 patent. MCT requested that DisplayLink provide sample pieces of its USB-to-VGA products to MCT's patent counsel around this same time.

9. Based on the above conduct—and in an effort to protect itself and its customers—on April 10, 2007, DisplayLink filed a complaint in the Northern District of California (where it is located) for declaratory judgment of non-infringement and invalidity of the '788 patent.

10. Kensington Computer Products Group, GWC Technology, Inc., Good Way Technology Co., Ltd., and Good Way Electronics are customers of DisplayLink.

11. The USB-to-VGA technology that Defendants' utilize in their accused products, import, sell, and/or offer for sale are provided and manufactured by DisplayLink.

12. The USB-to-VGA technology is the only component of Defendants' accused products in any way related to the invention claimed in the asserted '788 patent entitled "USB-to-VGA Converter."

13. DisplayLink has agreed to indemnify and defend its customers, Kensington Computer Products Group, GWC Technology, Inc., Good Way Technology Co., Ltd., and Good Way Electronics, against MCT's claims of infringement.

14. If the Central District of California suit against DisplayLink's customers proceeds, DisplayLink would face the hardship of defending the claims against its customers as well as the claims against itself. DisplayLink would be forced to fund and participate in duplicative concurrent defenses. The fact that DisplayLink is a small company makes these hardships even greater.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on August 20, 2007, at 480 S California Ave, Palo Alto, CA

Daniel Adrian van den Heever