

1 **PERKINS COIE LLP**

2 Abdul Kallon (*pro hac vice* forthcoming)

AKallon@perkinscoie.com

3 Ryan Spear (*pro hac vice* forthcoming)

4 RSpears@perkinscoie.com

1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900

5 Seattle, WA 98101-3099

Telephone: 206.359.8000

6 Facsimile: 206.359.9000

7 Sunita Bali, Bar No. 274108

SBali@perkinscoie.com

8 Danielle Sivalingam, Bar No. 294369

9 DSivalingam@perkinscoie.com

Angie Kim, Bar No. 270503 (*application for admission forthcoming*)

10 AngieKim@perkinscoie.com

605 Howard Street, Suite 1000

11 San Francisco, CA 94105

Telephone: 415.344.7000

12 Facsimile: 415.344.7050

13 Michael R. Huston (*pro hac vice* forthcoming)

14 MHuston@perkinscoie.com

700 13th St NW

15 Washington, DC 20005

Telephone: 202.654.6200

16 Facsimile: 202.654.621

17 *Attorneys for Defendant Google LLC (erroneously sued as Google*
18 *Inc.)*

19 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
20 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

22 REPUBLICAN NATIONAL COMMITTEE,

23 Plaintiff,

24 v.

25 GOOGLE INC.,

26 Defendant.

Case No. 2:22-cv-01904-TLN-JDP

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO
EXTEND DEADLINE TO RESPOND TO
COMPLAINT**

27
28

1 Plaintiff Republican National Committee (“Plaintiff”) and Defendant Google LLC
2 (erroneously sued as “Google Inc.”) (“Defendant”) (collectively the “parties”), by and through
3 their undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate as follows:

4 1. WHEREAS, Plaintiff filed its Complaint on October 21, 2022, *see* Dkt. 1;

5 2. WHEREAS, Plaintiff served Defendant with its Complaint on November 17,
6 2022;

7 3. WHEREAS, Defendant’s response to the Complaint is due on or before
8 December 8, 2022;

9 4. WHEREAS, Defendant’s counsel was only recently retained on November 29,
10 2022;

11 5. WHEREAS, good cause exists to grant the extension, so that Defendant has time
12 to adequately respond to the allegations in the Complaint;

13 6. WHEREAS, on December 1, 2022, shortly after Defendant’s counsel was retained,
14 it reached out to Plaintiff’s counsel to discuss an extension of time to respond to the Complaint
15 and, accordingly, the parties are making this request as soon as reasonably practicable;

16 7. WHEREAS, Defendant will suffer significant prejudice if an extension is not
17 granted because it needs sufficient time to respond to the Complaint;

18 8. WHEREAS, due to Defendant’s counsel’s recent retention and the upcoming
19 holiday season, Defendant requests a 45-day extension of time to respond to the Complaint;

20 9. WHEREAS, Plaintiff does not oppose Defendant’s requested extension;

21 10. WHEREAS, the parties agree that neither party will suffer any prejudice if the
22 Court grants the extension of time to respond to the Complaint; and

23 11. WHEREAS, the parties have not previously requested extensions of any deadlines,
24 and the parties do not believe that this extension will affect the progress of the case.

25 THEREFORE, the parties hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

26 1. Defendant is granted a 45-day extension to respond to the Complaint;

27 2. Defendant’s response to the Complaint shall be due on January 23, 2023;

28 3. Plaintiff’s opposition brief, if any, shall be due on February 6, 2023; and

1 4. Defendant's reply brief, if any, shall be due on February 16, 2023.

2 **IT IS SO STIPULATED.**

3 Dated: December 1, 2022

PERKINS COIE LLP

4

5

By: /s/ Sunita Bali

6

Sunita Bali, Bar No. 274108

7

Attorneys for Google LLC (erroneously sued
as Google Inc.)

8

9 Dated: December 1, 2022

DHILLON LAW GROUP INC.

10

11

By: /s/ Thomas R. McCarthy

12

Harmeet K. Dhillon, SBN 207873

13

Michael A. Columbo (admission
forthcoming)

14

Jeremiah D. Graham

15

Anthony J. Fusaro, Jr. (admission
forthcoming)

16

Counsel for Plaintiff Republican National
Committee

17

18

CONSOVOY MCCARTHY PLLC

19

Thomas R. McCarthy (pro hac vice
application pending)

20

Thomas S. Vaseliou (pro hac vice
application pending)

21

Conor D. Woodfin (pro hac vice
application pending)

22

23

Counsel for Plaintiff Republican National
Committee

24

25

26

27

28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ATTESTATION

Concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from the individual whose electronic signature is attributed above.

By: /s/ Sunita Bali
Sunita Bali, Bar No. 274108
Attorneys for Google LLC (erroneously sued as Google Inc.)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28


ORDER

The Court, having reviewed the Stipulation to Extend Deadline to Respond Complaint, and good cause appearing, HEREBY ORDERS:

1. Defendant is granted a 45-day extension to respond to the Complaint;
2. Defendant’s response to the Complaint shall be due on January 23, 2023;
3. Plaintiff’s opposition brief, if any, shall be due on February 6, 2023; and
4. Defendant’s reply brief, if any, shall be due on February 16, 2023.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 2, 2022



Troy L. Nunley
United States District Judge