Stephen J. Akerley (CA Bar No. 160757)
DECHERT LLP
Email: stephen.akerley@dechert.com
2440 W. El Camino Real, Suite 700
Mountain View, CA 94040-1499
Telephone: (650) 813-4800
Fax: (650) 813-4848

Attorney for Plaintiff and Counterclaim-Defendant *Repro-Med Systems, Inc. (d/b/a RMS Medical Products)*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SACRAMENTO DIVISION

REPRO-MED SYSTEMS, INC. (d/b/a RMS MEDICAL PRODUCTS),

Plaintiff and Counterclaim-Defendant,

v.

EMED TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION,

Defendant and Counterclaim-Plaintiff.

Civil Action No. 2:13-cv-01957-GEB-CKD

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND TIME FOR PLAINTIFF'S TO RESPOND TO COUNTERCLAIMS

Plaintiff and Counterclaim-Defendant Repro-Med Systems, Inc. (d/b/a RMS Medical Products) ("Plaintiff") and Defendant and Counterclaim-Plaintiff EMED Technologies Corporation ("Defendant") (collectively, "the Parties") by and through counsel and pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6 and Local Rule 144, hereby stipulate to a 28-day extension of time for Plaintiff to move, answer or otherwise respond to Defendant's Counterclaims as set forth below:

WHEREAS, Plaintiff filed the Complaint on September 20, 2013;

WHEREAS, Plaintiff served Defendant with the Complaint on September 25, 2013;

WHEREAS, Defendant filed an Answer and Counterclaims on October 11, 2013;

WHEREAS, Plaintiff's response to Defendant's Counterclaims is due on November 4, 2013;

WHEREAS, the Parties agree and stipulate to extend the response date by 28 days to December 2, 2013;

WHEREAS, there is good cause for the extension of time because the parties are attempting to do so without filing unnecessary pleadings and/or other documents with the Court and without preparing and serving unnecessary documents upon each other; and

WHEREAS, there have been no previous extensions of time in this action, and it appears that no prejudice will result from the extension requested herein;

NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties agree and stipulate to the extension of time up to and including December 2, 2013 for Plaintiff to move, answer or otherwise respond to Defendant's Counterclaims.

IT IS SO STIPULATED:

Dated: October 24, 2013

DECHERT LLP

By: */s/ Stephen J. Akerley*
Stephen J. Akerley
Attorney for Plaintiff and Counterclaim-Defendant Repro-Med Systems, Inc. (d/b/a RMS Medical Products)

Dated: October 24, 2013

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

By: */s/ Michael Stusiak*
Michael Stusiak

Alan Cope Johnston (State Bar No. 66334)
E-mail: ACJohnston@mofo.com
Morrison & Foerster LLP
755 Page Mill Road
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1018
Tel: (650) 813-5600

Michael Stusiak (State Bar No. 142952)
E-mail: MStusiak@mofo.com
Morrison & Foerster LLP
400 Capitol Mall, Suite 2600
Sacramento, CA 95814-4428
Tel: (916) 448-3200

Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaim-Plaintiff EMED Technologies Corporation

ATTESTATION

I hereby attest that I have obtained concurrence in the filing of this document from other signatories.

Executed this 24th day of October, 2013, at Mountain View, California.

DECHERT LLP

By: */s/ Stephen J. Akerley*
Stephen J. Akerley

Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim-Defendant Repro-Med Systems, Inc. (d/b/a RMS Medical Products)

ORDER

Pursuant to stipulation of the parties, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

Plaintiff shall move, answer or otherwise respond to Defendant's Counterclaims on or before December 2, 2013.

Dated: October 25, 2013

GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR.
Senior United States District Judge