Case No. 5:24-cv-1244

DEFENDANT NATIONWIDE COMPANY'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF CIVIL ACTION TO THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441, AND

Action Filed: May 9, 2024

TO THE CLERK OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE

Company ("Nationwide" or "Defendant"), contemporaneously with the filing of this Notice of Removal, hereby removes the below-referenced action from the Superior Court of the State of California, County of San Bernardino, to the United

The removal is based on 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(d) and 1441(b), specifically, on

JURISDICTION

- 1. "[A]ny civil action brought in a State court of which the district courts of the United States have original jurisdiction, may be removed by the defendant or the defendants, to the district court of the United States for the district and division embracing the place where such action is pending." 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a).
- 2. This Court has diversity jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a) because (1) the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000 because the Plaintiff's Complaint seeks damages in excess of \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs, and (2) there is diversity between Plaintiff and Defendant because they are citizens of different states. 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(a), 1441.
- 3. Accordingly, this case is properly removed under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332 and 1441, as this case falls within the Court's diversity jurisdiction.

A. Diversity of Citizenship

- 4. For diversity purposes, "a corporation shall be deemed to be a citizen of every State and foreign state by which it has been incorporated and of the State or foreign state where it has its principal place of business..." 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c)(1).
- 5. Nationwide is and was, at the time of filing of the Complaint and the filing of this removal, a corporation incorporated under the laws of and with its principal place of business in Ohio. Therefore, Nationwide is a citizen of Ohio for diversity purposes.
- 6. Plaintiff Town of Apple Valley ("Plaintiff") is a municipality organized and existing under the laws of the State of California and is, thus, a citizen of California for diversity purposes.
 - 7. Nationwide is the only defendant served in this action.
- 8. As Plaintiff is a California citizen and Defendant is an Ohio citizen, the parties in this action are diverse.

B. Amount in Controversy

- 9. To meet the 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a) amount in controversy threshold, Plaintiff must allege damages in excess of \$75,000 at the time of removal.
- Nationwide disputes Plaintiff's allegations and denies liability as to Plaintiff's claims, the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs. Plaintiff's Complaint puts at issue the Nationwide commercial general liability policy number RPG7258600 (the "Policy"), which has a coverage limit of \$1,000,000. (Compl., Ex. 3.) *See Md. Cas. Co. v. Ironshore Specialty Ins. Co.*, Case No. 2:16-cv-00186-MCE-AC, 2016 WL 4096747, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 100462, at *4–5 (E.D. Cal. July 29, 2016) ("[P]olicy limits are unquestionably a factor relevant in determining whether the amount in controversy requirement for diversity jurisdiction has been met in insurance coverage actions.")
- 11. Additionally, Plaintiff's Complaint arises out of its contention that Nationwide owes it a duty to defend and indemnify Plaintiff in the underlying wrongful death action. *See Century Sur. Co. v. J Quinn Constr.*, Case No. CV 09-06085 DDP (JEMx), 2010 WL 330246, 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 11883, at *10–11 (C.D. Cal. Jan. 20, 2010) ("[T]he value of an insurance company's obligation to defend an insured in an underlying suit is a relevant measure of the amount in controversy in an action for declaratory relief.") While Plaintiff's defense costs to date are unknown, such costs alone are likely to exceed the minimum amount in controversy to support removal and are certainly to surpass the threshold when combined with Plaintiff's demand for punitive damages and attorneys' fees, as further described below.
- 12. Moreover, Plaintiff's Complaint asserts that Defendant allegedly acted "with a conscious disregard for [Plaintiff's] rights" and "with the intent to vex[,] injure or annoy [Plaintiff[such as to constitute oppression, fraud or malice." (Compl., ¶ 33.) As such, Plaintiff alleges that it is entitled to an award of punitive

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

damages against Defendant. (Id.) See Gibson v. Chrysler Corp., 261 F.3d 927, 945
(9th Cir. 2001) ("It is well established that punitive damages are part of the amount
in controversy in a civil action.") (citation omitted). Punitive damages often exceed
the minimum jurisdictional amount on their own. Campbell v. Hartford Life Ins.
Co., 825 F. Supp. 2d 1005, 1008 (E.D. Cal. 2011) (holding jurisdictional minimum
may be satisfied through special and general damages, punitive damages, and
attorney's fees).

- Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees and costs. (Compl., Prayer for 13. Relief.) Courts have found that attorneys' fees and costs often exceed the minimum jurisdictional amount on their own. See James Dickey, Inc. v. Alterra Am. Ins. Co., No. 2-15-CV-00963 ODW DTB, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 97793, 2015 WL 4537732, at *3 (C.D. Cal. July 27, 2015) (holding that California courts may consider attorneys' fees, sought under Brandt v. Superior Court, 37 Cal. 3d 813, 815 (1985), as part of the amount in controversy when they are incurred to obtain benefits under an insurance policy); Campbell, 825 F. Supp. 2d at (holding jurisdictional minimum may be satisfied through special and general damages, punitive damages, and attorneys' fees); Cain v. Hartford Life & Acc. Ins. Co., 890 F. Supp. 2d 1246, 1250 (C.D. Cal. 2012) (court was within its discretion to find attorneys' fees estimated over the course of the entire litigation would exceed jurisdictional limit).
- 14. Consequently, as alleged in Plaintiff's Complaint, Plaintiff's claimed compensatory damages and attorneys' fees far exceed the jurisdictional threshold amount of \$75,000.

PLEADINGS, PROCESS AND ORDERS

On or about May 9, 2024, Plaintiff filed a complaint with the Superior 15. Court of the State of California, County of San Bernardino (the "Complaint"), titled Town of Apple Valley v. Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company, Case No. CIV SB 2414057. The Complaint seeks damages "including punitive damages,"

"[a]ttorney's fees to obtain wrongfully withheld policy benefits," "prejudgment
interest and costs of suit" and declaratory judgments on Nationwide's duty to
defend and indemnify. (Compl., Prayer for Relief.) Plaintiff's Complaint alleges
causes of action for breach of contract, declaratory relief as to Nationwide's duty to
defend, declaratory relief as to Nationwide's duty to indemnify, and tortious breach
of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing.

- 16. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a), a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Complaint and all process and pleadings in the state court action are attached collectively as **Exhibit A**.
- 17. Plaintiff's allegations arise from Nationwide Commercial General Liability Policy No. RPG7258600. (Compl., Ex. 3.) Plaintiff alleges that Nationwide breached its duties under the Policy by refusing to defend and indemnify Plaintiff in an underlying wrongful death action. (Compl., ¶¶ 11–14, 16–20, 22, 25–26, 30–31.)
- 18. On May 14, 2024, Nationwide was served with the Summons and Complaint.

TIMELINESS OF REMOVAL

19. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1446(b), this Notice of Removal is timely filed within thirty (30) days of Defendant's notice and receipt of Plaintiff's Summons and Complaint.

VENUE IS PROPER

20. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a) because the United States District Court, Central District of California embraces the county and court in which Plaintiff filed this action—the Superior Court for the State of California, County of San Bernardino.

NOTICE TO PLAINTIFF AND SUPERIOR COURT

21. Contemporaneously with the filing of this Notice of Removal in the United States District Court for the Central District of California, and pursuant to

28 U.S.C. § 1446(d), written notice of this filing and any attendant supplementary
papers required by this Court will be given to Plaintiff, and a copy of the Notice of
Removal will be filed with the Clerk of the Court for the Superior Court of the State
of California, County of San Bernardino.
<u>CONCLUSION</u>
22. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a), this Court has jurisdiction over this
action under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441 and 1446 because, for the reasons set forth above,
the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000,00, exclusive of interest and costs, and

there is diversity between Plaintiff and Defendant who are citizens of different states and/or a foreign state.

Defendant, by filing this Notice of Removal, does not waive any 23. defenses or objections available to it under the law. Defendant reserves the right to amend or supplement this Notice of Removal.

WHEREFORE, Defendant requests that the above-captioned action pending in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of San Bernardino, Case No. CIV SB 2414057, be removed to this Court.

Dated: June 13, 2024	Respectfully submitted,
	DENTONS US LLP
	By: <u>/s/ <i>Natalie Limber</i></u> Natalie Limber
	Attorneys for Defendant NATIONWIDE MUTUAL
	INSURANCE COMPANY

EXHIBIT A

COPY

SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

NATIONWIDE MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY, a Ohlo Corporation, and DOES 1-25, inclusive

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

TOWN OF APPLE VALLEY

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

MAY 09 2024

By

Deputy

Within 30 days, Read the Information

SUM-100

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a conve

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ce.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away, If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program, You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifomia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/self/lefp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory iten for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. [AVISOI Lo han demendado. Si no responde dentro de 30 dias, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una liamada telefónica no lo protegan. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formeto legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioleca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente, Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales grafuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitlo web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelposlifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colagio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por fey, la corte tiene derecho a raclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte entes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y dirección de la corte es): SAN BERNARDINO

247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415-0210

For your protection and privacy, please press the Clear

This Form hulton after you have neleted the form

CASE NUMBER: (Núm CIVESB 2 4 1 4 0 5 7

Closethia farm

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
(El nombre, la dirección y el r	phone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney número de teléfono del ebogado del demandante, o del demandar ristopher T. Hicks, Esq. 5030 Camino de la Siesta, Sulte 300, San	nte que no tiene abogado, est:
DATE: (Fecha) MAY ()	· Clark by	Surmentales Deputy (Adjunto)
(For proof of service of this su (Para prueba de entrega de e	ummans, use Proof of Service of Summans (form POS-005.) esta citatión use el formulario Proof of Service of Symmons, (POS	///
COPY	NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served 1 as an individual defendant. 2 as the person sued under the fictilious name of (specify): NATIONWIDE MUTUAL INSURATION COP 416.10 (corporation) CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation) CCP 416.40 (association or partnership) other (specify): 4 by personal delivery on (date):	ANCE COMPANY, A OHIO CORPORATION CCP 416.60 (minor) CCP 416.70 (conservatee) CCP 416.90 (authorized person)
Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California	SUMMONS	Page 1 of 1 Code of Civil Procedure 95 412:20, 485
SUM-100 TRev. July 1, 20091	·	WWW.COURTS.CR.GOV

Drine thin form | Bore thin form

1 Robert V. Closson, Esq. (SBN 125646) Filing Fce Exempt - Gov't Code 6103 bclosson@hirschclosson.com 2 Christopher T. Hicks (SBN 300462) chicks@hirschclosson.com FILED SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO 3 HIRSCH CLOSSON, APLC 5030 Camino de la Siesta, Suite 300 4 San Diego, CA 92108 MAY_Q9 2024 Telephone (619) 233-7006 Facsimile (619) 233-7009 5 6 Attorneys for Plaintiff Town of Apple Valley 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO 9 10 TOWN OF APPLE VALLEY. CASE NO.: CIV SB 2 4 1 4 0 5 7 11 Plaintiff, COMPLAINT FOR: 12 1) BREACH OF CONTRACT 13 NATIONWIDE MUTUAL INSURANCE 2) DECLARATORY RELIEF COMPANY, a Ohio Corporation, and DOES 1-[DEFENSE] 14 25, inclusive. 3) DECLARATORY RELIEF 15 Defendants. [INDEMNITY] 16 4) BREACH OF THE COVENANT GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING 17 18 Plaintiff Town of Apple Valley (hereinafter "TAV" or "Plaintiff") hereby brings this 19 Complaint against NATIONWIDE MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY, a Ohio corporation 20 ("NATIONWIDE" or "Defendant"), and DOES 1-25, inclusive and alleges herein as follows: 21 INTRODUCTION 22 1. This action arises from NATIONWIDE'S and DOES 1-25 failure and refusal to 23 provide a full and complete defense to the TAV in an underlying lawsuit captioned Andrews et al. 24 v. Chad Richard Goodro, et al., San Bernardino Sup. Ct. Case No. CIVSB2204565 (hereinafter, the 25 "Underlying Action"). Defendant's refusal to fully defend the Underlying Action has directly 26 prejudiced the TAV and caused TAV to expend and incur attorneys' fees and costs that remain 27 unreimbursed by Defendants. The TAV seeks compensatory damages based on Defendants' refusal 28 to pay for its defense in the Underlying Action as well as exemplary damages based on Defendants'

COMPLAINT

refusal to provide a full and complete defense.

THE PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff the TAV is a California municipality located in the County of San Bernardino, State of California.
- 3. The TAV is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that Defendant NATIONWIDE is a corporation, organized and existing under the laws of the State of Ohio and at all relevant times hereto was authorized and permitted to transact and was transacting the business of insurance in the State of California.
- 4. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise of Defendants DOES 1-25, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore sues such defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each of the fictitiously-named defendants are insurers which issued, participated in the issuance of or administrated, one or more of the hereinafter described Policies. Plaintiff shall amend this complaint to set forth the true names and capacities of the fictitiously-named defendants when ascertained.

THE UNDERLYING ACTION

- 7. In 2022, the TAV was sued as a defendant in the Underlying Action for damages including causes of action for negligence and wrongful death. The plaintiffs in the Underlying Action (hereinafter, "the Andrews") are the survivors of Lawrence Andrews III, a 14 year old minor (hereinafter "decedent") who was struck and killed by an automobile operated by Richard Goodro who was negligent in operating his vehicle at an excessive speed at the time of the accident, on or about 8:11 p.m. on October 19, 2021. The location of the accident was Ottawa Road, the Town of Apple Valley adjacent to the James A. Woody Community Center and Park where the decedent had been a participant in an organized, scheduled football game. The football game was conducted by the Apple Valley Rebels Youth Football & Cheer dba AV Heat ("AV Heat"), the named insured under NATIONWIDE'S insurance policy. A true and correct copy of the Complaint in the Underlying Action is attached hereto as Exhibit "1".
- 8. The TAV entered into a Facility Use Agreement with AV Heat on or about April, 2021, which remained in full force and effect at all times during the circumstances which are the

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- from any and all causes of action, claims, liabilities, obligations, judgments, or damages, including reasonable attorneys fees, arising out of any and all "activities." A true and correct copy of the Facility Use Agreement is attached hereto as Exhibit "2". Paragraph 12 of the Rules and Regulations section of the Facility Use Agreement indicates that TAV is not responsible for injuries to AV Heat or its guests, and Paragraph 13 indicates that TAV was to be covered as an additional insured for injuries "arising at any time during and/or arising out of or in any way connected with AV Heat's use or occupancy of the TAV's facilities and adjoining property." Paragraph 17 indicated that AV Heat is solely responsible for supervising all individuals at the facility and adjoining property.
 - 9. Pursuant to the Facility Use Agreement the TAV was regularly provided with proof of insurance certificates identifying NATIONWIDE, A.V. Heat's general liability insurer, and as the TAV's additional insurer for purposes of its liability arising from A.V. Heat's use of TAV's facilities, including but not limited to the uses set forth in the Facility Use Agreement.
 - 10. The TAV was informed and believes and thereon alleges that the liability of the TAV in the Underlying Action if any, arose in whole or in part from the acts or omissions of A.V. Heat pursuant to the Facility Use Agreement. Therefore, the TAV tendered its defense and indemnity to NATIONWIDE as an additional insured by letter dated April 28, 2023.

THE INSURANCE POLICY

11. NATIONWIDE and Does 1 through 10 issued an insurance policy to A.V. Heat, identified as policy number RPG7258600. The Policy was in effect for the period December 14, 2020 to December 14, 2021. The TAV has not been provided with copies of the Policy, but is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the Policy contains, at a minimum, the terms referenced in NATIONWIDE'S denial of coverage letter to the TAV dated May 18, 2023 (hereinafter, "the Denial Letter"). A true and correct copy of the Denial Letter is attached hereto as Exhibit "3," and is incorporated herein as if fully set forth, including but not limited to the references to Policy language and additional insured endorsements.

12. The CITY is informed and believes and thereon alleges that it qualifies as an "additional insured" under the NATIONWIDE policy with respect to the allegations against it in the Underlying Action, based upon the terms of the NATIONWIDE Policy and the insurance requirements of the Facility Use Agreement.

TENDERS OF DEFENSE TO DEFENDANTS AND RESPONSES

- 13. As alleged herein above, the TAV is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the liability of the TAV in the Underlying Action if any, arises in whole or in part from the acts or omissions of AV Heat pursuant to the Facility Use Agreement. In particular, AV Heat was solely responsible for supervising all individuals at the facility and adjoining property. AV Heat's use of the facilities was pursuant to the Facility Use Agreement which requires that AV Heat indemnify, defend and hold harmless the TAV from any and all causes of action, claims, liabilities, obligations, judgments, or damages, including reasonable attorneys fees, arising out of any and all "activities." The Facility Use Agreement indicates that TAV is not responsible for injuries to AV Heat or its guests, and indicates that TAV was to be covered as an additional insured for injuries "arising at any time during and/or arising out of or in any way connected with [AV Heat's] use or occupancy of the Town's facilities and adjoining property." The TAV tendered its defense and indemnity to NATIONWIDE as an additional insured by letter dated April 28, 2023.
- 14. In response to its tender of defense to NATIONWIDE, the TAV received the Denial Letter attached as Exhibit "3". On July 14, 2023, counsel for the TAV sent a re-tender of defense to NATIONWIDE, responding to the Denial Letter. A true and correct copy of the TAV's letter of July 14, 2023, is attached hereto as Exhibit "4". NW confirmed its refusal to provide coverage by a letter dated September 8, 2023, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "5."

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Breach of Contract - Duty to Defend against All Defendants)

- 15. The TAV incorporates and realleges paragraphs 1 through 14 above as though fully set forth herein.
- 16. The TAV is informed and believes that it qualifies as an additional insured under the terms of the Policy and as such is entitled to a defense funded by Defendants, including payment of

the costs, fees and expenses of said defense. The Policy provides primary coverage and a duty to defend and indemnify for liability for personal injury which potentially occurs while those policies were in effect.

- 17. The TAV has performed each and every obligation, condition, and covenant required of it under the Policy.
- 18. Defendants have each failed to provide a full and complete defense under the Policy despite their obligation to do so. Defendants' failure and refusal to provide a defense constitutes a failure to defend and a breach of the Defendants' contractual obligations owed to the TAV under the Policy.
- 19. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' breach, the TAV was compelled to engage counsel and incur, and continues to incur, substantial damages in excess of the jurisdictional limits of this Court, which includes, without limitation, compensatory damages, attorney's fees and costs incurred by the TAV in defending itself in the Underlying Action, and other damages to be ascertained.
- 20. The full nature and extent of said injuries and damage are not known to the TAV at this time as the Underlying Action is ongoing. The TAV requests leave to amend this Complaint when the full nature and extent of such damages are known.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Declaratory Relief - Duty to Defend against All Defendants)

- The TAV incorporates and realleges paragraphs 1 through 20 above as though fully set forth herein.
- 22. An actual and justiciable controversy exists between the TAV on the one hand, and Defendants, on the other hand, regarding whether the TAV is entitled to a defense as an additional insured under the Policy with respect to the claims against it in the Underlying Action.
- 23. The interests of the parties are adverse, the TAV has a legally protectable interest in the controversy and no adequate remedy at law, and the issues are ripe for judicial determination.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Declaratory Relief - Duty to Indemnify against All Defendants)

- 24. The TAV incorporates and realleges paragraphs 1 through 23 above as though fully set forth herein.
- 25. The TAV asserts that Defendants, and each of them, have independent duties under the Policies to indemnify the TAV for the damages alleged in the Underlying Action. Defendants' policy provides primary coverage and a duty to defend and indemnify for covered property damage which occurs while those policies were in effect. The TAV is informed and believes and thereon alleges that to the extent the Underlying Action results in a judgment against it for property damage as may be defined in the Policy, Defendants each have a duty to indemnify the TAV for such damages in the Underlying Action.
- 26. There is an actual and present controversy between the TAV on the one hand, and Defendants and each of them on the other, as to the respective rights and obligations under the Policies with regard to indemnity obligations owed to the TAV as an additional insured for the claims against it in the Underlying Action. The TAV contends that the Defendants' policy provides actual coverage for the imposition of damages against the TAV, if any is imposed, in the Underlying Action. Defendants each contend that they owe no duty to indemnify the TAV. By reason thereof, an actual controversy has arisen and now exists between the TAV and Defendants and a judicial determination of such controversy is necessary and appropriate at this time.
- 27. By reason of the foregoing, a controversy exists between the parties hereto which requires a declaratory judgment of this Court.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Breach of the Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing against All Defendants)

- 28. The TAV incorporates and realleges paragraphs 1 through 27 above as though fully set forth herein.
- 29. Pursuant to the Policy and obligations created thereunder, at all material times, Defendants had a duty to act fairly and in good faith to the TAV in carrying out their responsibilities under the Policy.
- 30. Implicit in Defendants' obligation to act fairly and in good faith to the TAV is the duty to promptly investigate and to provide a full and complete defense. Defendants have

tortiously breached the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing by failing to promptly investigate and provide a defense to the TAV relative to the claims in the Underlying Action.

- 31. Defendants engaged in, and continue to engage in, a course of conduct to further their own economic interests in direct violation of their obligations to the TAV. This conduct includes, but is not limited to:
 - (a) Unreasonable delays in acting upon and/or responding to the TAV's tender of defense;
 - (b) Unreasonable refusal to objectively review and consider evidence and arguments submitted on behalf of the TAV;
 - (c) Unreasonable failure to provide benefits due to the TAV when it was evident such benefits were owed;
 - (d) Failing to respond to inquiries from the TAV concerning the obligation to defend the Underlying Action;
 - (e) Compelling the TAV to initiate litigation to obtain benefits due under the Policy; and
 - (f) Other unlawful claims practices according to proof at the time of trial.
- 32. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned wrongful conduct, the TAV has suffered, and will continue to suffer, damages in amounts to be shown at the time of trial, plus interest.
- 33. Defendants' conduct described herein was done with a conscious disregard for the TAV's rights and constitutes despicable conduct, and was done with the intent to vex injure or annoy the TAV such as to constitute oppression, fraud or malice pursuant to California Civil Code § 3294, entitling the TAV to punitive damages in an amount appropriate to punish or set an example of Defendants.
- 34. As a further direct and proximate result of Defendants' conduct, the TAV has been obligated to expend funds and incur liability for costs of suit, attorney's fees and related expenses in an amount not yet fully ascertained, but will be submitted at the time of trial.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff TAV prays for judgment against Defendants and each of them, as

. 20							
1	follows:						
2	1.	All damage caused by or resulting from Defendants' failure to provide a full					
3	and complete defense to the TAV in the Underlying Action;						
4	2.	A declaratory judgment that Defendants are obligated to defend the TAV in the					
5	Underlying Action;						
6	3.	A declaratory judgment that Defendants are obligated to indemnify the TAV in the					
7	Underlying	g Action.					
8	5.	All damage caused by or resulting from Defendants' unreasonable failure to					
9	provide policy benefits including punitive damages as result of Defendants' breach of the implied						
10	covenant of good faith and fair dealing;						
11	6.	Attorney's fees to obtain wrongfully withheld policy benefits pursuant to Brandt v.					
12	Superior C	Court (1985) 37 Cal.3d 813;					
13	, 7 .	Prejudgment interest and costs of suit; and					
14	8.	For such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper.					
15							
16	DATED:	January 19, 2024 HIRSCH CLOSSON, APLC					
17							
18	;	By Robert V. Closson, Esq.					
19		Attorneys for Plaintiff TOWN OF APPLE VALLEY					
20	P :						
21	1						
22	Address of the Parket						
23	rene de la companya d						
24	4						
25							
26							
27	·.						
28							

EXHIBIT "1"

EXHIBIT "1"

Received 1 Town Cle	By Sylvania	
Date 4-3-23		,
TA months	the second secon	
The second control of		SUM-100
Town of Apple	Valley SUMMONS on First Amend (CITACION JUDICIAL)	ded Complaint FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)
		EILER
NOTICE TO DEFENDANT:		COLUMBUPERIOR COURT
<i>(AVISO AL DEMANDADO):</i> CHAD RICHARD GOODRO:	OWN OF APPLE VALLEY CAV an Incorporated m	COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDING SAN BERNARDING DISTRICT
corporation; DOES 1-100,		ОСТ 2 7 2022
YOU ARE BEING SUED BY		AL LONE
<i>(LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO I</i> LAWRENCE ANDREWS, JR., a	in Individual and as representative of the Deceder	nt and SARAH By
ANDREWS, an individual, AMOI	RYONNA ANDREWS, a minor, and JORDAN AN	IDREWS, a minor. Amaris Morales Eumana 1919
NOTICE! You have been sued. The below.	court may decide against you without your being heard	d unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information
case. There may be a court form the Online Self-Help Center (www.court court clerk for a fee walver form. If y be taken without further warning from There are other legal requirement referral service. If you cannot afford these nonprofit groups at the Califor (www.courtinfo.ce.gov/selfinele), or a costs on any settlement or arbitration (www.courtinfo.ce.gov/selfinele), or a continuación. Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO corte y hacer que se entregue una commeto legal correcto si desea que puede encontrar estos formularios en formato legal correcto si desea que puede encontrar estos formularios de de un formulario de exención de programa de leyes de su condado o de dé un formulario de exención de programa de servicios legales. Es remisión a abogados. Si no puede programa de servicios legales in fin from la contra de la corte antes cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 de pagar el gravemen de la corte antes	at you can use for your response. You can find these co info.os.gov/seifinelp), your county law library, or the court or not file your response on time, you may lose the mather court. Its. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services or a stromey, you may be eligible for free legal services or county bar association, an award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The count's inserponde dentre de 30 dias, la corte puede decidir en successor of countre or county bar association. In a corte at demandante, Una carte o una liamada telesfonic que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya u de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, per más advertencia. Es comendable que lleme a un abogado inmediatemente, escomendable que lleme a un abogado inmediatemente, esta de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de entro de Ayuda de las Cortes de Calliomía, (www.suco). Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reciamar las cuotas y 6 más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una conte de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.	NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for walved fees and lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. Su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a degeles para presenter una respuesta por escrito en esta ca no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que ester un formulario que usted pueda user para su respuesta, el as Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la rela cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá el si no conoce e un abogado, puede liamar a un servicio de ulsitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un el lucro en el silio web de California Legal Services, releca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte a el y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre necesión de erbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que
he name and address of the co	urt is: e es): San Bemardino Superior Court	CASE NUMBER; (Número del Caso):
•	247 West 3rd St., San Bernardino, CA 92415	CIVSB2204565
the name, address, and telephone in numbre, la dirección y el núm dex Glianians, Esq. [180807], 100 (180807), 100	ne number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff withoutero de teléfono del abogado del demendente, o c 00 W. Broadway, Suite 1060, Glendale, CA 9121 Clerk, by (Secretario) & mons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form PO citatión use el formulario Proof of Service of Sur	del demandante que no tiene abogado, es); 10. Tel. (818) 548-1816 Amaris Morales Eumans (Adjunto) 05-010).)
	NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are so	•
S COUNTY DA	1. as an individual defendant. 2. as the person sued under the fictilious 3. on behalf of (specify): Town of under: CCP 418.10 (corporation) CCP 418.20 (defunct corporation CCP 418.40 (association or part other (specify):	name of (specify): Apple Valley, CA, Municipal Corporated CCP 418.80 (minor) CCP 416.70 (conservatee)
	4. by personal delivery on (date):	Page 1 of 1
orm Adopted for Mendatory Use Judicial Council of California et last 400 (Day 156/15 2000)	SUMMONS	Code of Civil Procedure \$\$ 412 20, 465 www.courts.ce.gov

on First Amended Complaint

ALEX GILANIANS, [SBN 180807] ALEX GILANIANS APLC 100 W. Broadway, Suite 1060 3 Glendale, CA 91210 Tel. No.: (818) 548-1816 P 0 1 2022 Fax No.: (818) 548-0049 5 Attorneys for Plaintiffs, Lawrence Andrews, 6 Deputy Sarah Andrews, Amoryonna Andrews and Jordan Andrews Amaris Morales Eumana 7 8 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO, SAN BERNARDINO JUSTICE CENTER 11 12 LAWRENCE ANDREWS, JR., an individual) CASE NO. CIVSB2204565 and as representative of the Decedent, and 13 SARAH ANDREWS, an individual, FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR AMORYONNA ANDREWS, a minor, and DAMAGES RESULTING FROM 14 JORDAN ANDREWS, a minor, **NEGLIGENCE AND WRONGFUL DEATH** 15 Plaintiffs, 1. NEGLIGENCE 16 2. NEGLIGENCE PER SE 3. WRONGFUL DEATH (CCP 377,60) 17 4. NEGLIGENCE 18 CHAD RICHARD GOODRO; TOWN OF 5. WRONGFUL DEATH (CCP 377.60) APPLE VALLEY, CA, an incorporated 6. SURVIVAL (CCP 377.30) 19 municipal corporation; DOES 1-100, 20 21 Defendants. 22 Plaintiffs, by and through undersigned counsel, and for their First Amended Complaint against 23 Defendants, allege as follows: 24 PARTIES, JURISDICTION AND VENUE 25 Plaintiffs, Lawrence Andrews, Jr. and Sarah Andrews ("Parents") is/are residents of San 1. 26 Bernardino County, California, and are the parents of the deceased Lawrence Andrews III ("Decedent") 27 who was age 14 at the time of the incident. Plaintiff Lawrence Andrews, Jr. is the personal 28 representative of Decedent's Estate, Parents bring this action on behalf of themselves, Decedent's FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

. !

minor siblings, other statutory beneficiaries and/or the estate pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure ("CCP") §377.34, §377.30 and §377.60. The Declaration required by CCP 377.32 is attached and incorporated into this Complaint.

- 2. Decedent, Lawrence Andrews III ("Decedent") is the son of Plaintiff Lawrence Andrews, Jr. and stepson of Plaintiff Sarah Andrews. Decedent was 14 years old at the time of his death, was a star running back Junior Varsity football player at Apple Valley High School and held aspirations to play football for UCLA and the NFL.
- 3. Defendant CHAD RICHARD GOODRO ("Defendant Goodro") is a resident of San Bernardino County, State of California.
- 4. Defendant TOWN OF APPLE VALLEY ("Defendant Town") is an incorporated town/municipality in San Bernardino County, CA.
- 5. Plaintiffs do not know the true names of all Defendants at this time, and herein refers to such unknown persons as DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, and therefore sues them by those fictitious names. Discovery has not been completed as of the time of this writing. The names, capacities and relationships of Does 1 through 10 will be alleged by amendment to this complaint when the same are known.
- 6. Jurisdiction and venue are proper as the events giving rise to Plaintiffs' Complaint occurred in San Bernardino County, California and the amount in controversy exceeds the minimal jurisdictional requirements of this Court.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 7. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all prior allegations contained in this Complaint as if set forth fully herein.
- 8. James A. Woody Community Center and Park (the "Park") is located at 13467 Navajo Road, Apple Valley, CA; the facility consists of 8-10 lighted ball fields, full restroom facilities, outdoor basketball courts, indoor rentable event rooms, rentable outside event shade structures, large and small playground equipment, walking trails, picnic tables, BBQ grills and a Skate Park. Ottawa Road borders the James A. Woody Community Center and Park on the southside. Youth and Adult Sports leagues

);

utilize the ball fields and facilities and thousands of children and adults attend games, community events, programs and afterschool, weekend and evening classes at the facilities.

- 9. Depending on the number of patrons utilizing the facilities at the James A. Woody Community Center and Park, due to extremely limited parking facilities onsite at the James A. Woody Community Center and Park, when park utilization is high, patrons are often forced to park off-site and must cross substantially unlighted streets with no marked crosswalks and no signage.
- 10. On or about October 19, 2021, Decedent, his stepmother and his two younger siblings were leaving the Park, and crossing Ottawa Road after Decedent finished assisting with coaching at his younger brother's peewee football game at the athletic fields at the Park at 13467 Navajo Road, Apple Valley, CA.
- 11. On or about October 19, 2021, at approximately 8:11 pm, Defendant Goodro, the driver of a motor vehicle, was traveling on Ottawa Road, between Navajo and Manhasset Roads, in Apple Valley, California, at a high and excessive rate of speed when Defendant negligently and carelessly failed to yield to pedestrian traffic and failed to control the location and speed of his vehicle, causing it to violently strike Decedent resulting in his personal injuries and eventual death, and causing harms, losses and damages to the Plaintiffs and/or each interested party Plaintiff represents (the "Incident").
- 12. Witnesses at the scene of the Incident reported that they had heard squealing tires prior to the Vehicle nearing Decedent and continuous acceleration of the vehicle before, during and after Decedent was struck by said vehicle.
- 13. The vehicle that struck Decedent callously did not stop at the scene and fled, necessitating a San Bernadino County Sheriff's Department search for the fugitive perpetrator.
- 14. On October 25, 2021, Police arrested Defendant Goodro, an Apple Valley resident, after receiving an anonymous tip based on the published description of the vehicle that was seen striking Decedent.
- 15. Defendant Goodro was/is charged with "Felony Hit and Run, Death Resulting", "Possession of Controlled Substance while Armed", and "Possession of an Assault Weapon" by the SBSD and, at the time of this writing, is currently released on \$125,000 bail.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(NEGLIGENCE - CCP § 377.30 et seq. - Against Defendant Goodro)

- 16. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all prior allegations contained in this Complaint.
- 17. At all relevant times, Defendant Goodro owed Decedent a duty of care to drive prudently, safely and within the bounds of the law, including a duty to exercise reasonable care, reasonable and prudent under the circumstances, while driving a motor vehicle on a roadway, including controlling the location and speed of the vehicle as necessary to avoid colliding with any object, person or vehicle.
- 18. Defendant Goodro breached his duty of care owed to Decedent when Defendant Goodro operated his vehicle in such a negligent manner so as to cause the accident, as described in this Complaint, in which Decedent was injured and ultimately died. Said accident, injuries and resultant death were caused by the gross negligence, tortious conduct and wrongdoing of Defendant Goodro and others, without any negligence or contribution on the part of the Decedent.
- 19. As the direct and proximate result of Defendant Goodro's gross negligence, tortious conduct and wrongdoing, Decedent sustained serious injuries that later resulted in death.
- 20. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Goodro's gross negligence, tortious conduct and wrongdoing, Plaintiff Sarah Andrews, who was present at the scene, suffered the death of her 14-year-old stepson and experienced severe emotional distress due to Decedent's death.
- 21. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Goodro's gross negligence, tortious conduct and wrongdoing, Decedent's siblings, Amoryonna Andrews and Jordan Andrews who were present at the scene, witnessed and suffered the death of their brother and experienced severe emotional distress due to the death of their beloved brother, who shortly before the incident, had just finished helping coach his younger sibling's Peewee football team; Mother and the two young siblings witnessed the collision and the death of their beloved son and brother Lawrence at the scene.
- 22. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligence, tortious conduct and wrongdoing, Plaintiff Lawrence Andrews, who was not present at the scene of the incident, suffered the

1 ×

9

10

8

11 12

14 15

13

16 17

18 19

20 21 22

23 24

25 26

27 28

death of his son Lawrence Andrews III and experienced severe emotional distress due to the death of his beloved son.

23. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligence, tortious conduct and wrongdoing which caused grave injury, pain and suffering to Decedent prior to his succumbing to injuries later at the scene of the accident, Decedent's family, the Plaintiffs, incurred pain and suffering and great economic damages.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(NEGLIGENCE PER SE - CCP § 377.60 et seq. - Against Defendant Goodro)

- 24. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all prior allegations contained in this Complaint.
- 25. At all relevant times, Defendant owed a duty to comply with applicable statutes. regulations, and rules related to the safe operation of a motor vehicle in the State of California including driving at safe and reasonable speeds, remaining alert and attentive, being able to control his vehicle and bring his vehicle to a safe and complete stop before colliding with other vehicles and persons, and also not to drive while intoxicated or impaired.
- Defendant breached this duty when he drove a vehicle with disregard for the safety of 26. persons, as required by California Vehicle Code ("CVC") § 13372(6)(C) which resulted in death by moving violation.
- 27. Defendant breached this duty when he drove a vehicle and failed to stop and render aid in an accident involving injury or death in violation of CVC §13372(6)(A) which resulted in death by moving violation.
- 28. Defendant breached this duty when he failed to control the speed of his vehicle, as required by CVC § 21950.
- 29. Defendant breached this duty when he failed to yield right away to a pedestrian in an unmarked crosswalk at an intersection, as required by CVC § 21950.
- Decedent was, at the time of the collision, within the class of persons whom the above-30. referenced statutes were meant to protect.

- 31. Defendant's failure to comply with the above-referenced statutes contributed to the type of collision against which the law was designed to protect.
- 32. Defendant's failure to comply with the above-referenced statutes was a direct and proximate cause of, and contributed to, Decedent's injuries and death and thus constitutes negligence per se.
- 33. As a contributing direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's negligence per se, Decedent was struck and killed by Defendant and Plaintiffs have suffered personal injury and damages, past and future, and incurred funeral expenses, medical and other healthcare-related expenses, the Plaintiff estate lost income, lost profits, and/or lost earning capacity and Plaintiffs will incur additional medical and other healthcare related expenses in the future.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(WRONGFUL DEATH - CCP § 377.60 et seq. - Against Defendant Goodro)

- 34. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all prior allegations contained in this Complaint.
 - 35. Defendant's gross negligence contributed to the death of Decedent.
- 36. As a consequence of Decedent's death, his Father, Stepmother and younger siblings suffered pain, grief, sorrow, anguish, stress, shock, and mental suffering already experienced and reasonably probable to be experienced for the rest of their lives.
- 37. As a further consequence of Decedent's death contributed to by Defendant, Plaintiffs incurred expenses for funeral and burial, medical care and services for the injury that resulted in the death, lost wages, loss of earning capacity, and counseling for his other immediate family members.
- 38. As a further consequence of Decedent's death contributed to by Defendant, Plaintiffs have incurred the loss of love, affection, companionship, care, protection, and guidance since the death and in the future.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(NEGLIGENCE - against Defendants TOWN OF APPLE VALLEY & DOES 1-100) б

- 39. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all prior allegations contained in this Complaint.
- 40. Defendants TOWN OF APPLE VALLEY and DOES 1-100 owed a duty of care to LAWRENCE ANDREWS III and the rest of the residents, visitors, students and children that use the city owned and managed facility, fields and playground located at 13467 Navajo Road, Apple Valley, CA to provide safe parking for use while visiting the facility and safe access to those parking facilities. The defendants breached that duty by the conduct described below and that breach caused the damages previously described.
- 41. James A. Woody Community Center and Park is located at 13467 Navajo Road, Apple Valley, CA; the facility consists of 8-10 lighted ball fields, full restroom facilities, outdoor basketball courts, indoor rentable event rooms, rentable outside event shade structures, a childrens' playground with large and small playground equipment, walking trails, picnic tables, BBQ grills and a Skate Park; the Park is bounded on the southside by various commercial businesses and Ottawa Road.
- 42. The land area of the Park is L-shaped and the land area where Field #8 is located extends from the northern border of the Park at Powhatan Road to the southern border of the Park at Ottawa Road; all areas within the Park are contiguous and every area of the Park is freely accessible from every other area of the Park.
- 43. The Park has limited parking on-site and it is widely known that excess parking is available at the First Assembly of God Church located across Ottawa Road from the Park playing field that borders Ottawa Road.
- 44. On October 19, 2021, Defendant Town was responsible for maintaining and ensuring the safety of Decedent and family at and along the previously described street crossing on Ottawa Road, between Navajo and Manhasset Roads, in Apple Valley, California, and for properly and safely managing, operating, overseeing, and coordinating the travel of vehicles and pedestrians crossing from 13467 Navajo Road and the James A. Woody Community Center and Park.
- 45. On and prior to October 19, 2021, the street near the James A. Woody Community Center and Park, Apple Valley, CA, and the other equipment located in the vicinity were, as a result of the negligent or other culpable conduct of defendants, in an improper, dangerous and/or defective

condition that was actually known or constructively known by defendants TOWN OF APPLE VALLEY and DOES 1-100 that created dangerous conditions and a substantial risk of injury when the area was used in a reasonably foreseeable manner.

- 46. This condition occurred in that, among other things, the roads, lighting, crossing, and the surrounding area were negligently designed, controlled, installed, constructed, overseen, and maintained in an unsafe and improper manner by Defendants TOWN OF APPLE VALLEY and DOES 1-100, in that vehicle speed restrictions are dangerously high for the purposes for which the James A. Woody Community Center and Park, Apple Valley, CA exists, and traffic operations near and adjacent to the Park are not carried out in a safe, non-negligent and/or proper manner, which thereby caused the unreasonable risk of serious bodily injuries and death to pedestrians and other James A. Woody Community Center and Park users, including LAWRENCE ANDREWS III.
- 47. Prior to the time of the accident, Defendants TOWN OF APPLE VALLEY and DOES 1-100 had knowledge of the improper conditions of the area and of the inevitability of a deadly collision between motor vehicle and pedestrian that would result from said condition, yet Defendants TOWN OF APPLE VALLEY and DOES 1-100 consciously ignored that inevitability and, in an effort to save money, refused to install and utilize readily available safety mechanisms or procedures, such as warning systems, reduced speed signs, lights, crossing guards, marked crosswalks and other known safety mechanisms.
- 48. According to the California Office of Traffic Safety, in the year 2017, The Town of Apple Valley, CA was the scene of 4 hit and run traffic incidents where people were killed or injured, in year 2018 there were 10 hit and run incidents where people were killed or injured, and in year 2019 there were 18 hit and run incidents where people were killed or injured.
- 49. According to the California Office of Traffic Safety, in the year 2017, The Town of Apple Valley, CA was the scene of 19 traffic incidents where pedestrians were killed or injured, in year 2018 there were 9 incidents where pedestrians were killed or injured, and in year 2019 there were 18 incidents where pedestrians were killed or injured.
- 50. According to the California Office of Traffic Safety, in the year 2017, The Town of Apple Valley, CA experienced 64 speed-related incidents involving injury or death, in year 2018 there

were 44 speed-related incidents involving injury or death, and in the year 2019, there were 64 speed-related incidents involving injury or death.

- 51. Per the Apple Valley Parks and Recreation Dept. August 21, 2021 statistics on Park utilization for the entire Park's system in Apple Valley, there were 24,000 actual and expected visits to Parks for Youth Baseball practices and games during season 2021-2022, 13,600 actual and expected visits to Parks for Youth Football practices and games during 2021-2022, and 19,200 actual and expected visits to Parks for practices and games during season 2021-2022. Through September 2021, there had been 423 playing field use reservations at James Woody Park, which is second only to the Lenny Brewster Sports Center in Apple Valley. Through September 2021, utilization of James Woody Park sports fields represented more than 1/3 of all uses (423 out of 1182) of sports fields amongst the 9 Parks and Recreation sites providing sports playing fields in Apple Valley.
- 52. By putting profits before resident safety, and safety of the community's children,
 Defendants TOWN OF APPLE VALLEY and DOES 1-100 acted with neglect and a conscious
 disregard for the safety of the public, the rights of Plaintiffs and Decedent, and the community at large.
- 53. Plaintiffs submitted a claim to the TOWN OF APPLE VALLEY on March 7, 2022 which was rejected by the TOWN OF APPLE VALLEY on March 8, 2022. A copy of the TOWN OF APPLE VALLEY rejection letter to Plaintiff, dated March 8, 2022, is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 54. As a consequence, Defendants TOWN OF APPLE VALLEY and DOES 1-100 are liable to Plaintiffs for damages.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(WRONGFUL DEATH - CCP § 377.60 et seq. - Against Defendants TOWN OF APPLE VALLEY and DOES 1-100)

- 55. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all prior allegations contained in this Complaint.
 - 56. Defendant's gross negligence caused or contributed to the death of Decedent.
- 57. As a consequence of Decedent's death, his Father, Stepmother and younger siblings suffered pain, grief, sorrow, anguish, stress, shock, and mental suffering already experienced and reasonably probable to be experienced for the rest of their lives.

- 58. As a further consequence of Decedent's death contributed to or caused by Defendant, Plaintiffs incurred expenses for funeral and burial, medical care and services for the injury that resulted in the death, lost wages, loss of earning capacity, and counseling for his other immediate family members. As a further consequence of Decedent's death caused or contributed to by Defendant, Plaintiffs have incurred the loss of love, affection, companionship, care, protection, and guidance since the death and in the future.
- 59. As a further consequence of Decedent's death caused or contributed to by Defendant, Plaintiffs have incurred the loss of love, affection, companionship, care, protection, and guidance since the death and in the future.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(SURVIVAL - CCP § 377.30 et seq. - Against Defendants GOODRO, TOWN OF APPLE VALLEY and DOES 1-100 by Plaintiff Lawrence Andrews, Jr, as representative of Decedent's Estate)

- 60. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all prior allegations contained in this Complaint.
- 61. Decedent, Lawrence Andrews III is the son of Plaintiff Lawrence Andrews, Jr., the Personal Representative of Decedent's Estate. Decedent was 14 years old at the time of his death, was a star running back Junior Varsity football player at Apple Valley High School and held aspirations to play football for UCLA and the NFL.
- 62. On or about October 19, 2021, Decedent, his stepmother and his two younger siblings were leaving the James Woody Community Park after Decedent finished assisting with coaching at his younger brother's peewee football game at the athletic fields at James Woody Community Center Park at 13467 Navajo Road, Apple Valley, CA.
- 63. On or about October 19, 2021, at approximately 8:11 pm, Defendant Goodro, the driver of a motor vehicle, was traveling on Ottawa Road, between Navajo and Manhasset Roads, in Apple Valley, California, at a high and excessive rate of speed, causing it to violently strike Decedent resulting in his personal injuries, pain and suffering and later eventual death at the scene, and causing harms, losses and damages to the Decedent (the "Incident").

DocuSign Envelope ID: FE736788-4830-4DA4-9345-5C678E5131E3

DECLARATION OF LAWRENCE ARCHIE ANDREWS, JR. (CCP § 377.32)

- 1. My name is Lawrence Archie Andrews, Jr. and I am over the age of 18 years old.
- 2. I am the father of Lawrence Archie Andrews, III, the decedent in this case.
- 3. I am executing this Declaration as required by California Code of Civil Procedure § 377.32.
- 4. I have had full custody of Lawrence Archie Andrews, III for 11 years.
- 5. Lawrence Archie Andrews, III was killed on October 19, 2021 in a motor vehicle vs. pedestrian accident on the 21800 block of Ottawa Road, Apple Valley, CA. Lawrence was 14 years old when he was killed.
- 6. No proceeding is now pending in California for administration of Lawrence Archie Andrews, III's estate.
- 7. No other person has a superior right to commence the action or proceeding or to be substituted for the decedent in the pending action or proceeding.
 - 8. A copy of Lawrence Archie Andrews, III certified Death Certificate is attached hereto.

The affiant or declarant affirms or declares under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

9 3/10/2022

Lawrence lindrews

Lawrence Archie Andrews, Jr.

200 A 100 CO	romer - ministra					and the second second	ماند و الماند	·
				ı				
		CARREST	3.00	FICALL OF BEATH			2.0	
i i			VICTUE		44,7			
ľ	CA unucce see	674-042	es IDe	Nie Die Ken	ER PANRIED	[10/10/2023		
4	IT SHALL COLUMN		THE RESERVE	er in terresional arter			e Termin	
-	COST TER	CONTROL PORTAGO	1 <u>#</u>	JULAIJUN			- 1	-
	9.074		CANTERNATON	0 192305	P 14			
. 11	LAWRENCE	ARCHIE ANDREWS		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			are e	
- 4			te evar	- E				
	LAVRENCE		ARCHIE	AN AN	IDREMS		W.	
4.4	AERIAL 7		ESHELLE	ER.	GWN			
- (1)	ACTIO DISCOLUMNATE	Se Tagatus VIII	OGA ROAD, APPLI	EVALUEY, CA 020	06		CUINA	
			et Eter	MORE PROPERTY.	A 100 A	E4	o rea man	de a
			Pule	n le winder		eran marketa	bacrer.	
	SAN BERNA				=			
		MULTIPLE BLUNT	FORCE INJURIES			S. Section	18:	
						SECS	100	
- 1						17.7	OLEO SEI A	
5 6	water to dentify the						T-14000-194	
				BACK THE CONTRACTOR OF THE				
			(NEW ONTERPRENAMENT			- 5		
386	property and the	See See Language land						
. 331.			1					
'' le	west to see FMT]+- [X]+-[]+						
1 5	TREET AND	JOH HIGHWAY						
2	COESTRIAN Geography			SING FIL HOAD				
	LANCOUS TORONTO	LWANTERS TO THE	<u>.</u> Б сз. (a 1869 of the Property Con-	Transmission.	test.		
	and the second s	PARILLA	(C.A.)					
SECTION .	1	<u> </u>	CERTIFIED					7.1
5	me of c	armounta.	10.50				2021	11.
Ç.	OUNTY OF	SAMMENTON Landing source (1)	No. Neigrali÷e 7.	224.00	and the state of t			
	TATE OF THE PARTY	LAWRENCE CAST CA	LANVERGE CONTINUES OF CHILDREN AND COUNTY DE SANIERS PAINLLA LANVERGE CONTINUES DE	LAVISENCE ARCHIE ARCHIE CA CONTROL DE CONTR	LAWRENCE ARCHIE LAWRENCE ARCHIE DO BRITAL DE BLUCATION STUDENT BOUCATION SARBERNACIONO SASSERRACIONO SASSE LAGRENCE ARCHIE ANDREWS CATHER BOTT TAICSAL LAGRENCE BOTT TAICSAL TAICSAL	LAWEAGE ARCHE MODIEWS. LAWEAGE ARCHE MODIEWS. 10.282.006 14 10.	CREMENCE ANCHE AND PROPERTY OF THE SECURITY OF	CERTIFICATE OF BEATH APOST SOUTHS APOST SO

EXHIBIT A



Town of Apple Valley

A Better Way of Life

March 8, 2022

Lawrence Andrews c/o Alex Gilanians, Esq. 100 West Broadway, Suite 1060 Glendale, CA 91210

Re: Claimant - Lawrence Andrews

Notice is hereby given that the claim presented to the Clerk of the Town Council of the Town of Apple Valley on March 7, 2022, was rejected on March 8, 2022.

WARNING

Subject to certain exceptions, (including but not limited to Federal causes of action which may or may not have shorter or longer statute of limitations), you have only six (6) months from the date this notice was personally delivered or deposited in the United States mail to file a court action in a Municipal or a Superior Court of the State of California on this claim. (See Government Code Section 945.6)

Thank you for your time and attention to this matter.

Best regards,

Yvonne Rivera, CMC Deputy Town Clerk Risk Management Services

cc: Harvey W. Wimer III, Graves & King, LLP Timothy M. Varon, Carl Warren & Company La Vonda M-Pearson, Town of Apple Valley PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)	
		SS
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO	}	

I am employed in the county aforesaid. I am over the age of eighteen (18) years and not a party to the within cause or claim. My business address is 14955 Dale Evans Parkway, Apple Valley, California, CA 92307

On March 8, 2022, I served the NOTICE OF REJECTION OF CLAIM regarding Lawrence Andrews, by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Apple Valley, California addressed as follows:

Alex Gilanians, Esq. 100 West Broadway, Suite 1060 Glendale, CA 91210

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on March 8, 2022, at Apple Valley, California.

Yvonne Rivera, CMC

Deputy Town Clerk

Risk Management Services



Town of Apple Valley

A Better Way of Life

May 11, 2022

Lawrence Andrews c/o Alex Gilanians, Esq. 100 West Broadway, Suite 1060 Glendale, CA 91210

Re: Claimant — Lawrence Andrews

AMENDED NOTICE OF REJECTION

Notice is hereby given that the claim that you presented to the Clerk of the Town Council of the Town of Apple Valley on March 7, 2022, was rejected on March 8, 2022.

WARNING

Subject to certain exceptions, (including but not limited to Federal causes of action which may or may not have shorter or longer statute of limitations), you have only six (6) months from the date this notice was personally delivered or deposited in the United States mail to file a court action in a Municipal or a Superior Court of the State of California on this claim. See Government Code Section 945.6.

You may seek the advice of an attorney of your choice in connection with this matter. If you desire to consult an attorney, you should do so immediately.

Thank you for your time and attention to this matter.

Best pegards

Yvonne Rivera, CMC Deputy Town Clerk Risk Management Services

cc: Timothy M. Varon, Carl Warren & Company

3

PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SS

COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

I am employed in the county aforesaid. I am over the age of eighteen (18) years and not a party to the within cause or claim. My business address is 14955 Dale Evans Parkway, Apple Valley, California, CA 92307

On May 11, 2022, I served the NOTICE OF REJECTION OF CLAIM regarding Lawrence Andrews, by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Apple Valley, California addressed as follows:

Alex Gilanians, Esq. 100 West Broadway, Suite 1060 Glendale, CA 91210

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on May 11, 2022, at Apple Valley, California.

Yvonne Rivera, CMC

Deputy Town Clerk

Risk Management Services

W.



SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

San Bernardino District 247 West 3rd St San Bernardino CA 92415 www.sb-court.org 909-708-8678

Andrews, Jr. et al

-v-Goodro et al

NOTICE OF RE-ASSIGNMENT OF CASE FOR ALL PURPOSES

Case Number

CIVSB2204565

Party Noticed: ALEX GILANIANS 100 W Broadway Suite 1060 Glendale CA 91210

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:

· .

The above-entitled case has been reassigned for all purposes to Honorable Brian S McCarville in Department S30 - SBJC

All existing hearings scheduled on or after 04/10/2023 will be heard in the reassigned department located at:

San Bernardino District Department S30 - SBJC 247 West 3rd St San Bernardino CA 92415

	further notice will be sent by the court.
	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
i an part	n a Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court for the County of San Bernardino at the above listed address. I am not a y to this action and on the date and place shown below, I served a copy of the above listed notice by:
\boxtimes	Enclosed in an envelope mailed to the interested party addressed above, for collection and mailing this date, following ordinary business practice.
Ů	Enclosed in a sealed envelope, first class postage prepaid in the U.S. mail at the location shown above, mailed to the interested party and addressed as shown above, or as shown on the attached listing.
	A copy of this notice was given to the filing party at the counter.
	A copy of this notice was placed in the bin located at this office and identified as the location for the above law firm's collection of file stamped documents.
Date	of Mailing: 3/7/2023
l dec	clare under penalty of perjury that the forgoing is true and correct. Executed on 3/7/2023 at San Bernardino.
	By:
	By:

ALEX GILANIANS, [SBN 180807] ALEX GILANIANS APLC 100 W. Broadway, Suite 1060 3 Glendale, CA 91210 Tel. No.: (818) 548-1816 4 Fax No.: (818) 548-0049 5 Attorneys for Plaintiffs, Lawrence Andrews, 6 Sarah Andrews, Amoryonna Andrews and Jordan Andrews 7 8 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO, SAN BERNARDINO JUSTICE CENTER 10 11 12 LAWRENCE ANDREWS, JR., an individual) **CASE NO. CIVSB2204565** and as representative of the Decedent, and 13 SARAH ANDREWS, an individual, AMORYONNA ANDREWS, a minor, and 14 [Complaint filed: March 22, 2022] JORDAN ANDREWS, a minor. 15 NOTICE OF RULING AT FURTHER TRIAL Plaintiffs. 16 SETTING CONFERENCE/OSC RE PROOF OF SERVICE ٧. 17 18 CHAD RICHARD GOODRO; TOWN OF DATE: January 9, 2023 TIME: 8:30 am APPLE VALLEY, CA, an incorporated 19 DEPT.: S31 - SBJC municipal corporation; DOES 1-100, 20 Defendants. 21 22 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on January 9, 2023, this matter came on for hearing for a 23 scheduled Further Trial Setting Conference/OSC re Proof of Service. Alex Gilanians appeared on 24 behalf of Plaintiffs. 25 The Court entered the following order: 26 Further Trial Setting Conference/OSC re Proof of Service is continued to April 10, 1. 27 2023, at 8:30 a.m. 28

2. Plaintiff to give Notice.

Dated: May 27, 2023

ALEX GILANIANS, APLC

 $3y \subseteq =$

Alex Gilanians, fig. Attorneys for Plaintiffs

EXHIBIT "2"

EXHIBIT "2"



Town of Apple Valley

Town of Apple Valley Park and Recreation Department 14955 Dale Evans Parkway, Apple Valley, CA 92307 Phone: (760) 240-7880 FAX: (760) 240-7887



FACILITY USE AGREEMENT

Complex Requested: James Woody Field #8	Type of Event: Football & Cheer Practices
Date of Use: July 26th, 2021 - December 4th, 2021	Hours: From 6:00pm am/pm To 8:00pm am/pm Total Hours: 2 hrs *Hours of use must include set-up time and take-down/clear-up time. Email Address: chadmelissamcon@yahoo.com
Name of Applicant: Melissa Moon Data of Birth: 08/25/1977 Phone: Home	
Address: 12277 Apple Valley Rd #335	City: Apple Valley zip: 92307
Organization Name: Apple Valley Rebels Football & Chee Alcohol Allowed in Town Facilities by Special Permit Only; Estimated Attendance: Youth 120 ppl A. *Occupancy cannot exceed maximum capacity of building	; Additional Insurance and Security Guards Required duit 60 Total 180
*Occupancy cannot exceed maximum capacity of building Will alcohol be present? Yes No X Sold? Yes No Will admission be charged, or collection taken? Yes No List items with amounts: Will a bounce house be present? Yes No X *Additiona	X Will Items be sold? Yes No X
* FOR FACILITY RENTALS ONLY The following chairs and tables are available for use unle	mere and the late of the state
Arts and Crafts 40 chairs 6 6' tables	onference Center 300 chairs 10 – 6' tables 2–4' tables 50 – 4' round tables 24 – 5' round tables creation Center 190 chairs 20 – 5' round tables 12 – 8' tables
agreement. The applicant and all others using Town of Apple V he Town of Apple Valley, its officers, employees and agents. THE SIGNATURE BELOW CERTIFIES THAT I HAVE RERESTRICTIONS AND CONDITIONS OF THIS AGREEMENT, REPRESENTATIVE OF THE ABOVE LISTED GROUP/ORGAN	Perty during such time as said facilities shall be used in accordance with this valley Park and Recreation Department facilities hereby waive any claims against EAD, I UNDERSTAND, AND I AGREE TO ALL OF THE PROCEDURES, HAVE RECEIVED A COPY OF THE FACILITY REFUND POLICY AND, AS A NIZATION, SIGN THIS AGREEMENT OF MY OWN FREE WILL.
signature Welism Noon	Date <u>04/05/2021</u>
Parks and Recreation Manager Approval	9(M) Date 4/8/21
This Facility Use Agreement must be approved in order to p Note: Facility staff will open the facility ONLY at time listed	proceed with your event. You must bring a copy of this FUA to your rental. above. Please be prompt!
	Y ARRANGEMENTS DUE PRIOR TO:
	Copy Park Staff
Reservation / Security Deposit	X Copy Facility Staff Plancer will Coul Scilities for light
Rental fees	Copy Recreation Staff 95 Nedded
(itchen use	Notify Police Dept. Yes No Date notified:
Insurance FXO. D.18. 000	Comments/Conditions for Use
Lights	July 20- Xugust 31 Mon-Fri
Set-Up/Take Down	Septimber-verombers Tu-Th
	Must-8110w County Guidelines
Security Guards Required? Yes No	Finailed to Million 4:8:21(1)
H of minute	Date cc:d: Parks: Facilities: Recreation:
IN CASE OF EMERCENCY RECARDING YOUR DEA	NTAL DI SACE CALL (750) DEG SOOG MANGE Description

IN CASE OF EMERGENCY REGARDING YOUR RENTAL PLEASE CALL — (760) 961-600

White - Department Copy Yellow - Customer Copy

RULES AND REGULATIONS

- 1. A facility is not considered rented until (1) Renter delivers to the Town the Facility Use Agreement (FUA), rental fee, deposit, certificate of insurance, written evidence of permits and licenses and any other items deemed necessary by the Town; and (2) the Town, in its sole discretion, approves such rental in writing. An approved Facility Use Agreement (FUA) is required for all groups and must be in possession of the Lessee or representative of the event. An FUA must be completed by adult, ages twenty-one (21) and above. Renter shall provide the Park and Recreation Department with a single contact that is to serve as the representative for Renter's activities.
- 2. The facility shall be used for the purpose stated in this agreement and no other use will be permitted.
- Entrance to the facility is allowed by presenting your copy of the FUA to Parks staff at the time specified on the approved application, and Lessee is expected to leave at the time specified. The specified times should include all set-up and clean-up time. Any function going beyond the contracted time may be charged double the regular rate for all over-time.
- 4. Town staff will conduct periodic checks of the facility. Failure to comply with the information you provided on the FUA (presence of alcohol, number in attendance, etc.) may result in the closure of your rental and the forfeiture of all fees paid.
- The right to revoke permission to use a facility at any time is retained by the Town of Apple Valley.
- 6. FUAs shall not be approved in such a manner that, in the opinion of the Parks and Recreation Department constitutes a monopoly for the benefit of any Individual, group or croanization.
- 7. Lessees shall not assign or sublease any portion of the premises or any rights under this lease, without prior approval of the Parks and Recreation Department. Any such assignment of sublease shall be void and the Town shall have the right to exclude any and all persons from the facility attempting to exercise any right or privilege under such assignment or sublease.
- In the event the facility is left damaged, Renter shall be charged for any and all anitorial and/or repair fees incurred by the Town as a result of same and these fees shall be billed to Renter.
- Table tops must be cleaned off, decorations removed; all trash inside/outside premises must be picked up and bagged and placed in the dumpster. Trash cans and liners are provided. Fallure to leave facility in presentable condition will result in loss of cleaning deposit. Tables and chairs must not be moved room to room.
- 10. Renter is responsible for any lost keys, and any costs that the Town might incur to replace and/or re-key the facility.
- 11. Any person or agency holding a reservation for the use of Town facilities and desiring to cancel such reservation will be subject to the forfeiture of a portion of or the entire reservation deposit for the facility in accordance with the adopted refund policy of the Town of Apple Valley Parks and Recreation Department.
- 12. The Town of Apple Valley is not responsible for injuries or damage to the Lesses or guests of the Lessee or loss of personal property left in or on the premises.
- Renter shall procure and maintain public liability insurance against any and all losses, costs, expenses, claims, liabilities, actions, or damages, including liability for injuries to any person(s) or damage to property arising at any time during and/or arising out of or in any way connected with Renter's use or occupancy of the Town's facilities and adjoining property in the amount of \$1,000,000 (one million dollars) per occurrence. Such insurance shall name the Town, its officers, employees, and agents as additional insureds prior to the rental date of the facility. Renter shall file certificates of such insurance with the Town, which shall be endorsed to provide thirty (30) days notice to the Town of cancellation or any change of coverage or limits. If a copy of the insurance certificate is not on file prior to the event, the Town may deny access to the facility. If you are unable to obtain insurance, you may purchase insurance through the liability carrier of the
- 14. Renter shall report any personal injuries or property damage arising at any time during and/or arising out of or in any way connected with Renter's use or occupancy of the Town's facilities and adjoining property to the Town Manager or his/her designee, in writing and as soon as practicable.
- 15. Renter waives any right of recovery against the Town, its officers, employees and agents for fires, floods, earthquakes, civil disturbances, regulation of any public authority, and other causes beyond their control. Renter shall not charge results of "acts of God" to the Town, its officers, employees or agents

- 16. Renter waives any right of recovery against the Town, its officers, employees and agents for indemnification, contribution or declaratory relief arising out of or In any way connected with Renter's use or occupancy of the facility and adjoining property, even if the Town, its officers, employees or agents seek recovery against Renter.
- 17. Renter is solely responsible for supervising all individuals at the facility and adjoining property during the event. At least one adult for every twenty minors must be present at all times. Consumption of elcoholic beverages by minors is against the law and will result in the immediate closure of the facility and the forfeiture of fees. Alcoholic beverages are confined to inside the facility and permitted only at areas designated or approved by the Parks and Recreation Department. State laws on alcoholic beverages prevall. Alcohol is not permitted in Town of Apple Valley Parks.
- 18. Smoking is not permitted in Town of Apple Valley public buildings by State Law. Failure to comply will result in the closure of the event.
- 19. The Parks and Recreation Department does not permit fires, other than those in designated pionic areas, without prior approval.
- 20. Only fireproof or fire retardant materials may be used for decorations. At no time shall decorations hang from, cover, or obstruct exits, exit lights, or fire sprinklers. Lessee shall not drive any nails, screws, tacks, pins or other objects into the floor, walls, ceiling partitions, doors, door or window casing, or woodwork of the facility, or make any other alterations therein, except as may be authorized.
- 21. Thermostats, electrical panels, etc. shall not be tempered with. Any problems with the building should be reported to (760)961-6001.
- 22. No structure or sets are to be built unless specifically provided for herein, no shrubbery or trees are to be cut, trimmed or injured.
- 23. Renter shall not store any equipment or materials at the facility or adjoining property without the prior written approval of the Town Manager or his/her designee.
- 24. Renter shall not remove, relocate or take Town property outside of the facility for any reason without the prior written approval of the Town Manager or his/her designee.
- CLEAN-UP PROCEDURE: The facility is to be left in the condition it was found. 25. Renter shall not use Town equipment, tools or furnishings located in or about the facility without the prior written approval of the Town Manager or his/her designee.
 - 26. Renter shall secure the approval of the Town before using audio/visual systems, public address systems and live or recorded emplified music. Renter shall not record, televise or broadcast the event or any portion thereof without prior written approval of the Town Manager or his/her designee.
 - 27. No advertising shall be exhibited, no petition shall be circulated, and no solicitation or sales shall be made in the facility or grounds without prior approval from the Parks and Recreation Department. The Town, at the sole discretion of the Manager, may require an additional 10% commercial fee for sales made in the facility.
 - 28. Renter shall not use the Town's name to suggest endorsement or sponsorship of the event without prior written approval of the Town Manager or his/her designee. Renter's publicity of the event shall clearly and accurately identify the name of the sponsoring organization or individual.
 - Gambling of any kind is not permitted at the facility.
 - 30. Renter shall not drive motorized vehicles on ballfields or turf areas. Vehicles must be contained to designated public right of ways and parking lots.
 - 31. No animals are permitted in the facility, with the exception of guide dogs unless approved by the Town Manager or his/her designee.
 - 32. The Town may impose additional requirements as deemed necessary to protect the health, safety and/or walfare of the community.
 - 33. Any person aggrieved by the Town's decision with respect to this agreement may appeal to the Town Manager or his/her designee in writing no later than five (5) days after the Town's decision has been communicated to the aggreed party,
 - 34. If any provision of this agreement is held to be invalid or unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall remain in full force and effect.
 - 35. Violation of any part of the facility use agreement could result in the forfeiture of your deposit.



Apple Valley Rebels Attn: Apple Valley Rebels 12277 Apple Valley Rd. #335 Apple Valley, CA 92308

Operator: Tashina Miniszewski Toav

Reservation Name: AV Rebels Practice Client's Phone: 760-515-6538

Expected # of 180

Eacility

Facility Permit

Permit # 2503
Purchased Apr 6, 2021
Printed Apr 6, 2021
Status Approved

Apple Valley Town Hall 14955 Dale Evans Pkwy Apple Valley, CA 92307 Contact Phone

Address

Reservations

James Woody Field #8 Rental Rental. Start End Date reserved Mon 07/26/2021 6:00PM 8:00PM Tue 07/27/2021 6:00PM 8:00PM Wed 07/28/2021 6:00PM 8;00PM Thu 07/29/2021 6:00PM 8:00PM 8:00PM Fri 07/30/2021 6:00PM Mon 08/02/2021 6:00PM 8:00PM Tue 08/03/2021 6:00PM 8:00PM Wed 08/04/2021 6:00PM 8:00PM Thu 08/05/2021 6:00PM 8:00PM Fri 08/06/2021 6:00PM 8:00PM Mon 08/09/2021 6:00PM 8:00PM Tue 08/10/2021 6:00PM 8:00PM Wed 08/11/2021 6:00PM Thu 08/12/2021 8:00PM 6:00PM 8:00PM Fri 08/13/2021 6:00PM 8:00PM Mon 08/16/2021 6:00PM 8:00PM Tue 08/17/2021 6:00PM Wed 08/18/2021 8:00PM 6:00PM 8:00PM hu 08/19/2021 6:00PM 8:00PM Fri 08/20/2021 6:00PM 8:00PM



Apple Valley Rebels Attn: Apple Valley Rebels 12277 Apple Valley Rd. #335 Apple Valley, CA 92308

Facility Permit

Permit # 2503
Purchased Apr 6, 2021
Printed Apr 6, 2021
Status Approved

Apple Valley Town Hail 14955 Dale Evans Pkwy Apple Valley, CA 92307 Contact Phone

Reservations

Mon 08/23/2021	6:00PM	8:00PM	Tue 08/24/2021	6:00PM	B:00PM
Wed 08/25/2021	6:00PM	8:00PM	Thu 08/26/2021	6:00PM	8:00PM
Fri 08/27/2021	6:00PM	8:00PM	Mon 08/30/2021	6:00PM	8:00PM
Tue 08/31/2021	6:00PM	8:00PM	Wed 09/01/2021	6:00PM	8:00PM
່ ກ່ານ 09/02/2021	6:00PM	8:00PM	Tue 09/07/2021	6:00PM	8:00PM
Wed 09/08/2021	6:00PM:	8:00PM	Thu 09/09/2021	6:00PM	8:00PM
Tue 09/14/2021	6:00PM (5-1)	8:00PM	Wed 09/15/2021	6:00PM	8:00PM
Thu 09/16/2021	6:00PM	8:00PM	Tue 09/21/2021	6:00PM	8:00PM
Wed 09/22/2021	6:00PM	8:00PM	Thủ 09/23/2021	6;00PM	8:00PM
Tue 09/28/2021	6:00PM	8:00PM	Wed 09/29/2021	6:00PM	8:00PM
Thu 09/30/2021	6:00PM	8:00PM	Tue 10/05/2021	6:00PM	8:00PM
Wed 10/06/2021	6:00PM	8:00PM	Thu 10/07/2021	6:00PM	8:00PM
Tue 10/12/2021	6:00PM	8:00PM	Wed 10/13/2021	8:00PM	8:00PM
Thu 10/14/2021	6:00PM	8:00PM	Tue 10/19/2021	6:00PM	8:00PM
Wed 10/20/2021	6:00PM	8:00PM	Thu 10/21/2021	6:00PM	8:00PM
Tue 10/26/2021	6:00PM	8:00PM	Wed 10/27/2021	6:00PM	8:00PM

You must have this permit with you while on-site. It is your proof of rental should it be needed.



Additional Notes:

Apple Valley Rebels Attn: Apple Valley Rebels 12277 Apple Valley Rd. #335 Apple Valley, CA 92308

Facility Permit

Permit # 2503
Purchased Apr 6, 2021
Printed Apr 6, 2021
Status Approved

Apple Valley Town Hall 14955 Dale Evans Pkwy Apple Valley, CA 92307 Contact Phone

Reservations Thu 10/28/2021 6:00PM Tue 11/02/2021 8:00PM 6:00PM 8:00PM Wed 11/03/2021 6:00PM 8:00PM Thu 11/04/2021 8:00PM 6:00PM 8:00PM Tue 11/09/2021 6:00PM B:COPM Wed 11/10/2021 6:00PM Thu 11/11/2021 Tue 11/16/2021 6:00PM 8:00PM 6:00PM 8:00PM ed 11/17/2021 8:00PM 6:00PM Thu 11/18/2021 6:00PM 8:00PM 8:00PM Tue 11/23/2021 6:00PM 8:00PM Wed 11/24/2021 6:00PM Tue 11/30/2021 Thu 11/25/2021 6:00PM 8:00PM 6:00PM 8:00PM Wed 12/01/2021 6:00PM 8:00PM Thu 12/02/2021 6:00PM 8:00PM Permit Notes: -Renter will call Facilities for lights as needed.

EXHIBIT "3"

EXHIBIT "3"





insuring the world's fune

May 18, 2023

MR HARVEY W WIMER GRAVES & KING LLP 2280 MARKET STREET SUITE 320 RIVERSIDE CA 92501

Via Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested # 7022 2410 0003 1016 5251

Re:

Lawrence Andres Jr., an individual and as representative of the Decedent, and Sarah Andrews, an individual, Amoryonna Andrews, a minor, and Jordan Andrews, a minor. v Chad Richard Goodro; Town of Apple Valley, CA, an incorporated municipal corporation; Does 1-100. Case No. CIVSB2204565 San Bernardino County Superior Court, California.

Our File No.

: NW51215-20/SBU00920

Our Insured

: Apple Valley Rebels Youth Football & Cheer

dba AV Heat

Your Client

: Town of Apple Valley California

Date of Loss

: October 19, 2021

Policy No.

: RPG7258600

Policy Term

: December 14, 2020, through December 14, 2021

Dear Mr. Wimer:

K&K Insurance Group, Inc. is a claims administrator for Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company ("The Company") which issued the above referenced insurance policy in connection with the above matter. In that capacity, we have been asked to send this correspondence to you as the representative for Town of Apple Valley, CA, an identified defendant in the above captioned matter. The purpose of this letter is to advise you that, for the reasons set forth below, The Company is respectfully rejecting the tender seeking defense of The Town of Apple Valley, CA from the policy issued to Apple Valley Rebels Youth Football & Cheer dba AV Heat. The specified reason(s) for this decision is outlined below.

FACTUAL SUMMARY

The Plaintiffs have filed a Complaint seeking to recover damages because of Lawrence Andrews, III's death. The decedent and his family were leaving James A. Woody Community Center Park, crossing Ottawa Road, when the decedent was struck by a vehicle operated by Chad Richard Goodro. Mr. Goodro was later arrested and charged for the incident. The Plaintiffs allege that due to extremely limited parking facilities onsite at the James A. Woody Community Center and Park, patrons are often forced to park off-site and must cross substantially unlighted streets with no marked crosswalks and no signage. The Plaintiffs further allege that the surrounding area was negligently designed, controlled, installed, constructed, overseen, and maintained in an unsafe and improper manner by Town of Apple Valley.

The Complaint contains the following causes of action against Town of Apple Valley:

- Fourth Cause of Action Negligence
- Fifth Cause of Action Wrongful Death
- Sixth Cause of Action Survival

The plaintiffs are seeking to recover costs, attorneys' fees, punitive damages and an open prayer for compensatory damages.

Please note that The Company makes no assumption as to the validity of any allegations brought on behalf of the Plaintiffs. However, the nature of the allegations must be considered when determining whether coverage is applicable in a particular matter. If you disagree with this description of this matter or if you have additional information that you feel affects this coverage position, please contact the undersigned immediately.

The Company issued Commercial General Liability policy number RPG 7258600 to Apple Valley Rebels Youth Football & Cheer with effective dates of December 14, 2020, through December 14, 2021. The policy provides \$1,000,000 under the Commercial General Liability coverage.

The insureds policy is written from the Commercial General Liability Coverage Form CG 00 01 04 13 which reads in part as follows:

SECTION I - COVERAGES

COVERAGE A BODILY INJURY AND PROPERTY DAMAGE LIABILITY

1. Insuring Agreement

a. We will pay those sums that the insured becomes legally obligated to pay as damages because of "bodily injury" or "property damage" to which this insurance applies. We will have the right and duty to defend the insured against any "suit" seeking those damages. However, we will have no duty to defend the insured against any "suit" seeking damages for "bodily injury" or "property damage" to which this insurance does not apply. We may, at our discretion, investigate any "occurrence" and settle any claim or "suit" that may result. But:

- (1) The amount we will pay for damages is limited as described in Section III

 Limits Of Insurance; and
- (2) Our right and duty to defend ends when we have used up the applicable limit of insurance in the payment of judgments or settlements under Coverages A or B or medical expenses under Coverage C.

No other obligation or liability to pay sums or perform acts or services is covered unless explicitly provided for under Supplementary Payments — Coverages A and B.

- b. This insurance applies to "bodily injury" and "property damage" only if:
 - (1) The "bodily injury" or "property damage" is caused by an "occurrence" that takes place in the "coverage territory";
 - (2) The "bodily injury" or "property damage" occurs during the policy period;...

The Policy provides the following Definitions to the identified terms.

SECTION V-DEFINITIONS

- 3. "Bodily injury" means bodily injury, sickness or disease sustained by a person. This includes mental anguish, mental injury, shock, fright, humiliation, emotional distress or death resulting from bodily injury, sickness or disease. (The Definition is amended by endorsement SRPG115 (07/13) Commercial General Liability Broadening Endorsement.
- 13. "Occurrence" means an accident, including continuous or repeated exposure to substantially the same general harmful conditions.
- 17. "Property damage" means:
 - a. Physical injury to tangible property, including all resulting loss of use of that property. All such loss of use shall be deemed to occur at the time of the physical injury that caused it; or
 - b. Loss of use of tangible property that is not physically injured. All such loss shall be deemed to occur at the time of the "occurrence "that caused it...

For the purposes of this insurance, electronic data is not tangible property...

SECTION II - WHO IS AN INSURED

- 1. If you are designated in the Declarations as:
 - b. A partnership or joint venture, you are an insured. Your members, your partners, and their spouses are also insureds, but only with respect to the conduct of your business.

- c. A limited liability company, you are an insured. Your members are also insureds, but only with respect to the conduct of your business. Your managers are insureds, but only with respect to their duties as your managers.
- d. An organization other than a partnership, joint venture or limited liability company, you are an insured. Your "executive officers" and directors are insureds, but only with respect to their duties as your officers or directors. Your stockholders are also insureds, but only with respect to their liability as stockholders.
- 2. Each of the following is also an insured:
 - a. Your "volunteer workers" only while performing duties related to the conduct of your business, or your "employees", other than either your "executive officers" (if you are an organization other than a partnership, joint venture or limited liability company) or your managers (if you are a limited liability company), but only for acts within the scope of their employment by you or while performing duties related to the conduct of your business.

The Policy issued to the Apple Valley Rebels Football & Cheer dba AV Heat, includes the Endorsement CG 20 26 04 13 ADDITIONAL INSURED – DESIGNATED PERSON OR ORGANIZATION. As required in the provided contract for Field #8 at the James A Woody Community Center Park, The Town of Apple Valley as listed as a Scheduled Additional Insured.

Endorsement CG 20 26 04 13 ADDITIONAL INSURED – DESIGNATED PERSON OR ORGANIZATION is attached to the policy and states in pertinent part;

This endorsement modifies insurance provided under the following:

COMMERCIAL GENERAL LIABILITY COVERAGE PART

SCHEDULE

Name of Additional Insured Person(s) Or Organization(s) Town of Apple Valley 14955 Dale Evans Pkwy Apple Valley, CA 92307

- A. Section II Who Is An Insured is amended to include as an additional insured the person(s) or organization(s) shown in the Schedule, but only with respect to liability for "bodily injury", "property damage" or "personal and advertising injury" caused, in whole or in part, by your acts or omissions or the acts or omissions of those acting on your behalf;
 - 1. In the performance of your ongoing operations; or
 - 2. In connection with your premises owned by or rented to you.

As you are aware with the copy of the Endorsement, this coverage would define the Town of Apple Valley, California, with respect to the liability of the Policyholder (Apple Valley Rebels Youth Football & Cheer dba AV Heat) in the event of a bodily injury, property damage or personal and advertising injury claim made against them. Based on the underlying facts of this

claim made by the Plaintiffs, there is no claim at this time made against our named insured/policyholder and thus the Additional Insured Endorsement has not been triggered.

Outside of The Town of Apply Valley, California being an Additional Insured on this policy, the available information indicates that the Tendering Party is not a named insured under the Policy nor have we been provided with evidence that the Tendering Party would otherwise qualify as an "insured" in any other fashion in accordance with the "Who is an Insured" section of the Policy. The Policy does not, therefore, apply directly in the Tendering Party's behalf in these types of capacities.

A certificate of liability insurance was issued identifying Town of Apple Valley as an additional insured as the Owner/Lessor of Premises. This Certificate as it also states within the ACCORD Form, does not amend, or extend coverage from this policy. Apple Valley Rebels Youth Football & Cheer has no control over the design of the roads, lighting, or parking availability. Lawrence Andrews, III's death did not occur during an Apple Valley Rebels Youth Football & Cheer game or practice or on the premises rented to them. As such, Lawrence Andrews, III's death was not caused, in whole or in part, by the acts or omissions of Apple Valley Rebels Youth Football & Cheer.

In support of the tender, an Agreement was included with your April 28, 2023, letter. Purportedly, Apple Valley Rebels Youth Football & Cheer entered a Facility Use Agreement with the Town of Apple Valley to use field #8 at the James A. Woody Community Center Park from July 26, 2021, to December 4, 2021, from 6:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m.

To the extent the Tendering Party requests defense and/or indemnity as a contractual indemnitee, the Company respectfully rejects this request. The Tendering Party enjoys no relationship or contractual privity with the Company under which they might directly bring a claim for coverage from our insured's policy. Any such alleged contractual obligation issues exist between the parties of the contract, not between the Tendering Party and the Company. A party's obligation to indemnify another does not arise until such a time as a judicial determination of liability, damages, and validity of any alleged contract between the parties is made or all parties to the matter have reached agreement on those and other issues. Therefore, the Company respectfully rejects the Tendering Party's request for immediate defense and/or indemnification under a contractual liability theory.

There are no allegations in this matter that meet the specifically enumerated offenses that are defined as "personal and advertising injury". Accordingly, there is no potential for coverage for this matter under Coverage Part B, and coverage is also excluded on this basis.

Please note that there may also be additional policy provisions, terms and/or conditions that may also serve to preclude coverage for this loss. Failure to specifically reference any such additional policy provision, term and/or condition at this time shall not serve as a waiver of any such additional policy provision, term and/or conditions. The Company reserves its rights to amend this letter and to assert any such additional policy provision, term and/or condition as may ultimately prove applicable in this matter.

At this time, The Company respectfully rejects any duty to defend and / or indemnify Town of Apple Valley with respect to the Complaint that has been filed by the Plaintiffs seeking damages

for the death of Lawrence Andrews, III when he was struck by a vehicle while crossing a public road owned and maintained by the Town of Apple Valley.

This coverage determination was made based on the materials provided to us. We will, of course, consider any additional information and documentation that you believe supports your position on coverage and ask that you send any such material to the undersigned.

Thank you for your kind attention to this matter. Should you have any questions regarding this letter, or should you wish to discuss this matter in further detail please contact the undersigned directly.

If you believe this coverage determination to be inaccurate, or that the claim has been wrongfully disclaimed or rejected in whole or in part, you may have the matter reviewed by the Department of Insurance. Their contact information is State of California Department of Insurance, Claims Services Bureau, 11th Floor, 300 South Spring Street, South Tower, Los Angeles, CA, 90013. The telephone number is 1-800-927-4357 (Calling from within CA); 213-897-8921 (Outside California).

A person who knowingly and with intent to injure, defraud, or deceive an insurance company files a claim containing false, incomplete, or misleading information may be prosecuted under state law.

Regards,

Paula Creel

Paula Creel Claim Litigation Analyst 260-459-5843 Paula.creel@kandkinsurance.com

cc

MELISSA MOON

Apple Valley Rebels Youth Football & Cheer Via email: chadmelissamoon@yahoo.com

EXHIBIT "4"

EXHIBIT "4"

Hirsch Closson

WALNUT CREEK OFFICE

1600 SOUTH MAIN STREET SUITE 325C WALNUT CREEK, CA 94596 Telephone:(925)935-9800 Fax:(925)935-9825 A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION
5030 CAMINO DE LA SIESTA, SUITE 300
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92108
TELEPHONE (619) 233-7006 ~ FACSIMILE (925)935-9825

Robert V. Closson, Esq. (619) 233-7006 ext. 2 belosson@hirschelosson.com

July 14, 2023

Via Certified Mail - Return Receipt Requested Via E-Mail: Paula.creel@kandkinsurance.com

Paula Creel Claim Litigation Analyst K&K Insurance P.O. Box 2338 Fort Wayne, IN 46801-2338

RE: Andrews et al. v. Chad Richard Goodro, et al.

San Bernardino Sup. Ct. Case No. CIVSB2204565

Our Client

Town of Apple Valley

Insurer

Nationwide Mutual Ins. Co.

Your File No.

NW51215-20/SBU00920

Named Insured

Apple Valley Rebels Youth Football & Cheer dba

AV Heat

Policy No.

RPG7258600

Dear Ms Creel:

I am coverage counsel for the Town of Apple Valley City of ("TAV") with respect to the above referenced action ("the action") arising from personal injuries caused in whole or in part by the acts or omissions of Nationwide Mutual Ins. Company's ("Nationwide") named insured, Apple Valley Rebels Youth Football & Cheer dba AV Heat ("AV Heat"). This letter will respond to your May 18, 2023 letter to TAV's defense counsel Harvey Wimer, denying coverage to TAV as an additional insured under the Nationwide policy. For the reasons discussed below, TAV is entitled to a defense as an additional insured under the Nationwide policy.

The bases for the denial of coverage appear to fall into three categories: (1) the observation that AV Heat is not a party to the action; (2) the contention that TAV does not qualify for coverage as either a named insured or additional insured, in part because of the contention that AV Heat "has no control over the design of the roads, lighting, or parking availability"; and (3) because Nationwide is not a party to the contract between AV Heat and TAV, and consequently has no liability under a contractual liability theory. None of these bases support Nationwide's denial of coverage.

Paula Creel K&K Insurance RE: Andrews et al. v. Chad Richard Goodro, et al. / NW51215-20/SBU00920 July 14, 2023 Page 2

It is irrelevant that AV Heat is not a party to the action

There is no contractual or legal requirement that the named insured must also be sued in the action as a condition precedent to the insurer's obligation to defend an additional insured. All that is required is potential liability for covered damage during the policy period and that the terms of the additional insured endorsement are potentially satisfied. The fact that AV Heat is not a party to the action is therefore irrelevant. Finally, as a practical matter, all such an argument would accomplish would be to force the indemnitee (TAV) to cross complain against the indemnitor (AV Heat), which would result in Nationwide ultimately paying to defend both AV Heat and TAV.

TAV is entitled to coverage as an additional insured

The policy provides coverage for TAV as a scheduled additional insured, on an ISO CG 2026 additional insured form. That form provides coverage for TAV under California law if TAV is **potentially** liable, "in whole or in part", for AV Heat's "acts or omissions", or of those acting on its behalf.

Nationwide apparently contends that the additional insured endorsement is not triggered because AV Heat has no control over the design of streets, lighting, or parking availability, and/or because the incident did not occur "during" an actual game or practice. It is however, undisputed that the decedent was at the field with his family participating in football practice as members of the AV Heat. When practice concluded they crossed the street to where they had parked in a dirt field on the south side of Ottawa Road. That lot is not owned or maintained by the TAV.

HV Heat's use of the facilities was pursuant to a "Facility Use Agreement" previously provided by Mr. Wimer, which indicates that AV Heat agreed to indemnify, defend and hold harmless the TAV from any and all causes of action, claims, liabilities, obligations, judgments, or damages, including reasonable attorneys fees, arising out of any and all "activities". Paragraph 12 of the Rules and Regulations section of the Facility Use Agreement indicates that TAV is not responsible for injuries to AV Heat or its guests, and Paragraph 13 indicates that TAV was to be covered as an additional insured for injuries "arising at any time during and/or arising out of or in any way connected with [AV Heat's] use or occupancy of the Town's facilities and adjoining property." The failure to provide such additional insurance is a breach of contract by AV Heat. Paragraph 17 indicated that AV Heat is solely responsible for supervising all individuals at the facility and adjoining property

Paula Creel K&K Insurance

RE: Andrews et al. v. Chad Richard Goodro, et al. / NW51215-20/SBU00920

DRAFT Page 3

during the event. Finally, paragraph 30 indicates that "vehicles must be contained to designated public right of ways and parking lots."

In violation of paragraph 30, AV Heat allowed its guests and members to park in a dirt field which they had to cross Ottawa Road to access, rather than in safe, designated parking areas as required by the Facility Use Agreement. That negligence alone is sufficient to constitute an error or omission, triggering the duty to defend TAV under the additional insured endorsement. AV Heat's obligation to provide such supervision and control extended to the time of the incident. The "event" at least potentially includes arrival and departure of members and guests rather than necessarily being confined to the practice itself. Further, the reference to "adjoining property" at least potentially includes Ottawa Road where the incident occurred. Had AV Heat made arrangements to ensure that its members and guests were parking in the safe and designated areas referenced in paragraph 30 of the Facility Use Agreement, this tragic incident would not have occurred.

In California, the duty to defend arises when there is a mere potential or possibility of coverage, based upon all information available to the insurer at the time of tender. *Pulte Home Corporation v. American Safety Indemnity Company* (2017) 14 Cal.App.5th 1086 [additional insurer in bad faith for failure to defend additional insured]. The potential for coverage standard indicates that an insurer has a duty to defend unless it can prove that there is no conceivable theory which could raise a single issue which could bring the claim within the policy coverage. *McMillin Homes Construction, Inc. v. National Fire* (2019) 35 Cal.App.5th 1042 [duty to defend an additional insured].

The potential for coverage for TAV as an additional insured is easily established based upon the facts, the law and the terms of the policy. There is a clear potential for coverage and a duty to defend TAV under the terms of the Nationwide additional insured endorsement.

Contractual liability coverage will ultimately apply

Pursuant to the broad terms of the express indemnity and defense obligations assumed by AV Heat in the Facility Use Agreement, and the facts summarized above, AV Heat will ultimately have an obligation to pay TAV's defense costs and to indemnify it for damages. See, *Crawford v. Weather Shield Mfg.* (2008) 44 Cal.4th 541. The Facility Use Agreement qualifies as an "insured contract" under definition 9(f) of the CG0001 form. AV Heat's defense and indemnity obligation is therefore covered under exception (2) to Exclusion 2 of Coverage A of the same form. Even if Nationwide were to refuse to pay any such award, TAV would be entitled to sue Nationwide directly to recover a judgment under California Insurance Code Section 11580, and/or for "bad faith" failure to pay the judgment pursuant

Paula Creel K&K Insurance

RE: Andrews et al. v. Chad Richard Goodro, et al. / NW51215-20/SBU00920

DRAFT Page 4

to *Hand v. Farmers Ins.* (1994) 23 Cal.App.4th 1847. Needless to say, this issue should be rendered moot by the obligation of Nationwide to defend TAV as an additional insured. However, in the event Nationwide continues to refuse to provide that defense, TAV reserves its rights to pursue all other remedies from both Nationwide and AV Heat.

For the reasons set forth in this letter and the prior correspondence from Mr. Wimer which is incorporated by reference, and without waiver of any other rights or arguments, TAV demands that Nationwide reconsider its denial and agree to defend TAV as an additional insured. TAV looks forward to a response within the time perimeters of the California Code of Regulations, Title X, Chapter 5, subchapter 7.5-Fair Claims Settlement Practices Regulations ("FCSPR"), Section 2695.5 (b).

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

HIRSCH ELOSSON, APLC

Robert V. Closson

RVC

cc: Tim Varon

Tvaron@carlwarren.com

Harvey Wimer

Hwimer@gravesandking.com

EXHIBIT "5"

EXHIBIT "5"



11766 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 600 Los Angeles, CA 90025-6546 Telephone: 310.445.0800 Facsimile: 310.473.2525 www.selmanlaw.com

Meka Moore 310.689.7041 mmoore@seimanlaw.com

September 8, 2023

Via Email: bclosson@hirschclosson.com

Robert V. Closson, Esq. Hirsch Closson, APLC 5030 Camino de la Siesta, Suite 300 San Diego, California 92108

Re: Andrews, et al. v. Chad Richard Goodro, et al.

San Bernardino Superior Court Case No. CIVSB2204565

Our File No. : 2080.104034

Insured : Apple Valley Rebels Youth Football & Cheer dba AV Heat

Date of Loss : 10/19/2021

Claim No. : NW51215-20/SBU00920

Policy No. : RPG7258600

Policy Period : 12-14-20 to 12-14-21

Dear Mr. Closson:

Our office represents Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company ("Nationwide"), which issued the above-referenced insurance policy to Apple Valley Rebels Youth Football & Cheer dba AV Heat ("AV Heat"). Nationwide requested that we send this correspondence restating its coverage position following receipt of your July 14, 2023, correspondence requesting reconsideration of Nationwide's coverage disclaimer¹. If there is someone else to whom we should direct this letter, please immediately advise.

As you know, this matter involves a fatal vehicle v. pedestrian accident occurring in the Town of Apple Valley ("TAV") on October 19, 2021. TAV tendered the resulting *Andrews* action to Nationwide to seek coverage under the above-referenced policy issued to the youth football organization, AV Heat. Please be advised that there is no coverage for TAV under the Nationwide policy because TAV does not qualify as an additional insured for any of the claims or causes of action alleged in the *Andrews* action.

The relevant additional insured endorsement applies only to injury caused by AV Heat's acts or omissions in the performance of its ongoing operations or in connection with the premises leased to AV Heat. The *Andrews* action does not involve any such injury or claim. The *Andrews* action alleges that only the driver and defendant TAV's negligent acts or omissions caused the injury. AV Heat's only connection to the incident is the fact the decedent was present at the accident site

¹ This correspondence also follows our August 23, 2023



following his brother's football practice with AV Heat. As set forth further below, such minimal connection is not sufficient to establish the requisite causal connection for coverage.

I. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

On September 1, 2022, plaintiffs Lawrence Andrews, Jr., an individual and as representative of the Decedent, and Sarah Andrews, Amoryonna Andrews, and Jordan Andrews filed a First Amended Complaint ("FAC") in the above-captioned *Andrews* action against defendants Chad Richard Goodro and the Town of Apple Valley ("TAV"). AV Heat is not party to the *Andrews* action. The FAC alleges, in relevant part, as follows:

- "James A. Woody Community Center and Park (the "Park") is located at 13467 Navajo Road, Apple Valley, CA; the facility consists of 8-10 lighted ball fields, full restroom facilities, outdoor basketball courts, indoor rentable event rooms, rentable outside event shade structures, large and small playground equipment, walking trails, picnic tables, BBQ grills, and a skate park. Ottawa Road borders the James A. Woody Community Center and Park on the southside. Youth and Adult Sports leagues utilize the ball fields and facilities and thousands of children and adults attend games, community events, programs and afterschool, weekend and evening classes at the facilities." FAC, ¶ 8.
- "Depending on the number of patrons utilizing the facilities...due to extremely limited parking facilities onsite at the James A. Woody Community Center and Park, when park utilization is high, patrons are often forced to park off-site and must cross substantially unlighted streets with no marked cross-walks and no signage." FAC, ¶ 9.
- "On or about October 19, 20-21, Decedent, his stepmother and his two younger siblings were leaving the Park, and crossing Ottawa Road after Decedent finished assisting with coaching at his younger brother's peewee football game at the athletic fields at the Park..." FAC, ¶ 10.
- TAV "owed a duty of care....to provide safe parking for use while visiting the facility and safe access to those parking facilities." FAC, ¶ 40.
- "The Park has limited parking on-site and it is widely known that excess parking is available at the First Assembly of God Church located across Ottawa Road from the Park playing field that borders Ottawa Road." FAC, ¶ 43.
- "On October 19, 2021, Defendant Town was responsible for maintaining and ensuring the safety of Decedent and family at and along the previously described street crossing...and for properly and safely managing, operating, overseeing, and coordinating the travel of vehicles and pedestrians crossing from...[the] Park. FAC, ¶ 44.

S LE SELMAN LEICHENGER EDSON H N.M. HSU NEWMAN MOORE LLP

Robert V. Closson, Esq. September 8, 2023 Page 3

- On and prior to October 19, 2021, the street...and the other equipment located in the vicinity were...in an improper, dangerous and/or defective condition that was actually known...by [TAV]." FAC, ¶ 45.
- "This condition occurred in that, among other things, the roads, lighting, crossing, and the surrounding area were negligently designed, controlled, installed, constructed, overseen, and maintained in an unsafe and improper manner...in that vehicle speed restrictions are dangerously high for the purposes for which the [Park] exists, and traffic operations near and adjacent to the Park are not carried out in a safe, non-negligent and/or proper manner, which thereby caused the unreasonable risk of serious bodily injuries and death to pedestrians..." FAC, ¶ 46.

Plaintiffs assert the following causes of action based on the factual allegations asserted in the FAC: (1) Negligence – against co-defendant Goodro; (2) Negligence Per Se – against co-defendant Goodro; (3) Wrongful Death pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 377.60 – against co-defendant Goodro; (4) Negligence – against co-defendant TAV; (5) Wrongful Death pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 377.60 – against co-defendant TAV; and (6) Survival pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 377.30 against co-defendants Goodro and TAV.

Based on these allegations and causes of action, plaintiffs seek: (1) damages in an amount according to proof; (2) economic losses; (3) pre-death injury, pain, suffering, anxiety, and emotional distress; (4) interest on any judgment; (5) costs of suit; (6) punitive damages; and (7) such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

II. THE FACILITY USE AGREEMENT

TAV and AV Heat executed the Facility Use Agreement on April 8, 2021. The agreement includes the following provisions:

- "Complex Requested: James Woody Field #8"
- "Type of Event: Football & Cheer Practices"
- "Date of Use: July 26, 2021 December 4, 2021"
- "Hours: From 6:00pm to 8:00pm, Total Hours: 2 hrs."

The agreement otherwise includes the following indemnity provision and Rules & Regulations:

I shall indemnify, defend and hold harmless the Town of Apple Valley, and its officers, employees and agents, from and against any and all causes of action, claims, liabilities, obligations, judgments, or damages, including reasonable attorney's fees and cost of litigation, arising from any and all activities, including



damage to property during such time as said facilities shall be used in accordance with this agreement.

. . .

- 12. The Town of Apple Valley is not responsible for injuries or damage to the Lessee or guests of the Lessee or loss of personal property left in or on the premises."
- 13. Renter shall procure and maintain public liability insurance against any and all losses, costs, expenses, claims, liabilities, actions or damages, including liability for injuries to any person(s) or damage to property arising at any time during and/or arising out of or in any way connected with Renter's use or occupancy of the Town's facilities and adjoining property in the amount of \$1,000,000 (one million dollars) per occurrence. Such insurance shall name the Town, its officers, employees, and agents as additional insureds prior to the rental date of the facility. Renter shall file certificates of such insurance with the Town, which shall be endorsed to provide thirty (30) days' notice to the Town of cancellation or any change of coverage or limits. If a copy of the insurance certificate is not on file prior to the event, the Town may deny access to the facility. If you are unable to obtain insurance, you may purchase insurance through the liability carrier of the Town."

. . .

30. Renter shall not drive motorized vehicles on ballfields or turf areas. Vehicles must be contained to designated public right of ways and parking lots.

Attached to the agreement is a Facility Use Permit showing that the Rebels reserved Field #8 on the date of loss from 6pm to 8pm.

III. THE POLICY

Nationwide issued Commercial General Liability Coverage Policy No. RPG7258600 to AV Heat as the named insured for the policy period December 14, 2020, to December 14, 2021. The policy includes the Additional Insured – Designated Person or Organization Endorsement pursuant to Form CG 20 26 04 13.

The endorsement provides as follows regarding TAV:

ADDITIONAL INSURED – DESIGNATED PERSON OR ORGANIZATION

This endorsement modifies insurance provided under the following:



COMMERCIAL GENERAL LIABILITY COVERAGE PART

SCHEDULE

Name of Additional Insured Person(s) Or Organization(s)

Town of Apple Valley 14955 Dale Evans Pkwy Apple Valley, CA 92307

Named Insured: Apple Valley Rebels Youth Football & Cheer A DBA: AV Heat

Information required to complete this Schedule, if not shown above, will be shown in the Declarations

- A. Section II Who Is An Insured is amended to include as an additional insured the person(s) or organization(s) shown in the Schedule, but only with respect to liability for "bodily injury", "property damage" or "personal and advertising injury" caused, in whole or in part, by your acts or omissions or the acts or omissions of those acting on your behalf:
 - 1. In the performance of your ongoing operations; or
 - 2. In connection with your premises owned by or rented to you.

However:

- 1. The insurance afforded to such additional insured only applies to the extent permitted by law; and
- 2. If coverage provided to the additional insured is required by a contract or agreement, the insurance afforded to such additional insured will not be broader than that which you are required by the contract or agreement to provide for such additional insured.
- **B.** With respect to the insurance afforded to these additional insureds, the following is added to Section III Limits Of Insurance:

If coverage provided to the additional insured is required by a contract or agreement, the most we will pay on behalf of the additional insured is the amount of insurance:

1. Required by the contract or agreement; or



2. Available under the applicable Limits of Insurance shown in the Declarations;

whichever is less.

This endorsement shall not increase the applicable Limits of Insurance shown in the Declarations.

IV. NATIONWIDE'S COVERAGE DENIAL

As set forth above, the Additional Insured – Designated Person or Organization endorsement provides TAV additional insured status under the Nationwide policy but only with respect to liability "caused, in whole or in part" by AV Heat's acts or omissions, or those acting on AV Heat's behalf, in the performance of AV Heat's ongoing operations, or in connection with the premises rented to AV Heat.

The Andrews FAC does not assert any allegations related to any alleged act or omission by AV Heat. Rather, the accident occurred only due to co-defendant Goodro's negligent driving and co-defendant TAV's alleged negligent acts or omissions including, but not limited to, (1) TAV's failure to provide adequate parking facilities and/or safe access to those parking facilities at the subject park; and (2) the failure to remedy the unsafe conditions created by lack of lighting, crossing guards, marked crosswalks, reduced speed signs, etc. at the park and in the neighboring vicinity. The additional insured endorsement is not triggered by the Andrews action because no act or omission by AV Heat did cause, or was alleged to have caused, the subject accident.

California courts interpret the phrase "caused, in whole or in part" as used within additional insured endorsements to mean that the named insured must have allegedly caused the claimed bodily injury or property damage. E.g., Advent, Inc. v. Nat'l Union Fire Ins. Co. of Pittsburgh, PA, (2016) 6 Cal.App.5th 443, 459 (denying additional insured coverage because the undisputed facts did not establish that the claimant's injuries were "caused by" the named insured); First Mercury Ins. Co. v. Great Divide Ins. Co., 203 F. Supp. 3d 1043, 1051 (N.D. Cal. 2016). In Advent, a subcontractor's employee was injured after falling down a stairwell. The employee sued the general contractor, who then sued the subcontractor's insurer for declaratory judgment that the general contractor was an additional insured under the subcontractor's policy. Id. at 451. The policy in question extended coverage only with respect to injuries "caused by" the subcontractor's acts or omissions. The Advent court found no evidence of such causation to hold the insurer held no obligation under the additional insured endorsement. Id. at 458-60. The court held that the employee's mere presence at the jobsite was not sufficient to find that the accident was caused by the subcontractor's "acts or omissions." Id. at 461-462.

The New York Court of Appeals in *Burlington Ins. Co. v. NYC Transit Authority* 29 N.Y.3d 313 (2017), likewise interpreted a claim for coverage under an additional insured endorsement where, as here, the endorsement provides coverage to an additional insured only for injury "caused, in whole or in part" by the named insured's "acts or omissions" or those acting on the named



insured's behalf. The court held that such language limits coverage for additional insureds "to situations where the insured is the proximate cause of the injury." *Id.* at 323. Stated differently, coverage is limited to "damages resulting from [the named insured's] negligent or some other actionable 'acts or omissions." *Id.* The court noted as follows in distinguishing this language from the earlier "arising out of" language:

"In 2004...the version of this ISO form was amended to replace the language 'arising out of' with 'caused, in whole or in part.' The change was intended to provide coverage for an additional insured's vicarious or contributory negligence, and to prevent coverage for the additional insured's sole negligence." *Id.* at 326.

The New York court in *Hanover Insurance Co. v. Philadelphia Indem. Ins. Co.* (2018) 159 A.D.3d 587 similarly held in the context of the duty to defend:

"When 'an insurance policy is restricted to liability for bodily injury 'caused, in whole or in part,' by the 'acts or omissions' the named insured, the coverage applies to injury proximately caused by the named insured.' Such language in a policy does not equate to 'but for' causation and is not the same as policies containing the phrase, 'arising out of'. Fundamentally, "'arising out of' is not the functional equivalent of 'proximately caused by'. Thus, it is not enough to merely establish a causal link to the injury." Id. at 587 [quoting Burlington] [emphasis added].

Applying the test, the court held that the insurer did not owe a duty to defend because the named insured was not the proximate cause of the claimed injuries. In *Hanover*, a security guard sued a school after he slipped and fell on a recently mopped floor while working at the school. The security company employer was not a defendant in the action. The school tendered its defense and indemnity to the insurer for the security guard's employer, claiming additional insured status under an endorsement in the policy. The court determined that, in such circumstance, the security company was not the proximate cause of the injury (i.e., not responsible for the mopped floor). Rather, "the sole proximate cause of the injury was the additional insured." *Id*.

In Fireman's Fund Ins. Co. v. Discovery Property & Cas. Ins. Co. (N.D. Cal. 2009) 2009 WL 2591394, the court held for an insurer to deny coverage under an additional insured endorsement that included the broader "arising out of" language. The Fireman's case involved a trip-and-fall accident outside a strip mall. The owner of the strip mall sought coverage under a tenant bookstores' policy because the injured party was allegedly on premises because she intended to visit the bookstore. The court rejected this claim for coverage, holding that the bookstore was not responsible for the condition causing the accident:

"Although the phrase 'arising out of' should be broadly read to require only a minimal causal connection, it requires more than 'but for' causation.

. .



> The construction, temporary fencing, and resulting injury had nothing to do with the bookstore's use of the leased premises, but rather resulted entirely from construction activities in which the mall was separately and independently engaged. The sole cause of the incident was the mall and the construction company, not the bookstore.

> The required causal connection between the use of the lease premises and the tortious activity causing the injury is lacking. The *only* connection between the incident giving rise to liability (*i.e.*, the temporary fencing on the construction site and resulting fall) and the bookstore was the fact that [injured party] happened to be walking to the bookstore. So, but for the book signing event [she] would not have been present and injured. Mere 'but for' causation is not enough. The connection here is too thin to support a finding of coverage under the endorsement at issue based on [tenant's] use of the leased premises for the book signing event." *Id.* at *3-4.

The *Fireman's Fund* court went on to note that "the additional insured endorsement only covered liability arising out of the ownership, maintenance, or use of the leased premises. The injury did not even occur on or close to the lease premises...the remoteness of the incident further establishes that there was no causal connection or relationship." *Id.* at *4.

The FAC alleges that it was only the acts or omissions of co-defendant driver Goodro and co-defendant TAV that caused the subject accident. There are no facts or allegations to establish that AV Heat had any responsibility for the condition causing the subject accident, or that any act or omission by AV Heat contributed to the accident. As such, the additional insured endorsement is not implicated by the *Andrews* FAC such that TAV does not qualify for coverage under the Nationwide policy. Moreover, the accident did not occur on the field leased to AV Heat or during the time that the field was leased to AV Heat.

TAV otherwise asserts arguments regarding Facility Use Agreement Rule and Regulation No. 30. The rule states, in full, as follows: "Renter shall not drive motorized vehicles or ballfields on turf areas. Vehicles must be contained to designated public right of ways and parking lots." The accident at issue in the *Andrews* action was not in any way related to AV Heat driving motorized vehicles on ballfields or turf areas. Moreover, the *Andrews* plaintiffs allege (1) TAV was solely responsible for the inadequate parking at the facility; and (2) TAV failed to create a safe environment for pedestrians (due to lack of lighting, crosswalks, speed restrictions, etc.) despite TAV's knowledge that patrons parked off-site due to the lack of available parking at the park.

The indemnity agreement also does not alter this analysis as California law is clear that indemnity agreements, such as the Facility Use Agreement at issue here, do not make the indemnitee an insured under a policy issued to the indemnitor. See, e.g., Alex Robertson Co. v. Imp. Cas. (1992) 8 Cal. App. 4th 338, 346 (holding that an indemnity agreement does not make the indemnitee an insured under the policy and the indemnitor's insurer owes the indemnitee no direct duty to defend



or indemnify the non-insured indemnitee.); See also, Seretti v. Superior Nat. Ins. Co., (1999) 71 Cal. App. 4th 920, 933.

V. NATIONWIDE'S RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

The policy also includes the Exclusion – Designated Operations endorsement pursuant to Form SRPG26055, which provides, in relevant part, as follows:

EXCLUSION – DESIGNATED OPERATIONS

All coverages are modified as follows:

SCHEDULE

Description of Designated Operation(s):

24-hour premises liability (unless reported to the company and the appropriate premium has been paid);

. . .

The operation, ownership or management of any athletic facility or field, other than while being used for covered activities;

. . .

Specified Location (If Applicable):

This insurance does not apply to the operations described in the Schedule of this endorsement, regardless of whether such operations are conducted by you or on your behalf or whether the operations are conducted for yourself or for others.

Unless a "location" is specified in the Schedule, this exclusion applies regardless of where such operations are conducted by you or on your behalf. If a specific "location" is designated in the Schedule of this endorsement, this exclusion applies only to the described operations conducted at that "location".

For the purpose of this endorsement, "location" means premises involving the same or connecting lots, or premises whose connection is interrupted only by a street, roadway, water way or right-of-way of a railroad.

Nationwide reserves all rights pursuant to the foregoing language including, but not limited to, the right to deny coverage for any claims involving 24-hour premises liability and/or the operation, ownership or management of any athletic facility or field."



VI. CONCLUSION

For the reasons explained above, Nationwide must deny any obligation under the policy for the subject claim. If you are aware of any additional facts or legal authorities that are relevant to the claim and/or that you believe would alter Nationwide's investigation or coverage position, please forward to us as soon as possible, and we will take such information under consideration.

Please be advised that nothing in this letter should be read as a waiver of any rights under the policy or applicable law. The inclusion of policy terms, conditions, definitions, exclusions and/or endorsements in this letter is not intended as, and is not, an exhaustive recitation of policy terms, conditions, exclusions, or endorsements that may potentially apply to Nationwide's coverage determination under the policy. Moreover, no action taken in connection with the investigation or handling of this matter should be deemed as an admission of coverage under the policy.

Please note that the coverage position set forth in this letter is based on currently available information. Nationwide will reevaluate its coverage position if additional documentation, information, or legal authority is submitted. Please note, however, that if any additional documentation, information, or legal authority is submitted, Nationwide reserves the right to reevaluate its entire coverage position under the policy, not merely those portions of its coverage position that may be impacted by such additional documentation, information, or legal authority.

If you believe that this claim was wrongfully denied, then you may have the matter reviewed by the California Department of Insurance. The address and telephone number of the appropriate unit is:

> California Department of Insurance 300 South Spring Street, South Tower Los Angeles, California 90013 1-800-927-4357 or 213-897-8921

You are not obligated to contact the department, and therefore Nationwide is willing to review any further information you have that you believe may alter or impact its coverage decision. Moreover, if there is any change in the facts or circumstances of this claim, please advise at your earliest convenience so that Nationwide may consider such information.

SILE SELMAN LEICHENGER EDSON HINM HSU NEWMAN MOORE LLP

Robert V. Closson, Esq. September 8, 2023 Page 11

If you have any questions regarding the matters discussed in this letter, please contact the undersigned.

Very truly yours,

Meka Moore

MEKA MOORE

MM:tjm

COPY

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

TOWN OF APPLE VALLEY	Ca	se No.:
Vs.	CE	RTIFICATE OF ASSIGNMENT
NATIONWIDE MUTUAL INSURA	NCE CO.	
A civil action or proceeding presi	ented for filing must be accom-	panied by this Certificate. If the ground
is the residence of a party, name	and residence shall be stated	·
The undersigned declares that the San Bernardino of this court for the checked rease General Nature of Action 1. Adoption 2. Conservator 3. Contract 4. Equity 5. Eminent Domain 6. Family Law 7. Guardianship 8. Harassment 9. Mandate 10. Name Change 11. Personal Injury 12. Personal Property 13. Probate 14. Prohibition 15. Review 16. Title to Real Property 17. Transferred Action 18. Unlawful Detainer 19. Domestic Violence 20. Other 21. THIS FILING WOULD	ne above-entitled matter is filed District of the Superior Court on: Collection Ground Petitioner resides within the Petitioner or conservatee reservance in the district is The cause of action arose we The property is located within Plaintiff, defendant, petitione Petitioner or ward resides with Plaintiff, defendant, petitione The defendant functions who The petitioner resides within The injury occurred within the The property is located within Decedent resided or resides The defendant functions who The defendant functions who The defendant functions who The property is located within The lower court is located within The property is located within The property is located within The petitioner, defendant, platents of the NORMALLY FALL WITHIN JUstice, party, detention, place of business.	district sides within the district. expressly provided for. ithin the district. or or respondent resides within the district. thin the district or has property within the district. or or respondent resides within the district. the district. the district. or the district. within or had property within the district. lly within the district. lly within the district. the district. the district. the district.
case for filing in the above-designed of	listrict is:	ing the same series will be a series and series and series are series and series are series and series are series and series are ser
TOWN OF APPLE VALLEY NAME - INDICATE TITLE OR OTHER QUALIFYIN	G FACTOR	14955 DALE EVANS PKWY.
APPLE VALLEY	CA	92307
I declare, under penalty of perjury, executed on April 17, 2024 California.		
Form # 13-16503-360 Mandatory Use	CERTIFICATE OF ASSIGNMENT	Signature of Attorney/Party Rev. June 2019

NAME AND ADDRESS OF ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITH	HOUTATTORNEY	STATE BAR NUMBER	Reserved for Clerk's File Storre	
TELEPHONE NO.: E-MAIL ADDRESS: ATTORNEY FOR (Name): FAX NO. (Optional):	UNLIMITED CASE:			
SUPERIOR COURT OF (NTY OF SAN BERNARDIN	10	
PLAINTIFF: DEFENDANT:				
INITIAL TRIAL S	ETTING CONFER	ENCE STATEMENT	CASE NUMBER:	
INSTRUCTIONS: All applicable must be filed and served at least	boxes must be checke 15 days prior to the to	d, and the specified information	must be provided. This docum	
 Party or parties (answer one) a.	submitted by party (nan	ne): lies (names):		
2. Service of Complaint on all p	arties has 🏻 has not	been completed.		
Service of Cross-Complaint	on all parties has 🔲 h	as not been completed.		
. Description of case in Comple	aint:			
5. Description of case in Cross-Complaint:				
. Has all discovery been complet	ted: Yes 🗌 No 🔲	Date discovery anticipated to be	completed:	
Do you agree to mediation? Y	es 🗌 No 🗌 Pleas	e check type agreed to: Private:	Court-sponsored:	
Related cases, consolidation	and coordination: Pl	ease attach a Notice of Related Ca	ase,	
☐ A motion to ☐ consolida Time estimate:	te 🔲 Trial dates rec	uested: Yes 🔲 No 🔲 Avail	able dates:	
Other issues: The following additional matters.	ers are requested to be	considered by the Court:		
. Meet and Confer: The parties represent that they have met and conferred on all subjects required by California Rules of Court, Rule 3.7			alifornia Rules of Court, Rule 3.724.	
The parties have entered int	o the following stipulation	on(s):	•	
Total number of pages attached	otal number of pages attached (<i>if any</i>):			
resolution, as well as other issue	is raised by this stateme	repared to discuss the status of di ent, and will possess the authority including the written authority of the	10 enter into clinulations and the con-	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		(SIGNATURE OF I	PARTY OR ATTORNEY	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		/PICNATURE OF F	PARTY OR ATTORNEY	

1	COPY		
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State E	ar number and eddress):	CM-01	
Robert V. Closson, Esq. (SBN 125646) Ch HIRSCH CLOSSON, 5030 Camino de la S	ristopher T. Hicks, Esq. (SBN 300462)	FOR COURT USE ONLY	
TELEPHONE NO.: (619) 233-7006			
EMAIL ADDRESS: helppopp@bissoboleon	FAX NO.: (925) 935-9825	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA	
EMAIL ADDRESS: bclosson@hirschclosson.com	/ Chicks(a)hirschclosson.com	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA	
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): TOWN OF APPLE VALL		COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDING	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY	OF SAN BERNARDINO		
STREET ADDRESS: 247 West Third Street		MAY 0 9_2024	
MAILING ADDRESS: 247 West Third Street		MAI US CULT	
CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Bernardino, CA 92415-0	1210		
BRANCH NAME: SAN BERNARDINO - CIVIL	DIVISION	By.	
CASE NAME:	377101014	Deputy	
TOWN OF APPLE VALLEY V. NATIONWIDE MU	TILLA BIOLIDANON COLUMNIA	101	
	TUAL INSURANCE COMPANY, et al.	Muymer leles	
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	CASE NUMBER;	
X Unlimited Limited	Counter Joinder	01100011100	
(Amount (Amount		CIV SB 2 4 1 4 0 5 7	
demanded demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defend	ant Judge:	
exceeds \$35,000) \$35,000 or les	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	DEPT.:	
	below must be completed (see instruction		
1. Check one box below for the case type	hat hest describes this case:	s on page 2).	
Auto Tort	Contract	Democratic with the contract of	
Auto (22)		Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation	
Uninsured motorist (46)	Breach of contract/warranty (06)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400-3.403)	
	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)	
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)	
l	x Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)	
Asbestos (04)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)	
Product liability (24)		Environmental/Toxic tort (30)	
Medical malpractice (45)	Real Property		
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	Eminent domain/inverse	Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case	
· ·	condemnation (14)	types (41)	
Non-Pt/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	Enforcement of Judgment	
Business tort/unfair business practice (0	7) Other real property (26)	Enforcement of Judgment (20)	
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer	Miscellaneous Civil Complaint	
Defamation (13)	Commercial (31)	•	
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	RICO (27)	
· · · · · ·	 · /	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)	
intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	Miscellaneous Civil Petition	
Professional negligence (25)	Judicial Review		
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)	
Employment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)	
Wrongful termination (35)	Writ of mandate (02)		
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)		
<u> </u>			
2. This case is x is not cor	oplex under rule 3.400 of the California Ro	ules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the	
ractors requiring exceptional judicial mana	agement:		
 a. Large number of separately represent 		er of witnesses	
 b. Extensive motion practice raising 	difficult or novel e. Coordination	with related actions pending in one or more	
issues that will be time-consumin	g to resolve courts in other	er counties, states, or countries, or in a federal	
c. Substantial amount of documents		,	
	i. [] Substantial p	ostjudgment judicial supervision	
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a.	x monetary b. x nonmonetary:	declaratory or injunctive relief c. punitive	
4. Number of causes of action (specify): Bre-	3ch of Contract Dec Relief /Duty to Defor	nd), Dec Relief (Duty to Indem), Implied Cov.	
5. This case is x is not a cl	ass action suit.	id, beckelet (buty to intern), implied Cov.	
 If there are any known related cases, file a Date: January 23, 2024 	mu serve a nonce of related case. (You m	ay use form CM-015.)	
POPERTY OF COOK FOR	L		
ROBERT V. CLOSSON, ESQ.			
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(8	IGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)	
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases filed			
under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Walfai	re and institutions Code). (Cat. Pulse of Caud	nall claims cases or cases filed rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result in sanctions.	
File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet	t required by local court rule	rule 3.220.) railure to the may result in sanctions.	
If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the action or proposaling.	the California Rules of Court, you must some	a canu of this cover cheet on all other	
the action of proceeding.			
 Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 	or a complex case, this cover sheet will be use	d for statistical purposes only.	
		rade 1 of 2 i	



SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

San Bernardino District 247 West 3rd St San Bernardino CA 92415 www.sb-court.org 909-708-8678

Town of Apple Valley -v- Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company et al

NOTICE OF TRIAL SETTING CONFERENCE

Case Number

CIVSB2414057

Hirsch Closson Aplc 5030 Camino De La Siesta Suite 300 San Diego Ca 92108

CA.

This case has been assigned to: Michael A Sachs in Department S28 - SBJC for all purposes.

Notice is hereby given that the above-entitled case has been set for Trial Setting Conference at the court located at 247 West 3rd St. San Bernardino CA 92415.

Hearing Date: 11/5/24 at 8:30am in Department S28

Date	e: 5/9/2024	Anabe	el Z. Romero, Court Executive Officer
		Ву:	Raymond Rojas, Deputy Clerk
	CERTIFICATE OF	SERV	ICE
	a Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court for the County of Sar y to this action and on the date and place shown below, I sa		
\boxtimes	Enclosed in a sealed envelope mailed to the interested padate, following standard Court practices.	arty add	dressed above, for collection and mailing this
	Enclosed in a sealed envelope, first class postage prepaid in the U.S. mail at the location shown above, mailed to the interested party and addressed as shown above or as shown on the attached listing.		
	A copy of this notice was given to the filing party at the co	unter,	
	A copy of this notice was placed in the bin location at this firm's collection of file stamped documents	office a	and identified as the location for the above law
Date	of Mailing: 5/9/2024		

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on 5/9/2024 at San Bernardino,

By: Raymond Rojas, Deputy Clerk

State





STATE OF CALIFORNIA Office of the Secretary of State STATEMENT OF INFORMATION CORPORATION

California Secretary of State 1500 11th Street Sacramento, California 95814 (916) 653-3516 For Office Use Only

-FILED-

File No.: BA20231870044 Date Filed: 12/11/2023

Entity Details		
Corporation Name		TUAL INSURANCE COMPANY
Entity No.	0313251	
Formed In	OHIO	
Street Address of Principal Office of Corporation		
Principal Address	ONE WEST NATIO COLUMBUS, OH 4:	
Mailing Address of Corporation		
Mailing Address	ONE WEST NATIO COLUMBUS, OH 4:	,
Attention		
Street Address of California Office of Corporation		
Street Address of California Office	None	
Officers		
Officer Name	Officer Address	Position(s)
KIRT A. WALKER	ONE WEST NATIONWIDE BLVD. COLUMBUS, OH 43215	Chief Executive Officer
DENISE L. SKINGLE	ONE WEST NATIONWIDE BLVD. COLUMBUS, OH 43215	Secretary
TIMOTHY G. FROMMEYER	ONE WEST NATIONWIDE BLVD. COLUMBUS, OH 43215	Chief Financial Officer

Additional Officers

Officer Name	Officer Address	Position	Stated Position
None Entered			

Agent for Service of Process

California Registered Corporate Agent (1505)

CSC - LAWYERS INCORPORATING SERVICE

Registered Corporate 1505 Agent

Type of Business

Type of Business

GENERAL INSURANCE

Email Notifications

Opt-in Email Notifications

No, I do NOT want to receive entity notifications via email. I prefer notifications by USPS mail.

Labor Judgment

No Officer or Director of this Corporation has an outstanding final judgment issued by the Division of Labor Standards Enforcement or a court of law, for which no appeal therefrom is pending, for the violation of any wage order or provision of the Labor Code.

ţ	ᆺ
1	ŭ
1	Ü
11111	$\frac{\circ}{i}$
Ć	۷,
(Л
($\stackrel{\sim}{\supset}$
}	
1	V
1	`.
+	_
11000	\sim
ċ	Š
ľ	V
(v
(v
i	ن
•	J
۲	U
F + + 1000 + 400	≤
۲	IJ
(D
(7
+	٧
΄.	7
(D
٠ ۲	_
7	7
	7
(נו
ŀ	ت
ŀ	٥.
FECHIL	4
1	ر
ŀ	3
F	<u>.</u> .
ς	υ
	Ω
Ú	Ď
(3
1	2
0,00	+
ġ	Ú
۲	ż
~	7
Ċ	
ד טרמ	ħ
C	Ω †
0	T U
ר	+
a)

Electronic Signature			
By signing, I affirm that the information herein is true and correct and that I am authorized by California law to sign.			
DENISE L. SKINGLE 12/11/2023			
Signature	Date		

Case 5:24-cv-01244 Document 1 Filed 06/13/24 Page 76 of 76 Page ID #:76

HIRSCH CLOSSON, APLC 5030 CAMINO DE LA SIESTA, SUITE 300 SAN DIEGO, CA 92108 619-233-7006

Attorney for: TOWN OF APPLE VALLEY

Atty. File No.: CIVSB 2414057

ELECTRONICALLY FILED SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT 6/4/2024 12:11 PM By: Suzanne Trujillo, DEPUTY

SUPERIOR COURT OF CA., COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO SAN BERNARDINO JUSTICE CENTER

PLAINTIFF

: TOWN OF APPLE VALLEY

DEFENDANT : NATIONWIDE MUTUAL INSURANCE ETC.

Case No.: CIVSB2414057

PROOF OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS

Hearing date: November 5,2024

Time: 08:30 AM

Dept./Div.: S28

At the time of service I was at least 18 years of age and not a party to this action.

2. I served copies of the SUMMONS; COMPLAINT; CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET; CERTIFICATE OF

ASSIGNMENT; INITIAL TRIAL SETTING CONFERENCE STATEMENT;

NOTICE OF TRIAL SETTING CONFERENCE

a. Party Served : NATIONWIDE MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY, A OHIO CORPORATION

b. Person Served: STEPHANIE GIBSON, INTAKE ASSOCIATE (C/F/EARLY 50S/5FT9/200LB/BLD/GLASSES)

4. Address where the party was served: 1160 DUBLIN RD

SUITE 400

COLUMBUS, OH 43215 (Business)

I served the party 5.

- a. by personal service. I personally delivered the documents listed in item 2 to the party or person authorized to receive service of process for the party (1) on May 14, 2024 (2) at: 12:05 PM
- The "Notice to the person served" (on the summons) was completed as follows: 6.
 - c. on behalf of: NATIONWIDE MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY, A OHIO CORPORATION under [xx] CCP 416.10 (corporation)

- Person who served papers
 - a. ERIKA CREMEANS
 - b. KNOX ATTORNEY SERVICE 1550 HOTEL CIRCLE NORTH SUITE 440 SAN DIEGO, CA 92108
 - c. 619-233-9700

- d. Fee For Service: \$ 200.15
- e. I am
 - (3) not a registered California process server
 - (i) an independent contractor
 - (ii) Registration No.:

Eukam

(iii) County:

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Ohio that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: May 28, 2024

Jud. Coun. form, rule 2.150 CRC JC Form POS 010 (Rev. January 1, 2007)

PROOF OF SERVICE

Ref. No.: 0788649-01