

1 SO. CAL. EQUAL ACCESS GROUP
Jason J. Kim (SBN 190246)
2 Jason Yoon (SBN 306137)
Kevin Hong (SBN 299040)
3 101 S. Western Ave., Second Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90004
4 Telephone: (213) 252-8008
Facsimile: (213) 252-8009
5 cm@SoCalEAG.com

6 Attorneys for Plaintiff
DARWIN BOGGS

7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

10
11 DARWIN BOGGS,
12 Plaintiff,
13 vs.

14
15 ADEEB SEMAN HATTAR, AS
16 TRUSTEE OF THE HATTAR FAMILY
17 REVOCABLE TRUST; and DOES 1 to
18 10,
19 Defendants.

Case No.:

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE
RELIEF AND DAMAGES FOR DENIAL
OF CIVIL RIGHTS OF A DISABLED
PERSON IN VIOLATIONS OF

1. AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES
ACT, 42 U.S.C. §12131 et seq.;
2. CALIFORNIA’S UNRUH CIVIL
RIGHTS ACT;
3. CALIFORNIA’S DISABLED
PERSONS ACT;
4. CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY
CODE;
5. NEGLIGENCE

20
21
22
23
24
25 Plaintiff DARWIN BOGGS (“Plaintiff”) complains of Defendants ADEEB
26 SEMAN HATTAR, AS TRUSTEE OF THE HATTAR FAMILY REVOCABLE
27 TRUST; and DOES 1 to 10 (“Defendants”) and alleges as follows:

28 //

1 **PARTIES**

2 1. Plaintiff is a California resident with a physical disability. Plaintiff suffers
3 from paraplegia and is substantially limited in his ability to walk. Plaintiff requires the
4 use of a wheelchair at all times when traveling in public.

5 2. Defendants are, or were at the time of the incident, the real property owners,
6 business operators, lessors and/or lessees of the real property for a liquor store
7 (“Business”) located at or about 2005 E. Highland Ave., San Bernardino, California.

8 3. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or
9 otherwise of Defendant DOES 1 through 10, and each of them, are unknown to Plaintiff,
10 who therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will ask leave of
11 Court to amend this Complaint when the true names and capacities have been
12 ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and, based thereon, alleges that each such
13 fictitiously named Defendants are responsible in some manner, and therefore, liable to
14 Plaintiff for the acts herein alleged.

15 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that, at all relevant
16 times, each of the Defendants was the agent, employee, or alter-ego of each of the other
17 Defendants, and/or was acting in concert with each of the other Defendants, and in doing
18 the things alleged herein was acting with the knowledge and consent of the other
19 Defendants and within the course and scope of such agency or employment relationship.

20 5. Whenever and wherever reference is made in this Complaint to any act or
21 failure to act by a defendant or Defendants, such allegations and references shall also be
22 deemed to mean the acts and failures to act of each Defendant acting individually, jointly
23 and severally.

24 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

25 6. The Court has jurisdiction of this action pursuant to 28 USC §§ 1331 and
26 1343 for violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, (42 USC §12101, *et*
27 *seq.*).
28

1 7. Pursuant to pendant jurisdiction, attendant and related causes of action,
2 arising from the same nucleus of operating facts, are also brought under California law,
3 including, but not limited to, violations of California Civil Code §§51, 51.5, 52(a), 52.1,
4 54, 54., 54.3 and 55.

5 8. Plaintiff's claims are authorized by 28 USC §§ 2201 and 2202.

6 9. Venue is proper in this court pursuant to 28 USC §1391(b). The real
7 property which is the subject of this action is located in this district, San Bernardino
8 County, California, and that all actions complained of herein take place in this district.

9 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

10 10. In or about January of 2024, Plaintiff went to the Business.

11 11. The Business is a liquor store business establishment, open to the public, and
12 is a place of public accommodation that affects commerce through its operation.
13 Defendants provide parking spaces for customers.

14 12. While attempting to enter the Business during each visit, Plaintiff personally
15 encountered a number of barriers that interfered with his ability to use and enjoy the
16 goods, services, privileges, and accommodations offered at the Business.

17 13. To the extent of Plaintiff's personal knowledge, the barriers at the Business
18 included, but were not limited to, the following:

19 a. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for
20 the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants
21 failed to post required signage such as "Van Accessible," and
22 "Minimum Fine \$250".

23 b. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for
24 the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants
25 failed to provide proper van accessible space designated for the
26 persons with disabilities as there were no "NO PARKING" markings
27 painted on the surface of the access aisle.

- 1 c. Defendants failed to maintain the parking space designated for
2 persons with disabilities to comply with the federal and state
3 standards. Defendants failed to maintain the parking space
4 identification signs to be visible, as the provided signs are badly
5 vandalized and damaged, resulting in the signs becoming illegible and
6 unrecognizable.
- 7 d. Defendants failed to maintain the parking space designated for
8 persons with disabilities to comply with the federal and state
9 standards. Defendants failed to maintain the mark on the space with
10 the International Symbol of Accessibility, resulting in the paint
11 becoming severely deteriorated, hindering visibility.
- 12 e. Defendants failed to maintain the parking space designated for
13 persons with disabilities to comply with the federal and state
14 standards. Defendants failed to maintain the blue hatched lines and
15 blue borderlines painted on the ground as required, resulting in the
16 markings becoming severely deteriorated, hindering visibility.
- 17 f. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for
18 the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants
19 failed to provide an access aisle with level surface slope, as there was
20 a permanent ramp installed in the access aisle.

21 14. These barriers and conditions denied Plaintiff full and equal access to the
22 Business and caused Plaintiff difficulty and frustration. Plaintiff wishes to return and
23 patronize the Business; however, Plaintiff is deterred from visiting the Business because
24 his knowledge of these violations prevents him from returning until the barriers are
25 removed.

26 15. Based on the violations, Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that
27 there are additional barriers to accessibility at the Business after further site inspection.
28

1 Plaintiff seeks to have all barriers related to his disability remedied. *See Doran v. 7-*
2 *Eleven, Inc.* 524 F.3d 1034 (9th Cir. 2008).

3 16. In addition, Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that Defendants
4 knew that particular barriers render the Business inaccessible, violate state and federal
5 law, and interfere with access for the physically disabled.

6 17. At all relevant times, Defendants had and still have control and dominion
7 over the conditions at this location and had and still have the financial resources to
8 remove these barriers without much difficulty or expenses to make the Business
9 accessible to the physically disabled in compliance with ADDAG and Title 24
10 regulations. Defendants have not removed such barriers and have not modified the
11 Business to conform to accessibility regulations.

12 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

13 **VIOLATION OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990**

14 18. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
15 paragraphs in this complaint.

16 19. Under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (“ADA”), no individual
17 shall be discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of
18 the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of any place of
19 public accommodation by any person who owns, leases, or leases to, or operates a place
20 of public accommodation. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).

21 20. Discrimination, *inter alia*, includes:

- 22 a. A failure to make reasonable modification in policies, practices, or
23 procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford such
24 goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations
25 to individuals with disabilities, unless the entity can demonstrate that
26 making such modifications would fundamentally alter the nature of
27 such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or
28 accommodations. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(ii).

- 1 b. A failure to take such steps as may be necessary to ensure that no
2 individual with a disability is excluded, denied services, segregated or
3 otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the
4 absence of auxiliary aids and services, unless the entity can
5 demonstrate that taking such steps would fundamentally alter the
6 nature of the good, service, facility, privilege, advantage, or
7 accommodation being offered or would result in an undue burden. 42
8 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iii).
- 9 c. A failure to remove architectural barriers, and communication barriers
10 that are structural in nature, in existing facilities, and transportation
11 barriers in existing vehicles and rail passenger cars used by an
12 establishment for transporting individuals (not including barriers that
13 can only be removed through the retrofitting of vehicles or rail
14 passenger cars by the installation of a hydraulic or other lift), where
15 such removal is readily achievable. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv).
- 16 d. A failure to make alterations in such a manner that, to the maximum
17 extent feasible, the altered portions of the facility are readily
18 accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities, including
19 individuals who use wheelchairs or to ensure that, to the maximum
20 extent feasible, the path of travel to the altered area and the
21 bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered
22 area, are readily accessible to and usable by individuals with
23 disabilities where such alterations to the path or travel or the
24 bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered area
25 are not disproportionate to the overall alterations in terms of cost and
26 scope. 42 U.S.C. § 12183(a)(2).

27 21. Where parking spaces are provided, accessible parking spaces shall be
28 provided. 1991 ADA Standards § 4.1.2(5); 2010 ADA Standards § 208. One in every

1 eight accessible spaces, but not less than one, shall be served by an access aisle 96 in
2 (2440 mm) wide minimum and shall be designated “van accessible.” 1991 ADA
3 Standards § 4.1.2(5)(b). For every six or fraction of six parking spaces, at least one shall
4 be a van accessible parking space. 2010 ADA Standards § 208.2.4.

5 22. Under the ADA, the method and color of marking are to be addressed by
6 State or local laws or regulations. See 36 C.F.R., Part 1191. Under the California
7 Building Code (“CBC”), the parking space identification signs shall include the
8 International Symbol of Accessibility. Parking identification signs shall be reflectorized
9 with a minimum area of 70 square inches. Additional language or an additional sign
10 below the International Symbol of Accessibility shall state “Minimum Fine \$250.” A
11 parking space identification sign shall be permanently posted immediately adjacent and
12 visible from each parking space, shall be located with its centerline a maximum of 12
13 inches from the centerline of the parking space and may be posted on a wall at the
14 interior end of the parking space. See CBC § 11B-502.6, et seq.

15 23. Moreover, an additional sign shall be posted either in a conspicuous place at
16 each entrance to an off-street parking facility or immediately adjacent to on-site
17 accessible parking and visible from each parking space. The additional sign shall not be
18 less than 17 inches wide by 22 inches high. The additional sign shall clearly state in
19 letters with a minimum height of 1 inch the following: “Unauthorized vehicles parked in
20 designated accessible spaces not displaying distinguishing placards or special license
21 plates issued for persons with disabilities will be towed away at the owner’s expense...”
22 See CBC § 11B-502.8, et seq.

23 24. Here, Defendants failed to provide the signs stating, “Minimum Fine \$250”
24 and “Van Accessible.” Moreover, Defendants failed to provide the additional sign with
25 the specific language stating “Unauthorized vehicles parked in designated accessible
26 spaces not displaying distinguishing placards or special license plates issued for persons
27 with disabilities will be towed away at the owner’s expense...” Additionally, Defendants
28 failed to maintain the parking space identification signs to be visible, as the provided

1 signs are badly vandalized and damaged, resulting in the signs becoming illegible and
2 unrecognizable.

3 25. For the parking spaces, access aisles shall be marked with a blue painted
4 borderline around their perimeter. The area within the blue borderlines shall be marked
5 with hatched lines a maximum of 36 inches (914 mm) on center in a color contrasting
6 with that of the aisle surface, preferably blue or white. The words "NO PARKING" shall
7 be painted on the surface within each access aisle in white letters a minimum of 12 inches
8 (305 mm) in height and located to be visible from the adjacent vehicular way. CBC §
9 11B-502.3.3.

10 26. Here, Defendants failed to provide a proper access aisle as there were no
11 "NO PARKING" markings painted on the parking surface. Additionally, the blue
12 borderlines and blue hatched lines were poorly maintained, resulting in the markings
13 becoming severely deteriorated, hindering visibility.

14 27. The surface of each accessible car and van space shall have surface
15 identification complying with either of the following options: The outline of a profile
16 view of a wheelchair with occupant in white on a blue background a minimum 36" wide
17 by 36" high (914 mm x 914 mm). The centerline of the profile view shall be a maximum
18 of 6 inches (152 mm) from the centerline of the parking space, its sides parallel to the
19 length of the parking space and its lower side or corner aligned with the end of the
20 parking space length or by outlining or painting the parking space in blue and outlining
21 on the ground in white or a suitable contrasting color a profile view of a wheel chair with
22 occupant. See CBC § 11B-502.6.4, et seq.

23 28. Here, Defendants failed to maintain the International Symbol of
24 Accessibility painted on the surface as required, resulting in the markings becoming
25 severely deteriorated, hindering visibility.

26 29. Under the 1991 Standards, parking spaces and access aisles must be level
27 with surface slopes not exceeding 1:50 (2%) in all directions. 1991 Standards § 4.6.2.
28 Accessible parking spaces shall be at least 96 in (2440 mm) wide. Parking access aisles

1 shall be part of an accessible route to the building or facility entrance and shall comply
2 with 4.3. Two accessible parking spaces may share a common access aisle. Parked
3 vehicle overhangs shall not reduce the clear width of an accessible route. Parking spaces
4 and access aisles shall be level with surface slopes not exceeding 1:50 (2%) in all
5 directions. 1991 Standards § 4.6.3.

6 30. Here, the access aisle is not level with the parking spaces, as there is a ramp
7 permanently installed in the access aisle. Under the 2010 Standards, access aisles shall be
8 at the same level as the parking spaces they serve. Changes in level are not permitted.
9 2010 Standards § 502.4. “Access aisles are required to be nearly level in all directions to
10 provide a surface for transfer to and from vehicles.” 2010 Standards § 502.4 Advisory. Id.
11 No more than a 1:48 slope is permitted.

12 31. A public accommodation shall maintain in operable working condition those
13 features of facilities and equipment that are required to be readily accessible to and usable
14 by persons with disabilities by the Act or this part. 28 C.F.R. 35.211(a).

15 32. By failing to maintain the facility to be readily accessible and usable by
16 Plaintiff, Defendants are in violation of Plaintiff’s rights under the ADA and its related
17 regulations.

18 33. The Business has denied and continues to deny full and equal access to
19 Plaintiff and to other people with disabilities. Plaintiff has been and will continue to be
20 discriminated against due to the lack of accessible facilities, and therefore, seeks
21 injunctive relief to alter facilities to make such facilities readily accessible to and usable
22 by individuals with disabilities.

23 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

24 **VIOLATION OF THE UNRUH CIVIL RIGHTS ACT**

25 34. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
26 paragraphs in this complaint.

27 35. California Civil Code § 51 states, “All persons within the jurisdiction of this
28 state are free and equal, and no matter what their sex, race, color, religion, ancestry,

1 national origin, disability, medical condition, genetic information, marital status, sexual
2 orientation, citizenship, primary language, or immigration status are entitled to the full
3 and equal accommodations, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services in all business
4 establishments of every kind whatsoever.”

5 36. California Civil Code § 52 states, “Whoever denies, aids or incites a denial,
6 or make any discrimination or distinction contrary to Section 51, 51.5, or 51.6, is liable
7 for each and every offense for the actual damages, and any amount that may be
8 determined by a jury, or a court sitting without a jury, up to a maximum of three times the
9 amount of actual damage but in no case less than four thousand dollars (\$4,000) and any
10 attorney’s fees that may be determined by the court in addition thereto, suffered by any
11 person denied the rights provided in Section 51, 51.5, or 51.6.

12 37. California Civil Code § 51(f) specifies, “a violation of the right of any
13 individual under federal Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-336)
14 shall also constitute a violation of this section.”

15 38. The actions and omissions of Defendants alleged herein constitute a denial
16 of full and equal accommodation, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services by
17 physically disabled persons within the meaning of California Civil Code §§ 51 and 52.
18 Defendants have discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of California Civil Code §§
19 51 and 52.

20 39. The violations of the Unruh Civil Rights Act caused Plaintiff to experience
21 difficulty, discomfort, or embarrassment. The Defendants are also liable for statutory
22 damages as specified in California Civil Code §55.56(a)-(c).

23 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

24 **VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA DISABLED PERSONS ACT**

25 40. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
26 paragraphs in this complaint.

27 41. California Civil Code § 54.1(a) states, “Individuals with disabilities shall be
28 entitled to full and equal access, as other members of the general public, to

1 accommodations, advantages, facilities, medical facilities, including hospitals, clinics,
2 and physicians' offices, and privileges of all common carriers, airplanes, motor vehicles,
3 railroad trains, motorbuses, streetcars, boats, or any other public conveyances or modes
4 of transportation (whether private, public, franchised, licensed, contracted, or otherwise
5 provided), telephone facilities, adoption agencies, private schools, hotels, loading places,
6 places of public accommodations, amusement, or resort, and other places in which the
7 general public is invited, subject only to the conditions and limitations established by
8 law, or state or federal regulation, and applicable alike to all persons.

9 42. California Civil Code § 54.3(a) states, "Any person or persons, firm or
10 corporation who denies or interferes with admittance to or enjoyment of public facilities
11 as specified in Sections 54 and 54.1 or otherwise interferes with the rights of an
12 individual with a disability under Sections 54, 54.1 and 54.2 is liable for each offense for
13 the actual damages, and any amount as may be determined by a jury, or a court sitting
14 without a jury, up to a maximum of three times the amount of actual damages but in no
15 case less than one thousand dollars (\$1,000) and any attorney's fees that may be
16 determined by the court in addition thereto, suffered by any person denied the rights
17 provided in Section 54, 54.1, and 54.2.

18 43. California Civil Code § 54(d) specifies, "a violation of the right of an
19 individual under Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-336) also
20 constitute a violation of this section, and nothing in this section shall be construed to limit
21 the access of any person in violation of that act.

22 44. The actions and omissions of Defendants alleged herein constitute a denial
23 of full and equal accommodation, advantages, and facilities by physically disabled
24 persons within the meaning of California Civil Code § 54. Defendants have
25 discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of California Civil Code § 54.

26 45. The violations of the California Disabled Persons Act caused Plaintiff to
27 experience difficulty, discomfort, and embarrassment. The Defendants are also liable for
28 statutory damages as specified in California Civil Code §55.56(a)-(c).

1 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

2 **CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 19955, et seq.**

3 46. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
4 paragraphs in this complaint.

5 47. Plaintiff and other similar physically disabled persons who require the use of
6 a wheelchair are unable to use public facilities on a “full and equal” basis unless each
7 such facility is in compliance with the provisions of California Health & Safety Code §
8 19955 et seq. Plaintiff is a member of the public whose rights are protected by the
9 provisions of California Health & Safety Code § 19955 et seq.

10 48. The purpose of California Health & Safety Code § 1995 et seq. is to ensure
11 that public accommodations or facilities constructed in this state with private funds
12 adhere to the provisions of Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 4450) of Division 5 of
13 Title 1 of the Government Code. The code relating to such public accommodations also
14 require that “when sanitary facilities are made available for the public, clients, or
15 employees in these stations, centers, or buildings, they shall be made available for
16 persons with disabilities.

17 49. Title II of the ADA holds as a “general rule” that no individual shall be
18 discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of goods
19 (or use), services, facilities, privileges, and accommodations offered by any person who
20 owns, operates, or leases a place of public accommodation. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).
21 Further, each and every violation of the ADA also constitutes a separate and distinct
22 violation of California Civil Code §§ 54(c) and 54.1(d), thus independently justifying an
23 award of damages and injunctive relief pursuant to California law, including but not
24 limited to Civil Code § 54.3 and Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seq.

25 **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

26 **NEGLIGENCE**

27 50. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
28 paragraphs in this complaint.

1 51. Defendants have a general duty and a duty under the ADA, Unruh Civil
2 Rights Act and California Disabled Persons Act to provide safe and accessible facilities
3 to the Plaintiff.

4 52. Defendants breached their duty of care by violating the provisions of ADA,
5 Unruh Civil Rights Act and California Disabled Persons Act.

6 53. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants’ negligent conduct, Plaintiff
7 has suffered damages.

8 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

9 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays for relief and judgment against
10 Defendants as follows:

11 1. For preliminary and permanent injunction directing Defendants to comply
12 with the Americans with Disability Act and the Unruh Civil Rights Act;

13 2. Award of all appropriate damages, including but not limited to statutory
14 damages, general damages and treble damages in amounts, according to proof;

15 3. Award of all reasonable restitution for Defendants’ unfair competition
16 practices;

17 4. Reasonable attorney’s fees, litigation expenses, and costs of suit in this
18 action;

19 5. Prejudgment interest pursuant to California Civil Code § 3291; and

20 6. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

21 **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

22 Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby
23 demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

24

25 Dated: April 8, 2024

SO. CAL. EQUAL ACCESS GROUP

26

27

By: /s/ Jason J. Kim

Jason J. Kim, Esq.

28

Attorneys for Plaintiff