1	DYNAMIS LLP 100 Bayview Circle Newport Beach, CA 92660 Tel: (617) 802-9157	
2		
3		
4	Email: bpierce@dynamisllp.com	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	WESTERN DIVISION	
9	HECTOR RODRIGUEZ,	CASE NO. 2:24-CV-01287-PA-MAA
10	SETH ABNER, and HECZ, LLC,	CASE NO. 2.24-C V-01207-1 A-MAX
11	Plaintiffs, v.	ORDER GRANTING STIPULATION TO ARBITRATE AND TO DISMISS
12		
Defendant.		
14		
15		
16	On March 1, 2024, the Parties filed a stipulation to stay this action [ECF No. 10]. Defendant	
17	asserts that Plaintiffs' claims are subject to binding arbitration before the International Court of	
18	Arbitration. Plaintiffs dispute that their claims are subject to arbitration but have agreed, to spare the	
19	expense of costly and lengthy litigation on jurisdictional issues of formation, enforceability, validity,	
20	and/or arbitrability as to the relevant arbitration provisions, to submit those jurisdictional issues for	
21	determination by the Arbitral Tribunals in the Rodriguez Arbitration (with respect to the claims	
22	asserted by Hector Rodriguez and HECZ, LLC) and the Abner Arbitration (with respect to the claims	
23	asserted by Seth Abner).	
24	The Court, having considered the Parties' Stipulation and finding good cause therefor, hereby	
25	GRANTS the Stipulation and ORDERS as follows:	
26		
27		
28		
	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING STIPULATION TO STAY	

1 1. Counsel for Defendant is deemed to have accepted service of the Complaint on behalf of Defendant. The service is deemed effective upon entry of this Order. Defendant has reserved all 2 rights, defenses, or other objections other than insufficient process or insufficient service of process. 3 2. The Arbitral Tribunals shall decide by final and binding arbitration all questions of 4 formation, enforceability, and validity of the relevant arbitration provisions and all questions 5 concerning the arbitrability of Plaintiffs' claims in this action. 6 3. Plaintiffs have expressly reserved all rights, defenses, objections, and arguments as 7 to the questions of formation, enforceability, and/or validity of the relevant arbitration provisions 8 and/or the questions arbitrability of Plaintiffs' claims and—without any prejudice to or waiver of 9 those rights, defenses, objections, and arguments—agreed to delegate those issues for final and 10 binding decision by the Arbitral Tribunals. Defendant expressly reserved all rights, claims, and 11 remedies in connection with its contention that Plaintiffs filed this action in breach of the arbitration 12 and confidentiality provisions of the relevant agreements. 13 4. Because Plaintiffs' claims are subject to arbitration, this action is dismissed. See 14 15 Brennan v. Opus Bank, 796 F.3d 1125, 1134 (9th Cir. 2015) (affirming district court's order dismissing action in favor of arbitration); Thinket Ink Info. Res., Inc. v. Sun Microsystems, Inc., 16 368 F.3d 1053, 1060 (9th Cir. 2004) (stating that district court did not err in dismissing claims 17 subject to arbitration and noting that FAA allows but does not require a stay of court proceedings); 18 Sparling v. Hoffman Constr. Co., 864 F.2d 635, 638 (9th Cir. 1988) (affirming trial court's 19 dismissal of claims referred to arbitration); Martin Marietta Aluminum, Inc. v. Gen. Elec. Co., 586 20 21 F.2d 143, 147 (9th Cir. 1978). 22 IT IS SO ORDERED. 23 Dated: March 11, 2024 PELCY ANDERSON 24 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 25 26 27

28