

1 SO. CAL. EQUAL ACCESS GROUP  
Jason J. Kim (SBN 190246)  
2 Jason Yoon (SBN 306137)  
Kevin Hong (SBN 299040)  
3 101 S. Western Ave., Second Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90004  
4 Telephone: (213) 252-8008  
Facsimile: (213) 252-8009  
5 cm@SoCalEAG.com

6 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
CAMERON HARRELL

7  
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

10  
11 CAMERON HARRELL,  
12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

14  
15 JALM FAMILY CORPORATION D/B/A  
16 I LOVE SUSHI; PALM LAND  
PROPERTY, INC.; and DOES 1 to 10,  
17 Defendants.

**Case No.:**

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE  
RELIEF AND DAMAGES FOR DENIAL  
OF CIVIL RIGHTS OF A DISABLED  
PERSON IN VIOLATIONS OF

1. AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT, 42 U.S.C. §12131 et seq.;
2. CALIFORNIA’S UNRUH CIVIL RIGHTS ACT;
3. CALIFORNIA’S DISABLED PERSONS ACT;
4. CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE;
5. NEGLIGENCE

18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24 Plaintiff CAMERON HARRELL (“Plaintiff”) complains of Defendants JALM  
25 FAMILY CORPORATION D/B/A I LOVE SUSHI; PALM LAND PROPERTY, INC.;  
26 and DOES 1 to 10 (“Defendants”) and alleges as follows:

27 //

28 //

1 **PARTIES**

2 1. Plaintiff is a California resident with a physical disability. Plaintiff is  
3 diagnosed with complete paraplegia due to a spinal cord injury and is substantially  
4 limited in his ability to walk. Plaintiff requires the use of a wheelchair at all times when  
5 traveling in public.

6 2. Defendants are, or were at the time of the incident, the real property owners,  
7 business operators, lessors and/or lessees of the real property for a restaurant  
8 (“Business”) located at or about 7750 Palm Ave., Highland, California.

9 3. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or  
10 otherwise of Defendant DOES 1 through 10, and each of them, are unknown to Plaintiff,  
11 who therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will ask leave of  
12 Court to amend this Complaint when the true names and capacities have been  
13 ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and, based thereon, alleges that each such  
14 fictitiously named Defendants are responsible in some manner, and therefore, liable to  
15 Plaintiff for the acts herein alleged.

16 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that, at all relevant  
17 times, each of the Defendants was the agent, employee, or alter-ego of each of the other  
18 Defendants, and/or was acting in concert with each of the other Defendants, and in doing  
19 the things alleged herein was acting with the knowledge and consent of the other  
20 Defendants and within the course and scope of such agency or employment relationship.

21 5. Whenever and wherever reference is made in this Complaint to any act or  
22 failure to act by a defendant or Defendants, such allegations and references shall also be  
23 deemed to mean the acts and failures to act of each Defendant acting individually, jointly  
24 and severally.

25 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

26 6. The Court has jurisdiction of this action pursuant to 28 USC §§ 1331 and  
27 1343 for violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, (42 USC §12101, *et*  
28 *seq.*).

1           7. Pursuant to pendant jurisdiction, attendant and related causes of action,  
2 arising from the same nucleus of operating facts, are also brought under California law,  
3 including, but not limited to, violations of California Civil Code §§51, 51.5, 52(a), 52.1,  
4 54, 54., 54.3 and 55.

5           8. Plaintiff’s claims are authorized by 28 USC §§ 2201 and 2202.

6           9. Venue is proper in this court pursuant to 28 USC §1391(b). The real  
7 property which is the subject of this action is located in this district, San Bernardino  
8 County, California, and that all actions complained of herein take place in this district.

9 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

10          10. In or about October of 2023, Plaintiff went to the Business.

11          11. The Business is a restaurant business establishment, open to the public, and  
12 is a place of public accommodation that affects commerce through its operation.  
13 Defendants provide parking spaces for customers.

14          12. While attempting to enter the Business during each visit, Plaintiff personally  
15 encountered a number of barriers that interfered with his ability to use and enjoy the  
16 goods, services, privileges, and accommodations offered at the Business.

17          13. To the extent of Plaintiff’s personal knowledge, the barriers at the Business  
18 included, but were not limited to, the following:

- 19               a. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for  
20 the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants  
21 failed to provide any parking space designated for persons with  
22 disabilities as the van accessible parking space located far from the  
23 Business was designated for the other facility.
- 24               b. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for  
25 the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants  
26 failed to provide the parking space identification sign with the  
27 International Symbol of Accessibility.

28

- 1 c. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for  
2 the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants  
3 failed to post required signage such as “Van Accessible,” “Minimum  
4 Fine \$250” and “Unauthorized Parking.”
- 5 d. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for  
6 the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants  
7 failed to provide proper van accessible space designated for the  
8 persons with disabilities.
- 9 e. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for  
10 the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants  
11 failed to paint the ground as required.
- 12 f. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for  
13 the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants  
14 failed to mark the space with the International Symbol of  
15 Accessibility.

16 14. These barriers and conditions denied Plaintiff full and equal access to the  
17 Business and caused Plaintiff difficulty and frustration. Plaintiff wishes to return and  
18 patronize the Business; however, Plaintiff is deterred from visiting the Business because  
19 his knowledge of these violations prevents him from returning until the barriers are  
20 removed.

21 15. Based on the violations, Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that  
22 there are additional barriers to accessibility at the Business after further site inspection.  
23 Plaintiff seeks to have all barriers related to his disability remedied. *See Doran v. 7-*  
24 *Eleven, Inc.* 524 F.3d 1034 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2008).

25 16. In addition, Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that Defendants  
26 knew that particular barriers render the Business inaccessible, violate state and federal  
27 law, and interfere with access for the physically disabled.

1           17. At all relevant times, Defendants had and still have control and dominion  
2 over the conditions at this location and had and still have the financial resources to  
3 remove these barriers without much difficulty or expenses to make the Business  
4 accessible to the physically disabled in compliance with ADDAG and Title 24  
5 regulations. Defendants have not removed such barriers and have not modified the  
6 Business to conform to accessibility regulations.

7   **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

8                                   **VIOLATION OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990**

9           18. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior  
10 paragraphs in this complaint.

11           19. Under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (“ADA”), no individual  
12 shall be discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of  
13 the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of any place of  
14 public accommodation by any person who owns, leases, or leases to, or operates a place  
15 of public accommodation. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).

16           20. Discrimination, *inter alia*, includes:

- 17                   a. A failure to make reasonable modification in policies, practices, or  
18                   procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford such  
19                   goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations  
20                   to individuals with disabilities, unless the entity can demonstrate that  
21                   making such modifications would fundamentally alter the nature of  
22                   such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or  
23                   accommodations. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(ii).
- 24                   b. A failure to take such steps as may be necessary to ensure that no  
25                   individual with a disability is excluded, denied services, segregated or  
26                   otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the  
27                   absence of auxiliary aids and services, unless the entity can  
28                   demonstrate that taking such steps would fundamentally alter the

1 nature of the good, service, facility, privilege, advantage, or  
2 accommodation being offered or would result in an undue burden. 42  
3 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iii).

4 c. A failure to remove architectural barriers, and communication barriers  
5 that are structural in nature, in existing facilities, and transportation  
6 barriers in existing vehicles and rail passenger cars used by an  
7 establishment for transporting individuals (not including barriers that  
8 can only be removed through the retrofitting of vehicles or rail  
9 passenger cars by the installation of a hydraulic or other lift), where  
10 such removal is readily achievable. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv).

11 d. A failure to make alterations in such a manner that, to the maximum  
12 extent feasible, the altered portions of the facility are readily  
13 accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities, including  
14 individuals who use wheelchairs or to ensure that, to the maximum  
15 extent feasible, the path of travel to the altered area and the  
16 bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered  
17 area, are readily accessible to and usable by individuals with  
18 disabilities where such alterations to the path or travel or the  
19 bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered area  
20 are not disproportionate to the overall alterations in terms of cost and  
21 scope. 42 U.S.C. § 12183(a)(2).

22 21. Where parking spaces are provided, accessible parking spaces shall be  
23 provided. 1991 ADA Standards § 4.1.2(5); 2010 ADA Standards § 208. One in every  
24 eight accessible spaces, but not less than one, shall be served by an access aisle 96 in  
25 (2440 mm) wide minimum and shall be designated “van accessible.” 1991 ADA  
26 Standards § 4.1.2(5)(b). For every six or fraction of six parking spaces, at least one shall  
27 be a van accessible parking space. 2010 ADA Standards § 208.2.4.  
28

1           22. Under the ADA, the method and color of marking are to be addressed by  
2 State or local laws or regulations. See 36 C.F.R., Part 1191. Under the California  
3 Building Code (“CBC”), the parking space identification signs shall include the  
4 International Symbol of Accessibility. Parking identification signs shall be reflectorized  
5 with a minimum area of 70 square inches. Additional language or an additional sign  
6 below the International Symbol of Accessibility shall state “Minimum Fine \$250.” A  
7 parking space identification sign shall be permanently posted immediately adjacent and  
8 visible from each parking space, shall be located with its centerline a maximum of 12  
9 inches from the centerline of the parking space and may be posted on a wall at the  
10 interior end of the parking space. See CBC § 11B-502.6, et seq.

11           23. Moreover, an additional sign shall be posted either in a conspicuous place at  
12 each entrance to an off-street parking facility or immediately adjacent to on-site  
13 accessible parking and visible from each parking space. The additional sign shall not be  
14 less than 17 inches wide by 22 inches high. The additional sign shall clearly state in  
15 letters with a minimum height of 1 inch the following: “Unauthorized vehicles parked in  
16 designated accessible spaces not displaying distinguishing placards or special license  
17 plates issued for persons with disabilities will be towed always at the owner’s expense...”  
18 See CBC § 11B-502.8, et seq.

19           24. Here, Defendants failed to provide any parking space designated for persons  
20 with disabilities as the van accessible parking space located far from the Business was  
21 designated for the other facility. Defendants also failed to provide the parking space  
22 identification sign with the International Symbol of Accessibility. In addition,  
23 Defendants failed to provide the signs stating, “Minimum Fine \$250” and “Van  
24 Accessible.” Moreover, Defendants failed to provide the additional sign with the specific  
25 language stating “Unauthorized vehicles parked in designated accessible spaces not  
26 displaying distinguishing placards or special license plates issued for persons with  
27 disabilities will be towed always at the owner’s expense...”

28 //

1           25. For the parking spaces, access aisles shall be marked with a blue painted  
2 borderline around their perimeter. The area within the blue borderlines shall be marked  
3 with hatched lines a maximum of 36 inches (914 mm) on center in a color contrasting  
4 with that of the aisle surface, preferably blue or white. The words "NO PARKING" shall  
5 be painted on the surface within each access aisle in white letters a minimum of 12 inches  
6 (305 mm) in height and located to be visible from the adjacent vehicular way. CBC §  
7 11B-502.3.3.

8           26. Here, Defendants failed to provide a proper access aisle as there were no  
9 "NO PARKING" marking and blue hatched lines painted on the parking surface.  
10 Moreover, Defendants failed to provide the access aisle with the minimum width of 96  
11 inches.

12           27. The surface of each accessible car and van space shall have surface  
13 identification complying with either of the following options: The outline of a profile  
14 view of a wheelchair with occupant in white on a blue background a minimum 36" wide  
15 by 36" high (914 mm x 914 mm). The centerline of the profile view shall be a maximum  
16 of 6 inches (152 mm) from the centerline of the parking space, its sides parallel to the  
17 length of the parking space and its lower side or corner aligned with the end of the  
18 parking space length or by outlining or painting the parking space in blue and outlining  
19 on the ground in white or a suitable contrasting color a profile view of a wheel chair with  
20 occupant. See CBC § 11B-502.6.4, et seq.

21           28. Here, Defendants failed to paint the International Symbol of Accessibility on  
22 the surface as required.

23           29. A public accommodation shall maintain in operable working condition those  
24 features of facilities and equipment that are required to be readily accessible to and usable  
25 by persons with disabilities by the Act or this part. 28 C.F.R. 35.211(a).

26           30. By failing to maintain the facility to be readily accessible and usable by  
27 Plaintiff, Defendants are in violation of Plaintiff's rights under the ADA and its related  
28 regulations.



1 31. The Business has denied and continues to deny full and equal access to  
2 Plaintiff and to other people with disabilities. Plaintiff has been and will continue to be  
3 discriminated against due to the lack of accessible facilities, and therefore, seeks  
4 injunctive relief to alter facilities to make such facilities readily accessible to and usable  
5 by individuals with disabilities.

6 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

7 **VIOLATION OF THE UNRUH CIVIL RIGHTS ACT**

8 32. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior  
9 paragraphs in this complaint.

10 33. California Civil Code § 51 states, “All persons within the jurisdiction of this  
11 state are free and equal, and no matter what their sex, race, color, religion, ancestry,  
12 national origin, disability, medical condition, genetic information, marital status, sexual  
13 orientation, citizenship, primary language, or immigration status are entitled to the full  
14 and equal accommodations, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services in all business  
15 establishments of every kind whatsoever.”

16 34. California Civil Code § 52 states, “Whoever denies, aids or incites a denial,  
17 or make any discrimination or distinction contrary to Section 51, 51.5, or 51.6, is liable  
18 for each and every offense for the actual damages, and any amount that may be  
19 determined by a jury, or a court sitting without a jury, up to a maximum of three times the  
20 amount of actual damage but in no case less than four thousand dollars (\$4,000) and any  
21 attorney’s fees that may be determined by the court in addition thereto, suffered by any  
22 person denied the rights provided in Section 51, 51.5, or 51.6.

23 35. California Civil Code § 51(f) specifies, “a violation of the right of any  
24 individual under federal Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-336)  
25 shall also constitute a violation of this section.”

26 36. The actions and omissions of Defendants alleged herein constitute a denial  
27 of full and equal accommodation, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services by  
28 physically disabled persons within the meaning of California Civil Code §§ 51 and 52.

1 Defendants have discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of California Civil Code §§  
2 51 and 52.

3 37. The violations of the Unruh Civil Rights Act caused Plaintiff to experience  
4 difficulty, discomfort, or embarrassment. The Defendants are also liable for statutory  
5 damages as specified in California Civil Code §55.56(a)-(c).

6 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

7 **VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA DISABLED PERSONS ACT**

8 38. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior  
9 paragraphs in this complaint.

10 39. California Civil Code § 54.1(a) states, “Individuals with disabilities shall be  
11 entitled to full and equal access, as other members of the general public, to  
12 accommodations, advantages, facilities, medical facilities, including hospitals, clinics,  
13 and physicians’ offices, and privileges of all common carriers, airplanes, motor vehicles,  
14 railroad trains, motorbuses, streetcars, boats, or any other public conveyances or modes  
15 of transportation (whether private, public, franchised, licensed, contracted, or otherwise  
16 provided), telephone facilities, adoption agencies, private schools, hotels, loading places,  
17 places of public accommodations, amusement, or resort, and other places in which the  
18 general public is invited, subject only to the conditions and limitations established by  
19 law, or state or federal regulation, and applicable alike to all persons.

20 40. California Civil Code § 54.3(a) states, “Any person or persons, firm or  
21 corporation who denies or interferes with admittance to or enjoyment of public facilities  
22 as specified in Sections 54 and 54.1 or otherwise interferes with the rights of an  
23 individual with a disability under Sections 54, 54.1 and 54.2 is liable for each offense for  
24 the actual damages, and any amount as may be determined by a jury, or a court sitting  
25 without a jury, up to a maximum of three times the amount of actual damages but in no  
26 case less than one thousand dollars (\$1,000) and any attorney’s fees that may be  
27 determined by the court in addition thereto, suffered by any person denied the rights  
28 provided in Section 54, 54.1, and 54.2.

1 41. California Civil Code § 54(d) specifies, “a violation of the right of an  
2 individual under Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-336) also  
3 constitute a violation of this section, and nothing in this section shall be construed to limit  
4 the access of any person in violation of that act.

5 42. The actions and omissions of Defendants alleged herein constitute a denial  
6 of full and equal accommodation, advantages, and facilities by physically disabled  
7 persons within the meaning of California Civil Code § 54. Defendants have  
8 discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of California Civil Code § 54.

9 43. The violations of the California Disabled Persons Act caused Plaintiff to  
10 experience difficulty, discomfort, and embarrassment. The Defendants are also liable for  
11 statutory damages as specified in California Civil Code §55.56(a)-(c).

12 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

13 **CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 19955, et seq.**

14 44. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior  
15 paragraphs in this complaint.

16 45. Plaintiff and other similar physically disabled persons who require the use of  
17 a wheelchair are unable to use public facilities on a “full and equal” basis unless each  
18 such facility is in compliance with the provisions of California Health & Safety Code §  
19 19955 et seq. Plaintiff is a member of the public whose rights are protected by the  
20 provisions of California Health & Safety Code § 19955 et seq.

21 46. The purpose of California Health & Safety Code § 1995 et seq. is to ensure  
22 that public accommodations or facilities constructed in this state with private funds  
23 adhere to the provisions of Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 4450) of Division 5 of  
24 Title 1 of the Government Code. The code relating to such public accommodations also  
25 requires that “when sanitary facilities are made available for the public, clients, or  
26 employees in these stations, centers, or buildings, they shall be made available for  
27 persons with disabilities.

1 47. Title II of the ADA holds as a “general rule” that no individual shall be  
2 discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of goods  
3 (or use), services, facilities, privileges, and accommodations offered by any person who  
4 owns, operates, or leases a place of public accommodation. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).  
5 Further, each and every violation of the ADA also constitutes a separate and distinct  
6 violation of California Civil Code §§ 54(c) and 54.1(d), thus independently justifying an  
7 award of damages and injunctive relief pursuant to California law, including but not  
8 limited to Civil Code § 54.3 and Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seq.

9 **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

10 **NEGLIGENCE**

11 48. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior  
12 paragraphs in this complaint.

13 49. Defendants have a general duty and a duty under the ADA, Unruh Civil  
14 Rights Act and California Disabled Persons Act to provide safe and accessible facilities  
15 to the Plaintiff.

16 50. Defendants breached their duty of care by violating the provisions of ADA,  
17 Unruh Civil Rights Act and California Disabled Persons Act.

18 51. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants’ negligent conduct, Plaintiff  
19 has suffered damages.

20 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

21 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays for relief and judgment against  
22 Defendants as follows:

23 1. For preliminary and permanent injunction directing Defendants to comply  
24 with the Americans with Disability Act and the Unruh Civil Rights Act;

25 2. Award of all appropriate damages, including but not limited to statutory  
26 damages, general damages and treble damages in amounts, according to proof;

27 3. Award of all reasonable restitution for Defendants’ unfair competition  
28 practices;

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

- 4. Reasonable attorney’s fees, litigation expenses, and costs of suit in this action;
- 5. Prejudgment interest pursuant to California Civil Code § 3291; and
- 6. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

**DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: January 17, 2024

SO. CAL. EQUAL ACCESS GROUP

By: /s/ Jason J. Kim  
Jason J. Kim, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff