

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

ROBERT HUNTER BIDEN,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:23-cr-00599-MCS-1

**ORDER RE: MOTION TO STRIKE
SURPLUSAGE (ECF NO. 33)**

1 Defendant Robert Hunter Biden moves to strike purportedly irrelevant and
2 prejudicial surplusage in the Indictment. (Mot., ECF No. 33.) The Court deems the
3 motion appropriate for decision without further briefing or oral argument. *See* C.D. Cal.
4 R. 7-15; C.D. Cal. Crim. R. 57-1.

5 Defendant submits that the motion “is not necessary” “[i]f the Court’s practice is
6 not to read an entire Indictment to or provide a copy of the Indictment to the petit jury.”
7 (Mot. 1 n.1.) That is the Court’s general practice, and the Court perceives no reason to
8 depart from it here. The motion is denied as moot.

9
10 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

11
12 Dated: February 28, 2024



MARK C. SCARSI
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE