

1 Michael S. Morrison
 2 mmorrison@amflp.com
 3 ALEXANDER MORRISON + FEHR, LLP
 4 1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900
 5 Los Angeles, California 90067
 6 Telephone (310) 394-0888
 7 Facsimile (310) 394-0811

8 Logan A. Pardell* (to seek admission *pro hac vice*)
 9 lpardell@pkglegal.com
 10 PARDELL, KRUYK & GIRIBALDO, PLLC
 11 433 Plaza Real, Suite 275
 12 Boca Raton, FL 33432
 13 Telephone (561) 447-8444
 14 Facsimile (877) 453-8003

15 *Counsel for Plaintiffs and the Putative Collective*

16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 17 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

18 Taryn Sacchitella and Christina Smith,)
 19 *on behalf of themselves and others*)
 20 *similarly situated,*)

21 Plaintiffs,

22 vs.

23 Center for Autism and Related)
 24 Disorders, LLC, and DOES 1 through)
 25 10, inclusive,)

26 Defendants.

27) Case No.
 28)
) **COLLECTIVE ACTION**
) **COMPLAINT FOR UNPAID**
) **OVERTIME WAGES PURUANT**
) **TO THE FAIR LABOR**
) **STANDARDS ACT, 29 U.S.C. §§**
) **201**
) **ET SEQ.**
)
) **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

1 Taryn Sacchitella and Christina Smith (“Plaintiff”) file this Collective
2 Action Complaint against Defendants, Center for Autism and Related Disorders,
3 LLC (“CARD”), and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive (collectively, “Defendants”)
4 seeking all relief available under the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as
5 amended, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 *et seq.* (“FLSA”) on behalf of themselves and all
6 current and former exempt-classified Operations Managers (“OMs”) who worked
7 at any of Defendants’ locations in the United States at any time from May 24, 2020,
8 and the date of final judgment of this matter (“Putative Collective Members”). The
9 following allegations are based on personal knowledge as to Plaintiffs’ own
10 conduct and are made on information and belief as to the acts of others:

11 **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

12 1. Plaintiffs allege, on behalf of themselves and the Putative Collective
13 Members who will opt into this action pursuant to the FLSA, that they and other
14 OMs are entitled to: (i) unpaid wages from Defendants for overtime work for which
15 they did not receive overtime premium pay, as required by law, (ii) liquidated
16 damages under the FLSA, and (iii) reasonable attorneys’ fees and costs of this
17 action.

18 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

19 2. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiffs’ claims
20 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1337.

21 3. This Court also has jurisdiction over Plaintiffs’ claims under the
22 FLSA pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

23 4. CARD is subject to personal jurisdiction in California.

24 5. CARD maintains places of business in California.

25 6. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the Central
26 District of California, Southern Division, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because
27 CARD is a resident of this District and maintains its principal place of business in
28 this District.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

PARTIES

Plaintiffs

Taryn Sacchitella

7. Plaintiff Taryn Sacchitella (“Sacchitella”) was, at all relevant times, an adult individual residing in Portland, Oregon.

8. During all relevant times, Sacchitella was employed by Defendants as an OM from on or about July 2018 until on or about September 2020, at CARD’s office in Portland, Oregon.

9. During her employment as an OM, Sacchitella regularly worked 50 to 60 hours per week, or more.

10. As just one example, during the week of June 8, 2020, Sacchitella worked at least 50 hours.

11. Sacchitella did not receive overtime pay during her employment as an OM.

12. Sacchitella’s written consent to join this action is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

Christina Smith

13. Plaintiff Christina Smith (“Smith”) was, at all relevant times, an adult individual residing in Vacaville, California, and Tigard, Oregon.

14. During all relevant times, Smith was employed by Defendants as an OM from on or about January 2020 until on or about September 2021, at CARD’s offices in Vacaville, California and Tigard, Oregon.

15. During her employment as an OM, Smith regularly worked 50 to 60 hours per week, or more.

16. As just one example, during the week of June 7, 2021, Smith worked at least 50 hours.

17. Smith did not receive overtime pay during her employment as an OM.

1 18. Smith’s written consent to join this action is attached hereto as Exhibit
2 B.

3 *Defendants*

4 19. CARD is a California corporation that is headquartered in Garden
5 Grove, California.

6 20. The true names and capacities of persons or entities, whether
7 individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise, sued herein as DOES 1 through 10,
8 inclusive, are currently unknown to Plaintiffs, who therefore sues Defendants by
9 such fictitious names. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and based thereon
10 allege, that each of the Defendants designated herein as a DOE is legally
11 responsible in some manner for the unlawful acts referred to herein. Plaintiffs will
12 seek leave of court to amend this Collective Action Complaint to reflect the true
13 names and capacities of the Defendants designated hereinafter as DOES when such
14 identities become known. As such, CARD and the DOE Defendants shall be
15 referred to collectively as “Defendants.”

16 21. Defendants provide assessment and treatment for individuals with
17 autism at its office locations nationwide.

18 22. Defendants directly or indirectly employ OMs in approximately 130
19 locations across multiple states, including California.¹

20 23. At all times relevant, Defendants have been employers within the
21 meaning of § 203(d) of the FLSA. 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).

22 24. At all times relevant, Defendants have been enterprises within the
23 meaning of § 203(r) of the FLSA. 29 U.S.C. § 203(r).

24 25. At all times relevant, Defendants have been enterprises engaged in
25 commerce or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of §
26 203(s)(1) of the FLSA because Defendants have had employees engaged in
27

28 ¹ See <https://centerforautism.com/locations/> (last visited May 23, 2023).

1 commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, or employees handling,
2 selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that have moved in or were
3 produced for commerce by any person, 29 U.S.C. § 203(s)(1).

4 26. Defendants have had, and still has, a gross volume of sales made or
5 business done of not less than \$500,000.00.

6 27. At all times relevant, Plaintiffs and Putative Collective Members have
7 been engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce as required
8 by 29 U.S.C. §§ 206-207.

9 28. Defendants issued paychecks to Plaintiffs and Putative Collective
10 Members during their employment.

11 29. Defendants directed the work of Plaintiffs and Putative Collective
12 Members, and benefited from work performed that it suffered or permitted from
13 them.

14 30. Plaintiffs and Putative Collective Members worked in excess of 40
15 hours per workweek, without receiving overtime compensation as required by the
16 FLSA.

17 31. Pursuant to Defendants' policies and patterns or practices, Defendants
18 did not pay Plaintiffs and Putative Collective Members proper overtime wages for
19 hours they worked for its benefit in excess of 40 hours in a workweek.

20 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

21 32. Consistent with Defendants' policies and patterns or practices,
22 Plaintiffs and Putative Collective Members regularly work in excess of 40 hours
23 per workweek without being paid overtime wages.

24 33. Plaintiffs and Putative Collective Members perform the same or
25 substantially similar primary job duties.

26 34. Although labeled as "managers," Plaintiffs and Putative Collective
27 Members are not primarily responsible for true management functions.
28

1 35. To the contrary, Plaintiffs and Putative Collective Members spent (or
2 spend) the vast majority of their workdays performing non-exempt job functions
3 such as answering phones, scheduling patients' appointments, giving tours of the
4 facility, checking in patients, accepting payments, submitting prior authorizations,
5 and basic clerical work.

6 36. Defendants staff their centers leanly to minimize labor costs. As such,
7 Plaintiffs and Putative Collective Members are the only non-clinical employees
8 staffed at their centers.

9 37. Thus, Defendants rely heavily on Plaintiffs and Putative Collective
10 Members to handle all sales and customer service job functions at the center.

11 38. Therefore, Plaintiffs and Putative Collective Members regularly work
12 in excess of 40 hours per workweek.

13 39. Plaintiffs' and Putative Collective Members' primary duties do not
14 differ substantially from the duties of non-exempt hourly paid administrative
15 employees.

16 40. Plaintiffs' and Putative Collective Members' primary job duties do
17 not include: hiring employees, firing employees, setting employees' rates of pay,
18 scheduling other employees, or disciplining other employees.

19 41. Plaintiffs and Putative Collective Members do not exercise a
20 meaningful degree of independent discretion with respect to the exercise of their
21 duties.

22 42. Plaintiffs and Putative Collective Members are closely supervised by
23 their managers, corporate-level employees, and Defendants' policies and
24 procedures define and circumscribe Plaintiffs' and Putative Collective Members'
25 work.

26 43. Pursuant to a centralized, company-wide policy, pattern, and practice,
27 Defendants classify all OMs as exempt from coverage of the overtime provisions
28 of the FLSA and applicable state laws.

1 44. Thus, Plaintiffs and Putative Collective Members have not been paid
2 overtime for the overtime hours that they regularly work.

3 45. Defendants have not performed a person-by-person analysis of every
4 OM's job duties when making the decision to classify all of them (and other
5 employees holding comparable positions but different titles) as exempt from the
6 FLSA's overtime protections, as well as the protections of applicable state laws.

7 46. Upon information and belief, Defendants' unlawful conduct
8 described in this Collective Action Complaint is pursuant to a corporate policy or
9 practice of minimizing labor costs by violating the FLSA.

10 47. Defendants' failure to pay overtime wages for work performed by
11 Plaintiffs and Putative Collective Members in excess of 40 hours per workweek
12 has been willful because Defendants knew or should have known Plaintiffs and
13 Putative Collective Members do not qualify for any particular exemption under the
14 FLSA and, nevertheless, chose not to pay them overtime wages.

15 48. Defendants' unlawful conduct has been widespread, repeated, and
16 consistent.

17 **FLSA COLLECTIVE ALLEGATIONS**

18 49. Defendants are liable under the FLSA for, *inter alia*, failing to
19 properly pay overtime wages to Plaintiffs and Putative Collective Members.

20 50. There are numerous similarly situated current and former OMs (and
21 other employees holding comparable positions, but different titles) who have not
22 been paid proper overtime wages in violation of the FLSA and who would benefit
23 from the issuance of court-supervised notice of this lawsuit and the opportunity to
24 join it. Thus, notice should be sent to the Putative Collective Members pursuant to
25 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

26 51. Those similarly situated employees are known to Defendants, are
27 readily identifiable and can be located through Defendants' records.

28

1 52. Plaintiffs and Putative Collective Members, all of whom regularly
2 worked more than 40 hours in a workweek, were or are employed as OMs by
3 Defendants at their office locations.

4 53. Defendants have failed to pay Plaintiffs and Putative Collective
5 Members overtime compensation for the hours they have worked over 40 in a
6 workweek.

7 54. Defendants have failed to keep accurate records of all hours worked
8 by Plaintiffs and Putative Collective Members.

9 55. Throughout the relevant period, it has been Defendants' policy,
10 pattern, or practice to require, suffer, or permit Plaintiffs and Putative Collective
11 Members to work in excess of 40 hours per workweek without paying them
12 overtime wages for all overtime hours worked.

13 56. Defendants assigned the work that Plaintiffs and Putative Collective
14 Members have performed, or Defendants have been aware of the work they
15 performed.

16 57. The work performed Plaintiffs and Putative Collective Members
17 constitutes compensable work time under the FLSA and is not preliminary,
18 postliminary, or *de minimus*.

19 58. Defendants are aware, or should have been aware, that the FLSA
20 requires them to pay Plaintiffs and Putative Collective Members an overtime
21 premium for hours worked in excess of 40 hours per workweek.

22 59. Defendants have intentionally, willfully, and regularly engaged in a
23 company-wide policy, pattern, or practice of violating the FLSA with respect to
24 Plaintiffs and Putative Collective Members, which policy, pattern or practice was
25 authorized, established, promulgated, or ratified by Defendants' corporate
26 headquarters. This policy, pattern or practice includes but is not limited to:

27 a. willfully failing to record all of the time Plaintiffs and Putative
28 Collective Members have worked for the benefit of Defendants;

1 of Labor regulations and the employer's share of FICA, FUTA, state
2 unemployment insurance and any other required employment taxes;

3 E. Pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest, as provided by law;

4 F. Attorneys' fees and costs of the action, including expert fees;

5 G. Payment of service awards to Plaintiffs, in recognition of the services
6 they have rendered, and will continue to render, to the Collective; and

7 H. Such other relief as this Court deems just and proper.

8 **TRIAL BY JURY**

9 Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiffs
10 demand a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

11 Dated: May 24, 2023

Alexander Morrison + Fehr, LLP

12 By: _____ /s/ Michael S. Morrison

13
14 Michael S. Morrison
15 mmorrison@amflp.com
16 1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900
17 Los Angeles, California 90067
18 Telephone (310) 394-0888
19 Facsimile (310) 394-0811

20 **Pardell, Kruzyk & Giribaldo, PLLC**
21 Logan A. Pardell* (to seek admission *pro*
22 *hac vice*)
23 433 Plaza Real, Suite 275
24 Boca Raton, FL 33432
25 Telephone (561) 726-8444
26 Facsimile (877) 453-8003
27 lpardell@pkglegal.com

28
Counsel for Plaintiffs and the Putative
Collective Members


EXHIBIT A

CONSENT TO JOIN FORM

1. I consent to be a party plaintiff in a lawsuit against Defendant(s), Center for Autism and Related Disorders, Inc., and/or related entities and individuals in order to seek redress for violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

2. I hereby designate Pardell, Kruzyk & Giribaldo, PLLC, to represent me in bringing such claim, and to make decisions on my behalf concerning the litigation and settlement. I agree to be bound by any adjudication of this action by the Court, whether it is favorable or unfavorable.

3. I also consent to join any other related action against Defendant(s) or other potentially responsible parties to assert my claim and for this Consent Form to be filed in any such action.


Taryn Sacchitella (Nov 21, 2022 17:42 PST)

Signature

Taryn Sacchitella

Print Name


EXHIBIT “B”

CONSENT TO JOIN FORM

1. I consent to be a party plaintiff in a lawsuit against Defendant(s), Center for Autism and Related Disorders, Inc., and/or related entities and individuals in order to seek redress for violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

2. I hereby designate Pardell, Kruzyk & Giribaldo, PLLC, to represent me in bringing such claim, and to make decisions on my behalf concerning the litigation and settlement. I agree to be bound by any adjudication of this action by the Court, whether it is favorable or unfavorable.

3. I also consent to join any other related action against Defendant(s) or other potentially responsible parties to assert my claim and for this Consent Form to be filed in any such action.


[Christina Smith \(Apr 13, 2023 19:44 PDT\)](#)

Signature

Christina smith

Print Name