

1 ERIC BALL (CSB No. 241327)
 eball@fenwick.com
 2 KIMBERLY CULP (CSB No. 238839)
 kculp@fenwick.com
 3 FENWICK & WEST LLP
 801 California Street
 4 Mountain View, CA 94041
 Telephone: 650.988.8500
 5 Facsimile: 650.938.5200

6 ANTHONY M. FARES (CSB No. 318065)
 afares@fenwick.com
 7 ETHAN M. THOMAS (CSB No. 338062)
 ethomas@fenwick.com
 8 FENWICK & WEST LLP
 9 555 California Street, 12th Floor
 San Francisco, CA 94104
 10 Telephone: 415.875.2300

11 *Additional Counsel listed on next page*
 12 Attorneys for Plaintiff
 13 YUGA LABS, INC.

Louis W. Tompros (*pro hac vice*)
 louis.tompros@wilmerhale.com
 Monica Grewal (*pro hac vice*)
 monica.grewal@wilmerhale.com
 Scott W. Bertulli (*pro hac vice*)
 scott.bertulli@wilmerhale.com
 Tyler Carroll (*pro hac vice*)
 tyler.carroll@wilmerhale.com
**WILMER CUTLER PICKERING
 HALE AND DORR LLP**
 60 State Street
 Boston, MA 02109
 Telephone: (617) 526-6000
 Fax: (617) 526-5000

Derek Gosma (SBN 274515)
 derek.gosma@wilmerhale.com
 Henry Nikogosyan (SBN 326277)
 henry.nikogosyan@wilmerhale.com
**WILMER CUTLER PICKERING
 HALE AND DORR LLP**
 350 South Grand Ave., Suite 2400
 Los Angeles, CA 90071
 Telephone: (213) 443-5300
 Fax: (213) 443-5400

Attorneys for Defendants
 Ryder Ripps and Jeremy Cahen

16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 17 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 18 WESTERN DIVISION – Los Angeles

20 YUGA LABS, INC.,
 21 Plaintiff,
 22 v.
 23 RYDER RIPPS, JEREMY CAHEN,
 24 Defendants.
 25
 26

Case No.: 2:22-cv-04355-JFW-JEM

**JOINT PRETRIAL EXHIBIT
 STIPULATION**

Pretrial Conference Date:
 June 9, 2023, 8:00 a.m.

Hearings on Motions *in Limine*:
 June 16, 2023, 8:00 a.m.

Trial Date: June 27, 2023

27
 28

1 MELISSA L. LAWTON (CSB No. 225452)
mlawton@fenwick.com
2 FENWICK & WEST LLP
228 Santa Monica Boulevard
3 Santa Monica, CA 90401
Telephone: 310.434.4300
4

5 DAVID Y. SILLERS (*admitted pro hac vice*)
david@clarelocke.com
6 KATHRYN HUMPHREY (*admitted pro hac vice*)
kathryn@clarelocke.com
7 MEGAN L. MEIER (*admitted pro hac vice*)
megan@clarelocke.com
8 CLARE LOCKE LLP
10 Prince Street
9 Alexandria, VA 22314
Telephone: 202.628.7400
10

11 Attorneys for Plaintiff
YUGA LABS, INC.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 Pursuant to Section 6(e) of the Court’s Scheduling and Case Management
2 Order (*see* Dkt. 57 at 25-26), Plaintiff Yuga Labs, Inc. (“Plaintiff” or “Yuga Labs”)
3 and Defendants Ryder Ripps and Jeremy Cahen (collectively, “Defendants”), submit
4 this Pre-Trial Exhibit Stipulation.

5 The full Pre-Trial Exhibit Stipulation follows this cover pleading in table form.
6 Defendants’ objections use abbreviations to save space. A key of Defendants’
7 objections is included on page 2.

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Exhibit Objection Code Key

Code	Objection
A	Authenticity. The document does not appear regular on its face, and Yuga has not established that it is a true and correct copy of the document through proper foundation testimony (Fed. R. Evid. 901 & 902).
BRPL	Brief, Pleading, or Order. Defendants object to this exhibit because it is a brief, pleading, order, or discovery request and is not evidence.
C	Cumulative. Defendants object to this exhibit on the ground that it is duplicative and/or cumulative of other exhibits.
D	Not Produced During Discovery. Defendants object to this exhibit because it is encompassed by one or more of Defendants' discovery requests but the document and/or underlying data was not produced during the course of discovery (i.e., by April 3, 2023).
NEV	Not Evidence. Defendants object to this exhibit because it is not evidence in the case (e.g., deposition transcript, expert report).
NEX	No Expert Opinion. Defendants object to the exhibit as outside the scope of the expert's opinions.
F	Foundation. Defendants object to this exhibit on the ground that the foundation necessary for its admission has not been laid and is not laid in the exhibit itself. (Fed. R. Evid. 602).
FRE 1002	Original Document. Defendants object to this exhibit on the ground that an original writing is required to prove the content thereof. (Fed. R. Evid. 1002).
FRE 1004	Other Content Evidence. Defendants object to this exhibit on the ground that it is not an original writing, and an exception does not apply. (Fed. R. Evid. 1004).
H	Hearsay. Defendants object to this exhibit because it constitutes or contains hearsay and no exception applies. (Fed. R. Evid. 801 & 802).
I	Incomplete. Defendants object to this exhibit because the exhibit, as submitted, does not contain the complete document. (Fed. R. Evid. 106).
IR	Not Relevant. Defendants object to this exhibit because it is not relevant to any issue to be decided in this Investigation. (Fed. R. Evid. 401 & 402).
LC	Legal Conclusion. Defendants object to this exhibit because it contains conclusions of law.

Code	Objection
LO	Opinion Testimony By Lay Witness. Defendants object to this exhibit because it constitutes or contains improper opinion by a lay witness. (Fed. R. Evid. 701 & 702).
M	Multiple Documents. Defendants object to this exhibit because it contains more than one document.
MIL	Motion in Limine. Defendants object to this exhibit because it is inadmissible on a ground asserted in Defendants' motions in limine.
PHYS	Physical Exhibit. Defendants reserve all objections until time of inspection.
Q	Quality. Defendants object to this exhibit because the exhibit, as submitted, is illegible or otherwise of low quality. Defendants reserve all other objections
S	Speculative. Defendants object to this exhibit because it includes statements that are speculative as to matters of fact or law.
T	Translation. Defendants object to this exhibit because it is in a foreign language and no translation has been provided. Defendants reserve all other objections.
UP	Unfair Prejudice. Defendants object to this exhibit because its probative value is substantially outweighed by unfair prejudice and/or confusion of the issues. (Fed. R. Evid. 403)
VA	Vague and Ambiguous. Defendants object to this exhibit because it includes vague and indefinite statements

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Pre-Trial Exhibit Stipulation
16230

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1	Lehman Depo Exhibit 1	Lehman, Kindler	H, UP, S	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants, especially given that this document relates to the Defendants' own actions and statements directly relevant to the damages and false advertising in this case.</p> <p>Defendants have not explained how the party admissions are speculative, nor have they explained what is speculative in the Exhibit. The Exhibit is under oath, based on the witness's knowledge.</p>
JTX-2	Hickman Depo Exhibit 2	Hickman, Kindler	BRPL	The Exhibit is relevant for context for the deposition and Defendants' cooperation with third parties to fail to comply with their discovery obligations.
JTX-7	Hickman Depo Exhibit 7	Hickman, Kindler	D, H	<p>Defendants' objection that the Exhibit was not produced during discovery is frivolous. This is an exhibit to a deposition.</p> <p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2).</p>
JTX-8	Hickman Depo Exhibit 8	Lehman, Hickman, Cahen, Ripps	H, Q	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807.</p> <p>The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002.</p>
JTX-9	Hickman Depo Exhibit 9	Lehman, Hickman, Kindler	H, F	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807.</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit was established through deposition and Defendants' admissions. Foundation can be further laid at trial.</p>
JTX-10	Hickman Depo Exhibit 10	Cahen, Hickman, Lehman, Ripps	H, I, IR	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' communications; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility. A full copy of the record is available at JTX-802, JTX-803, and JTX-804.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant as a party admission, and because it shows Defendants' coordination with their business associates.</p>
JTX-11	Hickman Depo Exhibit 11	Lehman, Hickman, Kindler	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807.
JTX-12	Hickman Depo Exhibit 12	Hickman, Kindler	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807.
JTX-13	Hickman Depo Exhibit 13	Hickman, Kindler	H, IR	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' purported characterization of their NFTs as "art" to consumers.</p>
JTX-15	Hickman Depo Exhibit 15	Hickman, Cahen, Lehman	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807.
JTX-16	Hickman Depo Exhibit 16	Hickman, Lehman, Ripps	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807.
JTX-17	Hickman Depo Exhibit 17	Hickman, Kindler	H, IR	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Yuga Labs' damages.</p>

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-20	Hickman Depo Exhibit 20	Hickman, Cahen, Ripps	H, I, IR	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' communications; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility. A full copy of the record is available at JTX-800 and JTX-801</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant as a party admission and because it shows Defendants' advertisements of their NFTs and potential confusion</p>
JTX-21	Hickman Depo Exhibit 21	Cahen, Kindler	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807
JTX-22	Hickman Depo Exhibit 22	Cahen, Kindler	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807
JTX-23	Hickman Depo Exhibit 23	Cahen, Ripps	F, Q	<p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p> <p>The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002</p>
JTX-24	Hickman Depo Exhibit 24	Lehman, Hickman, Kindler	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807
JTX-25	Hickman Depo Exhibit 25	Hickman, Cahen, Ripps, Kindler	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person (or alternatively is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2)); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay
JTX-26	Hickman Depo Exhibit 26	Hickman, Cahen, O'Laughlin, Kindler	F	The foundation for the Exhibit was established through deposition and Defendants' (and their business partners') admissions. Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-27	Hickman Depo Exhibit 27	Hickman; Ripps; Cahen	F, UP	<p>The foundation for the Exhibit was established through deposition and Defendants' admissions. Foundation can be further laid at trial</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from their own website</p>
JTX-28	Hickman Depo Exhibit 28	Hickman, Ripps, Cahen	H, F	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person (or alternatively is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2)) and is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit was established through deposition and Defendants' admissions. Foundation can be further laid at trial</p>
JTX-29	Hickman Depo Exhibit 29	Hickman, Ripps, Cahen	H, F	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person (or alternatively is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2)) and is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit was established through deposition and Defendants' admissions. Foundation can be further laid at trial</p>
JTX-31	Hickman Depo Exhibit 31	Hickman, Ripps, Cahen	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807
JTX-32	Hickman Depo Exhibit 32	Cahen, Hickman	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807
JTX-33	Hickman Depo Exhibit 33	Cahen, Hickman	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807
JTX-34	Hickman Depo Exhibit 34	Hickman, Kindler	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807
JTX-36	Hickman Depo Exhibit 36	Hickman, Ripps, Cahen	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person (or alternatively is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2)); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-38	Hickman Depo Exhibit 38	Lehman, Hickman, Ripps	H, UP	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of any prejudice to Defendants from an admission of their false advertising
JTX-39	Hickman Depo Exhibit 39	Lehman, Hickman, Cahen	H, Q	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002
JTX-40	Hickman Depo Exhibit 40	Lehman, Hickman, Cahen, Ripps	H, I, IR	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' communications; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility The Exhibit is relevant as a party admissions and confusion and damages
JTX-41	Hickman Depo Exhibit 41	Lehman, Hickman, Cahen	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807
JTX-42	Hickman Depo Exhibit 42	Cahen, Hickman	H, Q	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002
JTX-44	Hickman Depo Exhibit 44	Hickman, Garner, Ripps	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807
JTX-49	Hickman Depo Exhibit 49	Cahen	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807
JTX-50	Hickman Depo Exhibit 50	Cahen	H, Q	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002 The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002
JTX-51	Hickman Depo Exhibit 51	Cahen	H, Q	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002 The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002
JTX-52	Hickman Depo Exhibit 52	Hickman, O'Laughlin Kindler	H, Q	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002
JTX-54	Hickman Depo Exhibit 54	Ripps, Hickman	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807
JTX-55	Hickman Depo Exhibit 55	Cahen	H, Q	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-56	Hickman Depo Exhibit 56	Cahen	H, Q	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002 The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002
JTX-58	Hickman Depo Exhibit 58	Ripps, Cahen	C, H	The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of "needlessly presenting cumulative evidence," especially where Defendants dispute one or more issues relevant to the Exhibit The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807
JTX-59	Hickman Depo Exhibit 59	Cahen	H, Q	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002
JTX-60	Hickman Depo Exhibit 60	Cahen	H, Q	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002
JTX-61	Hickman Depo Exhibit 61	Cahen	H, Q	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002
JTX-63	Hickman Depo Exhibit 63	Cahen, Hickman	H, Q	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002
JTX-64	Hickman Depo Exhibit 64	Cahen	H, Q	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002
JTX-66	Hickman Depo Exhibit 66	Hickman; Kindler	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807
JTX-67	Hickman Depo Exhibit 67	Hickman; Kindler	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807
JTX-68	Hickman Depo Exhibit 68	Cahen	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807
JTX-69	Hickman Depo Exhibit 69	Hickman, Cahen	H, Q	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002
JTX-71	Hickman Depo Exhibit 71	Hickman, Cahen	H, Q	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002
JTX-72	Hickman Depo Exhibit 72	Hickman; Kindler	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person (or alternatively is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2)); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-80	Cahen Depo Exhibit 80	Cahen; Ripps	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person (or alternatively is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2)); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807
JTX-81	Cahen Depo Exhibit 81	Cahen, Ripps	BRPL	Withdrawn; subject to motion in limine rulings
JTX-82	Cahen Depo Exhibit 82	Cahen	H, Q, F	The Exhibit is not a statement by a person and not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay. Additionally, the Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807. The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002. The foundation for the Exhibit was established through deposition. Foundation can be further laid at trial.
JTX-84	Cahen Depo Exhibit 84	Cahen	H, Q	The Exhibit is not a statement by a person and not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay. Additionally, the Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807. The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002.
JTX-85	Cahen Depo Exhibit 85	Cahen	H, Q	The Exhibit is not a statement by a person and not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay. Additionally, the Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807. The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002.
JTX-86	Cahen Depo Exhibit 86	Cahen	H, Q	The Exhibit is not a statement by a person and not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay. Additionally, the Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807. The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002.
JTX-87	Cahen Depo Exhibit 87	Cahen	H, Q	The Exhibit is not a statement by a person and not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay. Additionally, the Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807. The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002.
JTX-88	Cahen Depo Exhibit 88	Cahen	H, Q	The Exhibit is not a statement by a person and not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay. Additionally, the Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807. The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002.
JTX-89	Cahen Depo Exhibit 89	Cahen	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807.
JTX-90	Cahen Depo Exhibit 90	Cahen	H, I, UP	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807. The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' communications; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility. A full copy of the record is available at JTX-800 and JTX-801. The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants.
JTX-91	Cahen Depo Exhibit 91	Cahen	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807.
JTX-92	Cahen Depo Exhibit 92	Cahen	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807.

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-94	Cahen Depo Exhibit 94	Cahen	A (document was improperly modified by Plaintiff's counsel and was no longer recognizable by the deponent), H, UP	Authenticity can be established at trial The Exhibit is not a statement by a person and not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay. Additionally, the Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807 The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants
JTX-95	Cahen Depo Exhibit 95	Cahen	A (document was improperly modified by Plaintiff's counsel and was no longer recognizable by the deponent), H, UP	Authenticity can be established at trial The Exhibit is not a statement by a person and not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay. Additionally, the Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807 The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants
JTX-96	Cahen Depo Exhibit 96	Cahen; Lehman; Kindler	F	The foundation for the Exhibit was established through deposition and Defendants' admissions
JTX-97	Cahen Depo Exhibit 97	Cahen	H, Q	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002
JTX-98	Cahen Depo Exhibit 98	Cahen; Ripps	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807
JTX-99	Cahen Depo Exhibit 99	Cahen, Ripps	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807
JTX-100	Cahen Depo Exhibit 100	Cahen	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807
JTX-101	Cahen Depo Exhibit 101	Cahen, Ripps	Q	The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002
JTX-102	Cahen Depo Exhibit 102	Cahen, Ripps	Q	Defendants' objection as to quality is frivolous as they produced this document. The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002
JTX-103	Cahen Depo Exhibit 103	Cahen, Ripps, Lehman, Kindler	F	Defendants' objection regarding foundation is frivolous as they produced this document. Foundation can be further established at trial
JTX-104	Cahen Depo Exhibit 104	Cahen, Kindler	F	The foundation for the Exhibit was established through deposition and Defendants' admissions. Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-105	Cahen Depo Exhibit 105	Cahen	H, Q	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002
JTX-107	Cahen Depo Exhibit 107	Cahen	H, Q	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002
JTX-108	Cahen Depo Exhibit 108	Cahen	H, Q	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record (Rule 803(6)), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002
JTX-109	Cahen Depo Exhibit 109	Cahen	H, Q	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-110	Cahen Depo Exhibit 110	Hickman, Cahen	H, Q	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002
JTX-111	Ripps Depo Exhibit 111	Ripps, Cahen	Q	The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002
JTX-113	Ripps Depo Exhibit 113	Cahen, Ripps	H, Q	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002
JTX-114	Ripps Depo Exhibit 114	Ripps	H, Q	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002
JTX-115	Ripps Depo Exhibit 115	Ripps, Cahen	BRPL	The Exhibit is a party admission and thus relevant and admissible See Rule 801(d)(2); Rule 807 The Exhibit is relevant under 607 and 608 regarding Defendants' credibility The Exhibit is also relevant to, at least, Defendants' discovery abuses and the standard for an exceptional case
JTX-116	Ripps Depo Exhibit 116	Solano	BRPL	The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, show Defendants' use of Yuga Labs' marks in their false advertising This is Exhibit is relevant and necessary to complete multiple deposition exhibits from Defendants' depositions of Yuga Labs' witnesses
JTX-117	Ripps Depo Exhibit 117	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman	F	The foundation for the Exhibit was established through deposition and Defendants' admissions Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-118	Ripps Depo Exhibit 118	Ripps	F	The foundation for the Exhibit was established through deposition and Defendants' admissions Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-119	Ripps Depo Exhibit 119	Ripps	F	The foundation for the Exhibit was established through deposition and Defendants' admissions Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-120	Ripps Depo Exhibit 120	Ripps, O'Laughlin	F	The foundation for the Exhibit was established through deposition and Defendants' admissions Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-123	Ripps Depo Exhibit 123	Ripps	F	The foundation for the Exhibit was established through deposition and Defendants' admissions Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-124	Ripps Depo Exhibit 124	Ripps	UP	The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants
JTX-125	Ripps Depo Exhibit 125	Ripps	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807
JTX-126	Ripps Depo Exhibit 126	Ripps	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record (Rule 803(6)), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807
JTX-127	Ripps Depo Exhibit 127	Ripps, Lehman	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807
JTX-128	Ripps Depo Exhibit 128	Ripps	Q	The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002
JTX-129	Ripps Depo Exhibit 129	Ripps	Q	The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002
JTX-130	Ripps Depo Exhibit 130	Ripps	H, Q	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002
JTX-131	Ripps Depo Exhibit 131	Ripps	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807
JTX-132	Ripps Depo Exhibit 132	Ripps	Q	The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002
JTX-133	Ripps Depo Exhibit 133	Ripps	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807
JTX-134	Ripps Depo Exhibit 134	Ripps	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807
JTX-135	Ripps Depo Exhibit 135	Ripps	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-136	Ripps Depo Exhibit 136	Ripps	F	The foundation for the Exhibit was established through deposition and Defendants' admissions Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-137	Ripps Depo Exhibit 137	Ripps	Q, F	The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002 The foundation for the Exhibit was established through deposition and Defendants' admissions Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-138	Ripps Depo Exhibit 138	Ripps	F	The foundation for the Exhibit was established through deposition and Defendants' admissions Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-141	Garner Depo Exhibit 141	Ripps, Garner	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record (Rule 803(6)), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807
JTX-144	Garner Depo Exhibit 144	Ripps, Garner	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record (Rule 803(6)), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807
JTX-145	Garner Depo Exhibit 145	Ripps	H, Q	The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002 The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807
JTX-146	Garner Depo Exhibit 146	Ripps, Garner	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record (Rule 803(6)), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807
JTX-147	Garner Depo Exhibit 147	Ripps, Garner	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807
JTX-148	Garner Depo Exhibit 148	Garner, Kindler	BRPL	The Exhibit is relevant for context for the deposition and Defendants' cooperation with third parties to fail to comply with their discovery obligations
JTX-151	Garner Depo Exhibit 151	Garner, Kindler	F	The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial
JTX-152	Garner Depo Exhibit 152	Garner, Kindler	F	The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial
JTX-153	Garner Depo Exhibit 153	Ripps, Cahen, Garner	F	The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial
JTX-154	Garner Depo Exhibit 154	Ripps, Garner	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record (Rule 803(6)), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807
JTX-155	Garner Depo Exhibit 155	Garner, Kindler	F	The foundation for the Exhibit was established through deposition and Defendants' admissions Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-157	Garner Depo Exhibit 157	Ripps, Cahen, Garner	Q	The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002
JTX-158	Garner Depo Exhibit 158	Ripps, Garner	H, Q	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record (Rule 803(6)), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002
JTX-159	Garner Depo Exhibit 159	Ripps, Garner	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record (Rule 803(6)), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807
JTX-160	Garner Depo Exhibit 160	Ripps, Garner	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record (Rule 803(6)), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807
JTX-161	Garner Depo Exhibit 161	Ripps, Garner	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record (Rule 803(6)), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807
JTX-162	Garner Depo Exhibit 162	Ripps, Garner	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record (Rule 803(6)), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807
JTX-163	Garner Depo Exhibit 163	Ripps, Cahen	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807
JTX-165	Garner Depo Exhibit 165	Ripps, Garner	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record (Rule 803(6)), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-166	Gamer Depo Exhibit 166	Ripps, Cahen, Garner	H, F	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807 The foundation for the Exhibit was established through deposition and Defendants' admissions Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-167	Gamer Depo Exhibit 167	Ripps, Cahen, Garner	H, F	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807 The foundation for the Exhibit was established through deposition and Defendants' admissions Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-169	Gamer Depo Exhibit 169	Ripps, Garner	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record (Rule 803(6)), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807
JTX-170	Gamer Depo Exhibit 170	Ripps, Cahen	H, Q	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002
JTX-171	Gamer Depo Exhibit 171	Ripps, Cahen, Garner	H, F	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807 The foundation for the Exhibit was established through deposition and Defendants' admissions Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-172	Gamer Depo Exhibit 172	Ripps, Cahen	H, Q	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002
JTX-173	Gamer Depo Exhibit 173	Ripps, Cahen	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807
JTX-174	Gamer Depo Exhibit 174	Ripps, Garner	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record (Rule 803(6)), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807
JTX-175	Gamer Depo Exhibit 175	Ripps, Cahen	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807
JTX-176	Gamer Depo Exhibit 176	Ripps, Garner	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record (Rule 803(6)), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807
JTX-177	Gamer Depo Exhibit 177	Ripps, Garner	H, Q	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record (Rule 803(6)), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002
JTX-178	Gamer Depo Exhibit 178	Ripps, Garner	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record (Rule 803(6)), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807
JTX-179	Gamer Depo Exhibit 179	Ripps	IR, Q	The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' advertisement of their NFTs and confusion of consumers The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002
JTX-180	Gamer Depo Exhibit 180	Ripps, Garner	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record (Rule 803(6)), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807
JTX-181	Gamer Depo Exhibit 181	Ripps, Garner	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record (Rule 803(6)), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807
JTX-182	Gamer Depo Exhibit 182	Ripps, Cahen	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807
JTX-183	Gamer Depo Exhibit 183	Garner, Kindler	BRPL	The Exhibit is relevant to show the witness's required attendance at trial
JTX-502	Cline Depo Exhibit 502	Cline, Kindler	F	The foundation for the Exhibit was established through deposition and Defendants' admissions Foundation can be further laid at trial

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-504	Cline Depo Exhibit 504	Cline; Berger	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807
JTX-505	Cline Depo Exhibit 505	Cline; Berger	H	The Exhibit is admissible as a business record under Rule 803(6) or under Rule 807
JTX-513	Cline Depo Exhibit 513	Ripps, Cahen	IR, H	The Exhibit shows an ape image used by Yuga Labs and by Defendants, which is relevant to the scope of confusion and harm to Yuga Labs The Exhibit is not a statement by a person and not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay Additionally, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807
JTX-520	Cline Depo Exhibit 520	Cahen, O'Laughlin, Kindler	F	The foundation for the Exhibit was established through deposition and Defendants' admissions Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-521	Cline Depo Exhibit 521	Cahen, O'Laughlin, Kindler	H	The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay Additionally, the Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807
JTX-522	Cline Depo Exhibit 522	Kindler	H	The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay
JTX-523	Cline Depo Exhibit 523	Kindler	H, Q	The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002
JTX-524	Cline Depo Exhibit 524	Kindler	H	The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay
JTX-525	Cline Depo Exhibit 525	Kindler	H	The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay
JTX-600	YUGALABS_00031370	Berger, Kindler, Ripps	F	The foundation for the Exhibit was established through deposition and Defendants' admissions Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-601	YUGALABS_00040355	Solano, Muniz, Atalay	Q	The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002
JTX-603	YUGALABS_00036186 - YUGALABS_00036199	Ripps, Cahen	F	The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial
JTX-604	YUGALABS_00036595	Cahen, Lehman, Hickman, Berger, Kindler, Ripps	F	The foundation for the Exhibit was established through deposition and Defendants' admissions Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-605	YUGALABS_00032572	Solano, Aronow, Muniz	IR	The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the value of Yuga Labs' brand and damages
JTX-606	YUGALABS_00002244	Solano, Aronow, Muniz	H, IR	The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807 The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the value of Yuga Labs' brand and damages
JTX-607	YUGALABS_00030223 - YUGALABS_00030230	Solano, Aronow, Muniz	IR	The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the value of Yuga Labs' brand
JTX-608	YUGALABS_00015993 - YUGALABS_00015995	Solano, Aronow, Muniz	IR	The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the value of Yuga Labs' brand
JTX-611	YUGALABS_00027531	Solano, Aronow, Muniz	H, F	The Exhibit is not a statement by a person and not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807 The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial
JTX-612	YUGALABS_00002269	Solano, Aronow, Muniz	H, F	The Exhibit is not a statement by a person and not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807 The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-613	YUGALABS_00029704 - YUGALABS_00029719	Solano, Aronow, Muniz	F, Q	The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002
JTX-614	YUGALABS_00002049 - YUGALABS_00002060	Solano, Aronow, Muniz	H, Q	The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a commercial publication (Rule 803(17)) and under Rule 807 The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002
JTX-616	YUGALABS_00002094 - YUGALABS_00002107	Solano, Muniz	H, Q	The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a commercial publication (Rule 803(17)) and under Rule 807 The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002
JTX-617	YUGALABS_00033962 - YUGALABS_00033974	Solano, Aronow, Muniz	H,F	The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a commercial publication (Rule 803(17)) and under Rule 807 The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial
JTX-618	YUGALABS_00040362 - YUGALABS_00040368	Solano, Aronow, Muniz	H,F	The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a commercial publication (Rule 803(17)) and under Rule 807 The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial
JTX-619	YUGALABS_00040369 - YUGALABS_00040372	Solano, Aronow, Muniz	H,F	The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a commercial publication (Rule 803(17)) and under Rule 807 The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial
JTX-620	Yuga Labs' Motion for Summary Judgment Exhibit 25	Ripps		Withdrawn; subject to motion in limine rulings
JTX-621	Yuga Labs' Motion for Summary Judgment Exhibit 26	Lehman, Muniz	BRPL	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807 The Exhibit is relevant under 607 and 608 regarding Defendants' credibility The Exhibit is also relevant to, at least, the standard for an exceptional case
JTX-627	Yuga Labs' Motion for Summary Judgment Exhibit 29	Ripps	H,F	The Exhibit is not a statement by a person and therefore is not hearsay Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807 The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial
JTX-630	LEHMAN0000047 - LEHMAN0000049	Lehman, Cahen	H,F	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record (Rule 803(6)), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002 The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial
JTX-631	LEHMAN0000077	Lehman; Berger, Kindler	H,F	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record (Rule 803(6)), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial
JTX-633	Yuga Labs' Motion for Summary Judgment Exhibit 35	Solano, Muniz	BRPL, H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807 The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial
JTX-642	Yuga Labs' Motion for Summary Judgment Exhibit 44	Ripps, Cahen	IR, UP	Withdrawn; subject to exceptional case motion post-trial
JTX-643	Yuga Labs' Motion for Summary Judgment Exhibit 45	Ripps, Cahen	IR, UP	Withdrawn; subject to exceptional case motion post-trial

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-665	YUGALABS_00040466 - YUGALABS_00040469	Solano, Muniz	H,F	Withdrawn per parties' stipulation re Yuga Labs' Ownership of the BAYC Marks per MSJ Order Neither party will discuss Yuga Labs' trademark applications or foreign registrations to show Yuga Lab's ownership of its BAYC Marks
JTX-666	YUGALABS_00040470 - YUGALABS_00040473	Solano, Muniz	H,F	Withdrawn per parties' stipulation re Yuga Labs' Ownership of the BAYC Marks per MSJ Order Neither party will discuss Yuga Labs' trademark applications or foreign registrations to show Yuga Lab's ownership of its BAYC Marks
JTX-667	YUGALABS_00040474 - YUGALABS_00040478	Solano, Muniz	H,F	Withdrawn per parties' stipulation re Yuga Labs' Ownership of the BAYC Marks per MSJ Order Neither party will discuss Yuga Labs' trademark applications or foreign registrations to show Yuga Lab's ownership of its BAYC Marks
JTX-669	YUGALABS_00030121	Ripps		N/A (No objection)
JTX-670	YUGALABS_00030104	Ripps, O'Laughlin	C, F, H, I, UP	<p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of "needlessly presenting cumulative evidence," especially where Defendants dispute one or more issues relevant to the Exhibit</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p> <p>The Exhibit is not a statement by a person and therefore is not hearsay Additionally, the Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' Foundation webpage; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p>
JTX-671	YUGALABS_00031325	Ripps	C, UP	<p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of "needlessly presenting cumulative evidence," especially where Defendants dispute one or more issues relevant to the Exhibit</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own advertisements and statements to consumers</p>
JTX-672	YUGALABS_00002602	Ripps	C, UP	<p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of "needlessly presenting cumulative evidence," especially where Defendants dispute one or more issues relevant to the Exhibit</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' advertisement of their NFTs and confusion of consumers</p>
JTX-673	YUGALABS_00015424	Ripps, O'Laughlin	C, H, I, UP	<p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of "needlessly presenting cumulative evidence," especially where Defendants dispute one or more issues relevant to the Exhibit</p> <p>The Exhibit is not a statement by a person and not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from evidence of where Defendants' NFTs were sold and advertised</p>
JTX-675	YUGALABS_00030346	Ripps, Cahen	C, H, I, UP	<p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of "needlessly presenting cumulative evidence," especially where Defendants dispute one or more issues relevant to the Exhibit</p> <p>The Exhibit is not a statement by a person and not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from evidence of where Defendants' NFTs were sold and advertised</p>
JTX-676	YUGALABS_00029889	Ripps, O'Laughlin	H,F	<p>The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p>

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-677	YUGALABS_00015439	Solano, Muniz	H, I	The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay. Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807. The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.
JTX-682	YUGALABS_00040374	Cahen	H, F	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807. The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial.
JTX-683	YUGALABS_00036242 - YUGALABS_00036244	Cahen	H,F	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807. The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial.
JTX-684	YUGALABS_00015425	Ripps, Cahen	H,F	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay. The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial.
JTX-685	YUGALABS_00040429	Cahen	H, F	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807. The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial.
JTX-686	YUGALABS_00015999	Solano, Muniz, Ripps, Cahen	H, I, UP	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807. The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete demonstrative; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility. The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from evidence of where Defendants' NFTs were sold and advertised.
JTX-687	YUGALABS_00000617	Solano, Aronow, Muniz, Ripps, Cahen	C	The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of "needlessly presenting cumulative evidence," especially where Defendants dispute one or more issues relevant to the Exhibit.
JTX-688	YUGALABS_00000619	Solano, Aronow, Muniz, Ripps, Cahen	C	The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of "needlessly presenting cumulative evidence," especially where Defendants dispute one or more issues relevant to the Exhibit.
JTX-689	YUGALABS_00000541	Ripps, Solano	H, F	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807. The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial.
JTX-690	YUGALABS_00000565	Ripps, Solano, Aronow, Muniz	H, F	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807. The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial.
JTX-691	YUGALABS_00002417	Solano, Muniz	H,F	The Exhibit is not a statement by a person and not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807. The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial.
JTX-692	YUGALABS_00031173	Solano, Muniz	H,F	The Exhibit is not a statement by a person and not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807. The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial.
JTX-696	YUGALABS_00014079 - YUGALABS_00014082	Cahen	H, F	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807. The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial.
JTX-697	YUGALABS_00000536	Cahen	C	The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of "needlessly presenting cumulative evidence," especially where Defendants dispute one or more issues relevant to the Exhibit.
JTX-698	YUGALABS_00000542	Ripps, Cahen	IR	The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' confusion of consumers and damages.

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-699	YUGALABS_00015399	Solano, Muniz	IR	The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, background on Yuga Labs, the BAYC brand, and use of the BAYC Marks
JTX-701	YUGALABS_00040375	Muniz; O'Laughlin; Kindler	H,F	The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a commercial publication (Rule 803(17)) and under Rule 807 The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial
JTX-704	YUGALABS_00031334	Muniz; O'Laughlin; Kindler	H, IR	The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a commercial publication (Rule 803(17)) and under Rule 807 The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, damages and confusion
JTX-705	YUGALABS_00002625 - YUGALABS_00002634	Kindler	H,F	The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial
JTX-706	YUGALABS_00040377	Kindler	C, H	The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of "needlessly presenting cumulative evidence," especially where Defendants dispute one or more issues relevant to the Exhibit The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807
JTX-707	YUGALABS_00040376	Kindler	C, H	The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of "needlessly presenting cumulative evidence," especially where Defendants dispute one or more issues relevant to the Exhibit The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807
JTX-709	YUGALABS_00002033	Kindler	H,F	The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial
JTX-710	YUGALABS_00027520	Kindler	H,F	The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial
JTX-713	YUGALABS_00027491	Kindler	H,F	The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial
JTX-715	YUGALABS_00027523	Kindler	IR	The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' advertisement of their NFTs and confusion of consumers It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703
JTX-719	YUGALABS_00040410	Ripps	IR, UP	The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' advertisement of their NFTs and confusion of consumers The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own public statements about this dispute
JTX-721	Yuga Labs' Motion for Summary Judgment Exhibit 105	O'Laughlin	NEV, MIL	The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 (Rule 26 disclosure)
JTX-722	Yuga Labs' Motion for Summary Judgment Exhibit 106	Berger	NEV	The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 (Rule 26 disclosure)
JTX-723	Yuga Labs' Motion for Summary Judgment Exhibit 107	Kindler	NEV	The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 (Rule 26 disclosure)
JTX-800	LEHMAN0000294	Ripps, Cahen		N/A (No objection)

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-801	Team ApeMarket Discord (PDF)	Ripps, Cahen		N/A (No objection)
JTX-802	LEHMAN0000314	Ripps, Cahen		N/A (No objection)
JTX-803	RRBAYC Telegram Messages.html (PDF)	Ripps, Cahen		N/A (No objection)
JTX-804	RRBAYC Telegram Messages2.html (PDF)	Ripps, Cahen		N/A (No objection)
JTX-805	10,000 Ape Images	Solano, Muniz		N/A (No objection)
JTX-806	LEHMAN0000308	Kerem, Lehman, Hickman, Cahen	H, M, Q	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit constitutes a single thread of Discord messages and is therefore one document; as Defendants have objected to the completeness of excerpts of this thread, Defendants cannot also object to the complete thread</p> <p>The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002</p>
JTX-807	YUGALABS_00043404	Kerem, Lehman, Hickman, Cahen	F, H, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit was established through deposition and Defendants' (and their business partners') admissions. Foundation can be further laid at trial</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from evidence of where Defendants' NFTs were planned to be sold and advertised</p>
JTX-808	YUGALABS_00043484	Kerem, Lehman, Hickman, Cahen	F, H, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit was established through deposition and Defendants' (and their business partners') admissions. Foundation can be further laid at trial</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from evidence of where Defendants' NFTs were planned to be sold and advertised</p>
JTX-809	YUGALABS_00043490	Kerem, Lehman, Hickman, Cahen	F, H, I, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit was established through deposition and Defendants' (and their business partners') admissions. Foundation can be further laid at trial</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' communications; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from evidence of where Defendants' NFTs were planned to be sold and advertised</p>
JTX-812	YUGALABS_00002334	Ripps	F, H, I, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit was established through deposition and Defendants' (and their business partners') admissions. Foundation can be further laid at trial</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' communications; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from evidence of where Defendants' NFTs were planned to be sold and advertised</p>
JTX-813	YUGALABS_00030108	Solano, Muniz	H, F, IR	<p>The Exhibit is not a statement by a person and not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, damages and confusion</p>

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-814	YUGALABS_00000538	Ripps	H, F, IR	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807 The foundation for the Exhibit was established through deposition and Defendants' admissions Foundation can be further laid at trial The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' advertisement of their NFTs and confusion of consumers
JTX-817	RIPPSCAHEN00002252	Ripps	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807
JTX-818	YUGALABS_00030078	Ripps	F	The foundation for the Exhibit was established through deposition and Defendants' admissions Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-831	RIPPSCAHEN00000304	Ripps	H, Q	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002
JTX-832	YUGALABS_00029814 - YUGALABS_00029819	Ripps	F	The foundation for the Exhibit was established through deposition and Defendants' admissions
JTX-841	YUGALABS_00029089	Ripps	IR	The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' false advertising to consumers, confusion, and damages
JTX-857	YUGALABS_00030109	Ripps	H, F, IR	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807 The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' false advertising to consumers, confusion, and damages
JTX-869	YUGALABS_00030069	Cahen	IR, UP	The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' purported characterization of their NFTs as "art" to consumers The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own advertisements and statements to consumers
JTX-871	YUGALABS_00027522	Ripps	IR, UP	The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, confusion and damages The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own statements about their NFTs and consumer confusion
JTX-874	YUGALABS_00030233	Ripps	H, IR	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807 The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the reach of Defendants' false advertisements and thus confusion and damages
JTX-876	YUGALABS_00029977 - YUGALABS_00029978	Solano, Muniz	H, IR	Withdrawn per parties' stipulation re Yuga Labs' Ownership of the BAYC Marks per MSJ Order Neither party will discuss Yuga Labs' trademark applications or foreign registrations to show Yuga Lab's ownership of its BAYC Marks
JTX-877	YUGALABS_00029990 - YUGALABS_00029991	Solano, Muniz	H, IR	Withdrawn per parties' stipulation re Yuga Labs' Ownership of the BAYC Marks per MSJ Order Neither party will discuss Yuga Labs' trademark applications or foreign registrations to show Yuga Lab's ownership of its BAYC Marks
JTX-878	YUGALABS_00030058 - YUGALABS_00030059	Solano, Muniz	H, IR	Withdrawn per parties' stipulation re Yuga Labs' Ownership of the BAYC Marks per MSJ Order Neither party will discuss Yuga Labs' trademark applications or foreign registrations to show Yuga Lab's ownership of its BAYC Marks
JTX-879	YUGALABS_00030079 - YUGALABS_00030080	Solano, Muniz	H, IR	Withdrawn per parties' stipulation re Yuga Labs' Ownership of the BAYC Marks per MSJ Order Neither party will discuss Yuga Labs' trademark applications or foreign registrations to show Yuga Lab's ownership of its BAYC Marks
JTX-880	YUGALABS_00030092 - YUGALABS_00030093	Solano, Muniz	H, IR	Withdrawn per parties' stipulation re Yuga Labs' Ownership of the BAYC Marks per MSJ Order Neither party will discuss Yuga Labs' trademark applications or foreign registrations to show Yuga Lab's ownership of its BAYC Marks
JTX-881	YUGALABS_00030099 - YUGALABS_00030100	Solano, Muniz	H, IR	Withdrawn per parties' stipulation re Yuga Labs' Ownership of the BAYC Marks per MSJ Order Neither party will discuss Yuga Labs' trademark applications or foreign registrations to show Yuga Lab's ownership of its BAYC Marks
JTX-882	YUGALABS_00030106 - YUGALABS_00030107	Solano, Muniz	H, IR	Withdrawn per parties' stipulation re Yuga Labs' Ownership of the BAYC Marks per MSJ Order Neither party will discuss Yuga Labs' trademark applications or foreign registrations to show Yuga Lab's ownership of its BAYC Marks
JTX-883	YUGALABS_00030114 - YUGALABS_00030115	Solano, Muniz	H, IR	Withdrawn per parties' stipulation re Yuga Labs' Ownership of the BAYC Marks per MSJ Order Neither party will discuss Yuga Labs' trademark applications or foreign registrations to show Yuga Lab's ownership of its BAYC Marks

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-884	YUGALABS_00030118 - YUGALABS_00030119	Solano, Muniz	H, IR	Withdrawn per parties' stipulation re Yuga Labs' Ownership of the BAYC Marks per MSJ Order Neither party will discuss Yuga Labs' trademark applications or foreign registrations to show Yuga Lab's ownership of its BAYC Marks
JTX-885	YUGALABS_00030129 - YUGALABS_00030130	Solano, Muniz	H, IR	Withdrawn per parties' stipulation re Yuga Labs' Ownership of the BAYC Marks per MSJ Order Neither party will discuss Yuga Labs' trademark applications or foreign registrations to show Yuga Lab's ownership of its BAYC Marks
JTX-886	YUGALABS_00030134 - YUGALABS_00030135	Solano, Muniz	H, IR	Withdrawn per parties' stipulation re Yuga Labs' Ownership of the BAYC Marks per MSJ Order Neither party will discuss Yuga Labs' trademark applications or foreign registrations to show Yuga Lab's ownership of its BAYC Marks
JTX-887	YUGALABS_00030138 - YUGALABS_00030139	Solano, Muniz	H, IR	Withdrawn per parties' stipulation re Yuga Labs' Ownership of the BAYC Marks per MSJ Order Neither party will discuss Yuga Labs' trademark applications or foreign registrations to show Yuga Lab's ownership of its BAYC Marks
JTX-888	YUGALABS_00030142 - YUGALABS_00030143	Solano, Muniz	H, IR	Withdrawn per parties' stipulation re Yuga Labs' Ownership of the BAYC Marks per MSJ Order Neither party will discuss Yuga Labs' trademark applications or foreign registrations to show Yuga Lab's ownership of its BAYC Marks
JTX-889	YUGALABS_00030146 - YUGALABS_00030147	Solano, Muniz	H, IR	Withdrawn per parties' stipulation re Yuga Labs' Ownership of the BAYC Marks per MSJ Order Neither party will discuss Yuga Labs' trademark applications or foreign registrations to show Yuga Lab's ownership of its BAYC Marks
JTX-890	YUGALABS_00030150 - YUGALABS_00030151	Solano, Muniz	H, IR	Withdrawn per parties' stipulation re Yuga Labs' Ownership of the BAYC Marks per MSJ Order Neither party will discuss Yuga Labs' trademark applications or foreign registrations to show Yuga Lab's ownership of its BAYC Marks
JTX-891	YUGALABS_00030155 - YUGALABS_00030156	Solano, Muniz	H, IR	Withdrawn per parties' stipulation re Yuga Labs' Ownership of the BAYC Marks per MSJ Order Neither party will discuss Yuga Labs' trademark applications or foreign registrations to show Yuga Lab's ownership of its BAYC Marks
JTX-892	YUGALABS_00030160 - YUGALABS_00030162	Solano, Muniz	H, IR	Withdrawn per parties' stipulation re Yuga Labs' Ownership of the BAYC Marks per MSJ Order Neither party will discuss Yuga Labs' trademark applications or foreign registrations to show Yuga Lab's ownership of its BAYC Marks
JTX-893	YUGALABS_00030166 - YUGALABS_00030168	Solano, Muniz	H, IR	Withdrawn per parties' stipulation re Yuga Labs' Ownership of the BAYC Marks per MSJ Order Neither party will discuss Yuga Labs' trademark applications or foreign registrations to show Yuga Lab's ownership of its BAYC Marks
JTX-894	YUGALABS_00030172 - YUGALABS_00030174	Solano, Muniz	H, IR	Withdrawn per parties' stipulation re Yuga Labs' Ownership of the BAYC Marks per MSJ Order Neither party will discuss Yuga Labs' trademark applications or foreign registrations to show Yuga Lab's ownership of its BAYC Marks
JTX-895	YUGALABS_00030177 - YUGALABS_00030179	Solano, Muniz	H, IR	Withdrawn per parties' stipulation re Yuga Labs' Ownership of the BAYC Marks per MSJ Order Neither party will discuss Yuga Labs' trademark applications or foreign registrations to show Yuga Lab's ownership of its BAYC Marks
JTX-896	YUGALABS_00030182 - YUGALABS_00030184	Solano, Muniz	H, IR	Withdrawn per parties' stipulation re Yuga Labs' Ownership of the BAYC Marks per MSJ Order Neither party will discuss Yuga Labs' trademark applications or foreign registrations to show Yuga Lab's ownership of its BAYC Marks
JTX-897	YUGALABS_00030187 - YUGALABS_00030194	Solano, Muniz	H, IR	Withdrawn per parties' stipulation re Yuga Labs' Ownership of the BAYC Marks per MSJ Order Neither party will discuss Yuga Labs' trademark applications or foreign registrations to show Yuga Lab's ownership of its BAYC Marks
JTX-898	YUGALABS_00030195 - YUGALABS_00030202	Solano, Muniz	H, IR	Withdrawn per parties' stipulation re Yuga Labs' Ownership of the BAYC Marks per MSJ Order Neither party will discuss Yuga Labs' trademark applications or foreign registrations to show Yuga Lab's ownership of its BAYC Marks
JTX-899	YUGALABS_00030205 - YUGALABS_00030207	Solano, Muniz	H, IR	Withdrawn per parties' stipulation re Yuga Labs' Ownership of the BAYC Marks per MSJ Order Neither party will discuss Yuga Labs' trademark applications or foreign registrations to show Yuga Lab's ownership of its BAYC Marks
JTX-906	YUGALABS_00030152 - YUGALABS_00030153	Ripps	F	The foundation for the Exhibit was established through deposition and Defendants' admissions Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-908	YUGALABS_00030208 - YUGALABS_00030209	Ripps, Cahen	F	The foundation for the Exhibit was established through deposition and Defendants' admissions Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-909	YUGALABS_00030157 - YUGALABS_00030158	Ripps	F	The foundation for the Exhibit was established through deposition and Defendants' admissions Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-911	YUGALABS_00030163 - YUGALABS_00030164	Cahen	F	The foundation for the Exhibit was established through deposition and Defendants' admissions Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-912	YUGALABS_00030185 - YUGALABS_00030186	Kerem, Solano, Kindler	F	The foundation for the Exhibit was established through deposition and Defendants' admissions Foundation can be further laid at trial

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-914	YUGALABS_00000586	Cahen; Kindler	H, I, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not a statement by a person and not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay. Additionally, the Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own public statements about the sales of their NFTs.</p>
JTX-915	RIPPSCAHEN00013270	Ripps, Cahen, Lehman	F, H	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807.</p> <p>The foundation objection is frivolous, as these are Defendants' own financials. In any event, foundation can be laid at trial.</p> <p>The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay.</p>
JTX-916	YUGALABS_00030132	Ripps, Cahen	F	The foundation for the Exhibit was established through deposition and Defendants' admissions. Foundation can be further laid at trial.
JTX-917	YUGALABS_00015423	Ripps, Cahen, Kindler	F	The foundation for the Exhibit was established through deposition and Defendants' admissions. Foundation can be further laid at trial.
JTX-918	LEHMAN0000013 - LEHMAN0000066	Cahen	H	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record (Rule 803(6)), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807.
JTX-919	YUGALABS_00000610	Cahen	IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' purported characterization of their NFTs as "art" to consumers, and it is relevant to the extent Defendants intend to argue good faith or dispute their intent to deceive consumers.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own public statements about their NFTs and consumer confusion.</p>
JTX-922	YUGALABS_00029821	Cahen	IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' purported characterization of their NFTs as "art" to consumers, and it is relevant to the extent Defendants intend to argue good faith or dispute their intent to deceive consumers.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own public statements about the profitability of their NFT schemes.</p>
JTX-923	YUGALABS_00029829	Cahen	IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' purported characterization of their NFTs as "art" to consumers, and it is relevant to the extent Defendants intend to argue good faith or dispute their intent to deceive consumers.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own public statements about the profitability of their NFT schemes.</p>
JTX-924	YUGALABS_00029831	Cahen	IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' purported characterization of their NFTs as "art" to consumers, and it is relevant to the extent Defendants intend to argue good faith or dispute their intent to deceive consumers.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own public statements about the profitability of their NFT schemes.</p>
JTX-938	YUGALABS_00029900	Cahen	IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' purported characterization of their NFTs as "art" to consumers, and it is relevant to the extent Defendants intend to argue good faith or dispute their intent to deceive consumers.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own public statements about the profitability of their NFT schemes.</p>
JTX-943	YUGALABS_00002737	Cahen, Kindler	H, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the reach of Defendants' false advertisements and thus damages.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own statements about the reach and audience of their advertisements.</p>

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-957	RIPPSCAHEN00001294	Garner, Ripps	H, I, IR	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' partner's communications; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the issue of the falsity of Defendants' advertising</p>
JTX-958	RIPPSCAHEN00001295	Garner, Ripps	H, I, IR	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' partner's communications; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the issue of the falsity of Defendants' advertising</p>
JTX-959	RIPPSCAHEN00001297	Garner, Ripps	H, I, IR	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' partner's communications; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the issue of the falsity of Defendants' advertising</p>
JTX-960	RIPPSCAHEN00001299	Garner, Ripps	H, I, IR	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' partner's communications; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the issue of the falsity of Defendants' advertising</p>
JTX-961	RIPPSCAHEN00001300	Garner, Ripps	H, I, IR	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' partner's communications; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the issue of the falsity of Defendants' advertising</p>
JTX-962	RIPPSCAHEN00001302	Garner, Ripps	H, I, IR	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' partner's communications; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the issue of the falsity of Defendants' advertising</p>
JTX-963	RIPPSCAHEN00001304	Garner, Ripps	H, I, IR	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' partner's communications; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the issue of the falsity of Defendants' advertising</p>

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-964	RIPPSCAHEN00001309	Garner, Ripps	H, I, IR	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' partner's communications; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the issue of the falsity of Defendants' advertising</p>
JTX-965	RIPPSCAHEN00001310	Garner, Ripps	H, I, IR	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' partner's communications; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the issue of the falsity of Defendants' advertising</p>
JTX-966	LEHMAN0000207	Lehman, Hickman, Kindler	H, I, IR	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' partner's communications; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the issue of confusion</p>
JTX-967	LEHMAN0000214	Lehman, Hickman, Kindler	H, I, IR	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' partner's communications; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the issue of confusion</p>
JTX-968	LEHMAN0000215	Lehman, Hickman, Kindler	H, I, IR	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' partner's communications; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the issue of confusion</p>
JTX-969	YUGALABS_00032047	Hickman; Kindler	H, H	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p>
JTX-970	LEHMAN0000241	Hickman, Cahen, Lehman	F, H, IR	<p>In any event, foundation can be laid at trial</p> <p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, confusion and damages</p>
JTX-971	LEHMAN0000203	Lehman, Hickman; Kindler	H, I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' partner's communications; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the issue of damages</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p>

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-972	YUGALABS_00043510	Ripps, Cahen	H, I, IR	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person (or alternatively is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2)); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' and their business partners' creation, purchases, sales, and promotion of their NFTs</p>
JTX-973	YUGALABS_00043514	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman	H, I, IR	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person (or alternatively is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2)); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' and their business partners' creation, purchases, sales, and promotion of their NFTs</p>
JTX-974	YUGALABS_00043519	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman	H, I, IR	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person (or alternatively is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2)); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' and their business partners' creation, purchases, sales, and promotion of their NFTs</p>
JTX-975	YUGALABS_00043524	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman	H, I, IR	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person (or alternatively is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2)); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' and their business partners' creation, purchases, sales, and promotion of their NFTs</p>
JTX-977	LEHMAN0000159	Lehman; Kindler	H, I, IR	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' partner's communications; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the issue of damages</p>
JTX-978	LEHMAN0000160	Lehman; Kindler	H, I, IR	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' partner's communications; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the issue of damages</p>
JTX-979	LEHMAN0000171	Lehman; Kindler	H, I, IR	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' partner's communications; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the issue of damages and confusion</p>

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-980	LEHMAN0000134	Lehman; Kindler	H, I, IR	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' partner's communications; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the issue of damages and confusion</p>
JTX-981	LEHMAN0000135	Lehman; Kindler	H, I, IR	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' partner's communications; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the issue of damages and confusion</p>
JTX-982	LEHMAN0000302	Lehman; Kindler	Q, I	<p>The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' communications; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p>
JTX-985	LEHMAN0000078	Lehman; Kindler	H, I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' communications; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the issue of damages It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p>
JTX-986	LEHMAN0000081	Lehman; Kindler	H	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807</p>
JTX-1002	LEHMAN0000166 - LEHMAN0000169	Lehman; Ripps	H, I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' communications; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the issue of damages</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p>
JTX-1003	LEHMAN0000141	Lehman; Kindler	H, I, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' communications; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p>

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1008	LEHMAN0000108 - LEHMAN0000110	Lehman; Kindler	H, I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' communications; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the issue of damages. It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants.</p>
JTX-1009	LEHMAN0000111	Lehman; Kindler	H, I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' communications; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the issue of damages. It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants.</p>
JTX-1010	LEHMAN0000112	Lehman; Berger	H, I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' communications; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the issue of damages. It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants.</p>
JTX-1011	LEHMAN0000113	Lehman; Berger	H, I, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' communications; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants.</p>
JTX-1012	LEHMAN0000114	Berger	H, I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' communications; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the issue of damages. It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants.</p>

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1013	LEHMAN0000115	Lehman; Berger	H, I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' communications; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the issue of damages. It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants.</p>
JTX-1014	LEHMAN0000116	Lehman; Berger	H, I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' communications; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the issue of damages. It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants.</p>
JTX-1015	LEHMAN0000117	Lehman; Berger	H, I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' communications; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the issue of damages. It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants.</p>
JTX-1016	LEHMAN0000118	Lehman; Berger	H, I, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' communications; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants.</p>
JTX-1017	LEHMAN0000119	Lehman; Berger	H, I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' communications; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the issue of damages. It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants.</p>

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1018	LEHMAN0000120	Lehman; Berger	H, I, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' communications; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p>
JTX-1019	LEHMAN0000121	Lehman; Berger	H, I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' communications; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the issue of damages It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p>
JTX-1020	LEHMAN0000158	Berger, Kindler	H, I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' communications; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the issue of damages It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p>
JTX-1021	LEHMAN0000196	Berger, Kindler	H, I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' communications; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the issue of damages It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p>
JTX-1022	LEHMAN0000197	Berger, Kindler	H, I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' communications; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the issue of damages It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p>

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1023	LEHMAN0000208	Berger, Kindler	H, I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' communications; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the issue of damages. It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants.</p>
JTX-1024	LEHMAN0000209	Berger, Kindler	H, I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' communications; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the issue of damages. It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants.</p>
JTX-1027	YUGALABS_00000545	Kindler; O' Laughlin	I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' communications; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the issue of damages. It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants.</p>
JTX-1028	YUGALABS_00000569	Kindler	H, I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay. Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, confusion and damages as well as Defendants' purported characterization of their NFTs as "art" to consumers. It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from evidence of how defendants advertised their NFTs and potential consumer confusion.</p>
JTX-1029	YUGALABS_00002683 - YUGALABS_00002686	Solano, Muniz, Ripps, Cahen	H, I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay. Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, confusion and damages as well as Defendants' purported characterization of their NFTs as "art" to consumers. It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from evidence of how defendants advertised their NFTs and potential consumer confusion.</p>

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1030	YUGALABS_00000570	Berger, Kindler	H, I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay. Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, confusion and damages as well as Defendants' purported characterization of their NFTs as "art" to consumers. It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from evidence of how defendants advertised their NFTs and potential consumer confusion.</p>
JTX-1031	YUGALABS_00000580	Berger, Kindler	H, I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay. Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, confusion and damages as well as Defendants' purported characterization of their NFTs as "art" to consumers. It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from evidence of how defendants advertised their NFTs and potential consumer confusion.</p>
JTX-1032	YUGALABS_00000589	Kindler	H, I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay. Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, confusion and damages as well as Defendants' purported characterization of their NFTs as "art" to consumers. It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from evidence of how defendants advertised their NFTs and potential consumer confusion.</p>
JTX-1033	YUGALABS_00000605	Kindler	H, I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay. Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, confusion and damages as well as Defendants' purported characterization of their NFTs as "art" to consumers. It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from evidence of how defendants advertised their NFTs and potential consumer confusion.</p>

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1034	YUGALABS_00000624	Kindler	H, I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay. Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, confusion and damages as well as Defendants' purported characterization of their NFTs as "art" to consumers. It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from evidence of how defendants advertised their NFTs and potential consumer confusion.</p>
JTX-1035	YUGALABS_00000629	Kindler	H, I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay. Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, confusion and damages as well as Defendants' purported characterization of their NFTs as "art" to consumers. It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from evidence of how defendants advertised their NFTs and potential consumer confusion.</p>
JTX-1037	YUGALABS_00002041	Ripps, Kindler	I, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own advertisements and statements to consumers.</p>
JTX-1038	YUGALABS_00002247	Berger	H, I	<p>The Exhibit is not hearsay as the Exhibit is not being offered for the truth of the matter asserted. Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p>
JTX-1039	YUGALABS_00015413	Kindler	H, I, UP, F	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person (or alternatively is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2)) and is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of the LooksRare page for Defendants' own infringing NFT; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own NFT advertisements and sales.</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial.</p>

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1040	YUGALABS_00015414	Kindler	H, UP, F	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person (or alternatively is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2)) and is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of the OpenSea page for Defendants' own infringing NFT; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility;</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own NFT advertisements and sales.</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial.</p>
JTX-1041	YUGALABS_00015417	Kindler	H, I, F, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person (or alternatively is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2)); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own NFT transactions and sales.</p>
JTX-1042	YUGALABS_00015996	Kindler	H, I, F, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person (or alternatively is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2)); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own NFT transactions and sales.</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial.</p>
JTX-1043	YUGALABS_00027693	Kindler	H, I, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay. Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from evidence of consumer confusion.</p>
JTX-1045	YUGALABS_00030050	Ripps, Kindler	I, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own advertisements and statements to consumers.</p>
JTX-1046	YUGALABS_00030068	Kindler	I	<p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p>

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1047	YUGALABS_00030236	Solano, Muniz, O'Laughlin, Kindler	H, IR, F	<p>The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay. Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a commercial publication (Rule 803(17)) and under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the issue of confusion. It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703.</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial.</p>
JTX-1048	YUGALABS_00030238	Kindler	I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' advertisement of their NFTs and confusion of consumers. It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own advertisements and statements to consumers.</p>
JTX-1049	YUGALABS_00030243	Solano, Muniz, O'Laughlin, Kindler	H, UP, I	<p>The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay. Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a commercial publication (Rule 803(17)) and under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from evidence of confusion caused by Defendants.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of a news segment; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p>
JTX-1050	YUGALABS_00031204	Kindler	H, I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay. Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a commercial publication (Rule 803(17)), admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of a communication; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the issue of confusion. It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from evidence of confusion caused by Defendants.</p>
JTX-1052	YUGALABS_00031302	Kindler, Muniz	H, IR, F	<p>The Exhibit is not inadmissible hearsay as the Exhibit is a business record under Rule 803(6); The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant for damages and responding to Defendants' purported defenses. It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703.</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial.</p>
JTX-1053	YUGALABS_00031303 - YUGALABS_00031316	Kindler, Muniz	H, IR, F	<p>The Exhibit is not inadmissible hearsay as the Exhibit is a business record under Rule 803(6). The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant for damages and responding to Defendants' purported defenses. It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703.</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial.</p>

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1054	YUGALABS_00031324	Ripps, Kindler	I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' advertisement of their NFTs and confusion of consumers. It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own advertisements and statements to consumers</p>
JTX-1055	YUGALABS_00031326	Kindler	H, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay. Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, confusion and damages. It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from evidence of how Defendants advertised their NFTs and consumer confusion</p>
JTX-1056	YUGALABS_00031327	Kindler; Berger	H, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay. Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, confusion and damages. It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from evidence of how Defendants advertised their NFTs and consumer confusion</p>
JTX-1057	YUGALABS_00031328	Kindler; Berger	H, I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay. Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, confusion and damages. It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from evidence of how Defendants advertised their NFTs and consumer confusion</p>
JTX-1058	YUGALABS_00031329	Kindler	H, I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay. Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, confusion and damages. It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from evidence of how Defendants advertised their NFTs and consumer confusion</p>

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1059	YUGALABS_00031330	Kindler; Berger	H, I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay. Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, confusion and damages. It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from evidence of how Defendants advertised their NFTs and consumer confusion.</p>
JTX-1060	YUGALABS_00031333	Kindler	H, I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay. Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, confusion and damages. It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from evidence of how Defendants advertised their NFTs and consumer confusion.</p>
JTX-1061	YUGALABS_00031335	Kindler	H, I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay. Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, confusion and damages. It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from evidence of how Defendants advertised their NFTs and consumer confusion.</p>
JTX-1062	YUGALABS_00031357	O'Laughlin, Kindler	H, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay. Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, confusion and damages. The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from evidence of how Defendants advertised their NFTs and potential consumer confusion.</p>
JTX-1063	YUGALABS_00031359	Kindler	H, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay. Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, confusion and damages. The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from evidence of how Defendants advertised their NFTs and potential consumer confusion.</p>

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1064	YUGALABS_00031367	O'Laughlin, Kindler	H, I, IR, UP, F	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person and is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of the listing for one of Defendants' infringing NFTs on DappRadar; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, damages, confusion, and false advertising It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from the listing of Defendants' own infringing NFTs</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p>
JTX-1065	YUGALABS_00031397	Ripps, Kindler	H, IR, F	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a governmental record under Rule 803(8), and under Rule 807 The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, false advertising claims and Defendants' false statements re Live9000 It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p>
JTX-1066	YUGALABS_00031432	O'Laughlin, Kindler	H, I, IR, UP, F	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person and is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of the listing for one of Defendants' infringing NFTs on DappRadar; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, damages, confusion, and false advertising It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from the listing of Defendants' own infringing NFTs</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p>
JTX-1068	YUGALABS_00031596	O'Laughlin	H, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, confusion and damages It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from evidence of how Defendants advertised their NFTs and consumer confusion</p>
JTX-1069	YUGALABS_00031597	O'Laughlin	H, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, confusion and damages It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from evidence of how Defendants advertised their NFTs and consumer confusion</p>

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1070	YUGALABS_00031601 - YUGALABS_00031602	O'Laughlin	H, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay. Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, confusion and damages. It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from evidence of how Defendants advertised their NFTs and consumer confusion.</p>
JTX-1071	YUGALABS_00031603 - YUGALABS_00031604	O'Laughlin	H, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay. Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, confusion and damages. It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from evidence of how Defendants advertised their NFTs and consumer confusion.</p>
JTX-1072	YUGALABS_00031605 - YUGALABS_00031609	O'Laughlin	H, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay. Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, confusion and damages. It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from evidence of how Defendants advertised their NFTs and consumer confusion.</p>
JTX-1073	YUGALABS_00031610 - YUGALABS_00031611	O'Laughlin	H, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay. Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, confusion and damages. It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from evidence of how Defendants advertised their NFTs and consumer confusion.</p>
JTX-1074	YUGALABS_00031617	Berger	H, I, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay. Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from evidence of how Defendants advertised their NFTs and consumer confusion.</p>
JTX-1075	YUGALABS_00031620	Kindler, Muniz	H,F	<p>The Exhibit is admissible as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay.</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial.</p>
JTX-1076	YUGALABS_00031633	Berger	I, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own advertisements and statements to consumers.</p>

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1077	YUGALABS_00031635	Berger	I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' advertisement of their NFTs and confusion of consumers. It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own advertisements and statements to consumers</p>
JTX-1078	YUGALABS_00031636	Berger	I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' advertisement of their NFTs and confusion of consumers. It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own advertisements and statements to consumers</p>
JTX-1079	YUGALABS_00031637	Berger	I, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own advertisements and statements to consumers</p>
JTX-1085	YUGALABS_00032602 - YUGALABS_00032613	Berger	H, IR, F	<p>The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay</p> <p>The Exhibit is relied on under Rule 703 and relevant to damages</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit was established through the expert report. Foundation can be further laid at trial</p>
JTX-1086	YUGALABS_00032620 - YUGALABS_00032629	Berger	H, IR, F	<p>The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay</p> <p>The Exhibit is relied on under Rule 703 and relevant to damages</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit was established through the expert report. Foundation can be further laid at trial</p>
JTX-1087	YUGALABS_00032630 - YUGALABS_00032653	Berger	H, IR, F	<p>The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay</p> <p>The Exhibit is relied on under Rule 703 and relevant to damages</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit was established through the expert report. Foundation can be further laid at trial</p>
JTX-1088	YUGALABS_00032665 - YUGALABS_00032681	Berger	H, IR, F	<p>The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay</p> <p>The Exhibit is relied on under Rule 703 and relevant to damages</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit was established through the expert report. Foundation can be further laid at trial</p>
JTX-1089	YUGALABS_00032685 - YUGALABS_00032735	Berger	H, IR, F	<p>The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay</p> <p>The Exhibit is relied on under Rule 703 and relevant to damages</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit was established through the expert report. Foundation can be further laid at trial</p>
JTX-1090	YUGALABS_00032736 - YUGALABS_00032760	Berger	H, IR, F	<p>The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay</p> <p>The Exhibit is relied on under Rule 703 and relevant to damages</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit was established through the expert report. Foundation can be further laid at trial</p>

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1091	YUGALABS_00032761 - YUGALABS_00032773	Berger	H, IR, F	The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay The Exhibit is relied on under Rule 703 and relevant to damages The foundation for the Exhibit was established through the expert report Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-1092	YUGALABS_00032774 - YUGALABS_00032789	Berger	H, IR, F	The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay The Exhibit is relied on under Rule 703 and relevant to damages The foundation for the Exhibit was established through the expert report Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-1093	YUGALABS_00032790 - YUGALABS_00032801	Berger	H, IR, F	The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay The Exhibit is relied on under Rule 703 and relevant to damages The foundation for the Exhibit was established through the expert report Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-1094	YUGALABS_00032802 - YUGALABS_00032828	Berger	H, IR, F	The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay The Exhibit is relied on under Rule 703 and relevant to damages The foundation for the Exhibit was established through the expert report Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-1095	YUGALABS_00032829 - YUGALABS_00032845	Berger	H, IR, F	The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay The Exhibit is relied on under Rule 703 and relevant to damages The foundation for the Exhibit was established through the expert report Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-1096	YUGALABS_00032846 - YUGALABS_00032857	Berger	H, IR, F	The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay The Exhibit is relied on under Rule 703 and relevant to damages The foundation for the Exhibit was established through the expert report Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-1097	YUGALABS_00032858 - YUGALABS_00032878	Berger	H, IR, F	The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay The Exhibit is relied on under Rule 703 and relevant to damages The foundation for the Exhibit was established through the expert report Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-1098	YUGALABS_00032879 - YUGALABS_00032908	Berger	H, IR, F	The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay The Exhibit is relied on under Rule 703 and relevant to damages The foundation for the Exhibit was established through the expert report Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-1099	YUGALABS_00032909 - YUGALABS_00032917	Berger	H, IR, F	The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay The Exhibit is relied on under Rule 703 and relevant to damages The foundation for the Exhibit was established through the expert report Foundation can be further laid at trial

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1100	YUGALABS_00032918 - YUGALABS_00032936	Berger	H, IR, F	The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay The Exhibit is relied on under Rule 703 and relevant to damages The foundation for the Exhibit was established through the expert report Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-1101	YUGALABS_00032937 - YUGALABS_00032959	Berger	H, IR, F	The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay The Exhibit is relied on under Rule 703 and relevant to damages The foundation for the Exhibit was established through the expert report Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-1102	YUGALABS_00032960 - YUGALABS_00032976	Berger	H, IR, F	The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay The Exhibit is relied on under Rule 703 and relevant to damages The foundation for the Exhibit was established through the expert report Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-1103	YUGALABS_00032977 - YUGALABS_00033003	Berger	H, IR, F	The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay The Exhibit is relied on under Rule 703 and relevant to damages The foundation for the Exhibit was established through the expert report Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-1104	YUGALABS_00033004 - YUGALABS_00033022	Berger	H, IR, F	The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay The Exhibit is relied on under Rule 703 and relevant to damages The foundation for the Exhibit was established through the expert report Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-1105	YUGALABS_00033036 - YUGALABS_00033048	Berger	H, IR, F	The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay The Exhibit is relied on under Rule 703 and relevant to damages The foundation for the Exhibit was established through the expert report Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-1106	YUGALABS_00033049 - YUGALABS_00033071	Berger	H, IR, F	The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay The Exhibit is relied on under Rule 703 and relevant to damages The foundation for the Exhibit was established through the expert report Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-1107	YUGALABS_00033072 - YUGALABS_00033084	Berger	H, IR, F	The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay The Exhibit is relied on under Rule 703 and relevant to damages The foundation for the Exhibit was established through the expert report Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-1108	YUGALABS_00033085 - YUGALABS_00033101	Berger	H, IR, F	The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay The Exhibit is relied on under Rule 703 and relevant to damages The foundation for the Exhibit was established through the expert report Foundation can be further laid at trial

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1109	YUGALABS_00033102 - YUGALABS_00033115	Berger	H, IR, F	The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay The Exhibit is relied on under Rule 703 and relevant to damages The foundation for the Exhibit was established through the expert report Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-1110	YUGALABS_00033116 - YUGALABS_00033127	Berger	H, IR, F	The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay The Exhibit is relied on under Rule 703 and relevant to damages The foundation for the Exhibit was established through the expert report Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-1111	YUGALABS_00033128 - YUGALABS_00033148	Berger	H, IR, F	The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay The Exhibit is relied on under Rule 703 and relevant to damages The foundation for the Exhibit was established through the expert report Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-1112	YUGALABS_00033149 - YUGALABS_00033170	Berger	H, IR, F	The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay The Exhibit is relied on under Rule 703 and relevant to damages The foundation for the Exhibit was established through the expert report Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-1113	YUGALABS_00033171 - YUGALABS_00033190	Berger	H, IR, F	The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay The Exhibit is relied on under Rule 703 and relevant to damages The foundation for the Exhibit was established through the expert report Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-1114	YUGALABS_00033191 - YUGALABS_00033209	Berger	H, IR, F	The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay The Exhibit is relied on under Rule 703 and relevant to damages The foundation for the Exhibit was established through the expert report Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-1115	YUGALABS_00033210 - YUGALABS_00033221	Berger	H, IR, F	The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay The Exhibit is relied on under Rule 703 and relevant to damages The foundation for the Exhibit was established through the expert report Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-1116	YUGALABS_00033222 - YUGALABS_00033231	Berger	H, IR, F	The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay The Exhibit is relied on under Rule 703 and relevant to damages The foundation for the Exhibit was established through the expert report Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-1117	YUGALABS_00033232 - YUGALABS_00033246	Berger	H, IR, F	The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay The Exhibit is relied on under Rule 703 and relevant to damages The foundation for the Exhibit was established through the expert report Foundation can be further laid at trial

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1118	YUGALABS_00033247 - YUGALABS_00033266	Berger	H, IR, F	The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay The Exhibit is relied on under Rule 703 and relevant to damages The foundation for the Exhibit was established through the expert report Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-1119	YUGALABS_00033267 - YUGALABS_00033284	Berger	H, IR, F	The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay The Exhibit is relied on under Rule 703 and relevant to damages The foundation for the Exhibit was established through the expert report Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-1120	YUGALABS_00033285 - YUGALABS_00033299	Berger	H, IR, F	The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay The Exhibit is relied on under Rule 703 and relevant to damages The foundation for the Exhibit was established through the expert report Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-1121	YUGALABS_00033998 - YUGALABS_00034050	O'Laughlin	H, IR, F	The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay The Exhibit is relied on under Rule 703 and relevant to damages The foundation for the Exhibit was established through the expert report Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-1122	YUGALABS_00034051 - YUGALABS_00034055	O'Laughlin	H, I, IR, F	The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility The Exhibit is relied on under Rule 703 and relevant to damages The foundation for the Exhibit was established through the expert report Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-1123	YUGALABS_00034056 - YUGALABS_00034059	O'Laughlin	H, I, IR, F	The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility The Exhibit is relied on under Rule 703 and relevant to damages The foundation for the Exhibit was established through the expert report Foundation can be further laid at trial
JTX-1124	YUGALABS_00035095 - YUGALABS_00035913	O'Laughlin	H, IR, F	The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay The Exhibit is relied on under Rule 703 and relevant to damages The foundation for the Exhibit was established through the expert report Foundation can be further laid at trial

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1125	YUGALABS_00035914 - YUGALABS_00035922	O'Laughlin	H, I, IR, F	<p>The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relied on under Rule 703 and relevant to damages</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit was established through the expert report Foundation can be further laid at trial</p>
JTX-1126	YUGALABS_00041594 - YUGALABS_00041609	Berger	H, IR, F	<p>The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay</p> <p>The Exhibit is relied on under Rule 703 and relevant to damages</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit was established through the expert report Foundation can be further laid at trial</p>
JTX-1127	YUGALABS_00041636 - YUGALABS_00041648	Berger	H, IR, F	<p>The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay</p> <p>The Exhibit is relied on under Rule 703 and relevant to damages</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit was established through the expert report Foundation can be further laid at trial</p>
JTX-1128	YUGALABS_00041654 - YUGALABS_00041678	Berger	H, I, IR, F	<p>The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relied on under Rule 703 and relevant to damages</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit was established through the expert report Foundation can be further laid at trial</p>
JTX-1129	YUGALABS_00041684 - YUGALABS_00042494	Berger	H, IR, F	<p>The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay</p> <p>The Exhibit is relied on under Rule 703 and relevant to damages</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit was established through the expert report Foundation can be further laid at trial</p>
JTX-1130	YUGALABS_00042505 - YUGALABS_00043352	Berger	H, IR, F	<p>The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay</p> <p>The Exhibit is relied on under Rule 703 and relevant to damages</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit was established through the expert report Foundation can be further laid at trial</p>
JTX-1131	YUGALABS_00043358 - YUGALABS_00043368	Berger	H, IR, F	<p>The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay</p> <p>The Exhibit is relied on under Rule 703 and relevant to damages</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit was established through the expert report Foundation can be further laid at trial</p>
JTX-1132	YUGALABS_00043373 - YUGALABS_00043383	Berger	H, IR, F	<p>The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay</p> <p>The Exhibit is relied on under Rule 703 and relevant to damages</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit was established through the expert report Foundation can be further laid at trial</p>

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1133	LEHMAN0000309 - LEHMAN0000311	Cahen	H, I, IR, UP	Withdrawn; subject to exceptional case motion post-trial
JTX-1134	LEHMAN0000312 - LEHMAN0000313	Cahen	H, I, IR, UP	Withdrawn; subject to exceptional case motion post-trial
JTX-1135	LEHMAN0000330	Cahen	H, I, IR, UP, F	Withdrawn; subject to exceptional case motion post-trial
JTX-1139	YUGALABS_00000547 - YUGALABS_00000549	Ripps, Kindler	H, I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2) and is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' advertisement of their NFTs and confusion</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own advertisements and statements to consumers</p>
JTX-1142	YUGALABS_00000621	Ripps, Cahen	H, UP, F	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person (or alternatively is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2)) and is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own NFT advertisements and sales</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p>
JTX-1143	YUGALABS_00000644 - YUGALABS_00000646	Ripps, Cahen	H, I, UP, F	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person (or alternatively is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2)) and is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807 The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of the page for Defendants' own infringing NFT; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility;</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own NFT advertisements and sales</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p>
JTX-1145	YUGALABS_00027472	Ripps, Cahen	H, I, UP, F	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person (or alternatively is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2)) and is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807 The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of the LooksRare page for Defendants' own infringing NFT; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility;</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own NFT advertisements and sales</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p>
JTX-1146	YUGALABS_00031595	Ripps, Cahen	H, I, UP, F	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person (or alternatively is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2)); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own NFT transactions and sales</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p>

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1147	YUGALABS_00031619	Ripps, Cahen	H, I, UP, F	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person (or alternatively is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2)); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own NFT transactions and sales</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p>
JTX-1148	YUGALABS_00040325	Ripps, Cahen	IR, F, UP	<p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' advertisement of their NFTs and confusion of consumers</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p>
JTX-1152	YUGALABS_00002040	Solano, Muniz	H, I, IR	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, establishing the what the Bored Ape Yacht Club brand and associated NFT collection are, as well as launch timing. These issues are relevant to Defendants' false advertising that they sold equivalent NFTs</p>
JTX-1153	YUGALABS_00015987 - YUGALABS_00015990	Solano, Muniz	H, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p>
JTX-1154	YUGALABS_00029419	Muniz	H, F, IR	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the BAYC brand and Yuga Labs' enforcement of its marks</p>
JTX-1155	YUGALABS_00029428	Muniz	H, F, IR	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the BAYC brand and Yuga Labs' enforcement of its marks</p>
JTX-1156	YUGALABS_00029474	Muniz	H, F, IR	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the BAYC brand and Yuga Labs' enforcement of its marks</p>
JTX-1157	YUGALABS_00029507	Muniz	H, IR, F	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the BAYC brand and Yuga Labs' enforcement of its marks</p>
JTX-1158	YUGALABS_00031402 - YUGALABS_00031421	Ripps	H, UP, Q	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own advertisements and statements to consumers</p> <p>The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002</p>

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1159	YUGALABS_00036535 - YUGALABS_00036559	Aronow, Solano	H, IR, UP	Withdrawn; subject to motion in limine rulings
JTX-1160	YUGALABS_00036560 - YUGALABS_00036574	Ripps	IR, UP	Withdrawn; subject to motion in limine rulings
JTX-1161	YUGALABS_00041591	Solano, Muniz	H, I, IR	The Exhibit is admissible as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807 The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, establishing the what the Bored Ape Yacht Club brand and associated NFT collection are, as well as launch timing These issues are relevant to Defendants' false advertising that they sold equivalent NFTs
JTX-1162	YUGALABS_00041611	Solano, Muniz	H, I, IR	The Exhibit is admissible as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807 The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, establishing the what the Bored Ape Yacht Club brand and associated NFT collection are, as well as launch timing These issues are relevant to Defendants' false advertising that they sold equivalent NFTs
JTX-1163	YUGALABS_00041616	Solano, Muniz	H, I, IR	The Exhibit is admissible as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807 The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, establishing the what the Bored Ape Yacht Club brand is These issues are relevant to Defendants' false advertising that they sold equivalent NFTs
JTX-1164	YUGALABS_00041629	Ripps	H, I, IR, UP	The Exhibit is admissible as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807 The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, establishing the damages and false advertising, including to show that Ripps was in the same market as Yuga Labs The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own advertisements and statements to consumers
JTX-1165	YUGALABS_00041633	Solano, Muniz	H, I, IR	The Exhibit is admissible as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807 The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, establishing the what the Bored Ape Yacht Club brand is These issues are relevant to Defendants' false advertising that they sold equivalent NFTs
JTX-1166	YUGALABS_00041651	Solano, Muniz	H, I, IR	The Exhibit is admissible as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807 The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility These issues are relevant to Defendants' false advertising that they sold equivalent NFTs
JTX-1167	YUGALABS_00041680	Solano, Muniz	H, I, IR	The Exhibit is admissible as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807 The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, establishing the what the Bored Ape Yacht Club brand is These issues are relevant to Defendants' false advertising that they sold equivalent NFTs

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1168	YUGALABS_00043387	Solano, Muniz	H, I, IR	<p>The Exhibit is admissible as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, establishing the what the Bored Ape Yacht Club brand is. These issues are relevant to Defendants' false advertising that they sold equivalent NFTs</p>
JTX-1170	YUGALABS_00043485	Ripps	I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' purported characterization of their NFTs as "art" to consumers</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own advertisements and statements to consumers</p>
JTX-1171	YUGALABS_00043488	Cahen, Kindler	I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' profits from their infringement and false advertising, and thus disgorgement and damages</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own advertisements and statements to consumers</p>
JTX-1172	YUGALABS_00043512	Solano, Muniz	IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Yuga Labs' and its brand, Defendants' false advertising regarding equivalence of their infringing products to Yuga Labs' products, and Defendants' stated plans to hold Apefest-like promotions</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from photos of Yuga Labs' conference and related logos</p>
JTX-1174	YUGALABS_00043517	Solano, Muniz	F, H	<p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p> <p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person and is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible under Rule 807</p>
JTX-1175	YUGALABS_00043522	Solano, Muniz	F, H	<p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p> <p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person and is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible under Rule 807</p>
JTX-1176	YUGALABS_00043527	Solano, Muniz	F, H	Withdrawn; subject to motion in limine rulings
JTX-1177	YUGALABS_00043530	Solano, Muniz	F, H	Withdrawn; subject to motion in limine rulings
JTX-1178	YUGALABS_00043533	Solano, Muniz	F, H	<p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p> <p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person and is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible under Rule 807</p>
JTX-1179	YUGALABS_00043536	Solano, Muniz	IR, Q, F	Withdrawn; subject to motion in limine rulings
JTX-1192	YUGALABS_00031203	Berger	H, I, IR	<p>The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay. Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a commercial publication (Rule 803(17)), admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of a communication; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the issue of confusion. It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703</p>
JTX-1193	YUGALABS_00041682	Berger	H, IR	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the issue of confusion. It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703</p>

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1194	YUGALABS_00042499	Berger	H, IR	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the issue of confusion. It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703.</p>
JTX-1195	YUGALABS_00043353	Berger	H, IR	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the issue of confusion. It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703.</p>
JTX-1232	YUGALABS_00041291 - YUGALABS_00041352	Solano, Muniz	H, I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the BAYC brand and its value.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants.</p>
JTX-1233	YUGALABS_00041354 - YUGALABS_00041418	Solano, Muniz	H, I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the BAYC brand and its value.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants.</p>
JTX-1234	YUGALABS_00043520 - YUGALABS_00043521	Solano, Muniz	H, I, F, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not a statement by a person and not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay. Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of a listing on OpenSea; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants.</p>
JTX-1235	YUGALABS_00043486	Solano, Muniz, Ripps, Cahen	H, I, F, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person (or alternatively is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2)) and is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of a listing for Defendants' infringing product on OpenSea; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants.</p>

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1236	YUGALABS_00043525 - YUGALABS_00043526	Solano, Muniz	H, I, F, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not a statement by a person and not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay. Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of a listing on OpenSea; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants.</p>
JTX-1237	YUGALABS_00043491	Solano, Muniz, Ripps, Cahen	H, I, F, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person (or alternatively is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2)) and is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of a listing for Defendants' infringing product on OpenSea; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants.</p>
JTX-1238	YUGALABS_00043515 - YUGALABS_00043516	Solano, Muniz	H, I, F, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not a statement by a person and not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay. Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of a listing on OpenSea; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants.</p>
JTX-1239	YUGALABS_00043481	Solano, Muniz, Ripps, Cahen	H, I, F, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person (or alternatively is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2)) and is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of a listing for Defendants' infringing product on OpenSea; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants.</p>
JTX-1240	YUGALABS_00043528 - YUGALABS_00043529	Solano, Muniz	H, I, F, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not a statement by a person and not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay. Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of a listing on OpenSea; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants.</p>

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1241	YUGALABS_00043511	Solano, Muniz, Ripps, Cahen	H, I, F, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person (or alternatively is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2)) and is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of a listing for Defendants' infringing product on OpenSea; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p>
JTX-1242	YUGALABS_00043539	Ripps	H, I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the issue of damages</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p>
JTX-1243	YUGALABS_00043540	Ripps	H, I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the issue of damages</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p>
JTX-1249	YUGALABS_00043537	Ripps	UP	<p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own advertisements of their NFTs and evidence that their NFTs were sold under the name "Bored Ape Yacht Club V3"</p>
JTX-1250	YUGALABS_00039532 - YUGALABS_00039545	Solano, Muniz	H, T, IR	<p>Withdrawn per parties' stipulation re Yuga Labs' Ownership of the BAYC Marks per MSJ Order Neither party will discuss Yuga Labs' trademark applications or foreign registrations to show Yuga Lab's ownership of its BAYC Marks</p>
JTX-1251	YUGALABS_00039559 - YUGALABS_00039574	Solano, Muniz	H, T, IR	<p>Withdrawn per parties' stipulation re Yuga Labs' Ownership of the BAYC Marks per MSJ Order Neither party will discuss Yuga Labs' trademark applications or foreign registrations to show Yuga Lab's ownership of its BAYC Marks</p>
JTX-1252	YUGALABS_00039584 - YUGALABS_00039586	Solano, Muniz	H, IR	<p>Withdrawn per parties' stipulation re Yuga Labs' Ownership of the BAYC Marks per MSJ Order Neither party will discuss Yuga Labs' trademark applications or foreign registrations to show Yuga Lab's ownership of its BAYC Marks</p>
JTX-1253	YUGALABS_00039623 - YUGALABS_00039637	Solano, Muniz	H, T, IR	<p>Withdrawn per parties' stipulation re Yuga Labs' Ownership of the BAYC Marks per MSJ Order Neither party will discuss Yuga Labs' trademark applications or foreign registrations to show Yuga Lab's ownership of its BAYC Marks</p>
JTX-1254	YUGALABS_00039663 - YUGALABS_00039678	Solano, Muniz	H, T, IR	<p>Withdrawn per parties' stipulation re Yuga Labs' Ownership of the BAYC Marks per MSJ Order Neither party will discuss Yuga Labs' trademark applications or foreign registrations to show Yuga Lab's ownership of its BAYC Marks</p>
JTX-1255	YUGALABS_00039679 - YUGALABS_00039681	Solano, Muniz	H, IR	<p>Withdrawn per parties' stipulation re Yuga Labs' Ownership of the BAYC Marks per MSJ Order Neither party will discuss Yuga Labs' trademark applications or foreign registrations to show Yuga Lab's ownership of its BAYC Marks</p>
JTX-1256	YUGALABS_00039688 - YUGALABS_00039703	Solano, Muniz	H, T, IR	<p>Withdrawn per parties' stipulation re Yuga Labs' Ownership of the BAYC Marks per MSJ Order Neither party will discuss Yuga Labs' trademark applications or foreign registrations to show Yuga Lab's ownership of its BAYC Marks</p>
JTX-1257	YUGALABS_00039755 - YUGALABS_00039757	Solano, Muniz	H, IR	<p>Withdrawn per parties' stipulation re Yuga Labs' Ownership of the BAYC Marks per MSJ Order Neither party will discuss Yuga Labs' trademark applications or foreign registrations to show Yuga Lab's ownership of its BAYC Marks</p>
JTX-1258	YUGALABS_00039780 - YUGALABS_00039782	Solano, Muniz	H, IR	<p>Withdrawn per parties' stipulation re Yuga Labs' Ownership of the BAYC Marks per MSJ Order Neither party will discuss Yuga Labs' trademark applications or foreign registrations to show Yuga Lab's ownership of its BAYC Marks</p>

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1259	YUGALABS_00039786 - YUGALABS_00039788	Solano, Muniz	H, IR	Withdrawn per parties' stipulation re Yuga Labs' Ownership of the BAYC Marks per MSJ Order Neither party will discuss Yuga Labs' trademark applications or foreign registrations to show Yuga Lab's ownership of its BAYC Marks
JTX-1260	YUGALABS_00039979 - YUGALABS_00039993	Solano, Muniz	H, T, IR	Withdrawn per parties' stipulation re Yuga Labs' Ownership of the BAYC Marks per MSJ Order Neither party will discuss Yuga Labs' trademark applications or foreign registrations to show Yuga Lab's ownership of its BAYC Marks
JTX-1261	YUGALABS_00039994 - YUGALABS_00040002	Solano, Muniz	H, IR	Withdrawn per parties' stipulation re Yuga Labs' Ownership of the BAYC Marks per MSJ Order Neither party will discuss Yuga Labs' trademark applications or foreign registrations to show Yuga Lab's ownership of its BAYC Marks
JTX-1262	YUGALABS_00043513	Ripps, Cahen	H, I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' purported characterization of their NFTs as "art" to consumers</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p>
JTX-1263	YUGALABS_00043518	Ripps, Cahen	H, I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not a statement by a person and not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' purported characterization of their NFTs as "art" to consumers</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p>
JTX-1264	YUGALABS_00043523	Ripps, Cahen	H, I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not a statement by a person and not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' purported characterization of their NFTs as "art" to consumers</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p>
JTX-1265	YUGALABS_00043397	Ripps, Cahen	H, IR, F, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person (or alternatively is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2)); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' and their business partners' creation, purchases, sales, and promotion of their NFTs</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p>

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1266	YUGALABS_00043402	Ripps, Cahen	H, IR, F, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person (or alternatively is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2)); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' and their business partners' creation, purchases, sales, and promotion of their NFTs</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p>
JTX-1267	YUGALABS_00043482 - YUGALABS_00043483	Ripps, Cahen	H, I, F, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person (or alternatively is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2)); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' and their business partners' creation, purchases, sales, and promotion of their NFTs</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p>
JTX-1268	YUGALABS_00043489	Ripps	H, F	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p>
JTX-1270	RIPPSCAHEN00015850	Ripps	H, F	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p>
JTX-1272	YUGALABS_00043509	Ripps, Cahen	H, Q, F, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person (or alternatively is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2)); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p>
JTX-1273	YUGALABS_00000602	Cahen	H, I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, confusion and damages as well as Defendants' purported characterization of their NFTs as "art" to consumers</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from evidence of how defendants advertised their NFTs and potential consumer confusion</p>

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1274	YUGALABS_00000615	Cahen	H, I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, confusion and damages as well as Defendants' purported characterization of their NFTs as "art" to consumers</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from evidence of how defendants advertised their NFTs and potential consumer confusion</p>
JTX-1275	YUGALABS_00002189	Muniz, Solano	IR, UP, F	<p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Yuga Labs' and its brand, Defendants' false advertising regarding equivalence of their infringing products to Yuga Labs' products, and Defendants' stated plans to hold Apefest-like promotions</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p>
JTX-1276	YUGALABS_00002203	Muniz, Solano	IR, UP, F	<p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Yuga Labs' and its brand, Defendants' false advertising regarding equivalence of their infringing products to Yuga Labs' products, and Defendants' stated plans to hold Apefest-like promotions</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p>
JTX-1277	YUGALABS_00002215	Muniz, Solano	IR, UP, F	<p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Yuga Labs' and its brand, Defendants' false advertising regarding equivalence of their infringing products to Yuga Labs' products, and Defendants' stated plans to hold Apefest-like promotions</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p>
JTX-1279	YUGALABS_00002224	Muniz, Solano	IR, UP, F	<p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Yuga Labs' and its brand, Defendants' false advertising regarding equivalence of their infringing products to Yuga Labs' products, and Defendants' stated plans to hold Apefest-like promotions</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p>
JTX-1280	YUGALABS_00002232	Muniz, Solano	IR, UP, F	<p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Yuga Labs' and its brand, Defendants' false advertising regarding equivalence of their infringing products to Yuga Labs' products, and Defendants' stated plans to hold Apefest-like promotions</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p>
JTX-1281	YUGALABS_00002240	Muniz, Solano	IR, UP, F	<p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Yuga Labs' and its brand, Defendants' false advertising regarding equivalence of their infringing products to Yuga Labs' products, and Defendants' stated plans to hold Apefest-like promotions</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p>

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1282	YUGALABS_00002266	Muniz, Solano	IR, UP, F	<p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Yuga Labs' and its brand, Defendants' false advertising regarding equivalence of their infringing products to Yuga Labs' products, and Defendants' stated plans to hold Apefest-like promotions</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p>
JTX-1283	YUGALABS_00002277	Muniz, Solano	IR, UP, F	<p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Yuga Labs' and its brand, Defendants' false advertising regarding equivalence of their infringing products to Yuga Labs' products, and Defendants' stated plans to hold Apefest-like promotions</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p>
JTX-1284	YUGALABS_00002357	Muniz, Solano	IR, UP, F	<p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Yuga Labs' and its brand, Defendants' false advertising regarding equivalence of their infringing products to Yuga Labs' products, and Defendants' stated plans to hold Apefest-like promotions</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p>
JTX-1285	YUGALABS_00002363	Muniz, Solano	IR, UP, F	<p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Yuga Labs' and its brand, Defendants' false advertising regarding equivalence of their infringing products to Yuga Labs' products, and Defendants' stated plans to hold Apefest-like promotions</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p>
JTX-1286	YUGALABS_00002369	Muniz, Solano	IR, UP, F	<p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Yuga Labs' and its brand, Defendants' false advertising regarding equivalence of their infringing products to Yuga Labs' products, and Defendants' stated plans to hold Apefest-like promotions</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p>
JTX-1287	YUGALABS_00002390	Muniz, Solano	IR, UP, F	<p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Yuga Labs' and its brand, Defendants' false advertising regarding equivalence of their infringing products to Yuga Labs' products, and Defendants' stated plans to hold Apefest-like promotions</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p>
JTX-1288	YUGALABS_00002401	Muniz, Solano	IR, UP, F	<p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Yuga Labs' and its brand, Defendants' false advertising regarding equivalence of their infringing products to Yuga Labs' products, and Defendants' stated plans to hold Apefest-like promotions</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p>

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1289	YUGALABS_00002406	Muniz, Solano	IR, UP, F	<p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Yuga Labs' and its brand, Defendants' false advertising regarding equivalence of their infringing products to Yuga Labs' products, and Defendants' stated plans to hold Apefest-like promotions</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p>
JTX-1291	YUGALABS_00002426	Solano, Muniz	IR, UP, F	<p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Yuga Labs' and its brand, Defendants' false advertising regarding equivalence of their infringing products to Yuga Labs' products, and Defendants' stated plans to hold Apefest-like promotions</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p>
JTX-1292	YUGALABS_00002432	Solano, Muniz	IR, UP, F	<p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Yuga Labs' and its brand, Defendants' false advertising regarding equivalence of their infringing products to Yuga Labs' products, and Defendants' stated plans to hold Apefest-like promotions</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p>
JTX-1293	YUGALABS_00002439	Solano, Muniz	IR, UP, F	<p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Yuga Labs' and its brand, Defendants' false advertising regarding equivalence of their infringing products to Yuga Labs' products, and Defendants' stated plans to hold Apefest-like promotions</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p>
JTX-1294	YUGALABS_00002635 - YUGALABS_00002648	Ripps	H, IR, UP, C	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, purported characterization of their NFTs as "art" to consumers, confusion, and damages</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from evidence of how defendants advertised their NFTs and potential consumer confusion</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of "needlessly presenting cumulative evidence," especially where Defendants dispute one or more issues relevant to the Exhibit</p>
JTX-1296	YUGALABS_00029866	Cahen	I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, purported characterization of their NFTs as "art" to consumers, confusion, and damages</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from evidence of how defendants advertised their NFTs and potential consumer confusion</p>
JTX-1297	YUGALABS_00031639 - YUGALABS_00031642	Ripps	H, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, confusion and damages as well as Defendants' purported characterization of their NFTs as "art" to consumers</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from evidence of how defendants advertised their NFTs and potential consumer confusion</p>

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1298	YUGALABS_00032562 - YUGALABS_00032567	Solano, Muniz	H, IR	<p>The Exhibit is not a statement by a person and not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay. Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Yuga Labs' and its brand, Defendants' false advertising regarding equivalence of their infringing products to Yuga Labs' products, and Defendants' stated plans to hold Apefest-like promotions.</p>
JTX-1299	YUGALABS_00033310 - YUGALABS_00033318	Muniz, Solano	H, IR, F	<p>The Exhibit is not a statement by a person and not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay. Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Yuga Labs' and its brand, Defendants' false advertising regarding equivalence of their infringing products to Yuga Labs' products, and Defendants' stated plans to hold Apefest-like promotions.</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial.</p>
JTX-1300	YUGALABS_00036531	Solano, Muniz	H, I	<p>The Exhibit is not a statement by a person and not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay. Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of the relevant frequently asked questions; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p>
JTX-1302	YUGALABS_00014135 - YUGALABS_00014673	Solano, Muniz	H, I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Yuga Labs' brands and their value.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants.</p>
JTX-1303	YUGALABS_00014674 - YUGALABS_00014851	Solano, Muniz	H, I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Yuga Labs' brands and their value.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants.</p>
JTX-1304	YUGALABS_00014852 - YUGALABS_00015304	Solano, Muniz	H, I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Yuga Labs' brands and their value.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants.</p>
JTX-1305	YUGALABS_00015305 - YUGALABS_00015394	Solano, Muniz	H, I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807.</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Yuga Labs' brands and their value.</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants.</p>

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1306	YUGALABS_00015395 - YUGALABS_00015409	Solano, Muniz	H, I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Yuga Labs' brands and their value</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p>
JTX-1308	YUGALABS_00041649	Solano, Muniz	H, IR, UP, D	<p>The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay. Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Yuga Labs' and its brand, Defendants' false advertising regarding equivalence of their infringing products to Yuga Labs' products, and Defendants' stated plans to hold Apefest-like promotions</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p> <p>Defendants experienced no prejudice from the timing of the production of this publicly available Exhibit that was otherwise known to them</p>
JTX-1309	YUGALABS_00042500	Solano, Muniz	H, IR, UP, D	<p>The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay. Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Yuga Labs' and its brand, Defendants' false advertising regarding equivalence of their infringing products to Yuga Labs' products, and Defendants' stated plans to hold Apefest-like promotions</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p> <p>Defendants experienced no prejudice from the timing of the production of this publicly available Exhibit that was otherwise known to them</p>
JTX-1310	YUGALABS_00041541 - YUGALABS_00041542	Cahen	H, I, IR, Q, F, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person (or alternatively is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2)); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' purported characterization of their NFTs as "art" to consumers</p> <p>The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p>
JTX-1311	YUGALABS_00043369 - YUGALABS_00043370	Cahen	H, I, F, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person (or alternatively is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2)); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p>

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1312	YUGALABS_00043384 - YUGALABS_00043385	Cahen	H, I, F, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person (or alternatively is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2)); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p>
JTX-1313	YUGALABS_00043398 - YUGALABS_00043399	Cahen	H, I, F, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person (or alternatively is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2)); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p>
JTX-1315	YUGALABS_00041610	Cahen, O'Laughlin, Kindler	I, I, H, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2)) and is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own advertisements and statements to consumers</p>
JTX-1316	YUGALABS_00041620 - YUGALABS_00041628	Ripps, Cahen	H, I, F, UP	Withdrawn subject to use to further complete exhibit 1317
JTX-1317	YUGALABS_00041650	Ripps, Cahen	H, I, F, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person (or alternatively is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2)) and is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of the OpenSea page for Defendants' infringing NFTs; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from listings of their own NFTs</p>
JTX-1318	YUGALABS_00041683	Cahen	I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2)) and is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record (Rule 803(6)), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' continued promotion of their NFTs, and thus damages and injunctive relief</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own advertisements and statements to consumers</p>

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1319	YUGALABS_00042498	Cahen	H, I, F, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2)) and is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record (Rule 803(6)), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' continued promotion of their NFTs, and thus damages and injunctive relief</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants' from Defendants' own advertisements and statements to consumers</p>
JTX-1320	YUGALABS_00042502	Ripps, Cahen	Q, F	<p>The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial</p>
JTX-1412	RIPPSCAHEN00016009 - RIPPSCAHEN00016011	Solano, Muniz	F, H, IR	Withdrawn; subject to motion in limine rulings
JTX-1413	RIPPSCAHEN00017141 - RIPPSCAHEN00017143	Solano, Muniz	F, H, IR	Withdrawn; subject to motion in limine rulings
JTX-1414	RIPPSCAHEN00017176	Solano, Muniz	F, H, IR	Withdrawn; subject to motion in limine rulings
JTX-1415	RIPPSCAHEN00018468	Solano, Muniz	IR	The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the BAYC brand and permitted uses of the marks
JTX-1416	RIPPSCAHEN00022706	Solano, Muniz	F, H, IR	Withdrawn; subject to motion in limine rulings
JTX-1417	YUGALABS_00030055	Solano, Muniz	H, I	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p>
JTX-1418	YUGALABS_00030131	Solano, Muniz	H, I	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p>
JTX-1419	YUGALABS_00030137	Solano, Muniz	H, I	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p>
JTX-1420	YUGALABS_00030149	Solano, Muniz	H, I	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p>
JTX-1421	YUGALABS_00030154	Solano, Muniz	H, I	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p>
JTX-1422	YUGALABS_00030159	Solano, Muniz	H, I	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p>
JTX-1423	YUGALABS_00041289	Solano, Muniz	H, I	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p>

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1424	YUGALABS_00041426	Solano, Muniz	F, H, IR	The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person and is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible under Rule 807 The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the BAYC brand and permitted uses of the marks
JTX-1425	YUGALABS_00041429	Solano, Muniz	F, H, IR	The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person and is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible under Rule 807 The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the BAYC brand and permitted uses of the marks
JTX-1426	YUGALABS_00041436	Solano, Muniz	H, I	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807 The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility
JTX-1427	YUGALABS_00041463	Solano, Muniz	H, I	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807 The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility
JTX-1428	YUGALABS_00040593	Solano, Muniz, Atalay, Aronow	IR, UP	The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, background on Yuga Labs' and its brand, and Defendants' defenses The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from photos of Yuga Labs' founders
JTX-1429	YUGALABS_00040594	Solano, Muniz	IR, UP	The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, background on Yuga Labs' and its brand, and Defendants' defenses The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from photos of Yuga Labs' founders
JTX-1430	YUGALABS_00040596	Solano, Muniz, Atalay	IR, UP	The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, background on Yuga Labs' and its brand, and Defendants' defenses The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from photos of Yuga Labs' founders
JTX-1431	YUGALABS_00040598	Solano, Muniz, Aronow	IR, UP	The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, background on Yuga Labs' and its brand, and Defendants' defenses The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from photos of Yuga Labs' founders
JTX-1432	YUGALABS_00040599	Solano, Muniz	IR, UP	The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, background on Yuga Labs' and its brand, and Defendants' defenses The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from photos of Yuga Labs' founders
JTX-1433	YUGALABS_00030244 - YUGALABS_00030337	Ripps, Cahen	UP	The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own website advertising and selling their NFTs
JTX-1434	YUGALABS_00041280	Solano, Muniz	IR, Q	The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Yuga Labs' and its brand, Defendants' false advertising regarding equivalence of their infringing products to Yuga Labs' products, and Defendants' stated plans to create an Otherside-like metaverse We are happy to meet and confer regarding any quality issues and provide additional versions
JTX-1435	YUGALABS_00002015	Solano, Muniz	IR	The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, explaining the BAYC brand and its value
JTX-1436	YUGALABS_00002024	Solano, Muniz	IR	The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the BAYC brand and its value, and damages
JTX-1437	YUGALABS_00002036	Solano, Muniz	H, I	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807 The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility
JTX-1438	YUGALABS_00002043	Solano, Muniz	H, I	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807 The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1439	YUGALABS_00002045	Solano, Muniz	H, I	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807 The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility
JTX-1440	YUGALABS_00002046	Solano, Muniz	H, I	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807 The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility
JTX-1441	YUGALABS_00002047	Solano, Muniz	H, I	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807 The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility
JTX-1442	YUGALABS_00002048	Solano, Muniz	H, I	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807 The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility
JTX-1443	YUGALABS_00032569 - YUGALABS_00032571	Solano, Muniz	H, F	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807 The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial
JTX-1444	YUGALABS_00041004	Solano, Muniz	H, F	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807 The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial
JTX-1450	YUGALABS_00041015	Solano, Muniz	Q, IR	The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002 The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the BAYC brand and damages
JTX-1451	YUGALABS_00041016	Solano, Muniz	H, F	The Exhibit is not inadmissible hearsay as the Exhibit is a business record under Rule 803(6); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807 The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial
JTX-1453	YUGALABS_00041019 - YUGALABS_00041020	Solano, Muniz	H, F	The Exhibit is admissible as a commercial publication (Rule 803(17)) and under Rule 807 The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial
JTX-1454	YUGALABS_00041021 - YUGALABS_00041022	Solano, Muniz	H, F	The Exhibit is admissible as a commercial publication (Rule 803(17)) and under Rule 807 The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial
JTX-1456	YUGALABS_00041525	Cahen	H, I, UP	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2)) and is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record (Rule 803(6)), a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own public statements about their reasons for minting NFTs
JTX-1457	YUGALABS_00041526	Ripps	I	The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility
JTX-1458	YUGALABS_00041527	Ripps	I, IR, UP	The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' purported characterization of their NFTs as "art" to consumers and damages The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own advertisements and statements to consumers

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1459	YUGALABS_00041528	Ripps	I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' purported characterization of their NFTs as "art" to consumers and damages</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own advertisements and statements to consumers</p>
JTX-1460	YUGALABS_00041529	Solano, Muniz, Ripps, Cahen	H, I, UP	<p>The Exhibit is admissible as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p>
JTX-1461	YUGALABS_00041530	Solano, Muniz	H, I, IR	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the BAYC brand and value, and therefore damages</p>
JTX-1462	YUGALABS_00041531	Solano, Muniz	H, I, IR	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the BAYC brand and value, and therefore damages</p>
JTX-1463	YUGALABS_00041532	Solano, Muniz	H, I, IR	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the BAYC brand and value, and therefore damages</p>
JTX-1464	YUGALABS_00041533	Solano, Muniz	H, I, IR	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the BAYC brand and value, and therefore damages</p>
JTX-1467	YUGALABS_00041536	Cahen	I	<p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p>
JTX-1469	YUGALABS_00041538	Cahen	I, IR	<p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' purported characterization of their NFTs as "art" to consumers</p>
JTX-1470	YUGALABS_00041539	Cahen	I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' purported characterization of their NFTs as "art" to consumers</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own statements about potential for profit from crypto sales</p>

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1471	YUGALABS_00041540	Cahen	I, UP	The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own statements about potential for profit from crypto sales
JTX-1472	YUGALABS_00041543	Cahen	IR, UP	The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' profits, trading, and Defendants' purported characterization of their NFTs as "art" to consumers The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own transactions
JTX-1473	YUGALABS_00041544 - YUGALABS_00041571	Ripps, Cahen	IR, UP	The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' ongoing advertising, confusion, and harm The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants
JTX-1474	YUGALABS_00041572	Cahen	I, IR	The Exhibit is not misleading; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the reach of Defendants' false advertisements and thus damages
JTX-1475	YUGALABS_00041573	Ripps	H, I, UP	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2) and is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807 The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own advertisements and statements to consumers
JTX-1476	YUGALABS_00043543	Cahen	H, I, Q, UP	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002 The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from evidence of how defendants advertised their NFTs and potential consumer confusion
JTX-1477	YUGALABS_00030056	Ripps	H, I, IR, UP	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807 The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' purported characterization of their NFTs as "art" to consumers The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own advertisements and statements to consumers
JTX-1478	YUGALABS_00031654	Lehman; Kindler	H, I, IR, UP	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807 The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' purported characterization of their NFTs as "art" to consumers The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own advertisements and statements to consumers

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1479	RIPPSCAHEN00014543 - RIPPSCAHEN00014546	Ripps, Cahen	IR, Q, UP	The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' purported characterization of their NFTs as "art" to consumers The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002 The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own website
JTX-1480	YUGALABS_00041287	Berger	H, I, IR	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807 The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the BAYC brand and its value, and therefore damages
JTX-1482	YUGALABS_00002422	Solano, Muniz	H, I	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person and is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807 The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility
JTX-1483	DOR000001 - DOR000042	Cahen	H, IR, UP	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807 The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' profits The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants
JTX-1484	NAMECHEAP0000015 - NAMECHEAP0000025	Ripps, Cahen	IR, H	The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' advertisement of their NFTs and confusion of consumers The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person and is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807
JTX-1485	SAWON0000004 - SAWON0000006	Solano, Aronow, Ripps	H, IR, UP	Withdrawn; subject to motion in limine rulings
JTX-1486	SAWON0000007 - SAWON0000009	Solano, Aronow, Ripps	H, IR, UP	Withdrawn; subject to motion in limine rulings
JTX-1487	SAWON0000010 - SAWON0000013	Solano, Aronow, Ripps	H, IR, UP	Withdrawn; subject to motion in limine rulings
JTX-1488	SAWON0000026	Solano, Aronow, Ripps	H, IR, UP	Withdrawn; subject to motion in limine rulings
JTX-1489	YUGALABS_00041575	Ripps, Cahen	H, I, IR, F	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807 The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of the OpenSea page for Defendants' infringing NFTs; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' ongoing advertising, confusion, and harm The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial
JTX-1490	YUGALABS_00041614	Ripps, Cahen	H, I, F, UP	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person (or alternatively is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2)) and is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807 The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of the OpenSea page for Defendants' infringing NFTs; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from listings of their own NFTs

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1491	YUGALABS_00041617	Solano, Aronow, Ripps, Cahen	H, I, IR	Withdrawn; subject to exceptional case motion post-trial
JTX-1492	YUGALABS_00041632	Solano, Aronow, Ripps	H, I, IR, UP	Withdrawn; subject to exceptional case motion post-trial
JTX-1493	YUGALABS_00041652	Solano, Aronow, Ripps, Cahen	H, I, IR, UP	Withdrawn; subject to exceptional case motion post-trial
JTX-1494	YUGALABS_00041679	Solano, Aronow, Ripps, Cahen	H, I, IR, S, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant under 607 and 608 regarding Defendants' credibility The Exhibit is also relevant to, at least, Defendants' discovery abuses and the standard for an exceptional case</p> <p>The Exhibit is not speculative as the author claims to have firsthand knowledge of the facts stated Defendants have not identified how the Exhibit is speculative, especially regarding the Defendants' own actions</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants There is no unfair prejudice as the Defendants identified Mr Fine as a relevant witness in support of their defense and have used him in the promotion of their infringing NFTs</p>
JTX-1495	YUGALABS_00042496	Solano, Aronow, Ripps	H, I	Withdrawn; subject to exceptional case motion post-trial
JTX-1496	YUGALABS_00042504	Solano, Aronow, Ripps	H, I	Withdrawn; subject to exceptional case motion post-trial
JTX-1497	YUGALABS_00043371	Solano, Aronow, Ripps	H, I, IR, Q	Withdrawn; subject to exceptional case motion post-trial
JTX-1498	YUGALABS_00043388	Solano, Aronow, Ripps	H, I, IR	Withdrawn; subject to exceptional case motion post-trial
JTX-1510	2022 12 05 Cahen's Objections and Responses to First Set of Interrogatories (Nos 1-8)	Cahen	BRPL, C	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807 The Exhibit is relevant under 607 and 608 regarding Defendants' credibility The Exhibit is also relevant to, at least, Defendants' discovery abuses and the standard for an exceptional case</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of "needlessly presenting cumulative evidence," especially where Defendants dispute one or more issues relevant to the Exhibit</p>
JTX-1511	2022 12 05 Ripps' Objections and Responses to Second Set of RFPs (Nos 35-44)	Ripps	BRPL, C	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807 The Exhibit is relevant under 607 and 608 regarding Defendants' credibility The Exhibit is also relevant to, at least, Defendants' discovery abuses and the standard for an exceptional case</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of "needlessly presenting cumulative evidence," especially where Defendants dispute one or more issues relevant to the Exhibit</p>
JTX-1512	2022 12 05 Ripps' Objections and Responses to Second Set of Interrogatories (No 18)	Ripps	BRPL, C	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807 The Exhibit is relevant under 607 and 608 regarding Defendants' credibility The Exhibit is also relevant to, at least, Defendants' discovery abuses and the standard for an exceptional case</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of "needlessly presenting cumulative evidence," especially where Defendants dispute one or more issues relevant to the Exhibit</p>
JTX-1513	2022 12 16 Cahen's Objections and Responses to RFAs (Nos 1-49)	Cahen	BRPL, C	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807 The Exhibit is relevant under 607 and 608 regarding Defendants' credibility The Exhibit is also relevant to, at least, Defendants' discovery abuses and the standard for an exceptional case</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of "needlessly presenting cumulative evidence," especially where Defendants dispute one or more issues relevant to the Exhibit</p>

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1514	2022 12 16 Ripps' Objections and Responses to RFA's (Nos 1-49)	Ripps	BRPL, C	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807. The Exhibit is relevant under 607 and 608 regarding Defendants' credibility. The Exhibit is also relevant to, at least, Defendants' discovery abuses and the standard for an exceptional case. The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of "needlessly presenting cumulative evidence," especially where Defendants dispute one or more issues relevant to the Exhibit.
JTX-1515	2022 12 28 Ripps Second Supplemental Objections and Responses to First Set of Interrogatories (Nos 1-17)	Ripps	BRPL, C	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807. The Exhibit is relevant under 607 and 608 regarding Defendants' credibility. The Exhibit is also relevant to, at least, Defendants' discovery abuses and the standard for an exceptional case. The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of "needlessly presenting cumulative evidence," especially where Defendants dispute one or more issues relevant to the Exhibit.
JTX-1516	2023 01 17 Cahen's Supplemental Objections and Responses to RFAs (Nos 1-49)	Cahen	BRPL, C	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807. The Exhibit is relevant under 607 and 608 regarding Defendants' credibility. The Exhibit is also relevant to, at least, Defendants' discovery abuses and the standard for an exceptional case. The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of "needlessly presenting cumulative evidence," especially where Defendants dispute one or more issues relevant to the Exhibit.
JTX-1517	2023 01 17 Cahen's Supplemental Objections and Responses to First set of Interrogatories (Nos 1-8)	Cahen	BRPL, C	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807. The Exhibit is relevant under 607 and 608 regarding Defendants' credibility. The Exhibit is also relevant to, at least, Defendants' discovery abuses and the standard for an exceptional case. The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of "needlessly presenting cumulative evidence," especially where Defendants dispute one or more issues relevant to the Exhibit.
JTX-1518	2023 01 17 Ripps' Supplemental Objections and Responses to RFAs (Nos 1-49)	Ripps	BRPL, C	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807. The Exhibit is relevant under 607 and 608 regarding Defendants' credibility. The Exhibit is also relevant to, at least, Defendants' discovery abuses and the standard for an exceptional case. The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of "needlessly presenting cumulative evidence," especially where Defendants dispute one or more issues relevant to the Exhibit.
JTX-1519	2023 03 09 Cahen's Second Supplemental Objections and Responses to RFAs (Nos 1-49)	Cahen	BRPL, C	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807. The Exhibit is relevant under 607 and 608 regarding Defendants' credibility. The Exhibit is also relevant to, at least, Defendants' discovery abuses and the standard for an exceptional case. The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of "needlessly presenting cumulative evidence," especially where Defendants dispute one or more issues relevant to the Exhibit.
JTX-1520	2023 03 09 Cahen's Supplemental Objections and Responses to First RFPs (Nos 1-34)	Cahen	BRPL, C	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807. The Exhibit is relevant under 607 and 608 regarding Defendants' credibility. The Exhibit is also relevant to, at least, Defendants' discovery abuses and the standard for an exceptional case. The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of "needlessly presenting cumulative evidence," especially where Defendants dispute one or more issues relevant to the Exhibit.
JTX-1521	2023 03 09 Ripps' Second Supplemental Objections and Responses to RFAs (Nos 1-49)	Ripps	BRPL, C	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807. The Exhibit is relevant under 607 and 608 regarding Defendants' credibility. The Exhibit is also relevant to, at least, Defendants' discovery abuses and the standard for an exceptional case. The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of "needlessly presenting cumulative evidence," especially where Defendants dispute one or more issues relevant to the Exhibit.
JTX-1522	2023 03 09 Ripps' Second Supplemental Objections and Responses to First RFPs (Nos 1-34)	Ripps	BRPL, C	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807. The Exhibit is relevant under 607 and 608 regarding Defendants' credibility. The Exhibit is also relevant to, at least, Defendants' discovery abuses and the standard for an exceptional case. The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of "needlessly presenting cumulative evidence," especially where Defendants dispute one or more issues relevant to the Exhibit.

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1523	2023 03 09 Ripps' Third Supplemental Objections and Responses to First set of Interrogatories (Nos 1-17)	Ripps	BRPL, C	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807. The Exhibit is relevant under 607 and 608 regarding Defendants' credibility. The Exhibit is also relevant to, at least, Defendants' discovery abuses and the standard for an exceptional case. The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of "needlessly presenting cumulative evidence," especially where Defendants dispute one or more issues relevant to the Exhibit.
JTX-1524	2023 04 03 Cahen's Objections and Responses to First RFPs (Nos 44-57)	Cahen	BRPL, C	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807. The Exhibit is relevant under 607 and 608 regarding Defendants' credibility. The Exhibit is also relevant to, at least, Defendants' discovery abuses and the standard for an exceptional case. The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of "needlessly presenting cumulative evidence," especially where Defendants dispute one or more issues relevant to the Exhibit.
JTX-1525	2023 04 03 Cahen's Objections and Responses to Second RFPs (Nos 40-137)	Cahen	BRPL, C	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807. The Exhibit is relevant under 607 and 608 regarding Defendants' credibility. The Exhibit is also relevant to, at least, Defendants' discovery abuses and the standard for an exceptional case. The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of "needlessly presenting cumulative evidence," especially where Defendants dispute one or more issues relevant to the Exhibit.
JTX-1526	2023 04 03 Cahen's Objections and Responses to Second Set of Interrogatories (Nos 9-12)	Cahen	BRPL, C	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807. The Exhibit is relevant under 607 and 608 regarding Defendants' credibility. The Exhibit is also relevant to, at least, Defendants' discovery abuses and the standard for an exceptional case. The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of "needlessly presenting cumulative evidence," especially where Defendants dispute one or more issues relevant to the Exhibit.
JTX-1527	2023 04 03 Ripps' Objections and Responses to Third RFPs (Nos 45-57)	Ripps	BRPL, C	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807. The Exhibit is relevant under 607 and 608 regarding Defendants' credibility. The Exhibit is also relevant to, at least, Defendants' discovery abuses and the standard for an exceptional case. The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of "needlessly presenting cumulative evidence," especially where Defendants dispute one or more issues relevant to the Exhibit.
JTX-1528	2023 04 03 Ripps' Objections and Responses to Second RFAs (Nos 50-128)	Ripps	BRPL, C	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807. The Exhibit is relevant under 607 and 608 regarding Defendants' credibility. The Exhibit is also relevant to, at least, Defendants' discovery abuses and the standard for an exceptional case. The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of "needlessly presenting cumulative evidence," especially where Defendants dispute one or more issues relevant to the Exhibit.
JTX-1529	2023 04 03 Ripps' Objections and Responses to Second Interrogatories (No 19-22)	Ripps	BRPL, C	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807. The Exhibit is relevant under 607 and 608 regarding Defendants' credibility. The Exhibit is also relevant to, at least, Defendants' discovery abuses and the standard for an exceptional case. The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of "needlessly presenting cumulative evidence," especially where Defendants dispute one or more issues relevant to the Exhibit.
JTX-1530	2022 08 15 Ripps' Objections and Responses to First RFPs (Nos 1-34)	Ripps	BRPL, C	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807. The Exhibit is relevant under 607 and 608 regarding Defendants' credibility. The Exhibit is also relevant to, at least, Defendants' discovery abuses and the standard for an exceptional case. The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of "needlessly presenting cumulative evidence," especially where Defendants dispute one or more issues relevant to the Exhibit.
JTX-1531	2022 08 15 Ripps' Objections and Responses to First Interrogatories (Nos 1-17)	Ripps	BRPL, C	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807. The Exhibit is relevant under 607 and 608 regarding Defendants' credibility. The Exhibit is also relevant to, at least, Defendants' discovery abuses and the standard for an exceptional case. The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of "needlessly presenting cumulative evidence," especially where Defendants dispute one or more issues relevant to the Exhibit.

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1532	2022 11 03 Ripps' Supplemental Objections and Responses to First RFPs (Nos 1-34)	Ripps	BRPL, C	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807 The Exhibit is relevant under 607 and 608 regarding Defendants' credibility The Exhibit is also relevant to, at least, Defendants' discovery abuses and the standard for an exceptional case The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of "needlessly presenting cumulative evidence," especially where Defendants dispute one or more issues relevant to the Exhibit
JTX-1533	2022 11 03 Ripps' Supplemental Objections and Responses to First Interrogatories (Nos 1-17)	Ripps	BRPL, C	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807 The Exhibit is relevant under 607 and 608 regarding Defendants' credibility The Exhibit is also relevant to, at least, Defendants' discovery abuses and the standard for an exceptional case The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of "needlessly presenting cumulative evidence," especially where Defendants dispute one or more issues relevant to the Exhibit
JTX-1534	2022 11 28 Cahen's Objections and Responses to First RFPs (Nos 1-34)	Cahen	BRPL, C	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807 The Exhibit is relevant under 607 and 608 regarding Defendants' credibility The Exhibit is also relevant to, at least, Defendants' discovery abuses and the standard for an exceptional case The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of "needlessly presenting cumulative evidence," especially where Defendants dispute one or more issues relevant to the Exhibit
JTX-1537	2022 10 03 [Dkt 48] Defendants' Motion to Strike and Dismiss	Ripps, Cahen	BRPL, C, H, UP	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807 The Exhibit is relevant under 607 and 608 regarding Defendants' credibility, including as to the description of the Defendants' use of Yuga Labs' BAYC Marks The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of "needlessly presenting cumulative evidence," especially where Defendants dispute one or more issues relevant to the Exhibit The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants
JTX-1538	2022 10 03 [Dkt 48-1] Declaration of Ryder Ripps	Ripps	BRPL, C	Withdrawn; subject to motion in limine rulings
JTX-1539	2022 12 27 [Dkt 65] Defendants' Answer, Defenses, and Counterclaims to Complaint	Ripps, Cahen	BRPL, C, UP	Withdrawn; subject to motion in limine rulings
JTX-1540	2023 02 21 [Dkt 11] Appellants' Opening Brief	Ripps, Cahen	BRPL, C, H, UP	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807 The Exhibit is relevant under 607 and 608 regarding Defendants' credibility, including as to the description of the Defendants' use of Yuga Labs' BAYC Marks The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of "needlessly presenting cumulative evidence," especially where Defendants dispute one or more issues relevant to the Exhibit The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants
JTX-1541	2023 01 17 Ryder Ripps Verification	Ripps	BRPL, IR, UP	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807 The Exhibit is relevant under 607 and 608 regarding Defendants' credibility, and to Defendants' discovery abuses and the standard for an exceptional case The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants
JTX-1542	2023 03 21 [Dkt 157] Declaration of Jeremy Cahen	Cahen	BRPL, IR, UP	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807 The Exhibit is relevant under 607 and 608 regarding Defendants' credibility, and to Defendants' discovery abuses and the standard for an exceptional case The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1543	2023 03 21 [Dkt 158] Declaration of Ryder Ripps	Ripps	BRPL, IR, UP	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807. The Exhibit is relevant under 607 and 608 regarding Defendants' credibility, and to Defendants' discovery abuses and the standard for an exceptional case. The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants.
JTX-1544	2023 04 05 [Dkt 200] Declaration of Ryder Ripps	Ripps	BRPL, IR, UP	Withdrawn; subject to exceptional case motion post-trial
JTX-1545	2023 04 05 [Dkt 200] Declaration of Jeremy Cahen	Cahen	BRPL, IR, UP	Withdrawn; subject to exceptional case motion post-trial
JTX-1546	2023 04 19 [Dkt 221] Declaration of Jeremy Cahen	Cahen	BRPL, IR, UP	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807. The Exhibit is relevant under 607 and 608 regarding Defendants' credibility, and to Defendants' discovery abuses and the standard for an exceptional case. The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants.
JTX-1547	2023 04 19 [Dkt 222] Declaration of Ryder Ripps	Ripps	BRPL, IR, UP	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807. The Exhibit is relevant under 607 and 608 regarding Defendants' credibility, and to Defendants' discovery abuses and the standard for an exceptional case. The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants.
JTX-1548	YUGALABS_00043545	Solano, Aronow, Ripps, Cahen	D, F, H, IR, S, UP, I	This document did not exist until after the close of discovery. Defendants experienced no prejudice from the timing of the production of this publicly available Exhibit that was otherwise known to them. The foundation for the Exhibit can be laid at trial. The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807. The Exhibit is relevant under 607 and 608 regarding Defendants' credibility. The Exhibit is also relevant to, at least, Defendants' discovery abuses and the standard for an exceptional case. The Exhibit is not speculative as the author claims to have firsthand knowledge of the facts stated. Defendants have not identified how the Exhibit is speculative, especially regarding the Defendants' own actions. The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants. There is no unfair prejudice as the Defendants identified Mr. Fine as a relevant witness in support of their defense and have used him in the promotion of their infringing NFTs. The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility.
JTX-1549	LEHMAN0000227	Kindler	Q	The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002.
JTX-1550	LEHMAN0000228	Kindler	Q	The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002.
JTX-1551	LEHMAN0000229	Kindler	H, I, IR	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay. The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility. The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, confusion. It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703.
JTX-1552	LEHMAN0000230	Kindler	Q	The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002.
JTX-1553	LEHMAN0000231	Kindler	H, IR	The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person (or alternatively is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2)); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807. The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay. The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, damages. It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703.

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1554	LEHMAN0000232	Kindler	H, IR	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person (or alternatively is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2)); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807 The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, damages It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703</p>
JTX-1555	LEHMAN0000233	Kindler	H, IR	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person (or alternatively is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2)); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807 The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, damages It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703</p>
JTX-1556	YUGALABS_00029080 - YUGALABS_00029086	Kindler	H, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and therefore is not hearsay Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a present sense impression (Rule 803(1)), a state of mind statement (Rule 803(3)), or under Rule 807 The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, confusion It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p>
JTX-1557	YUGALABS_00030057	Berger	I, Q, H, UP, F	<p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' Foundation webpage; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002</p> <p>*Not inadmissible hearsay as the Exhibit is a business record under Rule 803(6); alternatively, the Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2)</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own Foundation webpage</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit has been established through Defendant and can be established at trial</p>
JTX-1558	YUGALABS_00031331	Solano, Muniz, Ripps, Cahen	I, H, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is not hearsay as the Exhibit is not being offered for the truth of the matter asserted Alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay under Rule 807</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants'</p>
JTX-1559	YUGALABS_00031368	O'Laughlin, Kindler	H, IR, I, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, damages, confusion, impeachment, and false advertising relating to Defendants' actions and statements that their infringing products were a replacement or new version of Yuga Labs' products It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own actions and representations to the market</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of a page listing Defendants infringing products; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p>

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1560	YUGALABS_00031433	O'Laughlin, Kindler	H, IR, I, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, damages, confusion, impeachment, and false advertising relating to Defendants' actions and statements that their infringing products were a replacement or new version of Yuga Labs' products</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants' own actions and representations to the market</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of a page listing Defendants infringing products; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p>
JTX-1561	YUGALABS_00031434	O'Laughlin, Kindler	H, IR, I, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, damages, confusion, impeachment, and false advertising relating to Defendants' actions and statements that their infringing products were a replacement or new version of Yuga Labs' products</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants' own actions and representations to the market</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of a page listing Defendants infringing products; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p>
JTX-1562	YUGALABS_00031435	O'Laughlin, Kindler	H, IR, I, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, damages, confusion, impeachment, and false advertising relating to Defendants' actions and statements that their infringing products were a replacement or new version of Yuga Labs' products</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants' own actions and representations to the market</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of a page listing Defendants infringing products; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p>
JTX-1563	YUGALABS_00031436 - YUGALABS_00031437	O'Laughlin, Kindler	H, IR, I, UP, F	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, damages, confusion, impeachment, and false advertising relating to Defendants' actions and statements that their infringing products were a replacement or new version of Yuga Labs' products It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants' own actions and representations to the market</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of a page listing Defendants infringing products; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>Foundation can be laid at trial through Defendants or experts</p>

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1564	YUGALABS_00036423 - YUGALABS_00036424	Ripps, Cahen	I, Q, H, UP, F	<p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete excerpt of Defendants' Foundation webpage; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is legible and constitutes the best evidence under Rule 1002</p> <p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is not a statement by a person (or alternatively is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2)) and is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own Foundation webpage</p> <p>The foundation for the Exhibit was established through deposition and Defendants' admissions Foundation can be further laid at trial</p>
JTX-1565	YUGALABS_00043544	Ripps	I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, confusion and false advertising</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own advertisements and statements to consumers</p>
JTX-1566	YUGALABS_00043546	Cahen; Kindler	I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, confusion and false advertising It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own advertisements and statements to consumers</p>
JTX-1567	YUGALABS_00043547	Cahen; Kindler	I, IR, UP	<p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, confusion and false advertising It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own advertisements and statements to consumers</p>
JTX-1568	YUGALABS_00043548	Cahen; Kindler	H, IR, I, UP	<p>The Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2) and is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted; alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807 The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay</p> <p>The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading, and it is a complete tweet; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' purported characterization of their NFTs as "art" to consumers and exceptional case It is also relevant as material relied on under Rule 703</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants</p>
JTX-1569	YUGALABS_00043549 - YUGALABS_00043550	Solano; Muniz	H, F, IR	<p>Withdrawn per parties' stipulation re Yuga Labs' Ownership of the BAYC Marks per MSJ Order Neither party will discuss Yuga Labs' trademark applications or foreign registrations to show Yuga Lab's ownership of its BAYC Marks</p>
JTX-1570	YUGALABS_00043551 - YUGALABS_00043552	Solano; Muniz	H, F, IR	<p>Withdrawn per parties' stipulation re Yuga Labs' Ownership of the BAYC Marks per MSJ Order Neither party will discuss Yuga Labs' trademark applications or foreign registrations to show Yuga Lab's ownership of its BAYC Marks</p>
JTX-1571	YUGALABS_00043553	Solano; Muniz	H, F	<p>Withdrawn per parties' stipulation re Yuga Labs' Ownership of the BAYC Marks per MSJ Order Neither party will discuss Yuga Labs' trademark applications or foreign registrations to show Yuga Lab's ownership of its BAYC Marks</p>
JTX-1572	YUGALABS_00043554	Solano; Muniz	H, F	<p>Withdrawn per parties' stipulation re Yuga Labs' Ownership of the BAYC Marks per MSJ Order Neither party will discuss Yuga Labs' trademark applications or foreign registrations to show Yuga Lab's ownership of its BAYC Marks</p>

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1573	YUGALABS_00043559	Ripps, Kindler	UP, IR	The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, confusion and false advertising The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own advertisements and statements to consumers
JTX-1574	RIPPSCAHEN00026004	Ripps, Cahen, Kindler	LR Violation: This exhibit was disclosed after the Parties had already exchanged exhibit lists and objections and therefore is untimely ///UP FRE 403: This document's probative value is far outweighed by its potential for unfair prejudice as it does not relate to advertising the RRBAYC project or contain any statements to consumers	The Exhibit contains material ordered to produce earlier in the case Defendants violated court orders and failed to produce such material, thereby prejudicing Yuga Labs' creation of its exhibit list Defendants experienced no prejudice from the timing of disclosure of the Exhibit that was otherwise known to them Additionally, disclosure of this Exhibit was more than a month before trial, and Defendants had the time and opportunity to present any valid objections The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants own statements about the profitability and valuation of their NFT scheme and their advertising
JTX-1575	RIPPSCAHEN00026023	Ripps, Cahen	LR Violation: This exhibit was disclosed after the Parties had already exchanged exhibit lists and objections and therefore is untimely ///UP FRE 403: This document's probative value is far outweighed by its potential for unfair prejudice as it does not relate to advertising the RRBAYC project or contain any statements to consumers	The Exhibit contains material ordered to produce earlier in the case Defendants violated court orders and failed to produce such material, thereby prejudicing Yuga Labs' creation of its exhibit list Defendants experienced no prejudice from the timing of disclosure of the Exhibit that was otherwise known to them Additionally, disclosure of this Exhibit was more than a month before trial, and Defendants had the time and opportunity to present any valid objections The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants own statements about the profitability and valuation of their NFT scheme and their advertising
JTX-1576	RIPPSCAHEN00026024	Ripps, Cahen	LR Violation: This exhibit was disclosed after the Parties had already exchanged exhibit lists and objections and therefore is untimely ///UP FRE 403: This document's probative value is far outweighed by its potential for unfair prejudice as it does not relate to advertising the RRBAYC project or contain any statements to consumers	The Exhibit contains material ordered to produce earlier in the case Defendants violated court orders and failed to produce such material, thereby prejudicing Yuga Labs' creation of its exhibit list Defendants experienced no prejudice from the timing of disclosure of the Exhibit that was otherwise known to them Additionally, disclosure of this Exhibit was more than a month before trial, and Defendants had the time and opportunity to present any valid objections The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants own statements about the profitability and valuation of their NFT scheme and their advertising
JTX-1577	RIPPSCAHEN00026034	Ripps, Cahen	LR Violation: This exhibit was disclosed after the Parties had already exchanged exhibit lists and objections and therefore is untimely ///UP FRE 403: This document's probative value is far outweighed by its potential for unfair prejudice as it does not relate to advertising the RRBAYC project or contain any statements to consumers	The Exhibit contains material ordered to produce earlier in the case Defendants violated court orders and failed to produce such material, thereby prejudicing Yuga Labs' creation of its exhibit list Defendants experienced no prejudice from the timing of disclosure of the Exhibit that was otherwise known to them Additionally, disclosure of this Exhibit was more than a month before trial, and Defendants had the time and opportunity to present any valid objections The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants own statements about the profitability and valuation of their NFT scheme and their website where they sold and advertised their products
JTX-1578	RIPPSCAHEN00026037	Ripps, Cahen	LR Violation: This exhibit was disclosed after the Parties had already exchanged exhibit lists and objections and therefore is untimely ///UP FRE 403: This document's probative value is far outweighed by its potential for unfair prejudice as it does not relate to advertising the RRBAYC project or contain any statements to consumers and can be used to paint Defendants in a bad light //IR FRE 401-402: This document is irrelevant to the remaining claims at issue It contains no discussions which bear on the remaining issues in the case	The Exhibit contains material ordered to produce earlier in the case Defendants violated court orders and failed to produce such material, thereby prejudicing Yuga Labs' creation of its exhibit list Defendants experienced no prejudice from the timing of disclosure of the Exhibit that was otherwise known to them Additionally, disclosure of this Exhibit was more than a month before trial, and Defendants had the time and opportunity to present any valid objections The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants own statements about the profitability and valuation of their NFT scheme The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the value of Defendants' infringing NFTs and bearing on the issue of damages in this case
JTX-1579	RIPPSCAHEN00026040	Ripps, Cahen	LR Violation: This exhibit was disclosed after the Parties had already exchanged exhibit lists and objections and therefore is untimely ///UP FRE 403: This document's probative value is far outweighed by its potential for unfair prejudice as it does not relate to advertising the RRBAYC project or contain any statements to consumers and can be used to paint Defendants in a bad light //IR FRE 401-402: This document is irrelevant to the remaining claims at issue It contains no discussions which bear on the remaining issues in the case	The Exhibit contains material ordered to produce earlier in the case Defendants violated court orders and failed to produce such material, thereby prejudicing Yuga Labs' creation of its exhibit list Defendants experienced no prejudice from the timing of disclosure of the Exhibit that was otherwise known to them Additionally, disclosure of this Exhibit was more than a month before trial, and Defendants had the time and opportunity to present any valid objections The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants own statements about the profitability and valuation of their NFT scheme The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the value of Defendants' infringing NFTs and bearing on the issue of damages in this case

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1580	RIPPSCAHEN00026041	Ripps, Cahen	LR Violation: This exhibit was disclosed after the Parties had already exchanged exhibit lists and objections and therefore is untimely ///UP FRE 403: This document's probative value is far outweighed by its potential for unfair prejudice as it does not relate to advertising the RRBYC project or contain any statements to consumers and can be used to paint Defendants in a bad light //	The Exhibit contains material ordered to produce earlier in the case Defendants violated court orders and failed to produce such material, thereby prejudicing Yuga Labs' creation of its exhibit list Defendants experienced no prejudice from the timing of disclosure of the Exhibit that was otherwise known to them Additionally, disclosure of this Exhibit was more than a month before trial, and Defendants had the time and opportunity to present any valid objections The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants own statements relating to their NFT schemes and false advertising issues in this case
JTX-1581	RIPPSCAHEN00026047	Ripps, Cahen	LR Violation: This exhibit was disclosed after the Parties had already exchanged exhibit lists and objections and therefore is untimely ///UP FRE 403: This document's probative value is far outweighed by its potential for unfair prejudice as it does not relate to advertising the RRBYC project or contain any statements to consumers and can be used to paint Defendants in a bad light //IR FRE 401-402: This document is irrelevant to the remaining claims at issue It contains no discussions which bear on the remaining issues in the case	The Exhibit contains material ordered to produce earlier in the case Defendants violated court orders and failed to produce such material, thereby prejudicing Yuga Labs' creation of its exhibit list Defendants experienced no prejudice from the timing of disclosure of the Exhibit that was otherwise known to them Additionally, disclosure of this Exhibit was more than a month before trial, and Defendants had the time and opportunity to present any valid objections The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants own statements about the profitability and valuation of their NFT scheme The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' false advertising and the value of Defendants' infringing NFTs bearing on the issue of damages in this case
JTX-1582	RIPPSCAHEN00026048	Ripps, Cahen	LR Violation: This exhibit was disclosed after the Parties had already exchanged exhibit lists and objections and therefore is untimely ///UP FRE 403: This document's probative value is far outweighed by its potential for unfair prejudice as it does not relate to advertising the RRBYC project or contain any statements to consumers and can be used to paint Defendants in a bad light //IR FRE 401-402: This document is irrelevant to the remaining claims at issue It contains no discussions which bear on the remaining issues in the case	The Exhibit contains material ordered to produce earlier in the case Defendants violated court orders and failed to produce such material, thereby prejudicing Yuga Labs' creation of its exhibit list Defendants experienced no prejudice from the timing of disclosure of the Exhibit that was otherwise known to them Additionally, disclosure of this Exhibit was more than a month before trial, and Defendants had the time and opportunity to present any valid objections The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants own statements about the profitability, valuation, and promotion of their NFT scheme The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' false advertising and the value of Defendants' infringing NFTs bearing on the issue of damages in this case
JTX-1583	RIPPSCAHEN00026057	Ripps, Cahen	LR Violation: This exhibit was disclosed after the Parties had already exchanged exhibit lists and objections and therefore is untimely ///UP FRE 403: This document's probative value is far outweighed by its potential for unfair prejudice as it does not relate to advertising the RRBYC project or contain any statements to consumers and can be used to paint Defendants in a bad light //IR FRE 401-402: This document is irrelevant to the remaining claims at issue It contains no discussions which bear on the remaining issues in the case	The Exhibit contains material ordered to produce earlier in the case Defendants violated court orders and failed to produce such material, thereby prejudicing Yuga Labs' creation of its exhibit list Defendants experienced no prejudice from the timing of disclosure of the Exhibit that was otherwise known to them Additionally, disclosure of this Exhibit was more than a month before trial, and Defendants had the time and opportunity to present any valid objections The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants own statements about the profitability, valuation, and promotion of their NFT scheme The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' false advertising and the value of Defendants' infringing NFTs bearing on the issue of damages in this case
JTX-1584	RIPPSCAHEN00026062	Ripps, Cahen	LR Violation: This exhibit was disclosed after the Parties had already exchanged exhibit lists and objections and therefore is untimely ///UP FRE 403: This document's probative value is far outweighed by its potential for unfair prejudice as it does not relate to advertising the RRBYC project or contain any statements to consumers and can be used to paint Defendants in a bad light //IR FRE 401-402: This document is irrelevant to the remaining claims at issue It contains no discussions which bear on the remaining issues in the case	The Exhibit contains material ordered to produce earlier in the case Defendants violated court orders and failed to produce such material, thereby prejudicing Yuga Labs' creation of its exhibit list Defendants experienced no prejudice from the timing of disclosure of the Exhibit that was otherwise known to them Additionally, disclosure of this Exhibit was more than a month before trial, and Defendants had the time and opportunity to present any valid objections The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants own statements about the valuation and promotion of their NFT scheme The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' false advertising in this case

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1585	RIPPSCAHEN00026068	Ripps, Cahen	LR Violation: This exhibit was disclosed after the Parties had already exchanged exhibit lists and objections and therefore is untimely ///UP FRE 403: This document's probative value is far outweighed by its potential for unfair prejudice as it does not relate to advertising the RRBYC project or contain any statements to consumers and can be used to paint Defendants in a bad light ///IR FRE 401-402: This document is irrelevant to the remaining claims at issue It contains no discussions which bear on the remaining issues in the case In fact, it does not appear to have any bearing on outward facing statements made by Defendants	The Exhibit contains material ordered to produce earlier in the case Defendants violated court orders and failed to produce such material, thereby prejudicing Yuga Labs' creation of its exhibit list Defendants experienced no prejudice from the timing of disclosure of the Exhibit that was otherwise known to them Additionally, disclosure of this Exhibit was more than a month before trial, and Defendants had the time and opportunity to present any valid objections The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants own statements about the profitability, valuation, and promotion of their NFT scheme The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' false advertising and the value of Defendants' infringing NFTs bearing on the issue of damages in this case
JTX-1586	RIPPSCAHEN00026069	Ripps, Cahen	LR Violation: This exhibit was disclosed after the Parties had already exchanged exhibit lists and objections and therefore is untimely ///UP FRE 403: This document's probative value is far outweighed by its potential for unfair prejudice as it does not relate to advertising the RRBYC project or contain any statements to consumers and can be used to paint Defendants in a bad light ///IR FRE 401-402: This document is irrelevant to the remaining claims at issue It contains no discussions which bear on the remaining issues in the case Whether Defendants had a profit motive along with other motives is irrelevant	The Exhibit contains material ordered to produce earlier in the case Defendants violated court orders and failed to produce such material, thereby prejudicing Yuga Labs' creation of its exhibit list Defendants experienced no prejudice from the timing of disclosure of the Exhibit that was otherwise known to them Additionally, disclosure of this Exhibit was more than a month before trial, and Defendants had the time and opportunity to present any valid objections The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants own statements about the profitability, valuation, and promotion of their NFT scheme The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' false advertising and the value of Defendants' infringing NFTs bearing on the issue of damages in this case
JTX-1587	RIPPSCAHEN00026070	Ripps, Cahen	LR Violation: This exhibit was disclosed after the Parties had already exchanged exhibit lists and objections and therefore is untimely ///UP FRE 403: This document's probative value is far outweighed by its potential for unfair prejudice as it does not relate to advertising the RRBYC project or contain any statements to consumers but instead focuses on internal conversations, and can be used to paint Defendants in a bad light ///IR FRE 401-402: This document is irrelevant to the remaining claims at issue It contains no discussions which bear on the remaining issues in the case	The Exhibit contains material ordered to produce earlier in the case Defendants violated court orders and failed to produce such material, thereby prejudicing Yuga Labs' creation of its exhibit list Defendants experienced no prejudice from the timing of disclosure of the Exhibit that was otherwise known to them Additionally, disclosure of this Exhibit was more than a month before trial, and Defendants had the time and opportunity to present any valid objections The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants own statements about the promotion of their NFT scheme The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' false advertising in this case
JTX-1588	RIPPSCAHEN00026076	Ripps, Cahen	LR Violation: This exhibit was disclosed after the Parties had already exchanged exhibit lists and objections and therefore is untimely ///UP FRE 403: This document's probative value is far outweighed by its potential for unfair prejudice as it does not relate to advertising the RRBYC project or contain any statements to consumers and can be used to paint Defendants in a bad light ///IR FRE 401-402: This document is irrelevant to the remaining claims at issue It contains no discussions which bear on the remaining issues in the case	The Exhibit contains material ordered to produce earlier in the case Defendants violated court orders and failed to produce such material, thereby prejudicing Yuga Labs' creation of its exhibit list Defendants experienced no prejudice from the timing of disclosure of the Exhibit that was otherwise known to them Additionally, disclosure of this Exhibit was more than a month before trial, and Defendants had the time and opportunity to present any valid objections The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants own statements about the promotion of their NFT scheme The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' false advertising in this case
JTX-1589	RIPPSCAHEN00026077	Ripps, Cahen	LR Violation: This exhibit was disclosed after the Parties had already exchanged exhibit lists and objections and therefore is untimely ///UP FRE 403: This document's probative value is far outweighed by its potential for unfair prejudice as it does not relate to advertising the RRBYC project or contain any statements to consumers and can be used to paint Defendants in a bad light ///IR FRE 401-402: This document is irrelevant to the remaining claims at issue It contains no discussions which bear on the remaining issues in the case	The Exhibit contains material ordered to produce earlier in the case Defendants violated court orders and failed to produce such material, thereby prejudicing Yuga Labs' creation of its exhibit list Defendants experienced no prejudice from the timing of disclosure of the Exhibit that was otherwise known to them Additionally, disclosure of this Exhibit was more than a month before trial, and Defendants had the time and opportunity to present any valid objections The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants own statements about the promotion of their NFT scheme The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' false advertising in this case

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1590	RIPPSCAHEN00026147	Ripps, Cahen	LR Violation: This exhibit was disclosed after the Parties had already exchanged exhibit lists and objections and therefore is untimely ///UP FRE 403: This document's probative value is far outweighed by its potential for unfair prejudice as it does not relate to advertising the RRBAYC project or contain any statements to consumers and can be used to paint Defendants in a bad light ///IR FRE 401-402: This document is irrelevant to the remaining claims at issue It contains no discussions which bear on the remaining issues in the case	The Exhibit contains material ordered to produce earlier in the case Defendants violated court orders and failed to produce such material, thereby prejudicing Yuga Labs' creation of its exhibit list Defendants experienced no prejudice from the timing of disclosure of the Exhibit that was otherwise known to them Additionally, disclosure of this Exhibit was more than a month before trial, and Defendants had the time and opportunity to present any valid objections The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants own statements about the promotion of their NFT scheme The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' false advertising in this case
JTX-1591	RIPPSCAHEN00026148	Ripps, Cahen	LR Violation: This exhibit was disclosed after the Parties had already exchanged exhibit lists and objections and therefore is untimely ///UP FRE 403: This document's probative value is far outweighed by its potential for unfair prejudice as it does not relate to advertising the RRBAYC project or contain any statements to consumers and can be used to paint Defendants in a bad light ///IR FRE 401-402: This document is irrelevant to the remaining claims at issue It contains no discussions which bear on the remaining issues in the case	The Exhibit contains material ordered to produce earlier in the case Defendants violated court orders and failed to produce such material, thereby prejudicing Yuga Labs' creation of its exhibit list Defendants experienced no prejudice from the timing of disclosure of the Exhibit that was otherwise known to them Additionally, disclosure of this Exhibit was more than a month before trial, and Defendants had the time and opportunity to present any valid objections The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants own statements about the profitability, valuation, and promotion of their NFT scheme The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' false advertising and the value of Defendants' infringing NFTs bearing on the issue of damages in this case
JTX-1592	YUGALABS_00036090	O'Laughlin	LR Violation: This exhibit was disclosed after the Parties had already exchanged exhibit lists and objections and therefore is untimely ///FRE 702: This exhibit which is the survey data for one of Ms O'Laughlin's surveys should be excluded pursuant to Daubert ///UP FRE 403: Because of manifest errors in survey methodology, this exhibit is much more likely to be prejudicial than probative	Defendants experienced no prejudice from the timing of disclosure of the Exhibit that was otherwise known to them Additionally, disclosure of this Exhibit was more than a month before trial, and Defendants had the time and opportunity to present any valid objections The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and is relevant to issues in this case Defendants provide no rebuttal expert and cannot question the reliability of Yuga Labs' expert testimony The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from the reliable confusion survey data Defendants provide no rebuttal expert and cannot question the reliability of Yuga Labs' expert testimony
JTX-1593	YUGALABS_00036097	O'Laughlin	LR Violation: This exhibit was disclosed after the Parties had already exchanged exhibit lists and objections and therefore is untimely ///FRE 702: This exhibit which is the survey data for one of Ms O'Laughlin's surveys should be excluded pursuant to Daubert ///UP FRE 403: Because of manifest errors in survey methodology, this exhibit is much more likely to be prejudicial than probative	Defendants experienced no prejudice from the timing of disclosure of the Exhibit that was otherwise known to them Additionally, disclosure of this Exhibit was more than a month before trial, and Defendants had the time and opportunity to present any valid objections The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and is relevant to issues in this case Defendants provide no rebuttal expert and cannot question the reliability of Yuga Labs' expert testimony The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from the reliable confusion survey data Defendants provide no rebuttal expert and cannot question the reliability of Yuga Labs' expert testimony
JTX-1594	YUGALABS_00036124 - YUGALABS_00036126	O'Laughlin	LR Violation: This exhibit was disclosed after the Parties had already exchanged exhibit lists and objections and therefore is untimely ///H (FRE 801): This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Yuga intends to introduce it for the truth of the matter asserted	Defendants experienced no prejudice from the timing of disclosure of the publicly available Exhibit that was otherwise known to them Additionally, disclosure of this Exhibit was more than a month before trial, and Defendants had the time and opportunity to present any valid objections The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay The Exhibit is admissible as a commercial publication (Rule 803(17)) and under Rule 807
JTX-1595	YUGALABS_00036146	O'Laughlin, Ripps	LR Violation: This exhibit was disclosed after the Parties had already exchanged exhibit lists and objections and therefore is untimely ///	Defendants experienced no prejudice from the timing of disclosure of the publicly available Exhibit that was otherwise known to them Additionally, disclosure of this Exhibit was more than a month before trial, and Defendants had the time and opportunity to present any valid objections
JTX-1596	YUGALABS_00036216 - YUGALABS_00036222	O'Laughlin	LR Violation: This exhibit was disclosed after the Parties had already exchanged exhibit lists and objections and therefore is untimely ///H (FRE 801): This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Yuga intends to introduce it for the truth of the matter asserted	Defendants experienced no prejudice from the timing of disclosure of the publicly available Exhibit that was otherwise known to them Additionally, disclosure of this Exhibit was more than a month before trial, and Defendants had the time and opportunity to present any valid objections The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay The Exhibit is admissible as a commercial publication (Rule 803(17)) and under Rule 807

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1597	YUGALABS_00036223 - YUGALABS_00036224	O'Laughlin	LR Violation: This exhibit was disclosed after the Parties had already exchanged exhibit lists and objections and therefore is untimely ///H (FRE 801): This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Yuga intends to introduce it for the truth of the matter asserted ///IR FRE 401, 402: This exhibit is irrelevant to any of the claims or defenses still at issue	Defendants experienced no prejudice from the timing of disclosure of the publicly available Exhibit that was otherwise known to them Additionally, disclosure of this Exhibit was more than a month before trial, and Defendants had the time and opportunity to present any valid objections The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay The Exhibit is admissible as a commercial publication (Rule 803(17)) and under Rule 807 The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' website used to sell and advertise their infringing NFTs and how consumers encountered them
JTX-1598	YUGALABS_00036245 - YUGALABS_00036256	O'Laughlin	LR Violation: This exhibit was disclosed after the Parties had already exchanged exhibit lists and objections and therefore is untimely ///H (FRE 801): This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Yuga intends to introduce it for the truth of the matter asserted ///IR FRE 401, 402: This exhibit is irrelevant to any of the claims or defenses still at issue	Defendants experienced no prejudice from the timing of disclosure of the publicly available Exhibit that was otherwise known to them Additionally, disclosure of this Exhibit was more than a month before trial, and Defendants had the time and opportunity to present any valid objections The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay The Exhibit is admissible as a commercial publication (Rule 803(17)) and under Rule 807 The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' website used to sell and advertise their infringing NFTs and how consumers encountered them
JTX-1599	YUGALABS_00036412 - YUGALABS_00036420	O'Laughlin	LR Violation: This exhibit was disclosed after the Parties had already exchanged exhibit lists and objections and therefore is untimely ///H (FRE 801): This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Yuga intends to introduce it for the truth of the matter asserted ///IR FRE 401, 402: This exhibit is irrelevant to any of the claims or defenses still at issue ///Completeness: (FRE 106): The rendering of the website excludes most of the content of the page rendering the exhibit misleadingly incomplete	Defendants experienced no prejudice from the timing of disclosure of the publicly available Exhibit that was otherwise known to them Additionally, disclosure of this Exhibit was more than a month before trial, and Defendants had the time and opportunity to present any valid objections The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay The Exhibit is admissible as a commercial publication (Rule 803(17)) and under Rule 807 The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' website used to sell and advertise their infringing NFTs and how consumers encountered them The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility
JTX-1600	YUGALABS_00036421 - YUGALABS_00036422	Ripps	LR Violation: This exhibit was disclosed after the Parties had already exchanged exhibit lists and objections and therefore is untimely ///H (FRE 801): This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Yuga intends to introduce it for the truth of the matter asserted ///IR FRE 401, 402: This exhibit is irrelevant to any of the claims or defenses still at issue ///Completeness: (FRE 106): The rendering of the website excludes most of the content of the page rendering the exhibit misleadingly incomplete	Defendants experienced no prejudice from the timing of disclosure of the publicly available Exhibit that was otherwise known to them Additionally, disclosure of this Exhibit was more than a month before trial, and Defendants had the time and opportunity to present any valid objections The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay Additionally, the Exhibit does not contain hearsay as it is a party admission under Rule 801(d)(2); alternatively, the Exhibit is admissible hearsay as a business record under Rule 803(6), and under Rule 807 The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' advertisement of their NFTs and confusion of consumers The Exhibit is not incomplete, as there are no omissions causing it to be misleading; even so, this is not a basis for inadmissibility
JTX-1601	YUGALABS_00043561	Ripps	LR Violation: This exhibit was disclosed after the Parties had already exchanged exhibit lists and objections and therefore is untimely ///IR FRE 401, 402: This exhibit is irrelevant to any of the claims or defenses still at issue as it deals with a wholly unrelated cryptocurrency ///UP FRE 403: As lacking an relevance, it has prejudicial potential and must be excluded for its undue prejudice	The Exhibit contains material that did not exist until after the close of discovery Defendants experienced no prejudice from the timing of disclosure of the publicly available Exhibit that was otherwise known to them Additionally, disclosure of this Exhibit was more than a month before trial, and Defendants had the time and opportunity to present any valid objections The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' false advertising, intended NFT marketplace, and harm and confusion to consumers The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants own statements and consumer statements regarding their conduct

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1602	YUGALABS_00043564	Cahen	LR Violation: This exhibit was disclosed after the Parties had already exchanged exhibit lists and objections and therefore is untimely //IR FRE 401, 402: This exhibit is irrelevant to any of the claims or defenses still at issue as it deals with a wholly unrelated cryptocurrency //UP FRE 403: As lacking an relevance, it has prejudicial potential and must be excluded for its undue prejudice	The Exhibit contains material that did not exist until after the close of discovery and the parties' exchange of exhibit lists Defendants experienced no prejudice from the timing of disclosure of the publicly available Exhibit that was otherwise known to them Additionally, disclosure of this Exhibit was more than a month before trial, and Defendants had the time and opportunity to present any valid objections The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' related conduct, false advertising, and harm and confusion to consumers The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants own statements regarding their conduct
JTX-1603	YUGALABS_00043563	Cahen	LR Violation: This exhibit was disclosed after the Parties had already exchanged exhibit lists and objections and therefore is untimely //IR FRE 401, 402: This exhibit is irrelevant to any of the claims or defenses still at issue as it deals with a wholly unrelated cryptocurrency //UP FRE 403: As lacking an relevance, it has prejudicial potential and must be excluded for its undue prejudice	The Exhibit contains material that did not exist until after the close of discovery and the parties' exchange of exhibit lists Defendants experienced no prejudice from the timing of disclosure of the publicly available Exhibit that was otherwise known to them Additionally, disclosure of this Exhibit was more than a month before trial, and Defendants had the time and opportunity to present any valid objections The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' related conduct, promotions, false advertising, and harm and confusion to consumers The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants own statements regarding their conduct
JTX-1604	YUGALABS_00043566	Cahen	LR Violation: This exhibit was disclosed after the Parties had already exchanged exhibit lists and objections and therefore is untimely //IR FRE 401, 402: This exhibit is irrelevant to any of the claims or defenses still at issue as it deals with a wholly unrelated cryptocurrency //UP FRE 403: As lacking an relevance, it has prejudicial potential and must be excluded for its undue prejudice	The Exhibit contains material that did not exist until after the close of discovery and the parties' exchange of exhibit lists Defendants experienced no prejudice from the timing of disclosure of the publicly available Exhibit that was otherwise known to them Additionally, disclosure of this Exhibit was more than a month before trial, and Defendants had the time and opportunity to present any valid objections The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' related conduct, false advertising, harm and confusion to consumers, and profits from that conduct The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants own statements regarding their conduct and profits from that conduct
JTX-1605	YUGALABS_00043568	Cahen	LR Violation: This exhibit was disclosed after the Parties had already exchanged exhibit lists and objections and therefore is untimely //IR FRE 401, 402: This exhibit is irrelevant to any of the claims or defenses still at issue as it deals with a wholly unrelated cryptocurrency //UP FRE 403: As lacking an relevance, it has prejudicial potential and must be excluded for its undue prejudice	Defendants experienced no prejudice from the timing of disclosure of the publicly available Exhibit that was otherwise known to them Additionally, disclosure of this Exhibit was more than a month before trial, and Defendants had the time and opportunity to present any valid objections The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Defendants' related conduct, false advertising, and harm and confusion to consumers The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants own statements regarding their conduct
JTX-1606	YUGALABS_00043570 - YUGALABS_00043572	Solano, Muniz	LR Violation: This exhibit was disclosed after the Parties had already exchanged exhibit lists and objections and therefore is untimely //IR FRE 401, 402: This exhibit is irrelevant to any of the claims or defenses still at issue as it deals with a wholly unrelated cryptocurrency //UP FRE 403: As lacking an relevance, it has prejudicial potential and must be excluded for its undue prejudice	Defendants experienced no prejudice from the timing of disclosure of the publicly available Exhibit Additionally, disclosure of this Exhibit was more than a month before trial, and Defendants had the time and opportunity to present any valid objections The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Yuga Labs' enforcement of its trademarks The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from relevant evidence as to Yuga Labs' enforcement of its trademarks
JTX-1607	YUGALABS_00043574 - YUGALABS_00043576	Solano, Muniz	LR Violation: This exhibit was disclosed after the Parties had already exchanged exhibit lists and objections and therefore is untimely //IR FRE 401, 402: This exhibit is irrelevant to any of the claims or defenses still at issue as it deals with a wholly unrelated cryptocurrency //UP FRE 403: As lacking an relevance, it has prejudicial potential and must be excluded for its undue prejudice	Defendants experienced no prejudice from the timing of disclosure of the publicly available Exhibit Additionally, disclosure of this Exhibit was more than a month before trial, and Defendants had the time and opportunity to present any valid objections The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Yuga Labs' enforcement of its trademarks The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from relevant evidence as to Yuga Labs' enforcement of its trademarks

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1608	YUGALABS_00043578 - YUGALABS_00043579	Solano, Muniz	LR Violation: This exhibit was disclosed after the Parties had already exchanged exhibit lists and objections and therefore is untimely ///IR FRE 401, 402: This exhibit is irrelevant to any of the claims or defenses still at issue as it deals with an issue that Yuga voluntarily withdrew ///UP FRE 403: As lacking an relevance, it has prejudicial potential and must be excluded for its undue prejudice	The Exhibit contains material that did not exist until after the close of discovery Defendants experienced no prejudice from the timing of disclosure of the publicly available Exhibit Additionally, disclosure of this Exhibit was more than a month before trial, and Defendants had the time and opportunity to present any valid objections The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Yuga Labs' enforcement of its trademarks The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from relevant evidence as to Yuga Labs' enforcement of its trademarks
JTX-1609	YUGALABS_00043580 - YUGALABS_00043581	Solano, Muniz	LR Violation: This exhibit was disclosed after the Parties had already exchanged exhibit lists and objections and therefore is untimely ///IR FRE 401, 402: This exhibit is irrelevant to any of the claims or defenses still at issue as it deals with an issue that Yuga voluntarily withdrew ///UP FRE 403: As lacking an relevance, it has prejudicial potential and must be excluded for its undue prejudice	The Exhibit contains material that did not exist until after the close of discovery Defendants experienced no prejudice from the timing of disclosure of the publicly available Exhibit Additionally, disclosure of this Exhibit was more than a month before trial, and Defendants had the time and opportunity to present any valid objections The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Yuga Labs' enforcement of its trademarks The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from relevant evidence as to Yuga Labs' enforcement of its trademarks
JTX-1610	YUGALABS_00043582 - YUGALABS_00043584	Solano, Muniz	LR Violation: This exhibit was disclosed after the Parties had already exchanged exhibit lists and objections and therefore is untimely ///IR FRE 401, 402: This exhibit is irrelevant to any of the claims or defenses still at issue as it deals with an issue that Yuga voluntarily withdrew ///UP FRE 403: As lacking an relevance, it has prejudicial potential and must be excluded for its undue prejudice	The Exhibit contains material that did not exist until after the close of discovery Defendants experienced no prejudice from the timing of disclosure of the publicly available Exhibit Additionally, disclosure of this Exhibit was more than a month before trial, and Defendants had the time and opportunity to present any valid objections The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Yuga Labs' enforcement of its trademarks The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from relevant evidence as to Yuga Labs' enforcement of its trademarks
JTX-1611	YUGALABS_00043585 - YUGALABS_00043587	Solano, Muniz	LR Violation: This exhibit was disclosed after the Parties had already exchanged exhibit lists and objections and therefore is untimely ///IR FRE 401, 402: This exhibit is irrelevant to any of the claims or defenses still at issue as it deals with an issue that Yuga voluntarily withdrew ///UP FRE 403: As lacking an relevance, it has prejudicial potential and must be excluded for its undue prejudice	The Exhibit contains material that did not exist until after the close of discovery Defendants experienced no prejudice from the timing of disclosure of the publicly available Exhibit Additionally, disclosure of this Exhibit was more than a month before trial, and Defendants had the time and opportunity to present any valid objections The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, Yuga Labs' enforcement of its trademarks The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from relevant evidence as to Yuga Labs' enforcement of its trademarks
JTX-1612	YUGALABS_00034060 - YUGALABS_00035094	O'Laughlin	LR Violation: This exhibit was disclosed after the Parties had already exchanged exhibit lists and objections and therefore is untimely ///H (FRE 801): This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Yuga intends to introduce it for the truth of the matter asserted ///FRE 702: To the extent this is being used to support the testimony of Ms O'Laughlin it should be excluded pursuant to Defendants' motion-in-limine ///IR FRE 401, 402: This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims and defenses at issue	Defendants experienced no prejudice from the timing of disclosure of the publicly available Exhibit that was otherwise known to them Additionally, disclosure of this Exhibit was more than a month before trial, and Defendants had the time and opportunity to present any valid objections The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and made as part of Rule 26 disclosures, and it is therefore not hearsay The Exhibit is admissible as a commercial publication (Rule 803(17)) and under Rule 807 The Exhibit is material relied upon under Rule 703 and is relevant to issues in this case Defendants provide no rebuttal expert and cannot question the reliability of Yuga Labs' expert testimony
JTX-1613	YUGALABS_00043588	Cahen	LR Violation: This exhibit was disclosed after the Parties had already exchanged exhibit lists and objections and therefore is untimely ///IR FRE 401, 402: This exhibit is irrelevant to any of the claims or defenses still at issue ///UP FRE 403: As lacking any relevance, it has prejudicial potential and must be excluded for its undue prejudice	Defendants experienced no prejudice from the timing of disclosure of the publicly available Exhibit that was otherwise known to them Additionally, disclosure of this Exhibit was more than a month before trial, and Defendants had the time and opportunity to present any valid objections The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the valuation of Defendants' infringing product, Defendants' promotion of their infringing product, and the harm and confusion to consumers The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own actions and statements

Plaintiff's Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-1614	YUGALABS_00043589	Cahen	LR Violation: This exhibit was disclosed after the Parties had already exchanged exhibit lists and objections and therefore is untimely //IR FRE 401, 402: This exhibit is irrelevant to any of the claims or defenses still at issue //UP FRE 403: As lacking any relevance, it has prejudicial potential and must be excluded for its undue prejudice	<p>Defendants experienced no prejudice from the timing of disclosure of the publicly available Exhibit that was otherwise known to them. Additionally, disclosure of this Exhibit was more than a month before trial, and Defendants had the time and opportunity to present any valid objections</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the valuation of Defendants' infringing product, Defendants' promotion of their infringing product, and the harm and confusion to consumers</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own actions and statements</p>
JTX-1615	YUGALABS_00043590	Cahen	LR Violation: This exhibit was disclosed after the Parties had already exchanged exhibit lists and objections and therefore is untimely //IR FRE 401, 402: This exhibit is irrelevant to any of the claims or defenses still at issue //UP FRE 403: As lacking any relevance, it has prejudicial potential and must be excluded for its undue prejudice	<p>Defendants experienced no prejudice from the timing of disclosure of the publicly available Exhibit that was otherwise known to them. Additionally, disclosure of this Exhibit was more than a month before trial, and Defendants had the time and opportunity to present any valid objections</p> <p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the valuation of Defendants' infringing product, Defendants' promotion of their infringing product, and the harm and confusion to consumers</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from Defendants' own actions and statements</p>
JTX-1616	YUGALABS_00033714 - YUGALABS_00033718	Kindler	//IR FRE 401, 402: This exhibit is irrelevant to any of the claims or defenses still at issue //UP FRE 403: As lacking any relevance, it has prejudicial potential and must be excluded for its undue prejudice	<p>The Exhibit is relevant to, at least, the valuation of Defendants' infringing product and damages</p> <p>The probative value of the Exhibit is not "substantially outweighed" by risk of unfair prejudice, if any, to Defendants from information about the profitability and valuation of Defendants' NFT scheme</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-201	Solano Depo Exhibit 201	Solano	This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106 Exhibit A to the complaint is missing from this exhibit	Incomplete: Because this is a deposition exhibit, we propose leaving as is, but if the Complaint is used for any purpose outside of displaying it alongside the deposition, the parties should use the full Complaint with all exhibits
JTX-202	Solano Depo Exhibit 202	Solano	No objection	
JTX-203	Solano Depo Exhibit 203	Solano	No objection; subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-204	Solano Depo Exhibit 204	Solano	No objection; subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-205	Solano Depo Exhibit 205	Solano	This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106	The document is a printout of the BAYC website and is not incomplete
JTX-206	Solano Depo Exhibit 206	Solano	No objection	
JTX-207	Solano Depo Exhibit 207	Solano	No objection	
JTX-208	Solano Depo Exhibit 208	Solano	No objection; subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-209	Solano Depo Exhibit 209	Solano	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106	Relevance: Yuga's terms and conditions are relevant to damages issues, including whether Yuga's transference of rights to its holders impacted the damage it suffered Moreover, it is relevant to the subject of Mr Ripps and Mr Cahen's criticism, which goes to willfulness
JTX-210	Solano Depo Exhibit 210	Solano	Subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document Objection under FRE 106 as this exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented	This is an image associated with a BAYC NFT which was sold using the asserted marks It is plainly relevant and the image itself is not "incomplete" or "misleading"
JTX-211	Solano Depo Exhibit 211	Solano	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 This is not an authentic exhibit as it is a document created by counsel or Defendants It is not evidence that exists independently of counsel's or Defendants' creation This exhibit is hearsay, especially to the extent some unknown third party created the exhibit and the image therein FRE 801, 802, 803	The RR/BAYC logo is plainly relevant to the case Mr Ripps plainly can lay the foundation for the image because he created it The image is not hearsay if not offered for the truth Its existence is relevant to Mr Ripps purpose for creating RR/BAYC which goes to willfulness/damages
JTX-212	Solano Depo Exhibit 212	Solano	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 This is not an authentic exhibit as it is a document created by counsel or Defendants It is not evidence that exists independently of counsel's or Defendants' creation This exhibit is hearsay, especially to the extent some unknown third party created the exhibit and the image therein FRE 801, 802, 803	The RR/BAYC logo and the BAYC logo together are plainly relevant to the case Mr Ripps plainly can lay the foundation for the image because he created it The image is not hearsay if not offered for the truth Its existence is relevant to Mr Ripps purpose for creating RR/BAYC which goes to willfulness/damages
JTX-213	Solano Depo Exhibit 213	Solano	Subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document Objection under FRE 106 as this exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented	This is an image associated with a BAYC NFT which was sold using the asserted marks It is plainly relevant and the image itself is not "incomplete" or "misleading"
JTX-214	Solano Depo Exhibit 214	Solano	Subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document Objection under FRE 106 as this exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented	This is an image associated with a BAYC NFT which was sold using the asserted marks It is plainly relevant and the image itself is not "incomplete" or "misleading"

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-215	Solano Depo Exhibit 215	Solano	No objection; subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-216	Solano Depo Exhibit 216	Solano	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403	This image is relevant to background relating to investing in crypto
JTX-217	Solano Depo Exhibit 217	Solano	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403	The BAYC Roadmap is relevant to damages issues in this case, including hte extent to which any damage Yuga suffered is attributable to its own conduct The roadmap itself is a complete capture of one page from Plaintiff's website Other pages are in the record, as are numerous other individual webpages Therefore it is not incomplete
JTX-0218	Solano Depo Exhibit 218	Solano	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403	This image is relevant to background relating to investing in crypto
JTX-219	Muniz Depo Exhibit 219	Muniz	No objection; subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-220	Muniz Depo Exhibit 220	Muniz	This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106 Exhibit A to the complaint is missing from this exhibit	Incomplete: Because this is a deposition exhibit, we propose leaving as is, but if the Complaint is used for any purpose outside of displaying it alongside the deposition, the parties should use the full Complaint with all exhibits
JTX-221	Muniz Depo Exhibit 221	Muniz	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga, including the fact that people other than Yuga posted articles that BAYC has problematic imagery /// Hearsay: The document is not offered for the truth, but merely the existence of criticism from others (independent of its veracity)
JTX-222	Muniz Depo Exhibit 222	Muniz	No objection; subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-223	Muniz Depo Exhibit 223	Muniz	No objection	
JTX-224	Muniz Depo Exhibit 224	Muniz	Subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-225	Muniz Depo Exhibit 225	Muniz	Subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-226	Muniz Depo Exhibit 226	Muniz	Subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-227	Muniz Depo Exhibit 227	Muniz	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403	As discussed during our teleconference concerning MILs, Defendants will offer evidence concerning trademark oppositions only if Yuga opens the door by offering its own trademark registrations
JTX-230	Muniz Depo Exhibit 230	Muniz	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403	Relevance: Yuga's terms and conditions are relevant to damages issues, including whether Yuga's transference of rights to its holders impacted the damage it suffered Moreover, it is relevant to the sujet of Mr Ripps and Mr Cahen's criticism, which goes to willfulness
JTX-231	Muniz Depo Exhibit 231	Muniz	Subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: The document is plainly relevant to background of the BAYC project and to RR/BAYC and the reasons for its creation /// Hearsay: The document contains statements of a party opponent that are subject to a hearsay exception The document can also be used for a non-hearsay purpose, such as to provide context for Yuga's business and place in the market
JTX-232	Muniz Depo Exhibit 232	Muniz	Subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document Objection under FRE 106 as this exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented	This is an image associated with a BAYC NFT which was sold using the asserted marks It is plainly relevant and the image itself is not "incomplete" or "misleading"
JTX-233	Muniz Depo Exhibit 233	Muniz	Subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document Objection under FRE 106 as this exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented	This is an image associated with a BAYC NFT which was sold using the asserted marks It is plainly relevant and the image itself is not "incomplete" or "misleading"

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-234	Muniz Depo Exhibit 234	Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other NFT collections using Yuga's marks</p>
JTX-235	Muniz Depo Exhibit 235	Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other NFT collections using Yuga's marks</p>
JTX-236	Muniz Depo Exhibit 236	Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>This is the BAYC page from Opensea It is plainly relevant to issues including damages and willfulness The document represents a complete webpage from opensea and is not incomplete Ms Muniz can plainly lay foundation for the secondary sale location for Yuga's "flagship" product The document contains statements by a party opponent and can be offered for a non hearsay purpose such as general background without offering the document for its truth</p>
JTX-237	Muniz Depo Exhibit 237	Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other NFT collections using Yuga's marks</p>
JTX-238	Muniz Depo Exhibit 238	Muniz	No objection	
JTX-239	Muniz Depo Exhibit 239	Muniz	No objection	

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-240	Muniz Depo Exhibit 240	Muniz	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602 This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: Yuga's transfer of the Apecoin Logo to Apecoin DAO is relevant to damages, including the extent to which Yuga has policed its marks and whether its own conduct is responsible for damages /// Foundation: Yuga's founders can lay foundation for the transfer of the Ape Skull to Apecoin DAO /// Incomplete: The document is an opensea printout and is not incomplete // Hearsay: The document contains statements of a party opponent that are subject to a hearsay exception The document can also be used for a non-hearsay purpose, namely the fact of the existence of a separate opensea page for the Apecoin logo
JTX-241	Muniz Depo Exhibit 241	Muniz	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403	Relevance: Yuga's transfer of the Apecoin Logo to Apecoin DAO is relevant to damages, including the extent to which Yuga has policed its marks and whether its own conduct is responsible for damages
JTX-242	Muniz Depo Exhibit 242	Muniz	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403	Relevance: Yuga's transfer of the Apecoin Logo to Apecoin DAO is relevant to damages, including the extent to which Yuga has policed its marks and whether its own conduct is responsible for damages /// Foundation: Yuga's founders can lay foundation for the transfer of the Ape Skull to Apecoin DAO /// Incomplete: The document is an opensea printout and is not incomplete // Hearsay: The document contains statements of a party opponent that are subject to a hearsay exception The document can also be used for a non-hearsay purpose, namely the fact of the existence of a separate opensea page for the Apecoin logo
JTX-244	Muniz Depo Exhibit 244	Muniz	No objection	
JTX-245	Muniz Depo Exhibit 245	Muniz	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: Yuga's engagement of celebrities is relevant to the nature of its brand as well as alternative sources of harm, which are relevant to damages /// Hearsay: The document can be offered for a non-hearsay purpose, namely that articles were written about celebrity endorsements for BAYC
JTX-247	Muniz Depo Exhibit 247	Muniz	No objection	
JTX-248	Muniz Depo Exhibit 248	Muniz	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented and is an improper compilation FRE 106, 611(a) This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901	Relevance: The price at which RR/BAYCs were sold on the secondary market is directly relevant to damages The document is not incomplete because it shows the relevant portions of a foundation page Ms Muniz is aware of secondary sales of RR/BAYC as evidenced by her deposition testimony and can lay an appropriate foundation
JTX-249	Muniz Depo Exhibit 249	Muniz	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented, especially to the extent it is not the complete website and there is no evidence this image was shown to consumers at the time of purchase FRE 106, 901, 403	Mr Ripps disclaimer statement goes directly to willfulness and damages issues
JTX-250	Muniz Depo Exhibit 250	Muniz	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	This document goes directly to the extent of the confusion and extent of harm to Yuga, which is a damages issue The document will not be offered for the truth, but rather the state of mind of consumers
JTX-251	Atalay Depo Exhibit 251	Atalay	This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106 Exhibit A to the complaint is missing from this exhibit	Incomplete: Because this is a deposition exhibit, we propose leaving as is, but if the Complaint is used for any purpose outside of displaying it alongside the deposition, the parties should use the full Complaint with all exhibits
JTX-252	Atalay Depo Exhibit 252	Atalay	No objection	
JTX-253	Atalay Depo Exhibit 253	Atalay	No objection	

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-254	Atalay Depo Exhibit 254	Atalay	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106 It is missing other pages from the website	The BAYC Roadmap is relevant to damages issues in this case, including the extent to which any damage Yuga suffered is attributable to its own conduct The roadmap itself is a complete capture of one page from Plaintiff's website Other pages are in the record, as are numerous other individual webpages Therefore it is not incomplete
JTX-255	Atalay Depo Exhibit 255	Atalay	No objection; subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-256	Oseary Depo Exhibit 256	Oseary	No objection	
JTX-257	Oseary Depo Exhibit 257	Oseary	No objection	
JTX-258	Oseary Depo Exhibit 258	Oseary	No objection; subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-259	Oseary Depo Exhibit 259	Oseary	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403	Relevance: Yuga's transfer of the Apecoin Logo to Apecoin DAO is relevant to damages, including the extent to which Yuga has policed its marks and whether its own conduct is responsible for damages /// Foundation: Yuga's founders can lay foundation for the transfer of the Ape Skull to Apecoin DAO /// Incomplete: The document is an opensea printout and is not incomplete // Hearsay: The document contains statements of a party opponent that are subject to a hearsay exception The document can also be used for a non-hearsay purpose, namely the fact of the existence of a separate opensea page for the Apecoin logo
JTX-260	Oseary Depo Exhibit 260	Oseary	This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106 Exhibit A to the complaint is missing from this exhibit	Incomplete: Because this is a deposition exhibit, we propose leaving as is, but if the Complaint is used for any purpose outside of displaying it alongside the deposition, the parties should use the full Complaint with all exhibits
JTX-261	Oseary Depo Exhibit 261	Oseary	No objection	
JTX-262	Oseary Depo Exhibit 262	Oseary	No objection; subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-263	Oseary Depo Exhibit 263	Oseary	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403	Relevance: Yuga's transfer of the Apecoin Logo to Apecoin DAO is relevant to damages, including the extent to which Yuga has policed its marks and whether its own conduct is responsible for damages /// Foundation: Yuga's founders can lay foundation for the transfer of the Ape Skull to Apecoin DAO /// Incomplete: The document is an opensea printout and is not incomplete // Hearsay: The document contains statements of a party opponent that are subject to a hearsay exception The document can also be used for a non-hearsay purpose, namely the fact of the existence of a separate opensea page for the Apecoin logo
JTX-264	Oseary Depo Exhibit 264	Oseary	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602 This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602 This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: Yuga's transfer of the Apecoin Logo to Apecoin DAO is relevant to damages, including the extent to which Yuga has policed its marks and whether its own conduct is responsible for damages /// Foundation: Yuga's founders can lay foundation for the transfer of the Ape Skull to Apecoin DAO /// Incomplete: The document is an opensea printout and is not incomplete // Hearsay: The document contains statements of a party opponent that are subject to a hearsay exception The document can also be used for a non-hearsay purpose, namely the fact of the existence of a separate opensea page for the Apecoin logo
JTX-265	Oseary Depo Exhibit 265	Oseary	No objection; subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-266	Aronow Depo Exhibit 266	Aronow	No objection; subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document, and depending on the outcome of Plaintiff's motion in limine no 1	

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-267	Aronow Depo Exhibit 267	Aronow	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the information therein or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Foundation/Incompleteness: Yuga's founders have personal knowledge about the origin of the name Yuga and can testify as to that relative to the contents of this document The document is not incomplete as it is a complete copy of the online article /// Relevance The document is relevant at least because it goes to alternative causes of any damage to Yuga or loss of goodwill /// Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth of the matter asserted, but the fact that others have raised concerns about the origin of Yuga's name</p>
JTX-268	Aronow Depo Exhibit 268	Aronow	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403	Relevance: The document is relevant at least because it goes to alternative causes of any damage to Yuga or loss of goodwill
JTX-269	Aronow Depo Exhibit 269	Aronow	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403	Relevance: The document is relevant at least because it goes to alternative causes of any damage to Yuga or loss of goodwill
JTX-270	Aronow Depo Exhibit 270	Aronow	This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106 Exhibit A to the complaint is missing from this exhibit	Incomplete: Because this is a deposition exhibit, we propose leaving as is, but if the Complaint is used for any purpose outside of displaying it alongside the deposition, the parties should use the full Complaint with all exhibits
JTX-271	Aronow Depo Exhibit 271	Aronow	No objection	
JTX-272	Aronow Depo Exhibit 272	Aronow	No objection; subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-273	Aronow Depo Exhibit 273	Aronow	No objection; subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-274	Aronow Depo Exhibit 274	Aronow	No objection	
JTX-275	Aronow Depo Exhibit 275	Aronow	Subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-276	Aronow Depo Exhibit 276	Aronow	Subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-277	Aronow Depo Exhibit 277	Aronow	Subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-278	Aronow Depo Exhibit 278	Aronow	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403	Relevance: The document is relevant at least because it goes to alternative causes of any damage to Yuga or loss of goodwill
JTX-279	Aronow Depo Exhibit 279	Aronow	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403	Relevance: The document is relevant at least because it goes to alternative causes of any damage to Yuga or loss of goodwill
JTX-280	Aronow Depo Exhibit 280	Aronow	No objection; subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-281	Aronow Depo Exhibit 281	Aronow	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others /// Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market // Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete /// Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other NFT collections using Yuga's marks</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-282	Aronow Depo Exhibit 282	Aronow	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other NFT collections using Yuga's marks</p>
JTX-283	Aronow Depo Exhibit 283	Aronow	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other NFT collections using Yuga's marks</p>
JTX-284	Aronow Depo Exhibit 284	Aronow	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other NFT collections using Yuga's marks</p>
JTX-285	Aronow Depo Exhibit 285	Aronow	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other NFT collections using Yuga's marks</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-286	Aronow Depo Exhibit 286	Aronow	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other NFT collections using Yuga's marks</p>
JTX-287	Aronow Depo Exhibit 287	Aronow	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other NFT collections using Yuga's marks</p>
JTX-288	Aronow Depo Exhibit 288	Aronow	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other NFT collections using Yuga's marks</p>
JTX-289	Aronow Depo Exhibit 289	Aronow	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other NFT collections using Yuga's marks</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-290	Aronow Depo Exhibit 290	Aronow	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other NFT collections using Yuga's marks</p>
JTX-291	Aronow Depo Exhibit 291	Aronow	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other NFT collections using Yuga's marks</p>
JTX-292	Aronow Depo Exhibit 292	Aronow	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other NFT collections using Yuga's marks</p>
JTX-293	Aronow Depo Exhibit 293	Aronow	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other NFT collections using Yuga's marks</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-294	Aronow Depo Exhibit 294	Aronow	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: Yuga's transfer of the Apecoin Logo to Apecoin DAO is relevant to damages, including the extent to which Yuga has policed its marks and whether its own conduct is responsible for damages /// Foundation: Yuga's founders can lay foundation for the transfer of the Ape Skull to Apecoin DAO /// Incomplete: The document is an opensea printout and is not incomplete // Hearsay: The document contains statements of a party opponent that are subject to a hearsay exception The document can also be used for a non-hearsay purpose, namely the fact of the existence of a separate opensea page for the Apecoin logo</p>
JTX-295	Aronow Depo Exhibit 295	Aronow	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 This exhibit lacks any identifying date or URL to authenticate To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: Yuga's transfer of the Apecoin Logo to Apecoin DAO is relevant to damages, including the extent to which Yuga has policed its marks and whether its own conduct is responsible for damages /// Foundation: Yuga's founders can lay foundation for the transfer of the Ape Skull to Apecoin DAO /// Hearsay: The document contains statements of a party opponent that are subject to a hearsay exception The document can also be used for a non-hearsay purpose, namely the fact of the existence of a separate opensea page for the Apecoin logo</p>
JTX-296	Aronow Depo Exhibit 296	Aronow	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p>	<p>Relevance: Yuga's terms and conditions are relevant to damages issues, including whether Yuga's transference of rights to its holders impacted the damage it suffered Moreover, it is relevant to the subject of Mr Ripps and Mr Cahen's criticism, which goes to willfulness</p>
JTX-297	Aronow Depo Exhibit 297	Aronow	<p>Subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Hearsay: The document contains statements of a party opponent that are subject to a hearsay exception The document can also be used for a non-hearsay purpose, such as to provide context for Yuga's business and place in the market</p>
JTX-298	Aronow Depo Exhibit 298	Aronow	<p>Subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document</p>	
JTX-299	Aronow Depo Exhibit 299	Aronow	<p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106 Defendants have modified the exhibit to hide and obscure their infringement as they have admitted during depositions For those reasons, the exhibit as is, is further misleading and objectionable under FRE 403</p>	<p>The nature of the objection is unclear as written; Defendants are willing to meet and confer to discuss the substance</p>
JTX-300	Berger Depo Exhibit 01	Berger	No objection	
JTX-301	Berger Depo Exhibit 03	Berger	No objection	
JTX-302	Berger Depo Exhibit 04	Berger	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 This is not an authentic exhibit as it is a document created by counsel or Defendants It is not evidence that exists independently of counsel's or Defendants' creation</p>	<p>The SS Totenkopf logo goes directly to the purpose for RR/BAYC, criticisms of Yuga, and damages and willfulness issues generally</p>
JTX-303	Berger Depo Exhibit 05	Berger	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 This is not an authentic exhibit as it is a document created by counsel or Defendants It is not evidence that exists independently of counsel's or Defendants' creation This exhibit is hearsay, especially to the extent some unknown third party created the exhibit and the image therein FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>The SS Totenkopf logo (and its comparison to the BAYC logo) goes directly to the purpose for RR/BAYC, criticisms of Yuga, and damages and willfulness issues generally</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-304	Berger Depo Exhibit 07	Berger	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other NFT collections using Yuga's marks</p>
JTX-305	Berger Depo Exhibit 09	Berger	No objection; subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-306	Berger Depo Exhibit 22	Berger	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of commentary on the decline in crypto prices</p>
JTX-307	Berger/Cahen Depo Exhibit 28	Berger	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the information therein or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the controversy surrounding the NYC artowkr //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated news article and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but shows</p>
JTX-308	Kindler Depo Exhibit 01	Kindler	No objection	
JTX-309	Kindler Depo Exhibit 02	Kindler	This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106 Exhibit A to the complaint is missing from this exhibit	Incomplete: Because this is a deposition exhibit, we propose leaving as is, but if the Complaint is used for any purpose outside of displaying it alongside the deposition, the parties should use the full Complaint with all exhibits
JTX-310	O'Laughlin Depo Exhibit 01	O'Laughlin	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other NFT collections using Yuga's marks</p>
JTX-311	O'Laughlin Depo Exhibit 02	O'Laughlin	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other NFT collections using Yuga's marks</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-312	O'Laughlin Depo Exhibit 03	O'Laughlin	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other commercial products using Yuga's marks</p>
JTX-313	O'Laughlin Depo Exhibit 04	O'Laughlin	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other commercial products using Yuga's marks</p>
JTX-314	O'Laughlin Depo Exhibit 05	O'Laughlin	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other commercial products using Yuga's marks</p>
JTX-315	O'Laughlin Depo Exhibit 06	O'Laughlin	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other commercial products using Yuga's marks</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-316	O'Laughlin Depo Exhibit 07	O'Laughlin	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other commercial products using Yuga's marks</p>
JTX-317	O'Laughlin Depo Exhibit 08	O'Laughlin	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other commercial products using Yuga's marks</p>
JTX-318	O'Laughlin Depo Exhibit 09	O'Laughlin	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other NFT collections using Yuga's marks</p>
JTX-319	O'Laughlin Depo Exhibit 10	O'Laughlin	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other NFT collections using Yuga's marks</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-320	O'Laughlin Depo Exhibit 11	O'Laughlin	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other NFT collections using Yuga's marks</p>
JTX-401	Ehrlund Depo Exhibit 401	Ehrlund	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other commercial products using Yuga's marks</p>
JTX-402	Ehrlund Depo Exhibit 402	Ehrlund	No objection	
JTX-403	Ehrlund Depo Exhibit 403	Ehrlund	No objection	
JTX-404	Ehrlund Depo Exhibit 404	Ehrlund	No objection	
JTX-405	Ehrlund Depo Exhibit 405	Ehrlund	No objection	
JTX-406	Ehrlund Depo Exhibit 406	Ehrlund	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>As set forth in Ms O'Laughlin's deposition transcript, this exhibit is relevant to alternative sources of harm to Yuga, namely the problematic nature of some of the Ape images The twweet is hearsay subject to an exception because it is offered for its effect on the listener Moreover, teh tweet can also be offered for a non-hearsay purpose, such as to show the existence of tweets criticizing Yuga labs</p>
JTX-407	Ehrlund Depo Exhibit 407	Ehrlund	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Mr Ripps stature as an artist goes directly to willfulness among other issues The article can be offered for a nonhearsay purpose, such as that there are articles stating that Mr Ripps is an artist</p>
JTX-408	Ehrlund Depo Exhibit 408	Ehrlund	No objection	
JTX-409	Ehrlund Depo Exhibit 409	Ehrlund	This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106 Exhibit A to the complaint is missing from this exhibit	Incomplete: Because this is a deposition exhibit, we propose leaving as is, but if the Complaint is used for any purpose outside of displaying it alongside the deposition, the parties should use the full Complaint with all exhibits
JTX-410	Ehrlund Depo Exhibit 410	Ehrlund	No objection	
JTX-411	Ehrlund Depo Exhibit 411	Ehrlund	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented, especially as the image as presented to consumers is not static FRE 106</p>	<p>Relevance: Yuga's transfer of the Apecoin Logo to Apecoin DAO is relevant to damages, including the extent to which Yuga has policed its marks and whether its own conduct is responsible fordamages ///</p> <p>Incomplete: Whether the logo is spinning is not material to any issue in this case</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-412	Ehrlund Depo Exhibit 412	Ehrlund	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other NFT collections using Yuga's marks and effect on state of mind</p>
JTX-413	Ehrlund Depo Exhibit 413	Ehrlund	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other NFT collections using Yuga's marks and effect on state of mind</p>
JTX-414	Ehrlund Depo Exhibit 414	Ehrlund	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other NFT collections using Yuga's marks and effect on the listener</p>
JTX-2000	YUGALABS_00027407-YUGALABS_00027412	Muniz	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 Defendants claims have been dismissed	This document is relevant as Yuga has indicated they might consider claiming these expenditures in damages
JTX-2001	YUGALABS_00040355-YUGALABS_00040355	Atalay, Ripps, Cahen, Muniz	This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403	The exhibit is not misleading It contains appdetex invoices that are relevant to damages
JTX-2002	YUGALABS_00030081-YUGALABS_00030082	Atalay, Ripps, Cahen, Muniz, Lehman, Hickman	This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403	This is just an etherscan page, there is nothing misleading about it
JTX-2003	YUGALABS_00030223-YUGALABS_00030230	Atalay, Aronow, Solano, Muniz, Oseary, Ripps, Cahen, Lehman, Hickman	This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403	This is just a webpage and there is nothing misleading about it
JTX-2004	YUGALABS_00027531-YUGALABS_00027531	Atalay, Aronow, Solano, Muniz, Oseary, Ripps, Cahen, Lehman, Hickman	This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403	This is just a webpage and there is nothing misleading about it
JTX-2005	Plaintiff's Exhibit to Motion for Summary Judgment Exhibit 25	Muniz, Ripps	<p>Subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document</p> <p>This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403</p>	Document contains relevant information about a variety of topics Nothing misleading about the document

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2006	YUGALABS_00030348- YUGALABS_00030348	Muniz, Ripps, Cahen, Lehman, Hickman	This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403	Nothing about these exhibits is misleading To the extent that the inclusion of slipsheet or ECF headers is a problem, they can be removed
JTX-2007	YUGALABS_00030346- YUGALABS_00030346	Muniz, Ripps, Cahen, Lehman, Hickman	This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403	Nothing about these exhibits is misleading To the extent that the inclusion of slipsheet or ECF headers is a problem, they can be removed
JTX-2008	YUGALABS_00015439- YUGALABS_00015439	Muniz	This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403	Nothing about these exhibits is misleading To the extent that the inclusion of slipsheet or ECF headers is a problem, they can be removed
JTX-2009	YUGALABS_00030072- YUGALABS_00030072	Muniz, Ripps, Cahen, Lehman, Hickman	This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403	Nothing about these exhibits is misleading To the extent that the inclusion of slipsheet or ECF headers is a problem, they can be removed
JTX-2010	YUGALABS_00030112- YUGALABS_00030112	Muniz, Ripps, Cahen, Lehman, Hickman	This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403	Nothing about these exhibits is misleading To the extent that the inclusion of slipsheet or ECF headers is a problem, they can be removed
JTX-2011	YUGALABS_00002279- YUGALABS_00002326	Muniz, Ripps, Cahen, Lehman, Hickman	This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403	Nothing about these exhibits is misleading To the extent that the inclusion of slipsheet or ECF headers is a problem, they can be removed
JTX-2012	YUGALABS_00029886- YUGALABS_00029888	Ripps, Cahen, Lehman, Muniz, Hickman	This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403	Nothing about these exhibits is misleading To the extent that the inclusion of slipsheet or ECF headers is a problem, they can be removed
JTX-2013	Defendants' Exhibit to Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment Exhibit 109	Muniz, Ripps, Cahen	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Defendants will only seek to introduce this evidence if Yuga asserts the Ape Mark, since its registration has been abandoned
JTX-2014	RIPPSCAHEN00020732- RIPPSCAHEN00020733	Muniz, Ripps, Cahen	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Defendants will only seek to introduce this evidence if Yuga asserts the Ape Mark, since its registration has been abandoned
JTX-2015	RIPPSCAHEN00023102- RIPPSCAHEN00023195	Muniz, Ripps, Cahen	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation To the extent Defendants rely on only portions of this exhibit containing statements from the USPTO, its probative value is substantially outweighed by a danger of unfair prejudice and confusing the issues FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	As discussed during our teleconference concerning MILs, Defendants will offer evidence concerning trademark oppositions only if Yuga opens the door by offering its own trademark registrations
JTX-2016	RIPPSCAHEN00023196- RIPPSCAHEN00023310	Muniz, Ripps, Cahen	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation To the extent Defendants rely on only portions of this exhibit containing statements from the USPTO, its probative value is substantially outweighed by a danger of unfair prejudice and confusing the issues FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	As discussed during our teleconference concerning MILs, Defendants will offer evidence concerning trademark oppositions only if Yuga opens the door by offering its own trademark registrations

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2017	RIPPSCAHEN00023311- RIPPSCAHEN00023405	Muniz, Ripps, Cahen	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation To the extent Defendants rely on only portions of this exhibit containing statements from the USPTO, its probative value is substantially outweighed by a danger of unfair prejudice and confusing the issues FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	As discussed during our teleconference concerning MILs, Defendants will offer evidence concerning trademark oppositions only if Yuga opens the door by offering its own trademark registrations
JTX-2018	RIPPSCAHEN00023406- RIPPSCAHEN00023489	Muniz, Ripps, Cahen	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation To the extent Defendants rely on only portions of this exhibit containing statements from the USPTO, its probative value is substantially outweighed by a danger of unfair prejudice and confusing the issues FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	As discussed during our teleconference concerning MILs, Defendants will offer evidence concerning trademark oppositions only if Yuga opens the door by offering its own trademark registrations
JTX-2019	RIPPSCAHEN00023543- RIPPSCAHEN00023607	Muniz, Ripps, Cahen	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation To the extent Defendants rely on only portions of this exhibit containing statements from the USPTO, its probative value is substantially outweighed by a danger of unfair prejudice and confusing the issues FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	As discussed during our teleconference concerning MILs, Defendants will offer evidence concerning trademark oppositions only if Yuga opens the door by offering its own trademark registrations
JTX-2020	RIPPSCAHEN00024142- RIPPSCAHEN00024249	Muniz, Ripps, Cahen	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation To the extent Defendants rely on only portions of this exhibit containing statements from the USPTO, its probative value is substantially outweighed by a danger of unfair prejudice and confusing the issues FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	As discussed during our teleconference concerning MILs, Defendants will offer evidence concerning trademark oppositions only if Yuga opens the door by offering its own trademark registrations
JTX-2021	RIPPSCAHEN00024250- RIPPSCAHEN00024379	Muniz, Ripps, Cahen	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation To the extent Defendants rely on only portions of this exhibit containing statements from the USPTO, its probative value is substantially outweighed by a danger of unfair prejudice and confusing the issues FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	As discussed during our teleconference concerning MILs, Defendants will offer evidence concerning trademark oppositions only if Yuga opens the door by offering its own trademark registrations
JTX-2022	RIPPSCAHEN00024380- RIPPSCAHEN00024487	Muniz, Ripps, Cahen	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation To the extent Defendants rely on only portions of this exhibit containing statements from the USPTO, its probative value is substantially outweighed by a danger of unfair prejudice and confusing the issues FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	As discussed during our teleconference concerning MILs, Defendants will offer evidence concerning trademark oppositions only if Yuga opens the door by offering its own trademark registrations

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2023	RIPPSCAHEN00024488- RIPPSCAHEN00024614	Muniz, Ripps, Cahen	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation To the extent Defendants rely on only portions of this exhibit containing statements from the USPTO, its probative value is substantially outweighed by a danger of unfair prejudice and confusing the issues FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	As discussed during our teleconference concerning MILs, Defendants will offer evidence concerning trademark oppositions only if Yuga opens the door by offering its own trademark registrations
JTX-2024	RIPPSCAHEN00024927- RIPPSCAHEN00024928	Muniz, Ripps, Cahen	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation To the extent Defendants rely on only portions of this exhibit containing statements from the USPTO, its probative value is substantially outweighed by a danger of unfair prejudice and confusing the issues FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	As discussed during our teleconference concerning MILs, Defendants will offer evidence concerning trademark oppositions only if Yuga opens the door by offering its own trademark registrations
JTX-2025	RIPPSCAHEN00001370- RIPPSCAHEN00001370	Ripps	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	This document represents commentary from third parties about RR/BAYC They are not offered for the truth, but to show the impact the project had and the way it was understood by others in the marketplace These factors are relevant to damages, both in terms of the harm to Yuga's brand and to willfulness
JTX-2026	RIPPSCAHEN00001410- RIPPSCAHEN00001410	Ripps	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	This document represents commentary from third parties about RR/BAYC They are not offered for the truth, but to show the impact the project had and the way it was understood by others in the marketplace These factors are relevant to damages, both in terms of the harm to Yuga's brand and to willfulness
JTX-2027	RIPPSCAHEN00001485- RIPPSCAHEN00001485	Ripps	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	This document represents commentary from third parties about RR/BAYC They are not offered for the truth, but to show the impact the project had and the way it was understood by others in the marketplace These factors are relevant to damages, both in terms of the harm to Yuga's brand and to willfulness
JTX-2028	RIPPSCAHEN00001496- RIPPSCAHEN00001496	Ripps	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	This document represents commentary from third parties about RR/BAYC They are not offered for the truth, but to show the impact the project had and the way it was understood by others in the marketplace These factors are relevant to damages, both in terms of the harm to Yuga's brand and to willfulness
JTX-2029	RIPPSCAHEN00001500- RIPPSCAHEN00001500	Ripps	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	This document represents commentary from third parties about RR/BAYC They are not offered for the truth, but to show the impact the project had and the way it was understood by others in the marketplace These factors are relevant to damages, both in terms of the harm to Yuga's brand and to willfulness

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2030	RIPPSCAHEN00001509- RIPPSCAHEN00001509	Ripps	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	This document represents commentary from third parties about RR/BAYC They are not offered for the truth, but to show the impact the project had and the way it was understood by others in the marketplace These factors are relevant to damages, both in terms of the harm to Yuga's brand and to willfulness
JTX-2031	RIPPSCAHEN00001517- RIPPSCAHEN00001517	Ripps	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	This document represents commentary from third parties about RR/BAYC They are not offered for the truth, but to show the impact the project had and the way it was understood by others in the marketplace These factors are relevant to damages, both in terms of the harm to Yuga's brand and to willfulness
JTX-2032	RIPPSCAHEN00001558- RIPPSCAHEN00001558	Ripps	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	This document represents commentary from third parties about RR/BAYC They are not offered for the truth, but to show the impact the project had and the way it was understood by others in the marketplace These factors are relevant to damages, both in terms of the harm to Yuga's brand and to willfulness
JTX-2033	RIPPSCAHEN00001577- RIPPSCAHEN00001577	Ripps	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	This document represents commentary from third parties about RR/BAYC They are not offered for the truth, but to show the impact the project had and the way it was understood by others in the marketplace These factors are relevant to damages, both in terms of the harm to Yuga's brand and to willfulness
JTX-2034	RIPPSCAHEN00001622- RIPPSCAHEN00001622	Ripps	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	This document represents commentary from third parties about RR/BAYC They are not offered for the truth, but to show the impact the project had and the way it was understood by others in the marketplace These factors are relevant to damages, both in terms of the harm to Yuga's brand and to willfulness
JTX-2035	RIPPSCAHEN00001655- RIPPSCAHEN00001655	Ripps	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	This document represents commentary from third parties about RR/BAYC They are not offered for the truth, but to show the impact the project had and the way it was understood by others in the marketplace These factors are relevant to damages, both in terms of the harm to Yuga's brand and to willfulness
JTX-2036	RIPPSCAHEN00001687- RIPPSCAHEN00001687	Ripps	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	This document represents commentary from third parties about RR/BAYC They are not offered for the truth, but to show the impact the project had and the way it was understood by others in the marketplace These factors are relevant to damages, both in terms of the harm to Yuga's brand and to willfulness
JTX-2037	RIPPSCAHEN00001737- RIPPSCAHEN00001737	Ripps	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	This document represents commentary from third parties about RR/BAYC They are not offered for the truth, but to show the impact the project had and the way it was understood by others in the marketplace These factors are relevant to damages, both in terms of the harm to Yuga's brand and to willfulness

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2038	RIPPSCAHEN00001786- RIPPSCAHEN00001786	Ripps	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>This document represents commentary from third parties about RR/BAYC They are not offered for the truth, but to show the impact the project had and the way it was understood by others in the marketplace These factors are relevant to damages, both in terms of the harm to Yuga's brand and to willfulness</p>
JTX-2039	RIPPSCAHEN00001869- RIPPSCAHEN00001869	Ripps	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>This document represents commentary from third parties about RR/BAYC They are not offered for the truth, but to show the impact the project had and the way it was understood by others in the marketplace These factors are relevant to damages, both in terms of the harm to Yuga's brand and to willfulness</p>
JTX-2040	RIPPSCAHEN00015992- RIPPSCAHEN00015993	Ripps, Cahen, Lehman, Hickman, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is prejudicial in that it includes false and misleading information, including regarding unrelated proceedings, such that any relevance outweighs its prejudicial value FRE 403 This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403</p> <p>This exhibit contains information that is further irrelevant as Defendants' claims on these issues have been dismissed FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the information therein or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses, or any portion of third-party comments are otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga, including its offering of unregistered securities and investigation by the SEC /// Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market // Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated webpage page and is not incomplete /// Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of articles tying Yuga to an SEC Investigation</p>
JTX-2041	RIPPSCAHEN00016204- RIPPSCAHEN00016208	Ripps, Cahen, Lehman, Hickman, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is prejudicial in that it includes false and misleading information, including regarding unrelated proceedings, such that any relevance outweighs its prejudicial value FRE 403 This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403</p> <p>This exhibit contains information that is further irrelevant as Defendants' claims on these issues have been dismissed FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the information therein or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses, or any portion of third-party comments are otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga, including its offering of unregistered securities and investigation by the SEC /// Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market // Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated webpage page and is not incomplete /// Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of articles tying Yuga to an SEC Investigation</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2042	RIPPSCAHEN00016955- RIPPSCAHEN00016956	Ripps, Cahen, Lehman, Hickman, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is prejudicial in that it includes false and misleading information, including regarding unrelated proceedings, such that any relevance outweighs its prejudicial value FRE 403 This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403</p> <p>This exhibit contains information that is further irrelevant as Defendants' claims on these issues have been dismissed FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the information therein or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses, or any portion of third-party comments are otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga, including its offering of unregistered securities and investigation by the SEC // Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market // Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated webpage page and is not incomplete // Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of articles tying Yuga to an SEC Investigation</p>
JTX-2043	RIPPSCAHEN00017064- RIPPSCAHEN00017065	Ripps, Cahen, Lehman, Hickman, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is prejudicial in that it includes false and misleading information, including regarding unrelated proceedings, such that any relevance outweighs its prejudicial value FRE 403 This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403</p> <p>This exhibit contains information that is further irrelevant as Defendants' claims on these issues have been dismissed FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the information therein or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses, or any portion of third-party comments are otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga, including its offering of unregistered securities and investigation by the SEC // Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market // Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated webpage page and is not incomplete // Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of articles tying Yuga to an SEC Investigation</p>
JTX-2044	RIPPSCAHEN00025221- RIPPSCAHEN00025229	Ripps, Cahen, Lehman, Hickman, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant as it shows public information about benefits provided to BAYC holders, which goes to willfulness and intent because it demonstrates the basis for Defendants state of mind in criticizing Yuga //Rule of Completeness: The document shows the entire webpage and therefore there is no completeness problem //Foundation: Defendants can lay the foundation for and authenticate this document through their knowledge of and interaction with a public website //Hearsay: This document is not hearsay as it is being used to show that a statement was made and effect on the listener, and not for the truth of the matter asserted</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2045	RIPPSCAHEN0000866- RIPPSCAHEN0000869	Ripps, Cahen, Lehman, Hickman, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses, or any portion of third-party comments are otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant as it shows public information about Defendant Ripps, which goes to willfulness and intent because it demonstrates the basis for Defendants state of mind in creating art and have an expectation that his work is received as art //Rule of Completeness: The document shows the entire webpage and therefore there is no completeness problem //Foundation: Defendants can lay the foundation for and authenticate this document through their knowledge of and interaction with a public website //Hearsay: This document is not hearsay as it is being used to show that a statement was made and effect on the listener, and not for the truth of the matter asserted</p>
JTX-2046	RIPPSCAHEN00025244- RIPPSCAHEN00025244	Muniz, Aronow, Atalay, Oseary, Solano, Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant as it shows public information about the use of BAYC Marks before Yuga's first use, which goes to willfulness and intent because it demonstrates the basis for Defendants state of mind in criticizing Yuga and use of BAYC Marks //Rule of Completeness: The document shows the entire webpage and therefore there is no completeness problem //Foundation: Defendants can lay the foundation for and authenticate this document through their knowledge of and interaction with a public website //Hearsay: This document is not hearsay as it is being used to show that a statement was made and effect on the listener, and not for the truth of the matter asserted</p>
JTX-2047	RIPPSCAHEN00025245- RIPPSCAHEN00025245	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a)</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant as it shows public information about the use of BAYC Marks before Yuga's first use, which goes to willfulness and intent because it demonstrates the basis for Defendants state of mind in criticizing Yuga and use of BAYC Marks //Rule of Completeness: The document shows the entire webpage and therefore there is no completeness problem //Foundation: Defendants can lay the foundation for and authenticate this document through their knowledge of and interaction with a public website //Hearsay: This document is not hearsay as it is being used to show that a statement was made and effect on the listener, and not for the truth of the matter asserted</p>
JTX-2048	RIPPSCAHEN00025325- RIPPSCAHEN00025329	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a)</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant as it shows public information about the use of BAYC Marks before Yuga's first use, which goes to willfulness and intent because it demonstrates the basis for Defendants state of mind in criticizing Yuga and use of BAYC Marks //Rule of Completeness: The document shows the entire webpage and therefore there is no completeness problem //Foundation: Defendants can lay the foundation for and authenticate this document through their knowledge of and interaction with a public website //Hearsay: This document is not hearsay as it is being used to show that a statement was made and effect on the listener, and not for the truth of the matter asserted</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2049	RIPPSCAHEN00020734- RIPPSCAHEN00020734	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Muniz, Oseary, Aronow, Solano, Atalay	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602 This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant as it shows public information on etherscan about the transfer of rights in BAYC Marks to the ApeCoin DAO, which goes to willfulness and intent because it demonstrates the basis for Defendants state of mind in criticizing Yuga and use of BAYC Marks //Rule of Completeness: The document shows the entire webpage and therefore there is no completeness problem //Foundation: Defendants can lay the foundation for and authenticate this document through their knowledge of and interaction with a public website //Hearsay: This document is not hearsay as it is being used to show effect on the listener, and not for the truth of the matter asserted</p>
JTX-2050	RIPPSCAHEN00020735- RIPPSCAHEN00020736	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Muniz, Oseary, Aronow, Solano, Atalay	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a)</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant as it shows public information on an NFT transfer that purports to transfer rights in BAYC Marks to the ApeCoin DAO, which goes to willfulness and intent because it demonstrates the basis for Defendants state of mind in criticizing Yuga and use of BAYC Marks //Rule of Completeness: The document shows the entire webpage and therefore there is no completeness problem //Foundation: Defendants can lay the foundation for and authenticate this document through their knowledge of and interaction with a public website //Hearsay: This document is not hearsay as it is being used to show effect on the listener, and not for the truth of the matter asserted</p>
JTX-2051	RIPPSCAHEN00025312- RIPPSCAHEN00025312	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman, Oseary, Atalay, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a)</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 This exhibit lacks any identifying date or URL to authenticate To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant as it shows public information about the value of benefits provided to BAYC holders, which goes to willfulness and intent because it demonstrates the basis for Defendants state of mind in criticizing Yuga //Rule of Completeness: The document shows the entire webpage and therefore there is no completeness problem //Foundation: Defendants can lay the foundation for and authenticate this document through their knowledge of and interaction with a public website //Hearsay: This document is not hearsay as it is being used to show that a statement was made and effect on the listener, and not for the truth of the matter asserted</p>
JTX-2052	RIPPSCAHEN00020821- RIPPSCAHEN00020824	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a)</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others//Rule of Completeness: The document shows the entire webpage and therefore there is no completeness problem //Foundation: Defendants can lay the foundation for and authenticate this document through their knowledge of and interaction with a public website //Hearsay: This document is not hearsay as it is being used to show that a statement was made and effect on the listener, and not for the truth of the matter asserted</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2053	RIPPSCAHEN00020919- RIPPSCAHEN00020919	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a)</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others //Rule of Completeness: The document shows the entire webpage and therefore there is no completeness problem //Foundation: Defendants can lay the foundation for and authenticate this document through their knowledge of and interaction with a public website //Hearsay: This document is not hearsay as it is being used to show that a statement was made and effect on the listener, and not for the truth of the matter asserted</p>
JTX-2054	RIPPSCAHEN00025210- RIPPSCAHEN00025211	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a)</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others //Rule of Completeness: The document shows the entire webpage and therefore there is no completeness problem //Foundation: Defendants can lay the foundation for and authenticate this document through their knowledge of and interaction with a public website //Hearsay: This document is not hearsay as it is being used to show that a statement was made and effect on the listener, and not for the truth of the matter asserted</p>
JTX-2055	RIPPSCAHEN00025252- RIPPSCAHEN00025253	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a)</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others //Rule of Completeness: The document shows the entire webpage and therefore there is no completeness problem //Foundation: Defendants can lay the foundation for and authenticate this document through their knowledge of and interaction with a public website //Hearsay: This document is not hearsay as it is being used to show that a statement was made and effect on the listener, and not for the truth of the matter asserted</p>
JTX-2056	RIPPSCAHEN00025254- RIPPSCAHEN00025259	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a)</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others /// Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market // Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete /// Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other NFT collections using Yuga's marks and effect on state of mind</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2057	RIPPSCAHEN00025260- RIPPSCAHEN00025261	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a)</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other NFT collections using Yuga's marks and effect on state of mind</p>
JTX-2058	RIPPSCAHEN00025262- RIPPSCAHEN00025263	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a)</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other NFT collections using Yuga's marks and effect on state of mind</p>
JTX-2059	RIPPSCAHEN00025299- RIPPSCAHEN00025303	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a)</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other NFT collections using Yuga's marks and effect on state of mind</p>
JTX-2060	RIPPSCAHEN00025282- RIPPSCAHEN00025283	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a)</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other NFT collections using Yuga's marks and effect on state of mind</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2061	RIPPSCAHEN00025284- RIPPSCAHEN00025286	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a)</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other NFT collections using Yuga's marks and effect on state of mind</p>
JTX-2062	RIPPSCAHEN00025289- RIPPSCAHEN00025290	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a)</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other NFT collections using Yuga's marks and effect on state of mind</p>
JTX-2063	RIPPSCAHEN00025287- RIPPSCAHEN00025288	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a)</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other NFT collections using Yuga's marks and effect on state of mind</p>
JTX-2064	RIPPSCAHEN00025291- RIPPSCAHEN00025292	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a)</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other NFT collections using Yuga's marks and effect on state of mind</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2065	RIPPSCAHEN00025293- RIPPSCAHEN00025294	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a)</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other NFT collections using Yuga's marks and effect on state of mind</p>
JTX-2066	RIPPSCAHEN00025295- RIPPSCAHEN00025296	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a)</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other NFT collections using Yuga's marks and effect on state of mind</p>
JTX-2067	RIPPSCAHEN00025346- RIPPSCAHEN00025348	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a)</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other NFT collections using Yuga's marks and effect on state of mind</p>
JTX-2068	RIPPSCAHEN00025297- RIPPSCAHEN00025298	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a)</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other NFT collections using Yuga's marks and effect on state of mind</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2069	RIPPSCAHEN00025289- RIPPSCAHEN00025290	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a)</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other NFT collections using Yuga's marks and effect on state of mind</p>
JTX-2070	RIPPSCAHEN00025319- RIPPSCAHEN00025324	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a)</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other NFT collections using Yuga's marks and effect on state of mind</p>
JTX-2071	RIPPSCAHEN00025306- RIPPSCAHEN00025307	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a)</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other NFT collections using Yuga's marks and effect on state of mind</p>
JTX-2072	RIPPSCAHEN00025308- RIPPSCAHEN00025309	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a)</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other NFT collections using Yuga's marks and effect on state of mind</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2073	RIPPSCAHEN00025310- RIPPSCAHEN00025311	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a)</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other NFT collections using Yuga's marks and effect on state of mind</p>
JTX-2074	RIPPSCAHEN00025351- RIPPSCAHEN00025370	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a)</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other NFT collections using Yuga's marks and effect on state of mind</p>
JTX-2075	RIPPSCAHEN00018890- RIPPSCAHEN00018890	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a)</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 This is not an authentic exhibit as it is a document created by counsel or Defendants It is not evidence that exists independently of counsel's or Defendants' creation To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others and well as widescale third party use of the BAYC Marks which goes to Defendants state of mind ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the document //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated image ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for showing the statement mind as evidenced in the creation of this document and effect on state of mind</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2076	RIPPSCAHEN00017081- RIPPSCAHEN00017081	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a)</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge use of BAYC Marks //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated website and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of third party use of BAYC Marks and effect on state of mind</p>
JTX-2077	RIPPSCAHEN00017194- RIPPSCAHEN00017194	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a)</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 The authenticity of this exhibit cannot be confirmed or assessed because its origin and sourcing information are unknown To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge use of BAYC Marks //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated image and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of third party use of BAYC Marks and effect on state of mind</p>
JTX-2078	RIPPSCAHEN00017246- RIPPSCAHEN00017246	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a)</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others and Defendants' state of mind ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge use of BAYC Marks //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated image and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of third party use of BAYC Marks and effect on state of mind</p>
JTX-2079	RIPPSCAHEN00017224- RIPPSCAHEN00017226	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a)</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others and Defendants' state of mind ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge use of BAYC Marks //</p> <p>Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated image and is not incomplete ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of third party use of BAYC Marks and effect on state of mind</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2080	RIPPSCAHEN00017210- RIPPSCAHEN00017210	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a)</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others and Defendants' state of mind /// Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge use of BAYC Marks // Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated image and is not incomplete /// Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of third party use of BAYC Marks and effect on state of mind</p>
JTX-2081	RIPPSCAHEN00018547- RIPPSCAHEN00018547	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a)</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 The authenticity of this exhibit cannot be confirmed or assessed because its origin and sourcing information are unknown To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others and Defendants' state of mind /// Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge use of BAYC Marks // Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated image and is not incomplete /// Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of third party use of BAYC Marks and effect on state of mind</p>
JTX-2082	RIPPSCAHEN00017258- RIPPSCAHEN00017267	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a)</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others and Defendants' state of mind /// Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge use of BAYC Marks // Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated webpage and is not incomplete /// Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of third party use of BAYC Marks and effect on state of mind</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2083	RIPPSCAHEN00018558- RIPPSCAHEN00018558	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a)</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 The authenticity of this exhibit cannot be confirmed or assessed because its origin and sourcing information are unknown To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others and Defendants' state of mind /// Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge use of BAYC Marks // Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated image and is not incomplete /// Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of third party use of BAYC Marks and effect on state of mind</p>
JTX-2084	RIPPSCAHEN00018559- RIPPSCAHEN00018559	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a)</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others and Defendants' state of mind /// Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge use of BAYC Marks // Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated image/tweet and is not incomplete /// Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of third party use of BAYC Marks and effect on state of mind</p>
JTX-2085	RIPPSCAHEN00021149- RIPPSCAHEN00021175	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman Muniz, O'Laughlin, Kindler, Berger, Atalay, Aronow, Solano	<p>This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403</p>	<p>Relevance/Prejudice/Mode: This document is an accurate capture of the first few pages of rrbayc com in a manner that resembles how the website actually appears on the internet and, thus, there is nothing misleading or prejudicial</p>
JTX-2086	RIPPSCAHEN00021219- RIPPSCAHEN00021219	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman, Muniz, O'Laughlin, Kindler, Berger, Atalay, Aronow, Solano	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented, especially to the extent it is not the complete website and there is no evidence this image was shown to consumers at the time of purchase FRE 106, 901, 403</p>	<p>Relevance: this document is relevant to Defendants state of mind and of the extent of damages /// Completeness: This document is a full capture of the disclaimer and there is evidence in the record showing that the disclaimer was shown to purchasers on rrbayc com and Twitter, where nearly all sales occurred</p>
JTX-2087	RIPPSCAHEN00019988- RIPPSCAHEN00019988	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman, Muniz, O'Laughlin, Kindler, Berger, Atalay, Aronow, Solano	<p>This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403</p>	<p>Relevance/Prejudice/Mode: The exhibit is not misleading because it is a full screen capture of the the Foundation page for RR/BAYC NFTs as shown on the Wayback Machine</p>
JTX-2088	RIPPSCAHEN00020804- RIPPSCAHEN00020805	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman, Muniz, Atalay, Aronow, Solano	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403</p>	<p>Relevant: The exhibit is relevant because it shows the terms for BAYC NFTs that informed Defendants' and the publics' state of mind regarding rights associated with RR/BAYC NFTs This exhibit is further relevant as to damages /// Completeness: This exhibit is a full and accurate capture of the terms and conditions for BAYC NFTs</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2089	RIPPSCAHEN00019869- RIPPSCAHEN00019869	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman, Muniz, Atalay, Aronow, Solano	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented, especially to the extent it is not the complete website FRE 106, 401, 602, 611(a), 901, 403 This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901	Relevances: This exhibit is relevant to damages as is relates to overlapping markets // Completeness: This exhibit is a full and accurate screenshot of the Wayback Machin page for the initial list of BAYC NFTs on Foundation // Foundation: Yuga's founders and the Defendants can lay Foundation regarding this publicly available information on a public website that at least the Defendants have accessed in the past
JTX-2090	RIPPSCAHEN00020596- RIPPSCAHEN00020597	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz, Aronow, Solano, Atalay	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented, especially to the extent it is not the complete website FRE 106, 401, 602, 611(a), 901, 403 This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901	Relevances: This exhibit is relevant to damages as is relates to overlapping markets // Completeness: This exhibit is a full and accurate screenshot of the Wayback Machin page for the initial list of BAYC NFTs on Foundation // Foundation: Yuga's founders and the Defendants can lay Foundation regarding this publicly available information on a public website that at least the Defendants have accessed in the past
JTX-2091	RIPPSCAHEN00020829- RIPPSCAHEN00020830	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz, Aronow, Solano, Atalay	This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 602, 611(a), 901, 403 This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Misleading: This exhibit is not misleading because it is a accurate capture of the Etherscan NFT page for BAYC 3721 /// Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to damages as it shows how BAYC NFTs appeared in the market and to consumers // Hearsay: This document isn not hearsy because it is not being offered for the truth of the matter asserted It is being offered as a record of the marketplace of NFTs as well as for effect on state of mind
JTX-2092	RIPPSCAHEN00025264- RIPPSCAHEN00025264	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz, Aronow, Solano, Atalay	This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 602, 611(a), 901, 403 Indeed, images from the exhibit appear to be missing This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Misleading: This exhibit is not misleading because it is a accurate capture of the Etherscan NFT page for RR?BAYC 193 /// Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to damages as it shows how BAYC NFTs appeared in the market and to consumers // Hearsay: This document isn not hearsy because it is not being offered for the truth of the matter asserted It is being offered as a record of the marketplace of NFTs as well as for effect on state of mind
JTX-2093	RIPPSCAHEN00016832- RIPPSCAHEN00016844	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz, Hickman, Aronow, Solano, Oseary	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 602, 611(a), 901, 403 This exhibit includes multiple unrelated Tweets, not relevant to this case, all combined together in single document, which is misleading and an improper exhibit to which there is no foundation FRE 401, 402, 611(a), 602 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This evidence is relevant to damages and willfulness /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a tweet by Defendants and response commentary No unrelated tweets are included in this document This document presents tweets that are presented together on the same webpage on twitter /// Hearsay: this document not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred and of Defendants' state of mind
JTX-2094	RIPPSCAHEN00016868- RIPPSCAHEN00016872	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman, Muniz	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 602, 611(a), 901, 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This evidence is relevant to damages and willfulness /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a tweet by Defendants and response commentary No unrelated tweets are included in this document This document presents tweets that are presented together on the same webpage on twitter /// Hearsay: this document not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred and of Defendants' state of mind

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2095	RIPPSCAHEN00018818- RIPPSCAHEN00018818	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman, Muniz, O'Laughlin	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 602, 611(a), 901, 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This evidence is relevant to damages and willfulness /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a tweet by Defendants and response commentary No unrelated tweets are included in this document This document presents a full and accurate depiction of a Tweet by Defendants /// Hearsay: this document not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred and of Defendants' state of mind
JTX-2096	RIPPSCAHEN00019015- RIPPSCAHEN00019015	Ripps, Cahen	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 602, 611(a), 901, 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This evidence is relevant to damages and willfulness /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a tweet by Defendants and response commentary No unrelated tweets are included in this document This document presents a full and accurate depiction of a Tweet by Defendants /// Hearsay: this document not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred and of Defendants' state of mind
JTX-2097	RIPPSCAHEN00019017- RIPPSCAHEN00019017	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman, Solano, Aronow, Atalay, Muniz	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 602, 611(a), 901, 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This evidence is relevant to damages and willfulness /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a tweet by Defendants and response commentary No unrelated tweets are included in this document This document presents a full and accurate depiction of a Tweet by Defendants /// Hearsay: this document not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred and of Defendants' state of mind
JTX-2098	RIPPSCAHEN00019521- RIPPSCAHEN00019526	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 602, 611(a), 901, 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This evidence is relevant to damages and willfulness /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a tweet by Defendants and response commentary No unrelated tweets are included in this document This document presents tweets that are presented together on the same webpage on Twitter /// Hearsay: this document not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred and of Defendants' state of mind
JTX-2099	RIPPSCAHEN00017520- RIPPSCAHEN00017520	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman, O'Laughlin, Berger, Kindler	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 602, 611(a), 901, 403 Indeed, an image contained in this exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented, especially to the extent it is not the complete website and there is no evidence this image was shown to consumers at the time of purchase FRE 106, 901, 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This evidence is relevant to damages and willfulness /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a tweet by Defendants and response commentary No unrelated tweets are included in this document This document presents a full and accurate depiction of a Tweet by Defendants /// Hearsay: this document not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred and of Defendants' state of mind

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2100	RIPPSCAHEN00017521- RIPPSCAHEN00017521	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman, O'Laughlin, Berger, Kindler	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 602, 611(a), 901, 403 Indeed, an image contained in this exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented, especially to the extent it is not the complete website and there is no evidence this image was shown to consumers at the time of purchase FRE 106, 901, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This evidence is relevant to damages and willfulness /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a tweet by Defendants and response commentary No unrelated tweets are included in this document This document presents a full and accurate depiction of a Tweet by Defendants /// Hearsay: this document not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred and of Defendants' state of mind</p>
JTX-2101	RIPPSCAHEN00017522- RIPPSCAHEN00017522	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman, O'Laughlin, Berger, Kindler	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 602, 611(a), 901, 403 Indeed, an image contained in this exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented, especially to the extent it is not the complete website and there is no evidence this image was shown to consumers at the time of purchase FRE 106, 901, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This evidence is relevant to damages and willfulness /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a tweet by Defendants and response commentary No unrelated tweets are included in this document This document presents a full and accurate depiction of a Tweet by Defendants /// Hearsay: this document not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred and of Defendants' state of mind</p>
JTX-2102	RIPPSCAHEN00017523- RIPPSCAHEN00017523	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman, O'Laughlin, Berger, Kindler	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 602, 611(a), 901, 403 Indeed, an image contained in this exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented, especially to the extent it is not the complete website and there is no evidence this image was shown to consumers at the time of purchase FRE 106, 901, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This evidence is relevant to damages and willfulness /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a tweet by Defendants and response commentary No unrelated tweets are included in this document This document presents a full and accurate depiction of a Tweet by Defendants /// Hearsay: this document not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred and of Defendants' state of mind</p>
JTX-2103	RIPPSCAHEN00017526- RIPPSCAHEN00017526	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman, O'Laughlin, Berger, Kindler	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 602, 611(a), 901, 403 Indeed, an image contained in this exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented, especially to the extent it is not the complete website and there is no evidence this image was shown to consumers at the time of purchase FRE 106, 901, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This evidence is relevant to damages and willfulness /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a tweet by Defendants and response commentary No unrelated tweets are included in this document This document presents a full and accurate depiction of a Tweet by Defendants /// Hearsay: this document not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred and of Defendants' state of mind</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2104	RIPPSCAHEN00017525- RIPPSCAHEN00017525	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman, O'Laughlin, Berger, Kindler	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 602, 611(a), 901, 403 Indeed, an image contained in this exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented, especially to the extent it is not the complete website and there is no evidence this image was shown to consumers at the time of purchase FRE 106, 901, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This evidence is relevant to damages and willfulness /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a tweet by Defendants and response commentary No unrelated tweets are included in this document This document presents a full and accurate depiction of a Tweet by Defendants /// Hearsay: this document not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred and of Defendants' state of mind</p>
JTX-2105	RIPPSCAHEN00017524- RIPPSCAHEN00017524	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman, O'Laughlin, Berger, Kindler	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 602, 611(a), 901, 403 Indeed, an image contained in this exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented, especially to the extent it is not the complete website and there is no evidence this image was shown to consumers at the time of purchase FRE 106, 901, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This evidence is relevant to damages and willfulness /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a tweet by Defendants and response commentary No unrelated tweets are included in this document This document presents a full and accurate depiction of a Tweet by Defendants /// Hearsay: this document not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred and of Defendants' state of mind</p>
JTX-2106	RIPPSCAHEN00022427- RIPPSCAHEN00022451	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz, O'Laughlin, Berger, Kindler	<p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a)</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This evidence is relevant to damages /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post No unrelated tweets are included in this document This document presents a full and accurate depiction of a Tweet shown on the same webpage as this post /// Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this public post based on their personal knowledge /// Hearsay: this document not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred</p>
JTX-2107	RIPPSCAHEN00022452- RIPPSCAHEN00022452	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz, O'Laughlin, Berger, Kindler	<p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a)</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This evidence is relevant to damages /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post No unrelated tweets are included in this document This document presents a full and accurate depiction of a Tweet shown on the same webpage as this post /// Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this public post based on their personal knowledge /// Hearsay: this document not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred</p>
JTX-2108	RIPPSCAHEN00022513- RIPPSCAHEN00022513	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz, O'Laughlin, Berger, Kindler	<p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a)</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This evidence is relevant to damages /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post No unrelated tweets are included in this document This document presents a full and accurate depiction of a Tweet shown on the same webpage as this post /// Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this public post based on their personal knowledge /// Hearsay: this document not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2109	RIPPSCAHEN00022684- RIPPSCAHEN00022684	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz, O'Laughlin, Berger, Kindler	This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a) This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This evidence is relevant to damages /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post No unrelated tweets are included in this document This document presents a full and accurate depiction of a Tweet shown on the same webpage as this post /// Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this public post based on their personal knowledge /// Hearsay: this document not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred
JTX-2110	RIPPSCAHEN00022685- RIPPSCAHEN00022685	Muniz, Ripps, Cahen, O'Laughlin, Kindler, Berger	This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a) This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This evidence is relevant to damages /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post No unrelated tweets are included in this document This document presents a full and accurate depiction of a Tweet shown on the same webpage as this post /// Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this public post based on their personal knowledge /// Hearsay: this document not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred
JTX-2111	RIPPSCAHEN00022453- RIPPSCAHEN00022454	Ripps, Cahen	This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a) This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This evidence is relevant to damages /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post No unrelated tweets are included in this document This document presents a full and accurate depiction of a Tweet shown on the same webpage as this post /// Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this public post based on their personal knowledge /// Hearsay: this document not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred
JTX-2112	RIPPSCAHEN00022455- RIPPSCAHEN00022473	Ripps, Cahen	This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a) This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This evidence is relevant to damages /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post No unrelated tweets are included in this document This document presents a full and accurate depiction of a Tweet shown on the same webpage as this post /// Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this public post based on their personal knowledge /// Hearsay: this document not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred
JTX-2113	RIPPSCAHEN00015350- RIPPSCAHEN00015350	Ripps, O'Laughlin	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 602, 611(a), 901, 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This evidence is relevant to damages /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of Twitter messages /// Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for based on their personal knowledge /// Hearsay: this document not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred
JTX-2114	RIPPSCAHEN00015351- RIPPSCAHEN00015351	Ripps, Cahen, O'Laughlin	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a) This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This evidence is relevant to damages /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post No unrelated tweets are included in this document This document presents a full and accurate depiction of a Tweet shown on the same webpage as this post /// Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this public post based on their personal knowledge /// Hearsay: this document not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2115	RIPPSCAHEN00015353- RIPPSCAHEN00015353	Ripps, Cahen, O'Laughlin	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a) This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This evidence is relevant to damages /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post No unrelated tweets are included in this document This document presents a full and accurate depiction of a Tweet shown on the same webpage as this post /// Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this public post based on their personal knowledge /// Hearsay: this document not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred
JTX-2116	RIPPSCAHEN00015356- RIPPSCAHEN00015356	Ripps, Cahen, O'Laughlin	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a) This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This evidence is relevant to damages /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post No unrelated tweets are included in this document This document presents a full and accurate depiction of a Tweet shown on the same webpage as this post /// Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this public post based on their personal knowledge /// Hearsay: this document not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred
JTX-2117	RIPPSCAHEN00015335- RIPPSCAHEN00015335	Ripps, Cahen	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a) This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This evidence is relevant to damages /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post No unrelated tweets are included in this document This document presents a full and accurate depiction of a Tweet shown on the same webpage as this post /// Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this public post based on their personal knowledge /// Hearsay: this document not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred
JTX-2118	RIPPSCAHEN00022479- RIPPSCAHEN00022479	Ripps, Cahen	This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a) This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This evidence is relevant to damages /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post No unrelated tweets are included in this document This document presents a full and accurate depiction of a Tweet shown on the same webpage as this post /// Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this public post based on their personal knowledge /// Hearsay: this document not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred
JTX-2119	RIPPSCAHEN00022493- RIPPSCAHEN00022493	Ripps, Cahen	This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a) This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This evidence is relevant to damages /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post No unrelated tweets are included in this document This document presents a full and accurate depiction of a Tweet shown on the same webpage as this post /// Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this public post based on their personal knowledge /// Hearsay: this document not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2120	RIPPSCAHEN00022686- RIPPSCAHEN00022686	Ripps, Cahen	This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a) This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This evidence is relevant to damages /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a comment on a Twitter post This document shows a full and accurate depiction of the comment and related tweets, as shown on Twitter /// Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this public post based on their personal knowledge /// Hearsay: this document not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred
JTX-2121	RIPPSCAHEN00040400- RIPPSCAHEN00040400	Ripps, Cahen	This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a) This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This evidence is relevant to damages /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a comment on a Twitter post This document shows a full and accurate depiction of the comment and related tweets, as shown on Twitter /// Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this public post based on their personal knowledge /// Hearsay: this document not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred
JTX-2122	RIPPSCAHEN00017539- RIPPSCAHEN00017539	Ripps, Cahen, Solano, Muniz	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a) This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This evidence is relevant to damages and willfulness /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a comment on a Twitter post /// Foundation: Greg Solano and Defendants can lay foundation for this public post based on their personal knowledge /// Hearsay: this document not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred
JTX-2123	RIPPSCAHEN00025330- RIPPSCAHEN00025330	Muniz, Solano, Aronow, Atalay, Ripps, Cahen,	Subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 602, 611(a), 901, 403 This exhibit lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This evidence is relevant to damages and willfulness /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post /// Foundation: Yuga, through its corporate representative and founders, as well as Defendants can lay foundation for this public post based on their personal knowledge /// Hearsay: this document not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred
JTX-2124	RIPPSCAHEN00017268- RIPPSCAHEN00017269	Muniz, Solano, Aronow, Atalay, Ripps, Cahen	Subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 602, 611(a), 901, 403 This exhibit lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This evidence is relevant to damages and willfulness /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post /// Foundation: Yuga, through its corporate representative and founders, as well as Defendants can lay foundation for this public post based on their personal knowledge /// Hearsay: this document not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred
JTX-2125	RIPPSCAHEN00020426- RIPPSCAHEN00020426	Muniz, Solano, Aronow, Atalay, Ripps, Cahen	This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403	Relevance/Prejudice/Mode: This exhibit is not misleading It is a correct and accurate capture of a public Twitter post made by Yuga official Twitter account

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2126	RIPPSCAHEN00020425- RIPPSCAHEN00020425	Muniz, Solano, Aronow, Atalay, Ripps, Cahen	This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403	Relevance/Prejudice/Mode: This exhibit is not misleading It is a correct and accurate capture of a public Twitter post made by Yuga official Twitter account
JTX-2127	RIPPSCAHEN00020427- RIPPSCAHEN00020427	Muniz, Solano, Aronow, Atalay, Ripps, Cahen	This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403	Relevance/Prejudice/Mode: This exhibit is not misleading It is a correct and accurate capture of a public Twitter post made by Yuga official Twitter account
JTX-2128	RIPPSCAHEN00020428- RIPPSCAHEN00020428	Muniz, Solano, Aronow, Atalay, Ripps, Cahen	This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403	Relevance/Prejudice/Mode: This exhibit is not misleading It is a correct and accurate capture of a public Twitter post made by Yuga official Twitter account
JTX-2129	RIPPSCAHEN00020414- RIPPSCAHEN00020414	Muniz, Solano, Aronow, Atalay, Oseary, Ripps, Cahen	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403	Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to Defendants' state of mind/willfulness /// Prejudice/Mode: This exhibit is not misleading It is a correct and accurate capture of a public Twitter post made by Yuga official Twitter account
JTX-2130	RIPPSCAHEN00020423- RIPPSCAHEN00020423	Muniz, Solano, Aronow, Atalay, Ripps, Cahen	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403	Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to Defendants' state of mind/willfulness /// Prejudice/Mode: This exhibit is not misleading It is a correct and accurate capture of a public Twitter post made by Yuga official Twitter account
JTX-2131	RIPPSCAHEN00020422- RIPPSCAHEN00020422	Muniz, Solano, Aronow, Atalay, Ripps, Cahen	This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403	Relevance/Prejudice/Mode: This exhibit is not misleading It is a correct and accurate capture of a public Twitter post made by Yuga official Twitter account
JTX-2132	RIPPSCAHEN00019960- RIPPSCAHEN00019987	Ripps, Cahen	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403 This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This evidence is relevant to damages /// Misleading: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a public Twitter post /// Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this public post based on their personal knowledge /// Hearsay: this document not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred
JTX-2133	Defendants' Exhibit to Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment Exhibit 238	Muniz, Ripps, Cahen	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a) Indeed, this exhibit contains only a portion of the full video This exhibit contains nonparty information for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to damages and willfulness /// Foundation: Yuga's corporate representative and Defendants can both lay foundation for this public video based on their personal knowledge /// Authentication: Yuga's corporate representative and Defendants can both confirm/verify the authenticity of the video and that the events in the video in fact did take place /// Hearsay: this exhibit is not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred and also evidence of effect on listeners and state of mind of Defendants
JTX-2134	RIPPSCAHEN00021022- RIPPSCAHEN00021022	Ripps, Cahen	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a) This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 The authenticity of this exhibit cannot be confirmed or assessed because its origin and sourcing information are unknown To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevant: This exhibit is relevant to damages and willfulness /// Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this exhibit based on their personal knowledge /// Incompleteness: This exhibit is a true and accurate version of publicly available third party materials created/displayed in connection with a third party brand and/or product /// Hearsay: This exhibit is not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity and brought in to show effect on the listener

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2135	RIPPSCAHEN00016301- RIPPSCAHEN00016308	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman, Aronow, Solano, Atalay, Oseary, Muniz	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others /// Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders or through Defendants themselves who have personal knowledge of the NFT market /// Incomplete: The document is a fully incorporated opensea page and is not incomplete /// Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other NFT collections using Yuga's marks and effect on state of mind
JTX-2136	RIPPSCAHEN00025314- RIPPSCAHEN00025314	Solano	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of a statement is proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This document is related to damages and willfulness as supporting that Yuga was no longer released Bored Ape NFTs under the marks /// Misleading: The exhibits is an accurate capture of a twitter post made by Greg Solano /// The statement is not hearsay because it is not being brought in for truth of the matter asserted It is being brought in to shows the existence of public activity and effect on the listeners It is also a party admission
JTX-2137	RIPPSCAHEN00016193- RIPPSCAHEN00016198	Muniz, Aronow, Solano, Atalay, Ripps, Cahen, Hickman	This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403	Misleading: This exhibit is an accurate capture
JTX-2138	Defendants' Exhibit to Anti-SLAPP Motion to Strike and Motion to Dismiss Exhibit 1	Ripps	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a) This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales, how the information was compiled, or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 This exhibit includes multiple unrelated links to websites, not relevant to this case, all combined together in single document, which is misleading and an improper exhibit to which there is no foundation FRE 401, 402, 611(a), 602 This exhibit is hearsay, especially to the extent some unknown third party created the exhibit FRE 801, 802, 803 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: This exhibit is relevance to damages as evidence of third party use of the BAYC Marks /// Foundation: This exhibit is part of Defendant Ryder Ripps prior declaration and Defendant Ripps can lay foundation as to the document, the links in the document, its reliability, how it was created, and its relevance to the issues for trial /// Hearsay: This document is not being brought in to proved teh truth of the matter asserted in an out of court statement This document is being brought in to show Defendants' state of mind and the existence of public activity
JTX-2139	Defendants' Exhibit to Anti-SLAPP Motion to Strike and Motion to Dismiss Exhibit 3	Ripps	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses, or any portion of third-party comments are otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to damages and willfulness /// Misleading: The document is a full and accurate capture of a publicly available publication /// Hearsay: this document is not being brought in to offer the truth of teh matter asserted It is evidence for the existence of certain public activity and as evidence supporting Defendants' state of mind
JTX-2140	Defendants' Exhibit to Anti-SLAPP Motion to Strike and Motion to Dismiss Exhibit 4	Ripps	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses, or any portion of third-party comments are otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to damages and willfulness /// Misleading: The document is a full and accurate capture of a publicly available publication /// Hearsay: this document is not being brought in to offer the truth of teh matter asserted It is evidence for the existence of certain public activity and as evidence supporting Defendants' state of mind

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2141	Defendants' Exhibit to Anti-SLAPP Motion to Strike and Motion to Dismiss Exhibit 5	Ripps	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses, or any portion of third-party comments are otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to damages and willfulness // Misleading: The document is a full and accurate capture of a publicly available publication // Hearsay: this document is not being brought in to offer the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence for the existence of certain public activity and as evidence supporting Defendants' state of mind
JTX-2142	Defendants' Exhibit to Anti-SLAPP Motion to Strike and Motion to Dismiss Exhibit 6	Ripps	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses, or any portion of third-party comments are otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to damages and willfulness // Misleading: The document is a full and accurate capture of a publicly available publication // Hearsay: this document is not being brought in to offer the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence for the existence of certain public activity and as evidence supporting Defendants' state of mind
JTX-2143	Defendants' Exhibit to Anti-SLAPP Motion to Strike and Motion to Dismiss Exhibit 7	Ripps	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 602, 611(a), 901, 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses, or any portion of third-party comments are otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to damages and willfulness // Misleading: The document is a full and accurate capture of a publicly available publication // Hearsay: this document is not being brought in to offer the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence for the existence of certain public activity and as evidence supporting Defendants' state of mind
JTX-2144	Defendants' Exhibit to Anti-SLAPP Motion to Strike and Motion to Dismiss Exhibit 8	Ripps, Cahen	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses, or any portion of third-party comments are otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to damages and willfulness // Misleading: The document is a full and accurate capture of a publicly available publication // Hearsay: this document is not being brought in to offer the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence for the existence of certain public activity and as evidence supporting Defendants' state of mind
JTX-2145	Defendants' Exhibit to Anti-SLAPP Motion to Strike and Motion to Dismiss Exhibit 9	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403 This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses, or any portion of third-party comments are otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to damages and willfulness // Misleading: The exhibit is an full and accurate capture of a public website // Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation regarding this record of a publicly available webpage // Hearsay: The exhibit is not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is used to show that the statement existed and the effect it had on the listener and Defendants' state of mind
JTX-2146	Defendants' Exhibit to Anti-SLAPP Motion to Strike and Motion to Dismiss Exhibit 11	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403 This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses, or any portion of third-party comments are otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to damages and willfulness // Misleading: The exhibit is an full and accurate capture of a public webpage/article // Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation regarding this record of a publicly available webpage // Hearsay: The exhibit is not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is used to show that the statement existed and the effect it had on the listener and Defendants' state of mind

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2147	Defendants' Exhibit to Anti-SLAPP Motion to Strike and Motion to Dismiss Exhibit 12	Ripps, Cahen,	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses, or any portion of third-party comments are otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to damages and willfulness /// Misleading: The exhibit is an full and accurate capture of a public website /// Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation regarding this record of a publicly available webpage /// Hearsay: The exhibit is not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is used to show that the statement existed and the effect it had on the listener and Defendants' state of mind</p>
JTX-2148	Defendants' Exhibit to Anti-SLAPP Motion to Strike and Motion to Dismiss Exhibit 13	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses, or any portion of third-party comments are otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to damages and willfulness /// Misleading: The exhibit is an full and accurate capture of a public post /// Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation regarding this record of a publicly available activity /// Hearsay: The exhibit is not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is used to show that the statement existed and the effect it had on the listener and Defendants' state of mind</p>
JTX-2149	Defendants' Exhibit to Anti-SLAPP Motion to Strike and Motion to Dismiss Exhibit 14	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses, or any portion of third-party comments are otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to damages and willfulness /// Misleading: The exhibit is an full and accurate capture of a public post /// Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation regarding this record of a publicly available activity /// Hearsay: The exhibit is not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is used to show that the statement existed and the effect it had on the listener and Defendants' state of mind</p>
JTX-2150	Defendants' Exhibit to Anti-SLAPP Motion to Strike and Motion to Dismiss Exhibit 15	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses, or any portion of third-party comments are otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to damages and willfulness /// Misleading: The exhibit is an full and accurate capture of a public post /// Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation regarding this record of a publicly available activity /// Hearsay: The exhibit is not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is used to show that the statement existed and the effect it had on the listener and Defendants' state of mind</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2151	Defendants' Exhibit to Anti-SLAPP Motion to Strike and Motion to Dismiss Exhibit 16	Ripps, Cahen, Aronow	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 Indeed, the article appears to be created by an entity or individual that has hidden its identity</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses, or any portion of third-party comments are otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to damages and willfulness /// Misleading: The exhibit is an full and accurate capture of a public webpage /// Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation regarding this record of a publicly available activity /// Hearsay: The exhibit is not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is used to show that the statement existed and the effect it had on the listener and Defendants' state of mind</p>
JTX-2152	Defendants' Exhibit to Anti-SLAPP Motion to Strike and Motion to Dismiss Exhibit 17	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 Indeed, the article appears to be created by an entity or individual that has hidden its identity</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses, or any portion of third-party comments are otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to damages and willfulness /// Misleading: The exhibit is an full and accurate capture of a public webpage /// Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation regarding this record of a publicly available activity /// Hearsay: The exhibit is not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is used to show that the statement existed and the effect it had on the listener and Defendants' state of mind</p>
JTX-2153	Defendants' Exhibit to Anti-SLAPP Motion to Strike and Motion to Dismiss Exhibit 18	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses, or any portion of third-party comments are otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to damages and willfulness /// Misleading: The exhibit is an full and accurate capture of a public webpage /// Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation regarding this record of a publicly available activity /// Hearsay: The exhibit is not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is used to show that the statement existed and the effect it had on the listener and Defendants' state of mind</p>
JTX-2154	Defendants' Exhibit to Anti-SLAPP Motion to Strike and Motion to Dismiss Exhibit 19	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403</p> <p>This exhibit includes multiple unrelated Tweets, not relevant to this case, all combined together in single document, which is misleading and an improper exhibit to which there is no foundation FRE 401, 402, 611(a), 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses, or any portion of third-party comments are otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to damages and willfulness /// Misleading: The exhibit is an full and accurate capture of public posts that have been compiled together /// Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation regarding this record of a publicly available activity /// Hearsay: The exhibit is not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is used to show the existence of public activity, effect on the listener, and Defendants' state of mind</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2155	Defendants' Exhibit to Anti-SLAPP Motion to Strike and Motion to Dismiss Exhibit 20	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz, Solano, Aronow, Atalay	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is prejudicial in that it includes false and misleading information that has since been corrected or confirmed to be false such that any relevance outweighs its prejudicial value FRE 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a)</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to damages and willfulness ///</p> <p>Misleading/Completeness: The exhibit is an full and accurate capture of a public webpage ///</p> <p>Foundation: Yuga's corporate representative, co-founders, and Defendants can lay foundation regarding this exhibit of publicly available activity ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The exhibit is not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is used to show the existence of public activity, effect on the listener, and Defendants' state of mind ///</p> <p>Prejudice: this document has no false statements and further is being presented to show state of mind and public activity, and not for the truth of teh matter asserted</p>
JTX-2156	Defendants' Exhibit to Anti-SLAPP Motion to Strike and Motion to Dismiss Exhibit 21	Ripps, Cahen	<p>Subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document</p> <p>This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences how BAYC NFTs appeared in the market how that appearance was different than RR/BAYC NFTs, which is relevant to damages and relevance Exhibit is also relevant to showing the fact that people other than the Defendants posted information that BAYC has problematic imagery ///</p> <p>Misleading: The exhibits is an accurate caputer of a public listing for a BAYC NFT</p>
JTX-2157	Defendants' Exhibit to Anti-SLAPP Motion to Strike and Motion to Dismiss Exhibit 22	Ripps, Cahen	<p>Subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document</p> <p>This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences how BAYC NFTs appeared in the market how that appearance was different than RR/BAYC NFTs, which is relevant to damages and relevance The exhibit is also relevant to the fact that people other than the Defendants posted information that BAYC has problematic imagery ///</p> <p>Misleading: The exhibits is an accurate caputer of a public listing for a BAYC NFT</p>
JTX-2158	Defendants' Exhibit to Anti-SLAPP Motion to Strike and Motion to Dismiss Exhibit 23	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 403, 602, 611(a)</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of a statement is proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to damages and willfulness because it shows the basis for Defendants' state of mind and the content of the criticism they made ///</p> <p>Yuga's corporate representative and as well as Defendants can lay foundation of this publicly available activity recorded on a public webpage ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The exhibit is not being brought in for the truth of teh matter asserted The exhibits is used to show existence of the statement, effect on listeners, and Defendants' state of mind</p>
JTX-2159	Defendants' Exhibit to Anti-SLAPP Motion to Strike and Motion to Dismiss Exhibit 24	Ripps, Cahen, Aronow, Solano, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of a statement is proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to damages and willfulness ///</p> <p>Misleading: The exhibit is an full and accurate capture of a public webpage ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation regarding this record of a publicly available activity ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The exhibit is not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is used to show that the statement existed and the effect it had on the listener and Defendants' state of mind</p>
JTX-2160	Defendants' Exhibit to Anti-SLAPP Motion to Strike and Motion to Dismiss Exhibit 25	Ripps	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 602, 611(a), 901, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses, or any portion of statements are otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to damages and willfulness because it evidences alternative sources of harm unrelated to any alleged infringement and Defendants' state of mind ///</p> <p>Completeness/Misleading: This exhibit is a full and accurate capture of a Twitter comment by Defendant Ripps ///</p> <p>Hearsay: Statement is not being brought in to show the truth of the matter asserted It is being brought in to show existence of the statement, effect on listener, and Defendants' state of mind</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2161	Defendants' Exhibit to Anti-SLAPP Motion to Strike and Motion to Dismiss Exhibit 27	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of a statement is proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to damages and willfulness because it evidences alternative sources of harm unrelated to any alleged infringement and Defendants' state of mind /// Completeness/Misleading: This exhibit is a full and accurate capture of a Twitter comment by Defendant Ripps /// Hearsay: Statement is not being brought in to show the truth of the matter asserted It is being brought in to show existence of the statement, effect on listener, and Defendants' state of mind
JTX-2162	Defendants' Exhibit to Anti-SLAPP Motion to Strike and Motion to Dismiss Exhibit 28	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses, or any portion of statements are otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to damages and willfulness because it evidences alternative sources of harm unrelated to any alleged infringement and Defendants' state of mind /// Completeness/Misleading: This exhibit is a full and accurate capture of a Twitter comment by Defendant Ripps /// Hearsay: Statement is not being brought in to show the truth of the matter asserted It is being brought in to show existence of the statement, effect on listener, and Defendants' state of mind
JTX-2163	Defendants' Exhibit to Anti-SLAPP Motion to Strike and Motion to Dismiss Exhibit 29	Ripps, Cahen	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 602, 611(a), 901, 403 This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses, or any portion of third-party comments are otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to damages and willfulness /// Misleading/Completeness: The exhibit is an full and accurate capture of a public webpage /// Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation regarding this exhibit of publicly available activity /// Hearsay: The exhibit is not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is used to show the existence of public activity, effect on the listener, and Defendants' state of mind
JTX-2164	Defendants' Exhibit to Anti-SLAPP Motion to Strike and Motion to Dismiss Exhibit 30	Ripps	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 602, 611(a), 901, 403 This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses, or any portion of third-party comments are otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to damages and willfulness /// Misleading/Completeness: The exhibit is an full and accurate capture of a public webpage /// Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation regarding this exhibit of publicly available activity /// Hearsay: The exhibit is not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is used to show the existence of public activity, effect on the listener, and Defendants' state of mind
JTX-2165	Defendants' Exhibit to Anti-SLAPP Motion to Strike and Motion to Dismiss Exhibit 31	Ripps, Muniz, Cahen	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 602, 611(a), 901, 403 This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses, or any portion of third-party comments are otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to damages and willfulness /// Misleading/Completeness: The exhibit is an full and accurate capture of a public webpage /// Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation regarding this exhibit of publicly available activity /// Hearsay: The exhibit is not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is used to show the existence of public activity, effect on the listener, and Defendants' state of mind

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2166	Defendants' Exhibit to Anti-SLAPP Motion to Strike and Motion to Dismiss Exhibit 32	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 401, 602, 611(a), 901, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses, or any portion of third-party comments are otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to damages and willfulness ///</p> <p>Misleading/Completeness: The exhibit is an full and accurate capture of a public webpage ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation regarding this exhibit of publicly available activity ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The exhibit is not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is used to show the existence of public activity, effect on the listener, and Defendants' state of mind</p>
JTX-2167	Defendants' Exhibit to Anti-SLAPP Motion to Strike and Motion to Dismiss Exhibit 33	Ripps, Cahen, Aronow, Solano, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses, or any portion of third-party comments are otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to damages and willfulness ///</p> <p>Misleading/Completeness: The exhibit is an full and accurate capture of a public webpage ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation regarding this exhibit of publicly available activity ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The exhibit is not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is used to show the existence of public activity, effect on the listener, and Defendants' state of mind</p>
JTX-2168	Defendants' Exhibit to Anti-SLAPP Motion to Strike and Motion to Dismiss Exhibit 34	Ripps, Cahen, Solano, Aronow, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is misleading as presented FRE 401, 611(a), 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses, or any portion of third-party comments are otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to damages and willfulness ///</p> <p>Misleading/Completeness: The exhibit is an full and accurate capture of a public webpage ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation regarding this exhibit of publicly available activity ///</p> <p>Hearsay: The exhibit is not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is used to show the existence of public activity, effect on the listener, and Defendants' state of mind</p>
JTX-2169	Defendants' Exhibit to Anti-SLAPP Motion to Strike and Motion to Dismiss Exhibit 35	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman	<p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented, especially as the image as presented to consumers is not static FRE 106, 401, 602, 611(a), 901, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of a statement is proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Completeness/Misleading: This document is a true and accurate capture of the webpage apemarket.com ///</p> <p>Hearsay: Statemetn is not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is being brought in to show the existence of the website and the contents that it displayed</p>
JTX-2170	Expert Report of Laura O'Laughlin, Feb 6, 2023	O'Laughlin	No objection; subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-2171	Bitscrunch, NFTs: Who's buying them and why? - All questions answered!, Aug 12, 2022	O'Laughlin	No objection; subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-2172	O'Laughlin Expert Report Exhibit 1-2	O'Laughlin	No objection; subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-2173	O'Laughlin Expert Report Exhibit 3	O'Laughlin	No objection; subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-2174	O'Laughlin Expert Report Exhibit 4-7	O'Laughlin	No objection; subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-2175	O'Laughlin Expert Report Exhibit 8-9	O'Laughlin	No objection; subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-2176	O'Laughlin Expert Report Exhibit 10-13	O'Laughlin	No objection; subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2177	Expert Report of Lauren Kindler, Feb 6, 2023	Kindler	No objection; subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-2178	Kindler Exh Addresses json	Kindler	No objection; subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-2179	Kindler Exh All BAYC Logs Data	Kindler	No objection; subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-2180	Kindler Exh Cahen Transactions	Kindler	No objection; subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-2181	Kindler Exh Calculation	Kindler	No objection; subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-2182	Kindler Exh Creator Fees	Kindler	No objection; subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-2183	Kindler Exh DF Transactions Complete	Kindler	No objection; subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-2184	Kindler Exh Export of Ether Price	Kindler	No objection; subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-2185	Kindler Exh Held NFTs	Kindler	No objection; subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-2186	Kindler Exh Initial Sales by Type	Kindler	No objection; subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-2187	Kindler Exh Initial Sales Profits	Kindler	No objection; subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-2188	Kindler Exh RR Transactions	Kindler	No objection; subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-2189	Kindler Exh RSVP Profits	Kindler	No objection; subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-2190	Expert Report of Jonah Berger, Feb 6, 2023	Berger	No objection; subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-2191	Yuga Labs, Inc 's First Supplemental Response to Ryder Ripps's and Jeremy Cahen's First Set of Request for Production of Documents and Things (Nos 1-58), Dec 21, 2022	Muniz	No objection; subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-2192	Yuga Labs, Inc 's Responses and Objections to Ryder Ripps's and Jeremy Cahen's Second Set of Request for Production of Documents and Things (Nos 59-73), Jan 30, 2023	Muniz	No objection; subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-2193	Yuga Labs, Inc 's Second Supplemental Response to Ryder Ripps's and Jeremy Cahen's First Set of Interrogatories (Nos 1-14), Jan 31, 2023	Muniz	No objection; subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-2194	Yuga Labs, Inc 's Supplemental Responses to Ryder Ripps's and Jeremy Cahen's First Set of Request for Admission (Nos 1-179), Mar 21, 2023	Muniz	No objection; subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-2195	RIPPSCAHEN00020732 - RIPPSCAHEN00020733	Ripps, Cahen	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403	Relevance: This exhibit is relevance to willfulness as it is publicly activity that reinforces Defendants' state of mind and the public perception around the BAYC Marks
JTX-2196	RIPPSCAHEN00021339 - RIPPSCAHEN00021468	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to willfulness as it shows public activity supporting Defendants' state of mind /// Heasary: The exhibit is not hearsay because it is not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is being used to show that the statement existed, effect on the listener, and Defendants' state of mind

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2197	RIPPSCAHEN00026207 - RIPPSCAHEN00026237	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403	Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to willfulness as it shows public activity supporting Defendants' state of mind /// Hearsay: The exhibit is not hearsay because it is not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is being used to show that the statement existed, effect on the listener, and Defendants' state of mind
JTX-2198	RIPPSCAHEN00022452- RIPPSCAHEN00022453	Ripps, Cahen	This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602 This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This evidence is relevant to damages /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post This document presents a full and accurate depiction of a Tweet shown on the same webpage as this post /// Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this public post based on their personal knowledge /// Hearsay: this document not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred
JTX-2199	RIPPSCAHEN00022474- RIPPSCAHEN00022474	Ripps	This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602 This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This evidence is relevant to damages /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post This document presents a full and accurate depiction of a Tweet shown on the same webpage as this post /// Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this public post based on their personal knowledge /// Hearsay: this document not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred
JTX-2200	RIPPSCAHEN00022475- RIPPSCAHEN00022475	Cahen	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602 This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This evidence is relevant to damages and willfulness /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post This document presents a full and accurate depiction of a Tweet shown on the same webpage as this post /// Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this public post based on their personal knowledge /// Hearsay: this document not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred
JTX-2201	RIPPSCAHEN00022476- RIPPSCAHEN00022476	Ripps	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602 This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This evidence is relevant to damages and willfulness /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post This document presents a full and accurate depiction of a Tweet shown on the same webpage as this post /// Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this public post based on their personal knowledge /// Hearsay: this document not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2202	RIPPSCAHEN00022477- RIPPSCAHEN00022477	Ripps, Cahen, Aronow, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This evidence is relevant to damages and willfulness /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post This document presents a full and accurate depiction of a Tweet shown on the same webpage as this post /// Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this public post based on their personal knowledge /// Hearsay: this document not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred</p>
JTX-2203	RIPPSCAHEN00022480- RIPPSCAHEN00022492	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit includes multiple unrelated Tweets, not relevant to this case, all combined together in single document, which is misleading and an improper exhibit to which there is no foundation FRE 401, 402, 611(a), 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This evidence is relevant to damages and willfulness /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post This document presents a full and accurate depiction of a Tweet shown on the same webpage as this post /// Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this public post based on their personal knowledge /// Hearsay: this document not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred</p>
JTX-2204	RIPPSCAHEN00022494- RIPPSCAHEN00022508	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit includes multiple unrelated Tweets, not relevant to this case, all combined together in single document, which is misleading and an improper exhibit to which there is no foundation FRE 401, 402, 611(a), 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This evidence is relevant to damages and willfulness /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post This document presents a full and accurate depiction of a Tweet shown on the same webpage as this post /// Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this public post based on their personal knowledge /// Hearsay: this document not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred</p>
JTX-2205	RIPPSCAHEN00022509- RIPPSCAHEN00022509	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This evidence is relevant to damages and willfulness /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post This document presents a full and accurate depiction of a Tweet shown on the same webpage as this post /// Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this public post based on their personal knowledge /// Hearsay: this document not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2206	RIPPSCAHEN00022510- RIPPSCAHEN00022510	Ripps	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This evidence is relevant to damages and willfulness /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post This document presents a full and accurate depiction of a Tweet shown on the same webpage as this post /// Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this public post based on their personal knowledge /// Hearsay: this document not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred</p>
JTX-2207	RIPPSCAHEN00022512- RIPPSCAHEN00022512	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman	<p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This evidence is relevant to damages and willfulness /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post This document presents a full and accurate depiction of a Tweet shown on the same webpage as this post /// Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this public post based on their personal knowledge /// Hearsay: this document not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred</p>
JTX-2208	RIPPSCAHEN00022550- RIPPSCAHEN00022550	Ripps	<p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This evidence is relevant to damages and willfulness /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post This document presents a full and accurate depiction of a Tweet shown on the same webpage as this post /// Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this public post based on their personal knowledge /// Hearsay: this document not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred</p>
JTX-2209	RIPPSCAHEN00022551- RIPPSCAHEN00022551	Ripps	<p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This evidence is relevant to damages and willfulness /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post This document presents a full and accurate depiction of a Tweet shown on the same webpage as this post /// Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this public post based on their personal knowledge /// Hearsay: this document not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred</p>
JTX-2210	RIPPSCAHEN00022552- RIPPSCAHEN00022552	Ripps	<p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This evidence is relevant to damages and willfulness /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post This document presents a full and accurate depiction of a Tweet shown on the same webpage as this post /// Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this public post based on their personal knowledge /// Hearsay: this document not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2211	RIPPSCAHEN00022687- RIPPSCAHEN00022703	Ripps	<p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit includes multiple unrelated Tweets, not relevant to this case, all combined together in single document, which is misleading and an improper exhibit to which there is no foundation FRE 401, 402, 611(a), 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This evidence is relevant to damages and willfulness /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post This document presents a full and accurate depiction of a Tweet shown on the same webpage as this post /// Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this public post based on their personal knowledge /// Hearsay: this document not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred</p>
JTX-2212	RIPPSCAHEN00016014- RIPPSCAHEN00016020	Ripps, Cahen, Oseary, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to damages and willfulness /// Foundation: Yuga's corporate representative and Defendants can lay foundation of this public website and confirm its authenticity /// Incomplete: This exhibit is a full and accurate print out of a publicly available webpage ///Hearsay: This exhibit is not being used to prove the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred, the existence of the statements, and effect on the listener</p>
JTX-2213	RIPPSCAHEN00016021- RIPPSCAHEN00016022	Ripps, Cahen, Oseary, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: Yuga's transfer of the Apecoin Logo to Apecoin DAO is relevant to damages, including the extent to which Yuga has policed its marks and whether its own conduct is responsible for damages /// Foundation: Yuga's founders can lay foundation for the transfer of the Ape Skull to Apecoin DAO /// Incomplete: The document is an opensea printout and is not incomplete // Hearsay: The document contains statements of a party opponent that are subject to a hearsay exception The document can also be used for a non-hearsay purpose, namely the fact of the existence of a separate opensea page for the Apecoin logo</p>
JTX-2214	RIPPSCAHEN00017580- RIPPSCAHEN00017580	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz, Oseary, Aronow, Solano	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay, especially to the extent some unknown third party created the exhibit and the image therein FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: The Apecoin DAO's use of the Ape Skull Logo is relevant ot damages /// Foundation: Yuga's founders and Defendants can lay foundation for Apecoin DAO's use of teh logo /// Incomplete: The document is an is a full and correct depiction of both Yuga's and Apecoin DAO's use of the ape skull logo // Hearsay: The document contains no statements and certainly does not purport to offer any statements brought in to prove the truth of the matter asserted</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2215	RIPPSCAHEN00018447- RIPPSCAHEN00018447	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz, Oseary	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to damages and willfulness /// Foundation: Yuga's corporate representative and Defendants can lay foundation of this public website and confirm its authenticity /// Incomplete: This exhibit is a full and accurate print out of a publicly available webpage ///Hearsay: This exhibit is not being used to prove the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred, the existence of the statements, and effect on the listener</p>
JTX-2216	RIPPSCAHEN00020643- RIPPSCAHEN00020646	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz, Oseary	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: The Apecoin DAO's use of the Ape Skull Logo is relevant ot damages /// Foundation: Yuga's founders and Defendants can lay foundation for this public tweet made bay Yuga Labs on Twitter /// Incomplete: The document is an is a full and correct of Yuga's post // Hearsay: The exhibit is a party admission, and further is used to show effect on the listener</p>
JTX-2217	RIPPSCAHEN00021478- RIPPSCAHEN00021480	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 This exhibit lacks any identifying date or URL to authenticate To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to damages and willfulness /// Misleading/Completeness: The exhibit is an full and accurate capture of a public webpage /// Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation regarding this exhibit of publicly available activity /// Hearsay: The exhibit is not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is used to show the existence of public activity, effect on the listener, and Defendants' state of mind</p>
JTX-2218	RIPPSCAHEN00025213- RIPPSCAHEN00025220	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to willfulness as it sheds light on Defendants' state of mind and criticism /// Completeness: The exhibit is a full and accurate printout of a public website /// Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this website based on thier personal knowledge /// Hearsay: The exhibit is not being used to prove the truth of the matter asserted, but instead to show effect on listener and Defendants' state of mind</p>
JTX-2219	RIPPSCAHEN00025230- RIPPSCAHEN00025233	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Foundation/Incompleteness: Mr Ripps and Mr Cahen have personal knowledge about this document, and other similar documents from the internet, including general knowledge about the source "Opensea" The document is not incomplete as it is a complete copy of a website selling an "Ape" branded NFTs /// Relevance The document is relevant at least because it goes to whether "Ape" is a generic term in the Crypto-space which would render Yuga's mark invalid The term "Ape's" validity as a non-generic term is a necessary component of Yuga's false advertising claim /// Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth of the matter asserted, but the fact that others routinely use the "Ape" term to market NFTs</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2220	RIPPSCAHEN00025235- RIPPSCAHEN00025242	Ripps, Cahen	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602 This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: This document is relevant because it goes to whether "Ape" is a generic term in the Crypto industry which would render Yuga's claim to the "Ape" mark invalid That is a necessary component of Yuga's false advertising claim It is also relevant to show that the use of the term "Ape" predated Yuga's use of the term Ape //Lack of Foundation: Mr Ripps and Mr Cahen can lay foundation to this document, including the operation of the "Wayback Machine" and familiarity with "meme coins", crypto-culture, and the use of the word "Ape" Likewise, Mr Ripps and Mr Cahen can authenticate the document //Hearsay: The document is not hearsay because it is not being offered for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather to prove the fact that others routinely use the "Ape term in the crypto market
JTX-2221	YUGALABS_00029146- YUGALABS_00029159	Muniz, Oseary, Ripps, Cahen	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403	Relevance: This document is relevant as it relates to whether Yuga owned the marks at issue in their False Advertising complaint
JTX-2222	YUGALABS_00029252- YUGALABS_00029255	Muniz, Oseary, Ripps, Cahen	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403	Relevance: This document is relevant as it relates to whether Yuga owned the marks or if they assigned those rights to another related entity at issue in their False Advertising complaint
JTX-2223	RIPPSCAHEN00019846- RIPPSCAHEN00019846	Ripps, Cahen	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602 This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This document is relevant because it goes to whether "Ape" is a generic term in the Crypto industry which would render Yuga's claim to the "Ape" mark invalid That is a necessary component of Yuga's false advertising claim It is also relevant to show that the use of the term "Ape" by other predated Yuga's use of the term Ape //Lack of Foundation: Mr Ripps and Mr Cahen can lay the foundation to this document, including the operation of the "Wayback Machine", familiarity with "meme coins," familiarity with crypto culture and the use of the word "Ape" within that culture Likewise Mr Ripps and Mr Cahen can authenticate the document through their knowledge of the Wayback Machine //Hearsay: The document is not hearsay because it is not being offered for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather to prove that others were using the "Ape" mark in the broader "crypto" industry
JTX-2224	RIPPSCAHEN00021477- RIPPSCAHEN00021477	Ripps, Cahen	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602 This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This document is relevant because it goes to whether "Ape" is a generic term in the Crypto industry which would render Yuga's claim to the "Ape" mark invalid That is a necessary component of Yuga's false advertising claim It is also relevant to show that the use of the term "Ape" by others predated Yuga's use of the term Ape //Lack of Foundation: Mr Ripps and Mr Cahen can lay the foundation to this document, including operation of the "Wayback Machine", familiarity with "meme coins", familiarity with crypto culture and the use of "Ape" within that culture //Hearsay: The document is not hearsay because it is not being used to prove the truth of the matter asserted, but rather is being used to show that others used the term "Ape", which goes to whether a word was said
JTX-2225	RIPPSCAHEN00025243- RIPPSCAHEN00025243	Ripps, Cahen	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602 This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: This document is relevant because it goes to whether "Ape" is a generic term in the Crypto industry which would render Yuga's claim to the "Ape" mark invalid That is a necessary component of Yuga's false advertising claim It is also relevant to show that the use of the term "Ape" by others in the cryptocurrency market predated Yuga's use of the term Ape //Lack of foundation: Mr Ripps and Mr Cahen can lay the foundation to the document, including operation of the Wayback Machine, familiarity with "meme coins", familiarity with crypto currency and the use of the term "Ape" within that culture //Hearsay: It is not being used to prove the truth of the matter asserted, but instead it is being used to demonstrate that the statement was said

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2226	RIPPSCAHEN00025246- RIPPSCAHEN00025246	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant because it goes to whether "Ape" is a generic term in the Crypto industry which would render Yuga's claim to the "Ape" mark invalid That is a necessary component of Yuga's false advertising claim It is also relevant to show that the use of the term "Ape" by others in the cryptocurrency market predated Yuga's use of the term Ape //Lack of foundation: Mr Ripps and Mr Cahen can lay the foundation to the document, including operation of the Wayback Machine, familiarity with "meme coins", familiarity with crypto currency and the use of the term "Ape" within that culture //Hearsay: It is not being used to prove the truth of the matter asserted, but instead it is being used to demonstrate that the statement was said</p>
JTX-2227	RIPPSCAHEN00025927- RIPPSCAHEN00025932	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: Intent, damages and willfulness is at issue in this trial Therefore, Defendants' good faith belief that they were engaging in criticism of a company they found immoral is at issue As a result, media coverage about Yuga is relevant to show Defendants' subjective opinion of Yuga based on information they consumed at the time Foundation: Mr Ripps and Mr Cahen can lay foundation to this document by attesting that they are familiar with it and the accusations included in it Hearsay: This is not hearsay because it is being introduced as evidence of Defendants' mental state at the time Because willfulness is at issue, Defendants' thoughts about Yuga Labs are at issue and this article helped form their mental states leading to their criticism of the company, it also goes to other sources of damages</p>
JTX-2228	RIPPSCAHEN00025933- RIPPSCAHEN00025938	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: Intent, damages and willfulness is at issue in this trial Therefore, Defendants' good faith belief that they were engaging in criticism of a company they found immoral is at issue As a result, media coverage about Yuga is relevant to show Defendants' subjective opinion of Yuga based on information they consumed at the time Foundation: Mr Ripps and Mr Cahen can lay foundation to this document by attesting that they are familiar with it and the accusations included in it Hearsay: This is not hearsay because it is being introduced as evidence of Defendants' mental state at the time Because willfulness is at issue, Defendants' thoughts about Yuga Labs are at issue and this article helped form their mental states leading to their criticism of the company, it also goes to other sources of damages</p>
JTX-2229	RIPPSCAHEN00025939- RIPPSCAHEN00025945	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses, or any portion of third-party comments are otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: Intent, damages and willfulness is at issue in this trial Therefore, Defendants' good faith belief that they were engaging in criticism of a company they found immoral is at issue As a result, media coverage about Yuga is relevant to show Defendants' subjective opinion of Yuga based on information they consumed at the time Foundation: Mr Ripps and Mr Cahen can lay foundation to this document by attesting that they are familiar with it and the accusations included in it Hearsay: This is not hearsay because it is being introduced as evidence of Defendants' mental state at the time Because willfulness is at issue, Defendants' thoughts about Yuga Labs are at issue and this article helped form their mental states leading to their criticism of the company, it also goes to other sources of damages</p>
JTX-2230	RIPPSCAHEN00019870- RIPPSCAHEN00019874	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman	<p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the information therein or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of a statement is proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Rule of Completion: The exhibit is complete and does not contain anything that renders it "misleadingly incomplete" as to preclude admission //Foundation: Mr Ripps and Mr Cahen can lay foundation to this document through their familiarity with this price chart and similar price charts //Hearsay: This falls within a hearsay exception for a record kept of a regularly conducted activity There is no dispute that the record was made at or near the time of an event (the recording of the price of a BAYC), that it was kept in the regular course of business to record prices, and there is no evidence of untrustworthiness involved</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2231	RIPPSCAHEN00019885- RIPPSCAHEN00019889	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman	This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106 This exhibit lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the information therein or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of a statement is proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Rule of Completion: The exhibit is complete and does not contain anything that renders it "misleadingly incomplete" as to preclude admission //Foundation: Mr Ripps and Mr Cahen can lay foundation to this document through their familiarity with this price chart and similar price charts //Hearsay: This falls within a hearsay exception for a record kept of a regularly conducted activity There is no dispute that the record was made at or near the time of an event (the recording of the price of a BAYC), that it was kept in the regular course of business to record prices, and there is no evidence of untrustworthiness involved It is also judicially noticeable
JTX-2232	RIPPSCAHEN00019890- RIPPSCAHEN00019894	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman	This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106 This exhibit lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the information therein or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of a statement is proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Rule of Completion: The exhibit is complete and does not contain anything that renders it "misleadingly incomplete" as to preclude admission //Foundation: Mr Ripps and Mr Cahen can lay foundation to this document through their familiarity with this price chart and similar price charts //Hearsay: This falls within a hearsay exception for a record kept of a regularly conducted activity There is no dispute that the record was made at or near the time of an event (the recording of the price of a BAYC), that it was kept in the regular course of business to record prices, and there is no evidence of untrustworthiness involved It is also judicially noticeable
JTX-2233	RIPPSCAHEN00019914- RIPPSCAHEN00019914	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman	This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106 This exhibit lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the information therein or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of a statement is proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Rule of Completion: The exhibit is complete and does not contain anything that renders it "misleadingly incomplete" as to preclude admission //Foundation: Mr Ripps and Mr Cahen can lay foundation to this document through their familiarity with this price chart and similar price charts //Hearsay: This falls within a hearsay exception for a record kept of a regularly conducted activity There is no dispute that the record was made at or near the time of an event (the recording of the price of a BAYC), that it was kept in the regular course of business to record prices, and there is no evidence of untrustworthiness involved It is also judicially noticeable
JTX-2234	YUGALABS_00031302- YUGALABS_00031302	Muniz	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 Defendants' claims have been dismissed	This document is relevant as Yuga has indicated they might consider claiming these expenditures in damages
JTX-2235	YUGALABS_00031303- YUGALABS_00031316	Muniz	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 Defendants' claims have been dismissed	This document is relevant to damages as Yuga has indicated it might consider claiming Appdetex's work in damages
JTX-2236	RIPPSCAHEN00019902- RIPPSCAHEN00019903	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz, Aronow, Solano, Atalay	This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 901, 403 This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Rule of Completion: The exhibit is complete and does not contain anything that renders it "misleadingly incomplete" as a screenshot of a website containing all of the relevant information //Relevance: This document is relevant as it goes to whether Defendants acted willfully as one of the most offensive Apes in the BAYC collection //Foundation: Mr Ripps, Mr Cahen, Yuga's co-founders, Ms Muniz and others can all lay the foundation for this screenshot through their familiarity with Opensea, cryptomarkets, and BAYC in general //Hearsay: This document is not being used to prove the truth of the matter asserted It is being used to show that BAYC with offensive characteristics were being sold in the open market
JTX-2237	RIPPSCAHEN00020900- RIPPSCAHEN00020901	Atalay	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106 This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the information therein or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602 This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: This document is relevant because it contains information about BAYC contracts in an account controlled by K Atalay It is relevant to show BAYC's business activities //Rule of Completion: This is a full webpage screen grab and therefore there is no risk of it being misleadingly incomplete Foundation and Authentication://Mr Ripps and Mr Cahen can authenticate what the website is through their knowledge of on-chain transactions, and cryptocurrency generally //Hearsay: Defendants do not seek to introduce this for the truth of the matter asserted, but for the fact that the document was made To the extent it is hearsay, it qualifies under the Market Report exception

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2238	RIPPSCAHEN00020902- RIPPSCAHEN00020903	Ripps, Cahen, Atalay, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the information therein or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant because it contains the actual "res" of the Bored Ape Yacht Club which is the underlying contract for the NFT //Rule of Completeness: The whole contract is shown, therefore it is not misleadingly incomplete //Foundation: Multiple witnesses including Defendants and Yuga's co-founders can lay the foundation to identify that this is a copy of Yuga's contract //Authentication: Likewise, the same witnesses who can lay the foundation for the document can authenticate the document through familiarity with the website it is hosted on and basic knowledge about the crypto-space //Hearsay: This is not hearsay because there is no "truth value" to any statement and therefore it cannot be used for the truth of the matter asserted</p>
JTX-2239	RIPPSCAHEN00020904- RIPPSCAHEN00020905	Ripps, Cahan, Atalay, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the information therein or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant because it involves on-chain activity related to Yuga Labs and the BAYC collection //Rule of Completeness: This document shows the entire website as captured and therefore is not misleadingly incomplete //Lack of Foundation: Multiple witnesses including Defendants, Yuga co-founders, and Ms Muniz can lay the foundation for this document through their knowledge of Etherscan and on-chain transactions //Authentication: The same witnesses who can lay the foundation for the document can authenticate it through their knowledge of Etherscan and the blockchain //Hearsay: Defendants are not relying on the document for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather for the fact that it was said</p>
JTX-2240	RIPPSCAHEN00020908- RIPPSCAHEN00020909	Ripps, Cahen, Atalay, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the information therein or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant because it involves on-chain activity related to Yuga Labs and the BAYC collection //Rule of Completeness: This document shows the entire website as captured and therefore is not misleadingly incomplete //Lack of Foundation: Multiple witnesses including Defendants, Yuga co-founders, and Ms Muniz can lay the foundation for this document through their knowledge of Etherscan and on-chain transactions //Authentication: The same witnesses who can lay the foundation for the document can authenticate it through their knowledge of Etherscan and the blockchain //Hearsay: Defendants are not relying on the document for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather for the fact that it was said</p>
JTX-2241	RIPPSCAHEN00020912- RIPPSCAHEN00020913	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant as it goes to intent and willfulness including the fact that Defendants were targeted by Yuga for their criticism It is also evidence of abandonment of any IP rights to the world by Yuga //Foundation: Several witnesses including Defendants, Ms Muniz, and the Yuga co-founders can lay the foundation for on-chain transactions and Etherscan documents through their knowledge of both // Authentication: Likewise, those same witnesses can authenticate the document through their knowledge of on-chain transactions and Etherscan documents //Hearsay: Defendants are not relying on the document for the truth of the matter asserted, but instead are relying on it to show that the document was created</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2242	RIPPSCAHEN00020914- RIPPSCAHEN00020914	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 This exhibit lacks any identifying date or URL to authenticate To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This list of on-chain transactions is relevant to this case as it involves transactions involved in this case //Completeness: This exhibit is substantially complete and therefore there are no completeness concerns present //Foundation: Defendants can lay the foundation to this document//Hearsay: This is within the hearsay exceptions for business records kept in the regular course of business and reports kept for the market</p>
JTX-2243	RIPPSCAHEN00026242- RIPPSCAHEN00026242	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant as it shows that the term "Ape" is common and generic term in the Crypto space used by thousands of different crypto entities, which goes to whether Yuga can protect that term It also goes to willfulness because it demonstrates that Yuga targeted Defendants for their free speech criticisms of the company //Rule of Completeness: The document shows the entire webpage and therefore there is no completeness problem //Foundation: Defendants can lay the foundation for this document through their knowledge of the Etherscan system and website //Hearsay: This document is not hearsay as it is being used to show that a statement was made, and not for the truth of the matter asserted</p>
JTX-2244	RIPPSCAHEN00026243- RIPPSCAHEN00026243	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant for willfulness to demonstrate that out of hundreds of users of "BAYC" in the crypto space, Yuga only targeted Defendants for suit // Rule of Completeness:The document shows the entire webpage and therefore there is no completeness problem Foundation: Defenants can lay the foundation for this document through their knowledge of the Etherscan system and website // Hearsay: Defendants are not going to use this document to prove the truth of the matter asserted, just to show that the statement was said</p>
JTX-2245	RIPPSCAHEN00026244- RIPPSCAHEN00026244	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant for willfulness to demonstrate that out of hundreds of users of "Bored Ape" in the crypto space, Yuga only targeted Defendants for suit // Rule of Completeness:The document shows the entire webpage and therefore there is no completeness problem Foundation: Defenants can lay the foundation for this document through their knowledge of the Etherscan system and website // Hearsay: Defendants are not going to use this document to prove the truth of the matter asserted, just to show that the statement was said</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2246	RIPPSCAHEN00026253- RIPPSCAHEN00026253	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant for willfulness to demonstrate that out of hundreds of users of "Bored Ape Yacht Club" in the crypto space, Yuga only targeted Defendants for suit It is also relevant to show abandonment of IP rights to the world by Yuga // Rule of Completeness:The document shows the entire webpage and therefore there is no completeness problem Foundation: Defenants can lay the foundation for this document through their knowledge of the Etherscan system and website // Hearsay: Defendants are not going to use this document to prove the truth of the matter asserted, just to show that the statement was said</p>
JTX-2247	RIPPSCAHEN00026260- RIPPSCAHEN00026260	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant for willfulness to demonstrate that out of hundreds of users of "Bored Ape Yacht Club" in the crypto space, Yuga only targeted Defendants for suit It is also relevant to show abandonment of IP rights to the world by Yuga // Rule of Completeness:The document shows the entire webpage and therefore there is no completeness problem Foundation: Defenants can lay the foundation for this document through their knowledge of the Etherscan system and website // Hearsay: Defendants are not going to use this document to prove the truth of the matter asserted, just to show that the statement was said</p>
JTX-2248	RIPPSCAHEN00020826- RIPPSCAHEN00020826	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman	<p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 901, 403 Indeed, images from the exhibit appear to be missing</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is an etherscan page for one of the accused products in this false advertising complaint It is necessarily relevant to the underlying claims and defenses //Foundation: Defendants can lay the foundation for this document as it relates to the accused Project and they have knowledge of Etherscan //Hearsay: The document is not being used for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather to demonstrate that it was asserted</p>
JTX-2249	RIPPSCAHEN00020900- RIPPSCAHEN00020901	Ripps, Cahen, Atalay, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the information therein or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant because it contains information about BAYC contracts in an account controlled by K Atalay It is relevant to show BAYC's business activities //Rule of Completion: This is a full webpage screen grab and therefore there is no risk of it being misleadingly incomplete Foundation and Authentication://Mr Ripps and Mr Cahen can authenticate what the website is through their knowledge of on-chain transactions, and cryptocurrency generally //Hearsay: Defendants do not seek to introduce this for the truth of the matter asserted, but for the fact that the document was made To the extent it is hearsay, it qualifies under the Market Report exception</p>
JTX-2250	RIPPSCAHEN00021220- RIPPSCAHEN00021221	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman	<p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 901, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Rule of Completeness: As a screenshot of the entire webpage, there is no completeness problem present //Foundation: Defendants can lay the foundation through their knowledge of Etherscan, on-chain transactions and the RR/BAYC project //Hearsay: Defendants are using the document for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather that it was stated</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2251	RIPPSCAHEN00025212- RIPPSCAHEN00025212	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented and is an improper compilation FRE 106, 611(a)</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the information therein or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is not the best evidence for the information therein FRE 1002, 1003</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay, especially to the extent some unknown third party created the exhibit FRE 801, 802, 803</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803 Indeed, this exhibit contains statements directly contradicting judgments already made by the Court</p>	<p>Rule of Completeness: The document is complete FRE 611(a) does not deal with compilations To the extent this is a compilation, there is nothing about it that would make it improper as it is not misleading //Foundation: Defendants can provide the foundation to the document by explaining how it was created and the factual bases for the document //Best Evidence: There is no dispute as to the originality of the document nor are there indications that if this is a copy that there is a genuine dispute about its authenticity //Hearsay: No unknown third party made this document Further it is not being asserted for the truth of the matter asserted but rather to show comparisons between statements made by the parties and to demonstrate the effect on the listener of statements in the blockchain</p>
JTX-2252	YUGALABS_00040863- YUGALABS_00040864	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 901, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Rule of Completeness: The document is complete, it shows the entire etherscan webpage for the at-issue token // Foundation: Defendants, Yuga's co-founders, and Ms Muniz can all identify this document through their familiarity with Etherscan and the RR/BAYC project //Hearsay: This document is not being used to prove the truth of the matter asserted Any statements also fall into the Market report exception of 803(17)</p>
JTX-2253	YUGALABS_00040601- YUGALABS_00040601	Muniz, Lehman	<p>This exhibit is subject to FRE 408 as part of a settlement offer or negotiation</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the information therein or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>FRE 408: Defendants may use the statement pursuant to FRE 408(b) if Mr Lehman testifies // Relevance: This is relevant as impeachment evidence It is also relevance because Yuga's litigation conduct is relevant to whether Defendants acted willfully // Lack of Foundation:Mr Lehman can lay the foundation to this email if need be because it involves him // Hearsay: Defendants' use of the document would not be for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather for the fact that the statements were made</p>
JTX-2254	YUGALABS_00040602- YUGALABS_00040602	Muniz, Lehman	<p>This exhibit is subject to FRE 408 as part of a settlement offer or negotiation</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the information therein or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>FRE 408: Defendants may use the statement pursuant to FRE 408(b) if Mr Lehman testifies // Relevance: This is relevant as impeachment evidence It is also relevance because Yuga's litigation conduct is relevant to whether Defendants acted willfully // Lack of Foundation:Mr Lehman can lay the foundation to this email if need be because it involves him // Hearsay: Defendants' use of the document would not be for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather for the fact that the statements were made</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2255	YUGALABS_00040603- YUGALABS_00040612	Muniz, Lehman	<p>This exhibit is subject to FRE 408 as part of a settlement offer or negotiation</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the information therein or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>FRE 408: Defendants may use the statement pursuant to FRE 408(b) if Mr Lehman testifies // Relevance: This is relevant as impeachment evidence It is also relevance because Yuga's litigation conduct is relevant to whether Defendants acted willfully // Lack of Foundation:Mr Lehman can lay the foundation to this email if need be because it involves him // Hearsay: Defendants' use of the document would not be for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather for the fact that the statements were made</p>
JTX-2256	YUGALABS_00040613- YUGALABS_00040613	Muniz, Lehman	<p>This exhibit is subject to FRE 408 as part of a settlement offer or negotiation</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the information therein or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>FRE 408: Defendants may use the statement pursuant to FRE 408(b) if Mr Lehman testifies // Relevance: This is relevant as impeachment evidence It is also relevance because Yuga's litigation conduct is relevant to whether Defendants acted willfully // Lack of Foundation:Mr Lehman can lay the foundation to this email if need be because it involves him // Hearsay: Defendants' use of the document would not be for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather for the fact that the statements were made</p>
JTX-2257	YUGALABS_00040618- YUGALABS_00040619	Muniz, Lehman	<p>This exhibit is subject to FRE 408 as part of a settlement offer or negotiation</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the information therein or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>FRE 408: Defendants may use the statement pursuant to FRE 408(b) if Mr Lehman testifies // Relevance: This is relevant as impeachment evidence It is also relevance because Yuga's litigation conduct is relevant to whether Defendants acted willfully // Lack of Foundation:Mr Lehman can lay the foundation to this email if need be because it involves him // Hearsay: Defendants' use of the document would not be for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather for the fact that the statements were made</p>
JTX-2258	YUGALABS_00040627- YUGALABS_00040629	Muniz, Lehman	<p>This exhibit is subject to FRE 408 as part of a settlement offer or negotiation</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the information therein or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>FRE 408: Defendants may use the statement pursuant to FRE 408(b) if Mr Lehman testifies // Relevance: This is relevant as impeachment evidence It is also relevance because Yuga's litigation conduct is relevant to whether Defendants acted willfully // Lack of Foundation:Mr Lehman can lay the foundation to this email if need be because it involves him // Hearsay: Defendants' use of the document would not be for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather for the fact that the statements were made</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2259	YUGALABS_00040630- YUGALABS_00040630	Muniz, Lehman	<p>This exhibit is subject to FRE 408 as part of a settlement offer or negotiation</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the information therein or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>FRE 408: Defendants may use the statement pursuant to FRE 408(b) if Mr Lehman testifies // Relevance: This is relevant as impeachment evidence It is also relevance because Yuga's litigation conduct is relevant to whether Defendants acted willfully // Lack of Foundation:Mr Lehman can lay the foundation to this email if need be because it involves him // Hearsay: Defendants' use of the document would not be for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather for the fact that the statements were made</p>
JTX-2260	YUGALABS_00040631- YUGALABS_00040636	Muniz, Lehman	<p>This exhibit is subject to FRE 408 as part of a settlement offer or negotiation</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>FRE 408: Defendants may use the statement pursuant to FRE 408(b) if Mr Lehman testifies // Relevance: This is relevant as impeachment evidence as it shows Lehman's bias as a witness It is also relevance because Yuga's litigation conduct is relevant to whether Defendants acted willfully Several terms of the agreement show that the lawsuit was actually about the criticisms against Yuga levied by Defendants// Lack of Foundation:Mr Lehman and Ms Muniz can lay the foundation to this document if need be because it involves him and involves a document to which the company has knowledge // Hearsay: Defendants' use of the document would not be for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather for the fact that the statements were made</p>
JTX-2261	YUGALABS_00040637- YUGALABS_00040642	Muniz, Lehman	<p>This exhibit is subject to FRE 408 as part of a settlement offer or negotiation</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p>	<p>FRE 408: Defendants may use the statement pursuant to FRE 408(b) if Mr Lehman testifies // Relevance: This is relevant as impeachment evidence as it shows Lehman's bias as a witness It is also relevant because Yuga's litigation conduct is relevant to whether Defendants acted willfully // Lack of Foundation: Mr Lehman and Ms Muniz can lay the foundation to this document if need be because it involves him and involves a document to which the company has knowledge // Hearsay: Defendants' use of the document would not be for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather for the fact that the statements were made</p>
JTX-2262	YUGALABS_00040648- YUGALABS_00040649	Muniz, Lehman	<p>This exhibit is subject to FRE 408 as part of a settlement offer or negotiation</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the information therein or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>FRE 408: Defendants may use the statement pursuant to FRE 408(b) if Mr Lehman testifies // Relevance: This is relevant as impeachment evidence as it shows Lehman's bias as a witness It is also relevant because Yuga's litigation conduct is relevant to whether Defendants acted willfully // Lack of Foundation: Mr Lehman and Ms Muniz can lay the foundation to this document if need be because it involves him and involves a document to which the company has knowledge // Hearsay: Defendants' use of the document would not be for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather for the fact that the statements were made</p>
JTX-2263	YUGALABS_00040650- YUGALABS_00040651	Muniz, Lehman	<p>This exhibit is subject to FRE 408 as part of a settlement offer or negotiation</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the information therein or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>FRE 408: Defendants may use the statement pursuant to FRE 408(b) if Mr Lehman testifies // Relevance: This is relevant as impeachment evidence as it shows Lehman's bias as a witness It is also relevant because Yuga's litigation conduct is relevant to whether Defendants acted willfully // Lack of Foundation: Mr Lehman and Ms Muniz can lay the foundation to this document if need be because it involves him and involves a document to which the company has knowledge // Hearsay: Defendants' use of the document would not be for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather for the fact that the statements were made</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2264	YUGALABS_00040652- YUGALABS_00040659	Muniz, Lehman	This exhibit is subject to FRE 408 as part of a settlement offer or negotiation This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	FRE 408: Defendants may use the statement pursuant to FRE 408(b) if Mr Lehman testifies // Relevance: This is relevant as impeachment evidence as it shows Lehman's bias as a witness It is also relevant because Yuga's litigation conduct is relevant to whether Defendants acted willfully including evidence that this lawsuit was primarily brought by Yuga to silence speech // Lack of Foundation: Mr Lehman and Ms Muniz can lay the foundation to this document if need be because it involves him and involves a document to which the company has knowledge // Hearsay: Defendants' use of the document would not be for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather for the fact that the statements were made
JTX-2265	YUGALABS_00040660- YUGALABS_00040666	Muniz, Lehman	This exhibit is subject to FRE 408 as part of a settlement offer or negotiation This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	FRE 408: Defendants may use the statement pursuant to FRE 408(b) if Mr Lehman testifies // Relevance: This is relevant as impeachment evidence as it shows Lehman's bias as a witness It is also relevant because Yuga's litigation conduct is relevant to whether Defendants acted willfully // Lack of Foundation: Mr Lehman and Ms Muniz can lay the foundation to this document if need be because it involves him and involves a document to which the company has knowledge // Hearsay: Defendants' use of the document would not be for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather for the fact that the statements were made
JTX-2266	YUGALABS_00040667- YUGALABS_00040668	Muniz, Lehman	This exhibit is subject to FRE 408 as part of a settlement offer or negotiation This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the information therein or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	FRE 408: Defendants may use the statement pursuant to FRE 408(b) if Mr Lehman testifies // Relevance: This is relevant as impeachment evidence as it shows Lehman's bias as a witness It is also relevant because Yuga's litigation conduct is relevant to whether Defendants acted willfully // Lack of Foundation: Mr Lehman and Ms Muniz can lay the foundation to this document if need be because it involves him and involves a document to which the company has knowledge // Hearsay: Defendants' use of the document would not be for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather for the fact that the statements were made
JTX-2267	YUGALABS_00040669- YUGALABS_00040676	Muniz, Lehman	This exhibit is subject to FRE 408 as part of a settlement offer or negotiation This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	FRE 408: Defendants may use the statement pursuant to FRE 408(b) if Mr Lehman testifies // Relevance: This is relevant as impeachment evidence as it shows Lehman's bias as a witness It is also relevant because Yuga's litigation conduct is relevant to whether Defendants acted willfully // Lack of Foundation: Mr Lehman and Ms Muniz can lay the foundation to this document if need be because it involves him and involves a document to which the company has knowledge // Hearsay: Defendants' use of the document would not be for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather for the fact that the statements were made
JTX-2268	YUGALABS_00040677- YUGALABS_00040683	Muniz, Lehman	This exhibit is subject to FRE 408 as part of a settlement offer or negotiation This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	FRE 408: Defendants may use the statement pursuant to FRE 408(b) if Mr Lehman testifies // Relevance: This is relevant as impeachment evidence as it shows Lehman's bias as a witness It is also relevant because Yuga's litigation conduct is relevant to whether Defendants acted willfully // Lack of Foundation: Mr Lehman and Ms Muniz can lay the foundation to this document if need be because it involves him and involves a document to which the company has knowledge // Hearsay: Defendants' use of the document would not be for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather for the fact that the statements were made

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2269	YUGALABS_00040690- YUGALABS_00040692	Muniz, Lehman	<p>This exhibit is subject to FRE 408 as part of a settlement offer or negotiation</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the information therein or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>FRE 408: Defendants may use the statement pursuant to FRE 408(b) if Mr Lehman testifies // Relevance: This is relevant as impeachment evidence as it shows Lehman's bias as a witness It is also relevant because Yuga's litigation conduct is relevant to whether Defendants acted willfully // Lack of Foundation: Mr Lehman and Ms Muniz can lay the foundation to this document if need be because it involves him and involves a document to which the company has knowledge // Hearsay: Defendants' use of the document would not be for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather for the fact that the statements were made</p>
JTX-2270	YUGALABS_00040693- YUGALABS_00040695	Muniz, Lehman	<p>This exhibit is subject to FRE 408 as part of a settlement offer or negotiation</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the information therein or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>FRE 408: Defendants may use the statement pursuant to FRE 408(b) if Mr Lehman testifies // Relevance: This is relevant as impeachment evidence as it shows Lehman's bias as a witness It is also relevant because Yuga's litigation conduct is relevant to whether Defendants acted willfully // Lack of Foundation: Mr Lehman and Ms Muniz can lay the foundation to this document if need be because it involves him and involves a document to which the company has knowledge // Hearsay: Defendants' use of the document would not be for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather for the fact that the statements were made</p>
JTX-2271	YUGALABS_00040696- YUGALABS_00040697	Muniz, Lehman	<p>This exhibit is subject to FRE 408 as part of a settlement offer or negotiation</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the information therein or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>FRE 408: Defendants may use the statement pursuant to FRE 408(b) if Mr Lehman testifies // Relevance: This is relevant as impeachment evidence as it shows Lehman's bias as a witness It is also relevant because Yuga's litigation conduct is relevant to whether Defendants acted willfully // Lack of Foundation: Mr Lehman and Ms Muniz can lay the foundation to this document if need be because it involves him and involves a document to which the company has knowledge // Hearsay: Defendants' use of the document would not be for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather for the fact that the statements were made</p>
JTX-2272	YUGALABS_00040698- YUGALABS_00040705	Muniz, Lehman	<p>This exhibit is subject to FRE 408 as part of a settlement offer or negotiation</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>FRE 408: Defendants may use the statement pursuant to FRE 408(b) if Mr Lehman testifies // Relevance: This is relevant as impeachment evidence as it shows Lehman's bias as a witness It is also relevant because Yuga's litigation conduct is relevant to whether Defendants acted willfully, including statements that indicate that Yuga's true motivation for the lawsuit was to stifle criticism // Lack of Foundation: Mr Lehman and Ms Muniz can lay the foundation to this document if need be because it involves him and involves a document to which the company has knowledge // Hearsay: Defendants' use of the document would not be for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather for the fact that the statements were made</p>
JTX-2273	YUGALABS_00040707- YUGALABS_00040713	Muniz, Lehman	<p>This exhibit is subject to FRE 408 as part of a settlement offer or negotiation</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>FRE 408: Defendants may use the statement pursuant to FRE 408(b) if Mr Lehman testifies // Relevance: This is relevant as impeachment evidence as it shows Lehman's bias as a witness It is also relevant because Yuga's litigation conduct is relevant to whether Defendants acted willfully // Lack of Foundation: Mr Lehman and Ms Muniz can lay the foundation to this document if need be because it involves him and involves a document to which the company has knowledge // Hearsay: Defendants' use of the document would not be for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather for the fact that the statements were made</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2274	YUGALABS_00040723- YUGALABS_00040726	Muniz, Lehman	<p>This exhibit is subject to FRE 408 as part of a settlement offer or negotiation</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the information therein or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>FRE 408: Defendants may use the statement pursuant to FRE 408(b) if Mr Lehman testifies // Relevance: This is relevant as impeachment evidence as it shows Lehman's bias as a witness It is also relevant because Yuga's litigation conduct is relevant to whether Defendants acted willfully // Lack of Foundation: Mr Lehman and Ms Muniz can lay the foundation to this document if need be because it involves him and involves a document to which the company has knowledge // Hearsay: Defendants' use of the document would not be for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather for the fact that the statements were made</p>
JTX-2275	YUGALABS_00040731- YUGALABS_00040734	Muniz, Lehman	<p>This exhibit is subject to FRE 408 as part of a settlement offer or negotiation</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the information therein or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>FRE 408: Defendants may use the statement pursuant to FRE 408(b) if Mr Lehman testifies // Relevance: This is relevant as impeachment evidence as it shows Lehman's bias as a witness It is also relevant because Yuga's litigation conduct is relevant to whether Defendants acted willfully // Lack of Foundation: Mr Lehman and Ms Muniz can lay the foundation to this document if need be because it involves him and involves a document to which the company has knowledge // Hearsay: Defendants' use of the document would not be for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather for the fact that the statements were made</p>
JTX-2276	YUGALABS_00040735- YUGALABS_00040735	Muniz, Lehman	<p>This exhibit is subject to FRE 408 as part of a settlement offer or negotiation</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the information therein or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>FRE 408: Defendants may use the statement pursuant to FRE 408(b) if Mr Lehman testifies // Relevance: This is relevant as impeachment evidence as it shows Lehman's bias as a witness It is also relevant because Yuga's litigation conduct is relevant to whether Defendants acted willfully // Lack of Foundation: Mr Lehman and Ms Muniz can lay the foundation to this document if need be because it involves him and involves a document to which the company has knowledge // Hearsay: Defendants' use of the document would not be for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather for the fact that the statements were made</p>
JTX-2277	YUGALABS_00040736- YUGALABS_00040745	Muniz, Lehman	No objection	
JTX-2278	YUGALABS_00040746- YUGALABS_00040762	Muniz, Lehman	<p>This exhibit is subject to FRE 408 as part of a settlement offer or negotiation</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>FRE 408: Defendants may use the statement pursuant to FRE 408(b) if Mr Lehman testifies // Relevance: This is relevant as impeachment evidence as it shows Lehman's bias as a witness It is also relevant because Yuga's litigation conduct is relevant to whether Defendants acted willfully including because it contains evidence that the true motivation behind the suit was to silence Yuga's critics // Lack of Foundation: Mr Lehman and Ms Muniz can lay the foundation to this document if need be because it involves him and involves a document to which the company has knowledge // Hearsay: Defendants' use of the document would not be for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather for the fact that the statements were made</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2279	YUGALABS_00040763- YUGALABS_00040763	Muniz, Lehman	<p>This exhibit is subject to FRE 408 as part of a settlement offer or negotiation</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the information therein or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>FRE 408: Defendants may use the statement pursuant to FRE 408(b) if Mr Lehman testifies // Relevance: This is relevant as impeachment evidence as it shows Lehman's bias as a witness It is also relevant because Yuga's litigation conduct is relevant to whether Defendants acted willfully // Lack of Foundation: Mr Lehman and Ms Muniz can lay the foundation to this document if need be because it involves him and involves a document to which the company has knowledge // Hearsay: Defendants' use of the document would not be for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather for the fact that the statements were made</p>
JTX-2280	RIPPSCAHEN00015922- RIPPSCAHEN00015923	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant to demonstrate that Yuga had surrendered its IP to the world, that the term "Ape" was used in a generic manner by other cryptocurrency sellers, and on the willfulness issue because it goes to Yuga's wrongful intent for filing suit ///Rule of Completion: As a complete screenshot of a webpage, there are no completeness problems raised by the document //Foundation and Authentication: Defendants can lay the foundation for authenticate the screenshot through their familiarity with the website, the crypto industry and other "Ape" collections //Hearsay: Defendants are not admitting the document for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather to show that the statement was made</p>
JTX-2281	RIPPSCAHEN00017192- RIPPSCAHEN00017192	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant to demonstrate that Yuga had surrendered its IP to the world, that the term "Ape" was used in a generic manner by other cryptocurrency sellers, and on the willfulness issue because it goes to Yuga's wrongful intent for filing suit ///Rule of Completion: As a complete screenshot of a webpage, there are no completeness problems raised by the document //Foundation and Authentication: Defendants can lay the foundation for authenticate the screenshot through their familiarity with the website, the crypto industry and other "Ape" collections //Hearsay: Defendants are not admitting the document for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather to show that the statement was made</p>
JTX-2282	RIPPSCAHEN00017228- RIPPSCAHEN00017236	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant to demonstrate that Yuga had surrendered its IP to the world It is also relevant to demonstrate willfulness because Yuga did not sue this collection, but instead decided to sue the only critical collection //Rule of Completion: As a complete screenshot of a webpage, there are no completeness problems raised by the document //Foundaiotn and Authentication: Defendants can lay the foundation and authenticate the screenshot through their familiarity with the website and other similar NFT collections //Hearsay Defendants are not admitting the document for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather to show that the statement was made</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2283	RIPPSCAHEN00017447- RIPPSCAHEN00017447	Ripps	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's data or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of a statement is proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant because it goes to Defendants' motivation which is directly relevant to the issue of whether Defendants willfully infringed // Rule of Completeness: As a full screenshot there are no rule of completeness issues implicated //Foundation and Authentication: Defendants can authenticate the documents through their familiarity with their own Tweets, likewise they can lay foundation for personal knowledge of their own statements //Hearsay: The Tweet contains no statements which have a truth value, and therefore it cannot be asserted for the truth of the matter stated Defendants seek to introduce the statement to prove that it was said, not that what it said is true</p>
JTX-2284	RIPPSCAHEN00017581- RIPPSCAHEN00017583	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant to demonstrate that Yuga had surrendered its IP to the world It is also relevant to demonstrate willfulness because Yuga did not sue this collection, but instead decided to sue the only critical collection //Rule of Completion: As a complete screenshot of a webpage, there are no completeness problems raised by the document //Foundaion and Authentication: Defendants can lay the foundation and authenticate the screenshot through their familiarity with the website and other similar NFT collections //Hearsay Defendants are not admitting the document for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather to show that the statement was made</p>
JTX-2285	RIPPSCAHEN00017615- RIPPSCAHEN00017615	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's data or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of a statement is proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant because it goes to Defendants' motivation which is directly relevant to the issue of whether Defendants willfully infringed // Rule of Completeness: As a full screenshot there are no rule of completeness issues implicated //Foundation and Authentication: Defendants can authenticate the documents through their familiarity with their own Tweets, likewise they can lay foundation for personal knowledge of their own statements //Hearsay: The Tweet contains no statements which have a truth value, and therefore it cannot be asserted for the truth of the matter stated Defendants seek to introduce the statement to prove that it was said, not that what it said is true</p>
JTX-2286	RIPPSCAHEN00017752- RIPPSCAHEN00017753	Ripps	<p>This exhibit lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Foundation: This document contains a statement of one of the Defendants He can lay the foundation as to his statements and his beliefs about any conversation Defendants are not seeking to use this for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather to demonstrate that the statement was said</p>
JTX-2287	RIPPSCAHEN00020141- RIPPSCAHEN00020148	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundationn FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant to demonstrate that Yuga had surrendered its IP to the world It is also relevant to demonstrate willfulness because Yuga did not sue this collection, but instead decided to sue the only critical collection //Rule of Completion: As a complete screenshot of a webpage, there are no completeness problems raised by the document //Foundaion and Authentication: Defendants can lay the foundation and authenticate the screenshot through their familiarity with the website and other similar NFT collections //Hearsay Defendants are not admitting the document for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather to show that the statement was made</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2288	RIPPSCAHEN00020648- RIPPSCAHEN00020649	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman	This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106 Defendants have modified the exhibit to hide and obscure their infringement as they have admitted during depositions For those reasons, the exhibit as is, is further misleading and objectionable under FRE 403	Rule of Completion: As a complete screenshot of how the RRBAYC collection appeared on Looksrare Defendants did not "modif[y] the exhibit to hid and obscure their infringement" nor did they admit to doing so in their depositions //FRE 403: This is a highly relevant document to Yuga's claim because it shows the RR/BAYC mark in commerce The allegation that Defendants "modified" the exhibit and admitted doing so is untrue As such, Yuga's objection and the salacious accusation supporting it should be disregarded It is how the webpage appears
JTX-2289	RIPPSCAHEN00020817- RIPPSCAHEN00020819	Ripps, Cahen	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602 This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602 This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: This document is relevant to the issues of willfulness, Defendants' intent, and whether Yuga surrendered its IP rights to the world Defendants' motivations are at issue, and as a result evidence that Yuga sued Defendants specifically due to their criticism of Yuga's marks is relevant // Rule of Completeness: As a complete screengrab of a webpage there is no completeness problem with the exhibit //Foundation and Authentication: Defendants can lay the foundation for and authenticate the document Defendnatsa can testify to their familiarity with the Bored Ape Solana Club and the marketplaces it sold NFTS on Likewise there is no dispute that the document is, in fact, authentic // Hearsay: Defendants are not seeking to use the exhibit for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather to show that any involved statements were made
JTX-2290	RIPPSCAHEN00020825- RIPPSCAHEN00020825	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman, Muniz, Aronow, Solano, Atalay	Subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document Objection under FRE 106 as this exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented	Relevance: Evidence of Yuga's use of the market to sell their BAYC NFTs is clearly relevant to this case Yuga's objection acknowledges as much //Rule of Completion: As a full screenshot of a webpage, there is no concern that the exhibit is misleadingly incomplete
JTX-2291	RIPPSCAHEN00021226- RIPPSCAHEN00021227	Ripps, Cahen	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602 This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602 This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: This document is relevant to demonstrate that Yuga had surrendered its IP to the world It is also relevant to demonstrate willfulness because Yuga did not sue this collection, but instead decided to sue the only critical collection //Rule of Completion: As a complete screenshot of a wepage, there are no completeness problems raised by the document //Foundaiotn and Authentication: Defendants can lay the foundation and authenticate the screenshot through their familiarity with the website and other similar NFT collections //Hearsay Defendants are not admitting the document for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather to show that the statement was made
JTX-2292	RIPPSCAHEN00021228- RIPPSCAHEN00021229	Ripps, Cahen	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602 This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602 This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: This document is relevant to demonstrate that Yuga had surrendered its IP to the world It is also relevant to demonstrate willfulness because Yuga did not sue this collection, but instead decided to sue the only critical collection //Rule of Completion: As a complete screenshot of a wepage, there are no completeness problems raised by the document //Foundaiotn and Authentication: Defendants can lay the foundation and authenticate the screenshot through their familiarity with the website and other similar NFT collections //Hearsay Defendants are not admitting the document for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather to show that the statement was made

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2293	RIPPSCAHEN00021230- RIPPSCAHEN00021231	Ripps, Cahen	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602 This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602 This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: This document is relevant to demonstrate that Yuga had surrendered its IP to the world It is also relevant to demonstrate willfulness because Yuga did not sue this collection, but instead decided to sue the only critical collection //Rule of Completion: As a complete screenshot of a webpage, there are no completeness problems raised by the document //Foundaiothn and Authentication: Defendants can lay the foundation and authenticate the screenshot through their familiarity with the website and other similar NFT collections //Hearsay Defendants are not admitting the document for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather to show that the statement was made
JTX-2294	RIPPSCAHEN00026269- RIPPSCAHEN00026269	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz, Aronow, Solano, Atalay	Subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document Objection under FRE 106 as this exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented	Relevance: Yuga's use of the market is plainly relevant to their claims and Defendants' defenses //Rule of Completion: As a full screenshot from a webpage there are no completeness worries
JTX-2295	YUGALABS_00027472- YUGALABS_00027472	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman	This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602 This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Rule of Completion/403: As a complete screenshot of a webpage, it is not unduly misleading for being incomplete How Defendants displayed the RRBYC collection is clearly relevant //Foundation: Defendants and Ms Muniz can lay the foundation for the document by testifying to their knowledge of the RRBYC project and the places it was sold // Hearsay: Defendants are not using the document to prove the "truth of teh matter asserted" but rather are using it for other purposes such as showing the layout of a website
JTX-2296	YUGALABS_00027473- YUGALABS_00027473	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman	This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602 This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Rule of Completion/403: As a complete screenshot of a webpage, it is not unduly misleading for being incomplete How Defendants displayed the RRBYC collection is clearly relevant //Foundation: Defendants and Ms Muniz can lay the foundation for the document by testifying to their knowledge of the RRBYC project and the places it was sold // Hearsay: Defendants are not using the document to prove the "truth of teh matter asserted" but rather are using it for other purposes such as showing the layout of a website
JTX-2297	YUGALABS_00040390- YUGALABS_00040390	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman	Subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document Objection under FRE 106 as this exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented	Relevance: Yuga concedes that this document is relevant, which is correct as it directly pertains to the false advertising claim // Rule of Completeness: As a complete screenshot of a webpage, there are no completeness concerns with this document
JTX-2298	YUGALABS_00040406- YUGALABS_00040406	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman	Subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document Objection under FRE 106 as this exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented	Relevance: Yuga concedes that this document is relevant, which is correct as it directly pertains to the false advertising claim // Rule of Completeness: As a complete screenshot of a webpage, there are no completeness concerns with this document
JTX-2299	YUGALABS_00040408- YUGALABS_00040408	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman	Subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document Objection under FRE 106 as this exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented	Relevance: Yuga concedes that this document is relevant, which is correct as it directly pertains to the false advertising claim // Rule of Completeness: As a complete screenshot of a webpage, there are no completeness concerns with this document

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2300	YUGALABS_00040849- YUGALABS_00040849	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or claimed non-commercial speech or attacks on Yuga Labs or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: How the RRBYC project appeared in the market, including after DMCA takedowns from Yuga Labs is directly relevant to Yuga's causes of action //Rule of Completeness: As a screenshot of a full webpage there are no completeness concerns presented by this document Hearsay://Hearsay does not implicate what Defendants intend to use the document for, therefore an objection based on what defenses a document could be used for is improper It only turns on whether statements asserted are presented for the truth of the matter asserted Defendants plan to use the document not to prove the truth of any matter asserted, but instead to show what the webpage looked like
JTX-2301	YUGALABS_00040850- YUGALABS_00040850	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or claimed non-commercial speech or attacks on Yuga Labs or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: How the RRBYC project appeared in the market, including after DMCA takedowns from Yuga Labs is directly relevant to Yuga's causes of action //Rule of Completeness: As a screenshot of a full webpage there are no completeness concerns presented by this document Hearsay://Hearsay does not implicate what Defendants intend to use the document for, therefore an objection based on what defenses a document could be used for is improper It only turns on whether statements asserted are presented for the truth of the matter asserted Defendants plan to use the document not to prove the truth of any matter asserted, but instead to show what the webpage looked like
JTX-2302	RIPPSCAHEN00017510- RIPPSCAHEN00017517	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman,	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: How people used and purchased Yuga BAYC NFTs is relevant to whether BAYC NFTs are securities It is also relevant to willfulness because one of the main criticisms Defendants have of Yuga is that they are engaged in widespread security fraud //Rule of Completeness: As a screenshot of a full tweet there are no completeness concerns presented by this document Hearsay://Hearsay does not implicate what Defendants intend to use the document for, therefore an objection based on what defenses a document could be used for is improper It only turns on whether statements asserted are presented for the truth of the matter asserted Defendants plan to use the document not to prove the truth of any matter asserted, but instead to show that the statement was used
JTX-2303	RIPPSCAHEN00017625- RIPPSCAHEN00017641	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602 This exhibit includes multiple unrelated Tweets, not relevant to this case, all combined together in single document, which is misleading and an improper exhibit to which there is no foundation FRE 401, 402, 611(a), 602 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: How people used and purchased Yuga BAYC NFTs is relevant to whether BAYC NFTs are securities It is also relevant to willfulness because one of the main criticisms Defendants have of Yuga is that they are engaged in widespread security fraud //Rule of Completeness: As a screenshot of a full tweet there are no completeness concerns presented by this document Hearsay://Hearsay does not implicate what Defendants intend to use the document for, therefore an objection based on what defenses a document could be used for is improper It only turns on whether statements asserted are presented for the truth of the matter asserted Defendants plan to use the document not to prove the truth of any matter asserted, but instead to show that the statement was used
JTX-2304	RIPPSCAHEN00019895- RIPPSCAHEN00019901	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: How people used and purchased Yuga BAYC NFTs and their motivations for doing so is relevant to whether BAYC NFTs are securities It is also relevant to willfulness because one of the main criticisms Defendants have of Yuga is that they are engaged in widespread security fraud //Rule of Completeness: As a screenshot of a full tweet there are no completeness concerns presented by this document Hearsay://Hearsay does not implicate what Defendants intend to use the document for, therefore an objection based on what defenses a document could be used for is improper It only turns on whether statements asserted are presented for the truth of the matter asserted Defendants plan to use the document not to prove the truth of any matter asserted, but instead to show that the statement was used
JTX-2305	RIPPSCAHEN000024028- RIPPSCAHEN00002028	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz	No objection	

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2306	RIPPSCAHEN00017138- RIPPSCAHEN00017140	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit includes multiple unrelated Tweets, not relevant to this case, all combined together in single document, which is misleading and an improper exhibit to which there is no foundation FRE 401, 402, 611(a), 602</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: Yuga Labs has indicated that they are planning to use "corrective advertising" as a measure of damages, including damage control advertising done in response to painting the ape skull over late artist NEKST's mark in New York City Foundation://Defendants are aware of the event and conversation surrounding it, and can lay foundation for it /// Multiple Tweets Objection: The Tweets are in reply to each other and therefore related to each other All cover the same topic, which was the NEKST mark and community outrage surrounding its tagging by Yuga Labs and Yuga's agents //Hearsay: Whether the hearsay rule applies does not turn on whether Defendants will use a document to serve their claim If so, every document would be hearsay Instead, it only excludes documents used for the "truth of the matter asserted " Defendants plan to use this document not for the truth of the matter asserted but to show that the statements were said</p>
JTX-2307	RIPPSCAHEN00017604- RIPPSCAHEN00017604	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: Yuga Labs has indicated that they are planning to use "corrective advertising" as a measure of damages, including damage control advertising done in response to painting the ape skull over late artist NEKST's mark in New York City Foundation://Defendants are aware of the event and conversation surrounding it, and can lay foundation for it /// Multiple Tweets Objection: The Tweets are in reply to each other and therefore related to each other All cover the same topic, which was the NEKST mark and community outrage surrounding its tagging by Yuga Labs and Yuga's agents //Hearsay: Whether the hearsay rule applies does not turn on whether Defendants will use a document to serve their claim If so, every document would be hearsay Instead, it only excludes documents used for the "truth of the matter asserted " Defendants plan to use this document not for the truth of the matter asserted but to show that the statements were said</p>
JTX-2308	RIPPSCAHEN00018506- RIPPSCAHEN00018508	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: Yuga Labs has indicated that they are planning to use "corrective advertising" as a measure of damages, including damage control advertising done in response to painting the ape skull over late artist NEKST's mark in New York City Foundation://Defendants are aware of the event and conversation surrounding it, and can lay foundation for it /// Multiple Tweets Objection: The Tweets are in reply to each other and therefore related to each other All cover the same topic, which was the NEKST mark and community outrage surrounding its tagging by Yuga Labs and Yuga's agents //Hearsay: Whether the hearsay rule applies does not turn on whether Defendants will use a document to serve their claim If so, every document would be hearsay Instead, it only excludes documents used for the "truth of the matter asserted " Defendants plan to use this document not for the truth of the matter asserted but to show that the statements were said</p>
JTX-2309	RIPPSCAHEN00018641- RIPPSCAHEN00018662	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit includes multiple unrelated posts, not relevant to this case, all combined together in single document, which is misleading and an improper exhibit to which there is no foundation FRE 401, 402, 611(a), 602</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: Yuga Labs has indicated that they are planning to use "corrective advertising" as a measure of damages, including damage control advertising done in response to painting the ape skull over late artist NEKST's mark in New York City Foundation://Defendants are aware of the event and conversation surrounding it, and can lay foundation for it /// Multiple Tweets Objection: The Tweets are in reply to each other and therefore related to each other All cover the same topic, which was the NEKST mark and community outrage surrounding its tagging by Yuga Labs and Yuga's agents //Hearsay: Whether the hearsay rule applies does not turn on whether Defendants will use a document to serve their claim If so, every document would be hearsay Instead, it only excludes documents used for the "truth of the matter asserted " Defendants plan to use this document not for the truth of the matter asserted but to show that the statements were said and that there was substantial discussion about this event in the relevant community</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2310	RIPPSCAHEN00018942- RIPPSCAHEN00018950	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit includes multiple unrelated Tweets, not relevant to this case, all combined together in single document, which is misleading and an improper exhibit to which there is no foundation FRE 401, 402, 611(a), 602</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: Yuga Labs has indicated that they are planning to use "corrective advertising" as a measure of damages, including damage control advertising done in response to painting the ape skull over late artist NEKST's mark in New York City Foundation://Defendants are aware of the event and conversation surrounding it, and can lay foundation for it /// Multiple Tweets Objection: The Tweets are in reply to each other and therefore related to each other All cover the same topic, which was the NEKST mark and community outrage surrounding its tagging by Yuga Labs and Yuga's agents //Hearsay: Whether the hearsay rule applies does not turn on whether Defendants will use a document to serve their claim If so, every document would be hearsay Instead, it only excludes documents used for the "truth of the matter asserted " Defendants plan to use this document not for the truth of the matter asserted but to show that the statements were said</p>
JTX-2311	RIPPSCAHEN00018975- RIPPSCAHEN00018975	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: Yuga Labs has indicated that they are planning to use "corrective advertising" as a measure of damages, including damage control advertising done in response to painting the ape skull over late artist NEKST's mark in New York City Foundation://Defendants are aware of the event and conversation surrounding it, and can lay foundation for it /// Hearsay: Whether the hearsay rule applies does not turn on whether Defendants will use a document to serve their claim If so, every document would be hearsay Instead, it only excludes documents used for the "truth of the matter asserted " Defendants plan to use this document not for the truth of the matter asserted but to show that the statements were said</p>
JTX-2312	RIPPSCAHEN00018976- RIPPSCAHEN00018976	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: Yuga Labs has indicated that they are planning to use "corrective advertising" as a measure of damages, including damage control advertising done in response to painting the ape skull over late artist NEKST's mark in New York City Foundation://Defendants are aware of the event and conversation surrounding it, and can lay foundation for it ///Hearsay: Whether the hearsay rule applies does not turn on whether Defendants will use a document to serve their claim If so, every document would be hearsay Instead, it only excludes documents used for the "truth of the matter asserted " Defendants plan to use this document not for the truth of the matter asserted but to show that the statements were said</p>
JTX-2313	RIPPSCAHEN00019443- RIPPSCAHEN00019447	Ripps	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: Yuga Labs has indicated that they are planning to use "corrective advertising" as a measure of damages, including damage control advertising done in response to painting the ape skull over late artist NEKST's mark in New York City This is also relevant as to willfulness as it pertains to Defendants respect of and support of artists and art generally Foundation://Defendants are aware of the event and conversation surrounding it, and can lay foundation for it Further, they can lay the foundation for their own Tweets //Hearsay: Whether the hearsay rule applies does not turn on whether Defendants will use a document to serve their claim If so, every document would be hearsay Instead, it only excludes documents used for the "truth of the matter asserted " Defendants plan to use this document not for the truth of the matter asserted but to show that the statements were said</p>
JTX-2314	RIPPSCAHEN00016967- RIPPSCAHEN00016985	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant to willfulness and Defendants' intent which is directly at issue Whether Defendants were engaged in good faith criticism of Yuga and its co-founders effects whether Defendants acted wilfully ///Rule of Completion: Defendants can lay the foundation to this document and it is substantially complete to not be misleading ///Hearsay: The intended use of a document as supporting Defendants' case has no bearing on the hearsay analysis Defendants do not intend to introduce this document for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather for the fact that the statements were made</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2315	RIPPSCAHEN00016990- RIPPSCAHEN00016990	Ripps	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This document is relevant to wilfulness and Defendants' intent which is directly at issue because whether Mr Ripps is a recognized and bona fide artist has bearing on his intent ///Hearsay: The intended use of a document as supporting Defendants' case is irrelevant to the hearsay analysis Defendants do not intend to introduce this document for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather for the fact that the statements were made
JTX-2316	RIPPSCAHEN00017086- RIPPSCAHEN00017091	Ripps	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This document is relevant to Defendants' intent and whether they acted with wilfulness Mr Ripps' history of criticism and being a recognized bona fide artists directly bears on why he made the RR/BAYC project ///Hearsay: Whether Defendants are using a document to their advantage is irrelevant to the hearsay analysis Defendants do not plan on introducing the document for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather because it was said
JTX-2317	RIPPSCAHEN00017092- RIPPSCAHEN00017099	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman, Atalay, Muniz	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent any portion of third-party comments are proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This document is relevant as this case involves issues related to on-chain transactions recorded by Etherscan /// Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this document through their familiarity with it /// Hearsay: This document is not being introduced for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather to demonstrate the availability of this knowledge to the general public and the simplicity of accessing the knowledge
JTX-2318	RIPPSCAHEN00017100- RIPPSCAHEN00017111	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman, Atalay, Muniz	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602 This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This document is relevant as this case involves issues related to on-chain transactions recorded by Etherscan /// Rule of Completeness: The document is functionally complete as it contains all of the necessary text and therefore there is no completeness issue // Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this document through their familiarity with it /// Hearsay: This document is not being introduced for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather to demonstrate the availability of this knowledge to the general public and the simplicity of accessing the knowledge
JTX-2319	RIPPSCAHEN00017199- RIPPSCAHEN00017209	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602 This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This article is relevant as to whether Yuga has surrendered their IP rights to the world which is a necessary component of their false advertising claim //Rule of Completeness: The article contains the entire text of the article and is therefore not misleadingly incomplete ///Foundation: Defendants can lay the foundation for this document through their familiarity with it ///Hearsay: Whether Defendants use this document to support their defenses is irrelevant to the hearsay analysis Defendants do not intend to use the article for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather for the fact that the document was said, and that it was released publicly with wide circulation

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2320	RIPPSCAHEN00019827- RIPPSCAHEN00019836	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is prejudicial in that it includes false and misleading information that has since been corrected or confirmed to be false such that any relevance outweighs its prejudicial value FRE 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to Defendants' state of mind/willfulness /// FRE 403: It is misleading to state that the article includes "false and misleading information", Yuga at no point has disproven the allegations underlying this document Further, it is a probative document in that it explains motivations behind Defendants' intentions in the RR/BAYC project ///Rule of Completeness: This article is a complete screenshot and therefore there is no completeness issue ///Foundation: All parties are familiar with this article and can lay the foundation to it through their familiarity with the document ///Hearsay: Whether Defendants intend to use the document to support their defenses is irrelevant to the hearsay analysis Defendants do not intend to use the document for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather to show that the statements were said</p>
JTX-2321	RIPPSCAHEN00020082- RIPPSCAHEN00020082	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is prejudicial in that it includes false and misleading information that has since been corrected or confirmed to be false such that any relevance outweighs its prejudicial value FRE 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to Defendants' state of mind/willfulness /// FRE 403: It is misleading to state that the article includes "false and misleading information", Yuga at no point has disproven the allegations underlying this document Further, it is a probative document in that it explains motivations behind Defendants' intentions in the RR/BAYC project ///Rule of Completeness: This article is a complete screenshot and therefore there is no completeness issue ///Foundation: All parties are familiar with this article and can lay the foundation to it through their familiarity with the document ///Hearsay: Whether Defendants intend to use the document to support their defenses is irrelevant to the hearsay analysis Defendants do not intend to use the exhibit for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather to show that the statements were said</p>
JTX-2322	RIPPSCAHEN00020814- RIPPSCAHEN00020816	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 Indeed, the article appears to be missing images and appears to be from a publication outside the US</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This issue is directly relevant to Yuga's damages claims //Rule of Completeness: The article is substantially complete and no completeness concerns are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay the foundation for the document through familiarity Whether the publication was from outside the United States is irrelevant to this objection //Hearsay: Whether Defendants intent to use a document to support their claim is irrelevant to the hearsay analysis To the extent Defendants intend to use the document for truth of the matter asserted, it falls within the Rule 803(17) exception as a market report relied on by market participants</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2323	RIPPSCAHEN00020876- RIPPSCAHEN00020879	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This issue is directly relevant to Yuga's damages claims //Rule of Completeness: The article is substantially complete and no completeness concerns are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay the foundation for the document through familiarity //Hearsay: Whether Defendants intent to use a document to support their claim is irrelevant to the hearsay analysis To the extent Defendants intend to use the document for truth of the matter asserted, it falls within the Rule 803(17) exception as a market report relied on by market participants</p>
JTX-2324	RIPPSCAHEN00020932- RIPPSCAHEN00020940	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman, Muniz, Aronow, Solano, Atalay	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is prejudicial in that it includes false and misleading information that has since been corrected or confirmed to be false such that any relevance outweighs its prejudicial value FRE 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant to Defendants' intent and wilfulness //FRE 403: There is no information which has been "confirmed" to be false by any source Further, Defendants' accusations and Yuga's response are all relevant to wilfulness and intent //Rule of Completeness: The article is substantively complete and there is no present completeness concerns //Foundation: Defendants and several Yuga witnesses can lay the foundation for the article, especially their own statements //Hearsay: Any statements made by Yuga affiliates including Ms Muniz, Yuga's social media accounts, or its co-founders are non-hearsay as admissions by party opponents Further, there are several aspects of the article which Defendants do not seek to admit for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather because the statement was made</p>
JTX-2325	RIPPSCAHEN00020985- RIPPSCAHEN00020987	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 Indeed, the article appears to be missing images</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This issue is directly relevant to Yuga's damages claims //Rule of Completeness: The article is substantially complete and no completeness concerns are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay the foundation for the document through familiarity //Hearsay: Whether Defendants intent to use a document to support their claim is irrelevant to the hearsay analysis To the extent Defendants intend to use the document for truth of the matter asserted, it falls within the Rule 803(17) exception as a market report relied on by market participants</p>
JTX-2326	RIPPSCAHEN00021469- RIPPSCAHEN00021476	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 Indeed, the article appears to be created by an entity or individual that has hidden its identity and location</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This issue is directly relevant to Yuga's damages claims //Rule of Completeness: The article is substantially complete and no completeness concerns are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay the foundation for the document through familiarity //Hearsay: Whether Defendants intent to use a document to support their claim is irrelevant to the hearsay analysis To the extent Defendants intend to use the document for truth of the matter asserted, it falls within the Rule 803(17) exception as a market report relied on by market participants</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2327	RIPPSCAHEN00022417- RIPPSCAHEN00022419	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: Yuga's involvement with FTX and the public perception that Yuga is involved with FTX is relevant as a possibility of another source of harm for their damages claim //Rule of Completeness: The article is complete and no completeness concerns are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay the foundation for the document through familiarity with the article, as can Ms Muniz //Hearsay: Whether Defendants intend to use a document to support their defense is irrelevant to the hearsay analysis Defendants do not plan on using the document to prove the truth of the matter asserted, but rather to show that the article was written in a place the public could consume it</p>
JTX-2328	RIPPSCAHEN00022514- RIPPSCAHEN00022529	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is prejudicial in that it includes false and misleading information, including regarding unrelated litigations, such that any relevance outweighs its prejudicial value FRE 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This article is relevant to Yuga's damages claim and to Defendants' intent/willfulness // FRE 403: There is no false or misleading information in this article, and the fact of other pending litigation is not inherently prejudicial //Rule of Completeness: The article is substantially complete and does not suffer from a completeness problem //Foundation: Both Defendants and several Yuga witnesses including Ms Muniz can lay foundation as to the existence of the article //Hearsay: Defendants' use of the article to support their defense is not a reason why a document would be hearsay The article is not being proffered for the truth of the matter asserted, but instead for the fact that it was written</p>
JTX-2329	RIPPSCAHEN00022793- RIPPSCAHEN00022797	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman, Aronow, Solano, Atalay, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is prejudicial in that it includes false and misleading information that has since been corrected or confirmed to be false such that any relevance outweighs its prejudicial value FRE 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevant: This exhibit is relevant to damages, willfulness, and Defendants' intent //FRE 403: Contrary to Yuga's argument, nothing has been "corrected or confirmed to be false" regarding the accusations in this letter Further, because Defendants' good faith intent is directly at issue, this is a highly probative document Foundation: Defendants and Yuga's witnesses can lay foundation to this article through familiarity, further Yuga's co-founders can lay foundation to statements attributed to them //Rule of Completeness: The entire article is presented and thus there are no completeness concerns present //Hearsay: Whether Defendants intend to use the exhibit in support of their defense is irrelevant to the hearsay analysis The document is not being introduced for the truth of the matter but instead that it was written and consumed by the public</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2330	RIPPSCAHEN00022964- RIPPSCAHEN00022978	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 Indeed, the article appears to be created by an entity or individual that has hidden its identity and location This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to damages, willfulness, and Defendants' intent Foundation: Defendants can lay the foundation to this article through their knowledge of it Hearsay: Whether Defendants intend to use the exhibit in support of their defense is irrelevant to the hearsay analysis Further, this document is not being introduced for the truth of the matter but instead to show that it was written and published publicly
JTX-2331	RIPPSCAHEN00025276- RIPPSCAHEN00025281	Ripps, Cahen	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602 This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 Indeed, the article appears to be missing images This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to damages, willfulness, and Defendants' intent ///Rule of Completion: The article is substantially complete and therefore there are no completeness concerns present /// Foundation: Defendants can lay the foundation for this article through knowledge of its existence ///Hearsay: Whether Defendants intend to use the article to support their defenses is irrelevant to the hearsay analysis To the extent it is being used to prove the truth of the matter asserted, the document falls within the hearsay exception in FRE 803(17) Defendants plan on using the document also to prove that the statements were made and viewed by the public
JTX-2332	RIPPSCAHEN00026277- RIPPSCAHEN00026291	Ripps	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 Any description regarding Mr Ripps, Mr Cahen, and the litigation by a third party in this exhibit is more prejudicial than probative for the jury FRE 403 This exhibits contains Defendants' false claims regarding Yuga Labs which the Court has ruled are not at issue in this case and thus are more prejudicial than probative for the jury FRE 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to willfulness and Defendants' intent as Mr Ripps's stature as an artist directly implicates his intentions in creating the RRBYC project ///FRE 403-description: This is a massively broad claim that "any discription" of Defendants is per se a 403 problem Further, how Defendants are received in the public is at issue in this case because Defendants' motivation and intent is at issue ///FRE 403-Yuga placed Defendants' motivation and intent at issue Defendants claims, which have not been proven false, are patently relevant to that issue, as is how the marketplace reacted to Defendants' claims //Hearsay: Whether Defendants use a document to their advantage is irrelevant to the hearsay analysis Defendants also intend to use this document not to prove the truth of the matter asserted but to establish that the document was written publicly and establishes Ryder as an artist and points to another possible avenue for Yuga's brand damages claim
JTX-2333	RIPPSCAHEN00026292- RIPPSCAHEN00026299	Ripps	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 Any description regarding Mr Ripps, Mr Cahen, and the litigation by a third party in this exhibit is more prejudicial than probative for the jury FRE 403 This exhibits contains Defendants' false claims regarding Yuga Labs which the Court has ruled are not at issue in this case and thus are more prejudicial than probative for the jury FRE 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to willfulness and Defendants' intent as Mr Ripps's stature as an artist directly implicates his intentions in creating the RRBYC project ///FRE 403-description: This is a massively broad claim that "any discription" of Defendants is per se a 403 problem Further, how Defendants are received in the public is at issue in this case because Defendants' motivation and intent is at issue ///FRE 403-Yuga placed Defendants' motivation and intent at issue Defendants claims, which have not been proven false, are patently relevant to that issue, as is how the marketplace reacted to Defendants' claims //Hearsay: Whether Defendants use a document to their advantage is irrelevant to the hearsay analysis Defendants also intend to use this document not to prove the truth of the matter asserted but to establish that the document was written publicly and establishes Ryder as an artist and points to another possible avenue for Yuga's brand damages claim
JTX-2334	RIPPSCAHEN00020786- RIPPSCAHEN00020786	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman	Subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document Objection under FRE 106 as this exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented	Relevance: This document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga, including that Yuga uses problematic imagery //Rule of Completeness: This document is the entire picture of a BAYC jpg and therefore there are not completeness issues

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2335	RIPPSCAHEN00020787- RIPPSCAHEN00020787	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman	Subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document Objection under FRE 106 as this exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented	Relevance: This document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga, including that Yuga uses problematic imagery //Rule of Completeness: This document is the entire picture of a BAYC jpg and therefore there are not completeness issues
JTX-2336	RIPPSCAHEN00020788- RIPPSCAHEN00020788	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman	Subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document Objection under FRE 106 as this exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented	Relevance: This document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga, including that Yuga uses problematic imagery //Rule of Completeness: This document is the entire picture of a BAYC jpg and therefore there are not completeness issues
JTX-2337	RIPPSCAHEN00020789- RIPPSCAHEN00020789	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman	Subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document Objection under FRE 106 as this exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented	Relevance: This document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga, including that Yuga uses problematic imagery //Rule of Completeness: This document is the entire picture of a BAYC jpg and therefore there are not completeness issues
JTX-2338	SENECA0001-SENECA0013	Cahen	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibits contains Defendants' false claims regarding Yuga Labs which the Court has ruled are not at issue in this case and thus are more prejudicial than probative for the jury FRE 403 This exhibit includes multiple unrelated conversations, not relevant to this case, all combined together in single document, which is misleading and an improper exhibit to which there is no foundation FRE 401, 402, 611(a), 602 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This document is relevant to Defendants' intent and willfulness It is also relevant to show that others recognized problematic features in Yuga's products which could point to other sources of brand harm //FRE 403: None of the claims at issue have been falsified including those pertaining to problematic imagery in Yuga's marks //Unrelated Conversations: This exhibit includes conversation between one of the Defendants and the artist responsible for some of the at issue marks That she acknowledged problematic imagery in Yuga's products is necessarily relevant //Foundation: Mr Cahen can lay the foundation as he was a party to the conversation //Hearsay: Whether Defendants intend to use an exhibit to their benefit is irrelevant to the hearsay analysis Defendants do not intend to introduce the statements for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather because they were said and who said them This is relevant as to whether people who saw Yuga's products agreed that problematic imagery was involved pointing to other sources of brand harm
JTX-2339	RIPPSCAHEN00016000- RIPPSCAHEN00016001	Ripps, Cahen	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602 This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602 This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: This is relevant as to whether Yuga surrendered its IP to the world It is also relevant to willfulness and Defendants' intent in forming that belief //Completeness: This is a full screenshot of a webpage and no completeness issues are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this exhibit through their knowledge of the exhibit //Authentication: Defendants can authenticate this document through personal knowledge of the website //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to rely on this document for the truth of the matter, instead they intend to rely on the document to show that others formed the belief that Yuga surrendered their IP and that people published this belief which is relevant to the issue of, for example, willfulness
JTX-2340	RIPPSCAHEN00016009- RIPPSCAHEN00016011	Ripps, Cahen	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602 This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602 This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: This is relevant as to whether Yuga surrendered its IP to the world It is also relevant to willfulness and Defendants' intent in forming that belief //Completeness: This is a full screenshot of a webpage and no completeness issues are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this exhibit through their knowledge of the exhibit //Authentication: Defendants can authenticate this exhibit through personal knowledge of the website //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to rely on this document for the truth of the matter, instead they intend to rely on the document to show that others formed the belief that Yuga surrendered their IP and that people published this belief which is relevant to the issue of, for example, willfulness

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2341	RIPPSCAHEN00016923- RIPPSCAHEN00016923	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant as to whether Yuga surrendered its IP to the world It is also relevant to willfulness and Defendants' intent in forming that belief //Completeness: This is a full screenshot of a webpage and no completeness issues are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this exhibit through their knowledge of the exhibit //Authentication: Defendants can authenticate this exhibit through personal knowledge of the website //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to rely on this document for the truth of the matter, instead they intend to rely on the document to show that others formed the belief that Yuga surrendered their IP and that people published this belief which is relevant to the issue of, for example, willfulness</p>
JTX-2342	RIPPSCAHEN00016924- RIPPSCAHEN00016924	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant as to whether Yuga surrendered its IP to the world It is also relevant to willfulness and Defendants' intent in forming that belief //Completeness: This is a full screenshot of a webpage and no completeness issues are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this exhibit through their knowledge of the exhibit //Authentication: Defendants can authenticate this exhibit through personal knowledge of the website //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to rely on this document for the truth of the matter, instead they intend to rely on the document to show that others formed the belief that Yuga surrendered their IP and that people published this belief which is relevant to the issue of, for example, willfulness</p>
JTX-2343	RIPPSCAHEN00016925- RIPPSCAHEN00016925	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant as to whether Yuga surrendered its IP to the world It is also relevant to willfulness and Defendants' intent in forming that belief //Completeness: This is a full screenshot of a webpage and no completeness issues are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this exhibit through their knowledge of the exhibit //Authentication: Defendants can authenticate this exhibit through personal knowledge of the website //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to rely on this document for the truth of the matter, instead they intend to rely on the document to show that others formed the belief that Yuga surrendered their IP and that people published this belief which is relevant to the issue of, for example, willfulness</p>
JTX-2344	RIPPSCAHEN00016940- RIPPSCAHEN00016940	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant as to whether Yuga surrendered its IP to the world It is also relevant to willfulness and Defendants' intent in forming that belief //Completeness: This is a full screenshot of a webpage and no completeness issues are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this exhibit through their knowledge of the exhibit //Authentication: Defendants can authenticate this exhibit through personal knowledge of the website //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to rely on this document for the truth of the matter, instead they intend to rely on the document to show that others formed the belief that Yuga surrendered their IP and that people published this belief which is relevant to the issue of, for example, willfulness</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2345	RIPPSCAHEN00016941- RIPPSCAHEN00016941	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant as to whether Yuga surrendered its IP to the world It is also relevant to willfulness and Defendants' intent in forming that belief //Completeness: This is a full screenshot of a webpage and no completeness issues are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this exhibit through their knowledge of the exhibit //Authentication: Defendants can authenticate this exhibit through personal knowledge of the website //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to rely on this document for the truth of the matter, instead they intend to rely on the document to show that others formed the belief that Yuga surrendered their IP and that people published this belief which is relevant to the issue of, for example, willfulness</p>
JTX-2346	RIPPSCAHEN00016942- RIPPSCAHEN00016942	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant as to whether Yuga surrendered its IP to the world It is also relevant to willfulness and Defendants' intent in forming that belief //Completeness: This is a full screenshot of a webpage and no completeness issues are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this exhibit through their knowledge of the exhibit //Authentication: Defendants can authenticate this exhibit through personal knowledge of the website //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to rely on this document for the truth of the matter, instead they intend to rely on the document to show that others formed the belief that Yuga surrendered their IP and that people published this belief which is relevant to the issue of, for example, willfulness</p>
JTX-2347	RIPPSCAHEN00016943- RIPPSCAHEN00016943	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant as to whether Yuga surrendered its IP to the world It is also relevant to willfulness and Defendants' intent in forming that belief //Completeness: This is a full screenshot of a webpage and no completeness issues are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this exhibit through their knowledge of the exhibit //Authentication: Defendants can authenticate this exhibit through personal knowledge of the website //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to rely on this document for the truth of the matter, instead they intend to rely on the document to show that others formed the belief that Yuga surrendered their IP and that people published this belief which is relevant to the issue of, for example, willfulness</p>
JTX-2348	RIPPSCAHEN00016944- RIPPSCAHEN00016944	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant as to whether Yuga surrendered its IP to the world It is also relevant to willfulness and Defendants' intent in forming that belief //Completeness: This is a full screenshot of a webpage and no completeness issues are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this exhibit through their knowledge of the exhibit //Authentication: Defendants can authenticate this exhibit through personal knowledge of the website //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to rely on this document for the truth of the matter, instead they intend to rely on the document to show that others formed the belief that Yuga surrendered their IP and that people published this belief which is relevant to the issue of, for example, willfulness</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2349	RIPPSCAHEN00016949- RIPPSCAHEN00016949	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant as to whether Yuga surrendered its IP to the world It is also relevant to willfulness and Defendants' intent in forming that belief //Completeness: This is a full screenshot of a webpage and no completeness issues are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this exhibit through their knowledge of the exhibit //Authentication: Defendants can authenticate this exhibit through personal knowledge of the website //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to rely on this document for the truth of the matter, instead they intend to rely on the document to show that others formed the belief that Yuga surrendered their IP and that people published this belief which is relevant to the issue of, for example, willfulness</p>
JTX-2350	RIPPSCAHEN00017039- RIPPSCAHEN00017053	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 The authenticity of this exhibit cannot be confirmed or assessed because its origin and sourcing information are unknown To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant as to whether Yuga surrendered its IP to the world It is also relevant to willfulness and Defendants' intent in forming that belief //Completeness: This is a full screenshot of a webpage and no completeness issues are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this exhibit through their knowledge of the exhibit //Authentication: Defendants can authenticate this exhibit through personal knowledge of the website //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to rely on this document for the truth of the matter, instead they intend to rely on the document to show that others formed the belief that Yuga surrendered their IP and that people published this belief which is relevant to the issue of, for example, willfulness</p>
JTX-2351	RIPPSCAHEN00017144- RIPPSCAHEN00017146	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant as to whether Yuga surrendered its IP to the world It is also relevant to willfulness and Defendants' intent in forming that belief //Completeness: This is a full screenshot of a webpage and no completeness issues are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this exhibit through their knowledge of the exhibit //Authentication: Defendants can authenticate this exhibit through personal knowledge of the website //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to rely on this document for the truth of the matter, instead they intend to rely on the document to show that others formed the belief that Yuga surrendered their IP and that people published this belief which is relevant to the issue of, for example, willfulness</p>
JTX-2352	RIPPSCAHEN00017147- RIPPSCAHEN00017151	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant as to whether Yuga surrendered its IP to the world It is also relevant to willfulness and Defendants' intent in forming that belief //Completeness: This is a full screenshot of a webpage and no completeness issues are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this exhibit through their knowledge of the exhibit //Authentication: Defendants can authenticate this exhibit through personal knowledge of the website //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to rely on this document for the truth of the matter, instead they intend to rely on the document to show that others formed the belief that Yuga surrendered their IP and that people published this belief which is relevant to the issue of, for example, willfulness</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2353	RIPPSCAHEN00017154- RIPPSCAHEN00017163	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant as to whether Yuga surrendered its IP to the world It is also relevant to willfulness and Defendants' intent in forming that belief //Completeness: This is a full screenshot of a webpage and no completeness issues are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this exhibit through their knowledge of the exhibit //Authentication: Defendants can authenticate this exhibit through personal knowledge of the website //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to rely on this document for the truth of the matter, instead they intend to rely on the document to show that others formed the belief that Yuga surrendered their IP and that people published this belief which is relevant to the issue of, for example, willfulness</p>
JTX-2354	RIPPSCAHEN00017187- RIPPSCAHEN00017187	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 The authenticity of this exhibit cannot be confirmed or assessed because its origin and sourcing information are unknown To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant as to whether Yuga surrendered its IP to the world It is also relevant to willfulness and Defendants' intent in forming that belief //Completeness: This is a full screenshot of a webpage and no completeness issues are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this exhibit through their knowledge of the exhibit //Authentication: Defendants can authenticate this exhibit through personal knowledge of the website //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to rely on this document for the truth of the matter, instead they intend to rely on the document to show that others formed the belief that Yuga surrendered their IP and that people published this belief which is relevant to the issue of, for example, willfulness</p>
JTX-2355	RIPPSCAHEN00017188- RIPPSCAHEN00017188	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant as to whether Yuga surrendered its IP to the world It is also relevant to willfulness and Defendants' intent in forming that belief //Completeness: This is a full screenshot of a webpage and no completeness issues are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this exhibit through their knowledge of the exhibit //Authentication: Defendants can authenticate this exhibit through personal knowledge of the website //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to rely on this document for the truth of the matter, instead they intend to rely on the document to show that others formed the belief that Yuga surrendered their IP and that people published this belief which is relevant to the issue of, for example, willfulness</p>
JTX-2356	RIPPSCAHEN00017195- RIPPSCAHEN00017195	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant as to whether Yuga surrendered its IP to the world It is also relevant to willfulness and Defendants' intent in forming that belief //Completeness: This is a full screenshot of a webpage and no completeness issues are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this exhibit through their knowledge of the exhibit //Authentication: Defendants can authenticate this exhibit through personal knowledge of the website //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to rely on this document for the truth of the matter, instead they intend to rely on the document to show that others formed the belief that Yuga surrendered their IP and that people published this belief which is relevant to the issue of, for example, willfulness</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2357	RIPPSCAHEN00017196- RIPPSCAHEN00017196	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 The authenticity of this exhibit cannot be confirmed or assessed because its origin and sourcing information are unknown To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant as to whether Yuga surrendered its IP to the world It is also relevant to willfulness and Defendants' intent in forming that belief //Completeness: This is a full screenshot of a webpage and no completeness issues are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this exhibit through their knowledge of the exhibit //Authentication: Defendants can authenticate this exhibit through personal knowledge of the website //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to rely on this document for the truth of the matter, instead they intend to rely on the document to show that others formed the belief that Yuga surrendered their IP and that people published this belief which is relevant to the issue of, for example, willfulness</p>
JTX-2358	RIPPSCAHEN00017197- RIPPSCAHEN00017198	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant as to whether Yuga surrendered its IP to the world It is also relevant to willfulness and Defendants' intent in forming that belief //Completeness: This is a full screenshot of a webpage and no completeness issues are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this exhibit through their knowledge of the exhibit //Authentication: Defendants can authenticate this exhibit through personal knowledge of the website //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to rely on this document for the truth of the matter, instead they intend to rely on the document to show that others formed the belief that Yuga surrendered their IP and that people published this belief which is relevant to the issue of, for example, willfulness</p>
JTX-2359	RIPPSCAHEN00017220- RIPPSCAHEN00017220	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant as to whether Yuga surrendered its IP to the world It is also relevant to willfulness and Defendants' intent in forming that belief //Completeness: This is a full screenshot of a webpage and no completeness issues are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this exhibit through their knowledge of the exhibit //Authentication: Defendants can authenticate this exhibit through personal knowledge of the website //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to rely on this document for the truth of the matter, instead they intend to rely on the document to show that others formed the belief that Yuga surrendered their IP and that people published this belief which is relevant to the issue of, for example, willfulness</p>
JTX-2360	RIPPSCAHEN00017245- RIPPSCAHEN00017245	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant as to whether Yuga surrendered its IP to the world It is also relevant to willfulness and Defendants' intent in forming that belief //Completeness: This is a full screenshot of a webpage and no completeness issues are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this exhibit through their knowledge of the exhibit //Authentication: Defendants can authenticate this exhibit through personal knowledge of the website //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to rely on this document for the truth of the matter, instead they intend to rely on the document to show that others formed the belief that Yuga surrendered their IP and that people published this belief which is relevant to the issue of, for example, willfulness</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2361	RIPPSCAHEN00017250-RIPPSCAHEN00017250	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant as to whether Yuga surrendered its IP to the world It is also relevant to willfulness and Defendants' intent in forming that belief //Completeness: This is a full screenshot of a webpage and no completeness issues are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this exhibit through their knowledge of the exhibit //Authentication: Defendants can authenticate this exhibit through personal knowledge of the website //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to rely on this document for the truth of the matter, instead they intend to rely on the document to show that others formed the belief that Yuga surrendered their IP and that people published this belief which is relevant to the issue of, for example, willfulness</p>
JTX-2362	RIPPSCAHEN00017251-RIPPSCAHEN00017251	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant as to whether Yuga surrendered its IP to the world It is also relevant to willfulness and Defendants' intent in forming that belief //Completeness: This is a full screenshot of a webpage and no completeness issues are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this exhibit through their knowledge of the exhibit //Authentication: Defendants can authenticate this exhibit through personal knowledge of the website //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to rely on this document for the truth of the matter, instead they intend to rely on the document to show that others formed the belief that Yuga surrendered their IP and that people published this belief which is relevant to the issue of, for example, willfulness</p>
JTX-2363	RIPPSCAHEN00017252-RIPPSCAHEN00017252	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant as to whether Yuga surrendered its IP to the world It is also relevant to willfulness and Defendants' intent in forming that belief //Completeness: This is a full screenshot of a webpage and no completeness issues are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this exhibit through their knowledge of the exhibit //Authentication: Defendants can authenticate this exhibit through personal knowledge of the website //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to rely on this document for the truth of the matter, instead they intend to rely on the document to show that others formed the belief that Yuga surrendered their IP and that people published this belief which is relevant to the issue of, for example, willfulness</p>
JTX-2364	RIPPSCAHEN00017292-RIPPSCAHEN00017322	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant as to whether Yuga surrendered its IP to the world, including in the NFT/crypto space It is also relevant to willfulness and Defendants' intent in forming that belief //Completeness: This is a full screenshot of a webpage and no completeness issues are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this exhibit through their knowledge of the exhibit //Authentication: Defendants can authenticate this exhibit through personal knowledge of the website //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to rely on this document for the truth of the matter, instead they intend to rely on the document to show that others formed the belief that Yuga surrendered their IP and that people published this belief which is relevant to the issue of, for example, willfulness</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2365	RIPPSCAHEN00017543- RIPPSCAHEN00017543	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 The authenticity of this exhibit cannot be confirmed or assessed because its origin and sourcing information are unknown To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant as to whether Yuga surrendered its IP to the world It is also relevant to willfulness and Defendants' intent in forming that belief //Completeness: This is a full screenshot of a webpage and no completeness issues are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this exhibit through their knowledge of the exhibit //Authentication: Defendants can authenticate this exhibit through personal knowledge of the website //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to rely on this document for the truth of the matter, instead they intend to rely on the document to show that others formed the belief that Yuga surrendered their IP and that people published this belief which is relevant to the issue of, for example, willfulness</p>
JTX-2366	RIPPSCAHEN00017547- RIPPSCAHEN00017550	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602 Additionally, this purported company appears to operate only outside the US</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to demonstrate that others used the term "Ape" in commerce in the NFT space before Yuga and that Ape is a generic term in the crypto space //Completeness: This is a screen grab of an entire webpage and therefore there are no completeness concerns with the exhibit //Foundation: Defendants can lay the foundation for this exhibit through personal knowledge //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to use this exhibit for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather, for the fact that it was stated which is a relevant fact to Yuga's use of the term "Ape"</p>
JTX-2367	RIPPSCAHEN00017679- RIPPSCAHEN00017681	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant to Defendants' intent and willfulness This exhibit goes to whether people understood that Yuga surrendered its IP to the world, which is relevant to Defendants' state of mind //Completeness: The exhibit shows the entire tweet and therefore there are no completeness concerns present //Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation to the document through personal knowledge of the Tweet //Authentication: There is no dispute that the exhibit is not an authentic copy, and Defendants can authenticate the tweet through their personal knowledge //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to rely on the statements for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather that it was said which is relevant as to whether people understood Yuga has having surrendered its IP rights</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2368	RIPPSCAHEN00018424- RIPPSCAHEN00018424	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 This exhibit lacks any identifying date or URL to authenticate To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant to damages, wilfulness and whether BAYC owned the mark because it is relevant to whether BAYC were goods and whether Defendants had a good faith belief that BAYC were unregistered securities //Completeness: The exhibit is a complete webpage screen grab and therefore there are no completeness concerns present //Foundation: Yuga's witnesses including Ms Muniz can lay foundation through familiarity with this partnership, Defendants can lay foundation for the fact that they were familiar with the partnership //Authentication: There is no dispute that the document is not what it purports to be, further Defendants or Ms Muniz can authenticate the document through personal knowledge //Hearsay: Defendants are not intending to use the exhibit for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather for the fact that it was asserted</p>
JTX-2369	RIPPSCAHEN00018560- RIPPSCAHEN00018560	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant to damages, wilfulness, and Defendants' intent because it goes to whether they could believe that Yuga surrendered its IP to the world //Completeness: The entire statement at issue is included in the exhibit and therefore no completeness concerns are present ///Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation to this document through personal knowledge of its existence //Authentication: Likewise Defendants can authenticate this document //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to introduce this exhibit to prove the truth of the matter asserted, but rather to show that the statement was made</p>
JTX-2370	RIPPSCAHEN00018561- RIPPSCAHEN00018561	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant to damages, wilfulness, and Defendants' intent because it goes to whether they could believe that Yuga surrendered its IP to the world //Completeness: This is a complete screen grab of a webpage and therefore no completeness concerns are present ///Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation to this document through personal knowledge of its existence //Authentication: Likewise Defendants can authenticate this document //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to introduce this exhibit to prove the truth of the matter asserted, but rather to show that the statement was made</p>
JTX-2371	RIPPSCAHEN00018562- RIPPSCAHEN00018562	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant to damages, wilfulness, and Defendants' intent because it goes to whether they could believe that Yuga surrendered its IP to the world //Completeness: This is a complete screen grab of a webpage and therefore no completeness concerns are present ///Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation to this document through personal knowledge of its existence //Authentication: Likewise Defendants can authenticate this document //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to introduce this exhibit to prove the truth of the matter asserted, but rather to show that the statement was made</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2372	RIPPSCAHEN00018567- RIPPSCAHEN00018567	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 The authenticity of this exhibit cannot be confirmed or assessed because its origin and sourcing information are unknown To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant as to whether Yuga surrendered its IP to the world It is also relevant to willfulness and Defendants' intent in forming that belief //Completeness: This is a full screenshot of a webpage and no completeness issues are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this exhibit through their knowledge of the exhibit //Authentication: Defendants can authenticate this exhibit through personal knowledge of the existence of the beer //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to rely on this document for the truth of the matter, instead they intend to rely on the document to show that others formed the belief that Yuga surrendered their IP and that people published this belief which is relevant to the issue of, for example, willfulness</p>
JTX-2373	RIPPSCAHEN00018588- RIPPSCAHEN00018588	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant as to whether Yuga surrendered its IP to the world It is also relevant to willfulness and Defendants' intent in forming that belief //Completeness: This is a full screenshot of a webpage and no completeness issues are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this exhibit through their knowledge of the exhibit //Authentication: Defendants can authenticate this exhibit through personal knowledge of the Tweet and sweatshirt //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to rely on this document for the truth of the matter, instead they intend to rely on the document to show that others formed the belief that Yuga surrendered their IP and that people published this belief which is relevant to the issue of, for example, willfulness</p>
JTX-2374	RIPPSCAHEN00018599- RIPPSCAHEN00018606	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant to damages, willfulness, and Defendants' intent because it goes to whether they could believe that Yuga surrendered its IP to the world //Completeness: This is a complete screen grab of a webpage and therefore no completeness concerns are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation to this document through personal knowledge of its existence //Authentication: Likewise Defendants can authenticate this document //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to introduce this exhibit to prove the truth of the matter asserted, but rather to show that the statement was made</p>
JTX-2375	RIPPSCAHEN00018616- RIPPSCAHEN00018616	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant as to whether Yuga surrendered its IP to the world It is also relevant to willfulness and Defendants' intent in forming that belief //Completeness: This is a full screenshot of a webpage and no completeness issues are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this exhibit through their knowledge of the exhibit //Authentication: Defendants can authenticate this exhibit through personal knowledge of the website //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to rely on this document for the truth of the matter, instead they intend to rely on the document to show that others formed the belief that Yuga surrendered their IP and that people published this belief which is relevant to the issue of, for example, willfulness</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2376	RIPPSCAHEN00018625-RIPPSCAHEN00018628	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant as to whether Yuga surrendered its IP to the world, including in the NFT and crypto markets It is also relevant to willfulness and Defendants' intent in forming that belief //Completeness: This is a full screenshot of a webpage and no completeness issues are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this exhibit through their knowledge of the exhibit //Authentication: Defendants can authenticate this exhibit through personal knowledge of the website //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to rely on this document for the truth of the matter, instead they intend to rely on the document to show that others formed the belief that Yuga surrendered their IP and that people published this belief which is relevant to the issue of, for example, willfulness</p>
JTX-2377	RIPPSCAHEN00018629-RIPPSCAHEN00018638	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant as to whether Yuga surrendered its IP to the world It is also relevant to willfulness and Defendants' intent in forming that belief //Completeness: This is a full screenshot of a webpage and no completeness issues are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this exhibit through their knowledge of the exhibit //Authentication: Defendants can authenticate this exhibit through personal knowledge of the website and lemonade //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to rely on this document for the truth of the matter, instead they intend to rely on the document to show that others formed the belief that Yuga surrendered their IP and that people published this belief which is relevant to the issue of, for example, willfulness</p>
JTX-2378	RIPPSCAHEN00018663-RIPPSCAHEN00018693	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant as to whether Yuga surrendered its IP to the world, including in the NFT and crypto markets It is also relevant to willfulness and Defendants' intent in forming that belief //Completeness: This is a full screenshot of a webpage and no completeness issues are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this exhibit through their knowledge of the exhibit //Authentication: Defendants can authenticate this exhibit through personal knowledge of the website //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to rely on this document for the truth of the matter, instead they intend to rely on the document to show that others formed the belief that Yuga surrendered their IP and that people published this belief which is relevant to the issue of, for example, willfulness</p>
JTX-2379	RIPPSCAHEN00018694-RIPPSCAHEN00018694	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant as to whether Yuga surrendered its IP to the world, including in the NFT and crypto markets It is also relevant to willfulness and Defendants' intent in forming that belief //Completeness: This is a full screenshot of a webpage and no completeness issues are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this exhibit through their knowledge of the exhibit //Authentication: Defendants can authenticate this exhibit through personal knowledge of the website //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to rely on this document for the truth of the matter, instead they intend to rely on the document to show that others formed the belief that Yuga surrendered their IP and that people published this belief which is relevant to the issue of, for example, willfulness</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2380	RIPPSCAHEN00018698- RIPPSCAHEN00018698	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant as to whether Yuga surrendered its IP to the world, including in the NFT and crypto markets, even involving the "ape skull" logo It is also relevant to willfulness and Defendants' intent in forming that belief //Completeness: This is a full screenshot of a webpage and no completeness issues are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this exhibit through their knowledge of the exhibit //Authentication: Defendants can authenticate this exhibit through personal knowledge of the website //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to rely on this document for the truth of the matter, instead they intend to rely on the document to show that others formed the belief that Yuga surrendered their IP and that people published this belief which is relevant to the issue of, for example, willfulness</p>
JTX-2381	RIPPSCAHEN00018907- RIPPSCAHEN00018907	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant as to whether Yuga surrendered its IP to the world, including in the NFT and crypto markets It is also relevant to willfulness and Defendants' intent in forming that belief //Completeness: This is a full screenshot of a webpage and no completeness issues are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this exhibit through their knowledge of the exhibit //Authentication: Defendants can authenticate this exhibit through personal knowledge of the website //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to rely on this document for the truth of the matter, instead they intend to rely on the document to show that others formed the belief that Yuga surrendered their IP and that people published this belief which is relevant to the issue of, for example, willfulness</p>
JTX-2382	RIPPSCAHEN00018922- RIPPSCAHEN00018937	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant as to whether Yuga surrendered its IP to the world, including in the NFT and crypto markets It is also relevant to willfulness and Defendants' intent in forming that belief //Completeness: This is a full screenshot of a webpage and no completeness issues are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this exhibit through their knowledge of the exhibit //Authentication: Defendants can authenticate this exhibit through personal knowledge of the website //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to rely on this document for the truth of the matter, instead they intend to rely on the document to show that others formed the belief that Yuga surrendered their IP and that people published this belief which is relevant to the issue of, for example, willfulness</p>
JTX-2383	RIPPSCAHEN00018938- RIPPSCAHEN00018938	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant as to whether Yuga surrendered its IP to the world, including in the NFT and crypto markets It is also relevant to willfulness and Defendants' intent in forming that belief //Completeness: This is a full screenshot of a webpage and no completeness issues are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this exhibit through their knowledge of the exhibit //Authentication: Defendants can authenticate this exhibit through personal knowledge of the website //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to rely on this document for the truth of the matter, instead they intend to rely on the document to show that others formed the belief that Yuga surrendered their IP and that people published this belief which is relevant to the issue of, for example, willfulness</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2384	RIPPSCAHEN00018939- RIPPSCAHEN00018939	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant as to whether Yuga surrendered its IP to the world, including in the NFT and crypto markets It is also relevant to willfulness and Defendants' intent in forming that belief //Completeness: This is a full screenshot of a webpage and no completeness issues are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this exhibit through their knowledge of the exhibit //Authentication: Defendants can authenticate this exhibit through personal knowledge of the website //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to rely on this document for the truth of the matter, instead they intend to rely on the document to show that others formed the belief that Yuga surrendered their IP and that people published this belief which is relevant to the issue of, for example, willfulness</p>
JTX-2385	RIPPSCAHEN00018961- RIPPSCAHEN00018971	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant as to whether Yuga surrendered its IP to the world, including in the NFT and crypto markets It is also relevant to willfulness and Defendants' intent in forming that belief //Completeness: This is a full screenshot of a webpage and no completeness issues are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this exhibit through their knowledge of the exhibit //Authentication: Defendants can authenticate this exhibit through personal knowledge of the website //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to rely on this document for the truth of the matter, instead they intend to rely on the document to show that others formed the belief that Yuga surrendered their IP and that people published this belief which is relevant to the issue of, for example, willfulness</p>
JTX-2386	RIPPSCAHEN00018985- RIPPSCAHEN00018985	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 The authenticity of this exhibit cannot be confirmed or assessed because its origin and sourcing information are unknown To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant as to whether Yuga surrendered its IP to the world It is also relevant to willfulness and Defendants' intent in forming that belief //Completeness: This is a full screenshot of a webpage and no completeness issues are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this exhibit through their knowledge of the exhibit //Authentication: Defendants can authenticate this exhibit through personal knowledge of the website //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to rely on this document for the truth of the matter, instead they intend to rely on the document to show that others formed the belief that Yuga surrendered their IP and that people published this belief which is relevant to the issue of, for example, willfulness</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2387	RIPPSCAHEN00019794- RIPPSCAHEN00019794	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 The authenticity of this exhibit cannot be confirmed or assessed because its origin and sourcing information are unknown To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant as to whether Yuga surrendered its IP to the world It is also relevant to willfulness and Defendants' intent in forming that belief //Completeness: This is a full screenshot of a webpage and no completeness issues are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this exhibit through their knowledge of the exhibit //Authentication: Defendants can authenticate this exhibit through personal knowledge of the website //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to rely on this document for the truth of the matter, instead they intend to rely on the document to show that others formed the belief that Yuga surrendered their IP and that people published this belief which is relevant to the issue of, for example, willfulness</p>
JTX-2388	RIPPSCAHEN00019795- RIPPSCAHEN00019795	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 This exhibit lacks any identifying date or URL to authenticate To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant to damages, willfulness and whether BAYC owned the mark because it is relevant to whether BAYC were goods and whether Defendants had a good faith belief that BAYC were unregistered securities //Completeness: The exhibit is a complete webpage screen grab and therefore there are no completeness concerns present //Foundation: Yuga's witnesses including Ms Muniz can lay foundation through familiarity with this partnership, Defendants can lay foundation for the fact that they were familiar with the partnership //Authentication: There is no dispute that the document is not what it purports to be, further Defendants or Ms Muniz can authenticate the document through personal knowledge //Herasay: Defendants are not intending to use the exhibit for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather for the fact that it was asserted</p>
JTX-2389	RIPPSCAHEN00019796- RIPPSCAHEN00019796	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 The authenticity of this exhibit cannot be confirmed or assessed because its origin and sourcing information are unknown To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant to damages, willfulness and whether BAYC owned the mark because it is relevant to whether BAYC were goods and whether Defendants had a good faith belief that BAYC were unregistered securities //Completeness: The exhibit is a complete webpage screen grab and therefore there are no completeness concerns present //Foundation: Yuga's witnesses including Ms Muniz can lay foundation through familiarity with this partnership, Defendants can lay foundation for the fact that they were familiar with the partnership //Authentication: There is no dispute that the document is not what it purports to be, further Defendants or Ms Muniz can authenticate the document through personal knowledge //Herasay: Defendants are not intending to use the exhibit for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather for the fact that it was asserted</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2390	RIPPSCAHEN00019803-RIPPSCAHEN00019803	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to damages, willfulness and whether BAYC surrendered its IP to the world It could point to alternative sources of harm to Yuga's brand //Foundation: Both Yuga's witnesses and Defendants can lay the foundation for this document through personal knowledge //Completeness: The entire Tweet is shown and therefore there are no completeness issues //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to introduce the Tweet for the truth of the matter asserted, but rather to prove that the statement was made</p>
JTX-2391	RIPPSCAHEN00019817-RIPPSCAHEN00019817	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 The authenticity of this exhibit cannot be confirmed or assessed because its origin and sourcing information are unknown To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant as to whether Yuga surrendered its IP to the world It is also relevant to willfulness and Defendants' intent in forming that belief //Completeness: This photograph contains all of the necessary parts to it and as such no completeness issues are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this exhibit through their knowledge of the exhibit //Authentication: Defendants can authenticate this exhibit through personal knowledge of the existence of this brand //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to rely on this document for the truth of the matter, instead they intend to rely on the document to show that others formed the belief that Yuga surrendered their IP and that people published this belief which is relevant to the issue of, for example, willfulness</p>
JTX-2392	RIPPSCAHEN00020820-RIPPSCAHEN00020820	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant as to whether Yuga surrendered its IP to the world, including in the NFT and crypto markets It is also relevant to willfulness and Defendants' intent in forming that belief //Completeness: This is a full screenshot of a webpage and no completeness issues are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this exhibit through their knowledge of the exhibit //Authentication: Defendants can authenticate this exhibit through personal knowledge of the website //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to rely on this document for the truth of the matter, instead they intend to rely on the document to show that others formed the belief that Yuga surrendered their IP and that people published this belief which is relevant to the issue of, for example, willfulness</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2393	RIPPSCAHEN00021019- RIPPSCAHEN00021019	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 The authenticity of this exhibit cannot be confirmed or assessed because its origin and sourcing information are unknown To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This is relevant as to whether Yuga surrendered its IP to the world It is also relevant to willfulness and Defendants' intent in forming that belief //Completeness: This photograph contains all of the necessary parts to it and as such no completeness issues are present //Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this exhibit through their knowledge of the exhibit //Authentication: Defendants can authenticate this exhibit through personal knowledge of the existence of this brand //Hearsay: Defendants do not intend to rely on this document for the truth of the matter, instead they intend to rely on the document to show that others formed the belief that Yuga surrendered their IP and that people published this belief which is relevant to the issue of, for example, willfulness</p>
JTX-2394	RIPPSCAHEN00021289- RIPPSCAHEN00021294	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 The authenticity of this exhibit cannot be confirmed or assessed because its origin and sourcing information are unknown To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others Thus, it is relevant to damages and intent /// Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders, who can speak to the use of alleged marks and whether the product was sold by Yuga // Completeness: The document contains a complete listing and description of an apparel product and complete pictures of other apparel products for sale and use of Yuga's alleged marks /// Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other entities or individuals using Yuga's marks</p>
JTX-2395	RIPPSCAHEN00025247- RIPPSCAHEN00025251	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others Thus, it is relevant to damages and intent /// Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders, who can speak to the use of alleged marks and whether the product was sold by Yuga // Completeness: The document contains a full google search screenshot, including several different vendors selling relevant apparel and use of Yuga's alleged marks /// Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other entities or individuals using Yuga's marks</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2396	RIPPSCAHEN00026256- RIPPSCAHEN00026259	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 The authenticity of this exhibit cannot be confirmed or assessed because its origin and sourcing information are unknown To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others Thus, it is relevant to damages and intent /// Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders, who can speak to the use of alleged marks and whether the product was sold by Yuga // Completeness: The document contains a full listing and description of an apparel product and pictures of other apparel for sale and use of Yuga's alleged marks /// Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other entities using Yuga's marks</p>
JTX-2397	Physical exhibit RIPPSCAHEN00018454	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others Thus, it is relevant to damages and intent /// Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders, who can speak to the use of alleged marks and whether the product was sold by Yuga // Completeness: The document contains a complete picture of a poster for sale and use of Yuga's alleged marks /// Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other entities using Yuga's alleged marks</p>
JTX-2398	Physical exhibit RIPPSCAHEN00018455	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 The authenticity of this exhibit cannot be confirmed or assessed because its origin and sourcing information are unknown To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others Thus, it is relevant to damages and intent /// Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders, who can speak to the use of alleged marks and whether the product was sold by Yuga // Completeness: The document contains a complete picture of apparel for sale and use of Yuga's alleged marks /// Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other entities using Yuga's alleged marks</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2399	Physical exhibit RIPPSCAHEN00018456	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 The authenticity of this exhibit cannot be confirmed or assessed because its origin and sourcing information are unknown To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others Thus, it is relevant to damages and intent // Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders, who can speak to the use of alleged marks and whether the product was sold by Yuga // Completeness: The document contains a complete picture of apparel for sale and use of Yuga's alleged marks // Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other entities using Yuga's alleged marks</p>
JTX-2400	Physical exhibit RIPPSCAHEN00018457	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 The authenticity of this exhibit cannot be confirmed or assessed because its origin and sourcing information are unknown To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others Thus, it is relevant to damages and intent // Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders, who can speak to the use of alleged marks and whether the product was sold by Yuga // Completeness: The document contains a complete picture of apparel for sale and use of Yuga's alleged marks // Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other entities or individuals using Yuga's alleged marks</p>
JTX-2401	Physical exhibit RIPPSCAHEN00018458	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 The authenticity of this exhibit cannot be confirmed or assessed because its origin and sourcing information are unknown To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others Thus, it is relevant to damages and intent // Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders, who can speak to the use of alleged marks and whether the product was sold by Yuga // Completeness: The document contains a complete picture of a pillow for sale and use of Yuga's alleged marks // Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other entities or individuals using Yuga's alleged marks</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2402	Physical exhibit RIPPSCAHEN00018459	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 The authenticity of this exhibit cannot be confirmed or assessed because its origin and sourcing information are unknown To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others Thus, it is relevant to damages and intent // Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders, who can speak to the use of alleged marks and whether the product was sold by Yuga // Completeness: The document contains a complete picture of a patch for sale and use of Yuga's alleged marks // Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other entities or individuals using Yuga's alleged marks</p>
JTX-2403	Physical exhibit RIPPSCAHEN00018460	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 The authenticity of this exhibit cannot be confirmed or assessed because its origin and sourcing information are unknown To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others Thus, it is relevant to damages and intent // Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders, who can speak to the use of alleged marks and whether the product was sold by Yuga // Completeness: The document contains a complete picture of a box for sale and use of Yuga's alleged marks // Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other entities or individuals using Yuga's alleged marks</p>
JTX-2404	Physical exhibit RIPPSCAHEN00018461	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 The authenticity of this exhibit cannot be confirmed or assessed because its origin and sourcing information are unknown To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others Thus, it is relevant to damages and intent // Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders, who can speak to the use of alleged marks and whether the product was sold by Yuga // Completeness: The document contains a complete picture of a phone case for sale and use of Yuga's alleged marks // Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other entities or individuals using Yuga's alleged marks</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2405	Physical exhibit RIPPSCAHEN00018462	Ripps, cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 The authenticity of this exhibit cannot be confirmed or assessed because its origin and sourcing information are unknown To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others Thus, it is relevant to damages and intent // Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders, who can speak to the use of alleged marks and whether the product was sold by Yuga // Completeness: The document contains a complete picture of a mug for sale and use of Yuga's alleged marks // Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other entities or individuals using Yuga's alleged marks</p>
JTX-2406	Physical exhibit RIPPSCAHEN00018463	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 The authenticity of this exhibit cannot be confirmed or assessed because its origin and sourcing information are unknown To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others Thus, it is relevant to damages and intent // Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders, who can speak to the use of alleged marks and whether the product was sold by Yuga // Completeness: The document contains a complete picture of a disposable nicotine device for sale and use of Yuga's alleged marks // Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other entities or individuals using Yuga's alleged marks</p>
JTX-2407	Physical exhibit RIPPSCAHEN00018464	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 The authenticity of this exhibit cannot be confirmed or assessed because its origin and sourcing information are unknown To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others Thus, it is relevant to damages and intent // Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders, who can speak to the use of alleged marks and whether the product was sold by Yuga // Completeness: The document contains a complete picture of a mug for sale and use of Yuga's alleged marks // Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other entities or individuals using Yuga's alleged marks</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2408	Physical exhibit RIPPSCAHEN00018465	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 The authenticity of this exhibit cannot be confirmed or assessed because its origin and sourcing information are unknown To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others Thus, it is relevant to damages and intent /// Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders, who can speak to the use of alleged marks and whether the product was sold by Yuga // Completeness: The document contains a complete picture of a notebook for sale and use of Yuga's alleged marks /// Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other entities or individuals using Yuga's alleged marks</p>
JTX-2409	Physical exhibit RIPPSCAHEN00018466	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 The authenticity of this exhibit cannot be confirmed or assessed because its origin and sourcing information are unknown To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others Thus, it is relevant to damages and intent /// Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders, who can speak to the use of alleged marks and whether the product was sold by Yuga // Completeness: The document contains a complete picture of a notebook for sale and use of Yuga's alleged marks /// Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other entities or individuals using Yuga's alleged marks</p>
JTX-2410	Physical exhibit RIPPSCAHEN00018467	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 The authenticity of this exhibit cannot be confirmed or assessed because its origin and sourcing information are unknown To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others Thus, it is relevant to damages and intent /// Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders, who can speak to the use of alleged marks and whether the product was sold by Yuga // Completeness: The document contains a complete picture of a notebook for sale and use of Yuga's alleged marks /// Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other entities or individuals using Yuga's alleged marks</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2411	Physical exhibit RIPPSCAHEN00018468	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 The authenticity of this exhibit cannot be confirmed or assessed because its origin and sourcing information are unknown To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others Thus, it is relevant to damages and intent /// Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders, who can speak to the use of alleged marks and whether the product was sold by Yuga // Completeness: The document contains a complete picture of a notebook for sale and use of Yuga's alleged marks /// Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other entities or individuals using Yuga's alleged marks</p>
JTX-2412	Physical exhibit RIPPSCAHEN00018469	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 The authenticity of this exhibit cannot be confirmed or assessed because its origin and sourcing information are unknown To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others Thus, it is relevant to damages and intent /// Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders, who can speak to the use of alleged marks and whether the product was sold by Yuga // Completeness: The document contains a complete picture of a notebook for sale and use of Yuga's alleged marks /// Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other entities or individuals using Yuga's alleged marks</p>
JTX-2413	Physical exhibit RIPPSCAHEN00018470	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 The authenticity of this exhibit cannot be confirmed or assessed because its origin and sourcing information are unknown To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others Thus, it is relevant to damages and intent /// Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders, who can speak to the use of alleged marks and whether the product was sold by Yuga // Completeness: The document contains a complete picture of a hat for sale and use of Yuga's alleged marks /// Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other entities or individuals using Yuga's alleged marks</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2414	Physical exhibit RIPPSCAHEN00018471	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 The authenticity of this exhibit cannot be confirmed or assessed because its origin and sourcing information are unknown To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others Thus, it is relevant to damages and intent // Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders, who can speak to the use of alleged marks and whether the product was sold by Yuga // Completeness: The document contains a complete picture of a hat for sale and use of Yuga's alleged marks // Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other entities or individuals using Yuga's alleged marks</p>
JTX-2415	Physical exhibit RIPPSCAHEN00018472	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 The authenticity of this exhibit cannot be confirmed or assessed because its origin and sourcing information are unknown To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others Thus, it is relevant to damages and intent // Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders, who can speak to the use of alleged marks and whether the product was sold by Yuga // Completeness: The document contains a complete picture of a hat for sale and use of Yuga's alleged marks // Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other entities or individuals using Yuga's alleged marks</p>
JTX-2416	Physical exhibit RIPPSCAHEN00018473	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 The authenticity of this exhibit cannot be confirmed or assessed because its origin and sourcing information are unknown To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others Thus, it is relevant to damages and intent // Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders, who can speak to the use of alleged marks and whether the product was sold by Yuga // Completeness: The document contains a complete picture of a clock for sale and use of Yuga's alleged marks // Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other entities or individuals using Yuga's alleged marks</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2417	Physical exhibit RIPPSCAHEN00018474	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 The authenticity of this exhibit cannot be confirmed or assessed because its origin and sourcing information are unknown To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others Thus, it is relevant to damages and intent /// Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders, who can speak to the use of alleged marks and whether the product was sold by Yuga // Completeness: The document contains a complete picture of a bottle of wine for sale and use of Yuga's alleged marks /// Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other entities or individuals using Yuga's alleged marks</p>
JTX-2418	Physical exhibit RIPPSCAHEN00018475	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 The authenticity of this exhibit cannot be confirmed or assessed because its origin and sourcing information are unknown To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others Thus, it is relevant to damages and intent /// Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders, who can speak to the use of alleged marks and whether the product was sold by Yuga // Completeness: The document contains a complete picture of a bottle of wine for sale and use of Yuga's alleged marks /// Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other entities or individuals using Yuga's alleged marks</p>
JTX-2419	Physical exhibit RIPPSCAHEN00022704	Ripps, and Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 The authenticity of this exhibit cannot be confirmed or assessed because its origin and sourcing information are unknown To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others Thus, it is relevant to damages and intent /// Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders, who can speak to the use of alleged marks and whether the product was sold by Yuga // Completeness: The document contains a complete picture of stickers for sale and use of Yuga's alleged marks /// Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other entities or individuals using Yuga's alleged marks</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2420	Physical exhibit RIPPSCAHEN00022705	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 The authenticity of this exhibit cannot be confirmed or assessed because its origin and sourcing information are unknown To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others Thus, it is relevant to damages and intent // Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders, who can speak to the use of alleged marks and whether the product was sold by Yuga // Completeness: The document contains a complete picture of stickers for sale and use of Yuga's alleged marks // Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other entities or individuals using Yuga's alleged marks</p>
JTX-2421	Physical exhibit RIPPSCAHEN00022706	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 The authenticity of this exhibit cannot be confirmed or assessed because its origin and sourcing information are unknown To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others Thus, it is relevant to damages and intent // Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders, who can speak to the use of alleged marks and whether the product was sold by Yuga // Completeness: The document contains a complete picture of coffee for sale and use of Yuga's alleged marks // Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other entities or individuals using Yuga's alleged marks</p>
JTX-2422	Physical exhibit RIPPSCAHEN00026203	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 The authenticity of this exhibit cannot be confirmed or assessed because its origin and sourcing information are unknown To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others Thus, it is relevant to damages and intent // Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders, who can speak to the use of alleged marks and whether the product was sold by Yuga // Completeness: The document contains a complete picture of apparel for sale and use of Yuga's alleged marks // Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other entities or individuals using Yuga's alleged marks</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2423	Physical exhibit RIPPSCAHEN00026204	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 The authenticity of this exhibit cannot be confirmed or assessed because its origin and sourcing information are unknown To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others Thus, it is relevant to damages and intent /// Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders, who can speak to the use of alleged marks and whether the product was sold by Yuga // Completeness: The document contains a complete picture of footwear for sale and use of Yuga's alleged marks /// Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other entities or individuals using Yuga's alleged marks</p>
JTX-2424	Physical exhibit RIPPSCAHEN00026272	Ripps, Cahen Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 The authenticity of this exhibit cannot be confirmed or assessed because its origin and sourcing information are unknown To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others Thus, it is relevant to damages and intent /// Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders, who can speak to the use of alleged marks and whether the product was sold by Yuga // Completeness: The document contains a complete picture of a framed print for sale and use of Yuga's alleged marks /// Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other entities or individuals using Yuga's alleged marks</p>
JTX-2425	Physical exhibit RIPPSCAHEN00026273	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 The authenticity of this exhibit cannot be confirmed or assessed because its origin and sourcing information are unknown To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others Thus, it is relevant to damages and intent /// Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders, who can speak to the use of alleged marks and whether the product was sold by Yuga // Completeness: The document contains a complete picture of a phone case for sale and use of Yuga's alleged marks /// Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other entities or individuals using Yuga's alleged marks</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2426	Physical exhibit RIPPSCAHEN00026274	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 The authenticity of this exhibit cannot be confirmed or assessed because its origin and sourcing information are unknown To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others Thus, it is relevant to damages and intent // Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders, who can speak to the use of alleged marks and whether the product was sold by Yuga // Completeness: The document contains a complete picture of a t-shirt for sale and use of Yuga's alleged marks // Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other entities or individuals using Yuga's alleged marks</p>
JTX-2427	Physical exhibit RIPPSCAHEN00026275	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 The authenticity of this exhibit cannot be confirmed or assessed because its origin and sourcing information are unknown To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others Thus, it is relevant to damages and intent // Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders, who can speak to the use of alleged marks and whether the product was sold by Yuga // Completeness: The document contains a complete picture of a backpack for sale and use of Yuga's alleged marks // Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other entities or individuals using Yuga's alleged marks</p>
JTX-2428	Physical exhibit RIPPSCAHEN00026276	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 The authenticity of this exhibit cannot be confirmed or assessed because its origin and sourcing information are unknown To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga and Yuga's failure to enforce its marks against others Thus, it is relevant to damages and intent // Foundation: Defendants can lay a foundation for the document through Yuga's founders, who can speak to the use of alleged marks and whether the product was sold by Yuga // Completeness: The document contains a complete picture of beverages for sale and use of Yuga's alleged marks // Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other entities or individuals using Yuga's alleged marks</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2429	RIPPSCAHEN00019913- RIPPSCAHEN00019913	Ripps, Cahen, Lehman, Hickman, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 This exhibit lacks any identifying date or URL to authenticate To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This ichart provides data regarding BAYC NFT floor price over time This document is relevant to damages // Completeness: This is a complete picture of the chart as it appears on CoinGecko //Foundation: Defendants can lay the foundation for the document through familiarity //Hearsay: Whether Defendants intent to use a document to support their claim is irrelevant to the hearsay analysis To the extent Defendants intend to use the document for truth of the matter asserted, it falls within the Rule 803(17) exception as a market report relied on by market participants</p>
JTX-2430	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It is missing multiple images and some images are blacked out</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights</p>
JTX-2431	Direct Messages- vasa and hwonder et al , June 23, 2022	Hickman	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It is missing multiple images</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant as it shows information about developments Defendants wanted to make to rrbayc project, which is relevant to willfulness and intent /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of the direct message and is a complete inclusion of the relevant time period for this group chat There is nothing misleading about the presentation of this group chat /// Foundation: Defendants can provide foundation for their messages within the group chat and the timeline of the group chat /// Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect the rrbayc project development timeline or Defendants' state of mind Furthermore, some direct messages fall under the present sense impression hearsay exception</p>
JTX-2432	LEHMAN0000291- LEHMAN0000291	Cahen, Lehman	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It is missing multiple images</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant as it shows information about developments Defendants wanted to make to rrbayc project, which is relevant to willfulness and intent /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of the direct message and is a complete inclusion of the relevant time period for this group chat There is nothing misleading about the presentation of this group chat /// Foundation: Defendants can provide foundation for their messages within the group chat and the timeline of the group chat /// Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect the rrbayc project development timeline or Defendants' state of mind Furthermore, some direct messages fall under the present sense impression hearsay exception</p>
JTX-2433	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It is missing multiple images</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2434	Chat between middlemarch eth and Pauly Shore, May 24, 2022	Hickman, Cahen	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It is missing multiple images, and it is only part of a conversation</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant as it shows information about developments Defendants wanted to make to rrbayc project, which is relevant to willfulness and intent /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of the direct message and is a complete inclusion of the relevant time period for this group chat There is nothing misleading about the presentation of this group chat /// Foundation: Defendants can provide foundation for their messages within the group chat and the timeline of the group chat /// Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect the rrbayc project development timeline or Defendants' state of mind Furthermore, some direct messages fall under the present sense impression hearsay exception</p>
JTX-2435	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights
JTX-2436	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights
JTX-2437	LEHMAN0000315-LEHMAN0000315	Cahen and Lehman	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to willfulness as it sheds light on Defendants' state of mind and criticisms of Yuga /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of group chat message, including author and timestamps /// Hearsay: The exhibit is not being used to prove the truth of the matter asserted, but instead to show Defendants' state of mind</p>
JTX-2438	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	No objection	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights
JTX-2439	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights
JTX-2440	LEHMAN0000318-LEHMAN0000318	Lehman, Cahen	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 There is no clear indication of the speakers or the date of the communications</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant as it demonstrates third party sentiment regarding the NFT market during the relevant time period and alternate sources of harm to Yuga This is relevant to damages /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of the group chat messages, including authors and timestamps /// Hearsay: The document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect third party impressions of the NFT market</p>
JTX-2441	LEHMAN0000319-LEHMAN0000319	Lehman, Cahen	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to willfulness as it sheds light on Defendants' state of mind and criticism of Yuga /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of group chat message, including authors and timestamps /// Hearsay: The exhibit is not being used to prove the truth of the matter asserted, but instead to show Defendants' state of mind</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2442	LEHMAN0000321- LEHMAN0000321	Lehman, Hickman	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 There is no clear indication of the speakers</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant as it demonstrates third party sentiment regarding the NFT market, third party sentiment regarding Yuga, and alternate sources of harm to Yuga This is relevant to damages /// Completeness: This exhibit is a complete and accurate representation of the group chat messages, including authors and timestamps /// Hearsay: The document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to show alternate sources of harm to Yuga</p>
JTX-2443	LEHMAN0000322- LEHMAN0000322	Lehman, Hickman	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 There is no clear indication of the speakers or the date of the communications</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to willfulness as it sheds light on Defendants' criticisms of Yuga /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of group chat message, including author and timestamp /// Hearsay: The exhibit is not being used to prove the truth of the matter asserted, but instead to show Defendants' state of mind</p>
JTX-2444	LEHMAN0000323- LEHMAN0000323	Cahen, Lehman	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 There is no clear indication of the speakers or the date of the communications</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant as it demonstrates third party sentiment regarding Yuga, and alternate sources of harm to Yuga This is relevant to damages /// Completeness: This exhibit is a complete and accurate representation of the group chat messages, including authors and timestamps /// Hearsay: The document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to show alternate sources of harm to Yuga</p>
JTX-2445	LEHMAN0000324- LEHMAN0000324	Cahen, Lehman	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 There is no clear indication of the date of the communications</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to willfulness as it sheds light on Defendants' criticisms of Yuga /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of group chat message, including authors and timestamps /// Hearsay: The exhibit is not being used to prove the truth of the matter asserted, but instead to show Defendants' state of mind</p>
JTX-2446	LEHMAN0000325- LEHMAN0000325	Cahen, Lehman	No objection	
JTX-2447	LEHMAN0000326- LEHMAN0000326	Hickman, Lehman	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 There is no clear indication of the speakers or the date of the communications</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to willfulness as it sheds light on Defendants' criticisms of Yuga /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of group chat message, including author and timestamps /// Hearsay: The exhibit is not being used to prove the truth of the matter asserted, but instead to show Defendants' state of mind</p>
JTX-2448	LEHMAN0000327- LEHMAN0000327	Hickman, Lehman	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 There is no clear indication of the speakers or the date of the communications</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant as it demonstrates third party sentiment regarding Yuga, and alternate sources of harm to Yuga This is relevant to damages /// Completeness: This exhibit is a complete and accurate representation of the group chat messages, including authors and timestamps /// Hearsay: The document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to show alternate sources of harm to Yuga</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2449	LEHMAN0000328- LEHMAN0000328	Hickman, Lehman	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 There is no clear indication of the speakers or the date of the communications</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to willfulness as it sheds light on Defendants' criticisms of Yuga /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of group chat message, including author and timestamps /// Hearsay: The exhibit is not being used to prove the truth of the matter asserted, but instead to show Defendants' state of mind</p>
JTX-2450	LEHMAN0000329- LEHMAN0000329	Cahen, Lehman	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 There is no clear indication of the speakers or the date of the communications</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant as it demonstrates third party sentiment regarding Yuga, and alternate sources of harm to Yuga This is relevant to damages /// Completeness: This exhibit is a complete and accurate representation of the group chat messages, including authors and timestamps /// Hearsay: The document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to show alternate sources of harm to Yuga</p>
JTX-2451	LEHMAN0000332- LEHMAN0000332	Hickman, Lehman	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 There is no clear indication of the speakers or the date of the communications</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to willfulness as it sheds light on Defendants' criticisms of Yuga /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of group chat message, including author and timestamps /// Hearsay: The exhibit is not being used to prove the truth of the matter asserted, but instead to show Defendants' state of mind</p>
JTX-2452	LEHMAN0000333- LEHMAN0000333	Hickman, Lehman	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 There is no clear indication of the speakers or the date of the communications</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant as it demonstrates third party sentiment regarding Yuga, and alternate sources of harm to Yuga This is relevant to damages /// Completeness: This exhibit is a complete and accurate representation of the group chat messages, including authors and timestamps /// Hearsay: The document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to show alternate sources of harm to Yuga</p>
JTX-2453	LEHMAN0000334- LEHMAN0000334	Hickman, Lehman	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 There is no clear indication of the speakers or the date of the communications</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to willfulness as it sheds light on Defendants' criticisms of Yuga /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of group chat message, including author and timestamps /// Hearsay: The exhibit is not being used to prove the truth of the matter asserted, but instead to show Defendants' state of mind</p>
JTX-2454	LEHMAN0000335- LEHMAN0000335	Hickman, Lehman	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 There is no clear indication of the speakers or the date of the communications</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to willfulness as it sheds light on Defendants' criticisms of Yuga /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of group chat message, including author and timestamps /// Hearsay: The exhibit is not being used to prove the truth of the matter asserted, but instead to show Defendants' state of mind</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2455	LEHMAN0000336- LEHMAN0000336	Hickman, Lehman	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to willfulness as it sheds light on Defendants' criticisms of Yuga /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of group chat message, including author and timestamp /// Foundation: The speakers in the document can lay foundation based on personal knowledge /// Hearsay: The exhibit is not being used to prove the truth of the matter asserted, but instead to show Defendants' state of mind
JTX-2456	LEHMAN0000337- LEHMAN0000337	Hickman, Lehman	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to willfulness as it sheds light on Defendants' criticisms of Yuga /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of group chat message, including author and timestamps /// Foundation: the speakers in the document can lay foundation based on personal knowledge /// Hearsay: The exhibit is not being used to prove the truth of the matter asserted, but instead to show Defendants' state of mind
JTX-2457	LEHMAN0000340- LEHMAN0000340	Hickman, Lehman	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 There is no clear indication of the speakers or the date of the communications To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to willfulness as it sheds light on Defendants' criticisms of Yuga /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of group chat message, including author and timestamps /// Hearsay: The exhibit is not being used to prove the truth of the matter asserted, but instead to show Defendants' state of mind
JTX-2458	LEHMAN0000341- LEHMAN0000341	Cahen, Lehman	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 There is no clear indication of the date of the communications To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: This document is relevant as it demonstrates third party sentiment regarding Yuga, and alternate sources of harm to Yuga This is relevant to damages /// Completeness: This exhibit is a complete and accurate representation of the group chat messages, including authors and timestamps /// Hearsay: The document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to show alternate sources of harm to Yuga
JTX-2459	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 There is no clear indication of the speakers or the date of the communications To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights
JTX-2460	LEHMAN0000344- LEHMAN0000344	Hickman, Lehman	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 There is no clear indication of the speakers or the date of the communications To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to willfulness as it sheds light on Defendants' criticisms of Yuga /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of group chat message, including author and timestamps /// Hearsay: The exhibit is not being used to prove the truth of the matter asserted, but instead to show Defendants' state of mind
JTX-2461	LEHMAN0000345- LEHMAN0000345	Cahen, Lehman	No objection	

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2462	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 There is no clear indication of the date of the communications</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights
JTX-2463	LEHMAN0000347- LEHMAN0000347	Cahen, Lehman	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 There is no clear indication of the date of the communications</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to willfulness as it sheds light on Defendants' state of mind /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of group chat message, including author and timestamp /// Hearsay: The exhibit is not being used to prove the truth of the matter asserted, but instead to show Defendants' state of mind</p>
JTX-2464	LEHMAN0000348- LEHMAN0000348	Hickman, Lehman	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 There is no clear indication of the speakers or the date of the communications</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to willfulness as it sheds light on Defendants' criticisms of Yuga /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of group chat message, including author and timestamps /// Hearsay: The exhibit is not being used to prove the truth of the matter asserted, but instead to show Defendants' state of mind</p>
JTX-2465	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 There is no clear indication of the speakers or the date of the communications</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights
JTX-2466	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 There is no clear indication of the date of the communications</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights
JTX-2467	LEHMAN0000351- LEHMAN0000351	Cahen, Lehman	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 There is no clear indication of the date of the communications</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant to willfulness and intent as it demonstrates Defendants' intentions for the rrbayc project /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of the group chat, including author and timestamps /// Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect Defendants' intentions for creating the rrbayc project</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2468	LEHMAN0000352- LEHMAN0000352	Ripps, Lehman	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 There is no clear indication of the speakers or the date of the communications</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant to willfulness and intent as it demonstrates Defendants' intentions for the rrbaye project and their criticisms of Yuga ///</p> <p>Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of the group chat, including author and timestamps There is nothing misleading ///</p> <p>Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect Defendants' intentions for creating the rrbaye project</p>
JTX-2469	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 There is no clear indication of the speakers or the date of the communications</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights
JTX-2470	LEHMAN0000354- LEHMAN0000354	Hickman, Lehman	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 There is no clear indication of the speakers or the date of the communications</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant as it demonstrates third party sentiment regarding the rrbaye project during the relevant time period ///</p> <p>Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of the group chat, including authors and timestamps There is nothing misleading ///</p> <p>Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect third party impressions of the rrbaye project</p>
JTX-2471	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 There is no clear indication of the speakers or the date of the communications</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights
JTX-2472	LEHMAN0000356- LEHMAN0000356	Cahen	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 There is no clear indication of the date of the communications</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant to willfulness and intent as it demonstrates Defendants' intentions for the rrbaye project and their criticisms of Yuga ///</p> <p>Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of the group chat, including author and timestamps There is nothing misleading ///</p> <p>Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect Defendants' criticisms of Yuga</p>
JTX-2473	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 There is no clear indication of the date of the communications</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2474	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 There is no clear indication of the date of the communications</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights
JTX-2475	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 There is no clear indication of the date of the communications</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights
JTX-2476	LEHMAN0000360-LEHMAN0000360	Cahen	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 There is no clear indication of the date of the communications</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant to willfulness and intent as it demonstrates Defendants' intentions for the rrbaye project and their criticisms of Yuga ///</p> <p>Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of the group chat, including author and timestamps There is nothing misleading ///</p> <p>Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect Defendants' criticisms of Yuga</p>
JTX-2477	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 There is no clear indication of the speakers or the date of the communications</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights
JTX-2478	LEHMAN0000362-LEHMAN0000362	Cahen, Hickman	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 There is no clear indication of the speakers or the date of the communications</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant to willfulness and intent as it demonstrates Defendants' intentions for the rrbaye project ///</p> <p>Completeness: This exhibit includes complete and accurate group chat messages, including authors and timestamps There is nothing misleading ///</p> <p>Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect Defendants' intentions for the rrbaye project</p>
JTX-2479	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 There is no clear indication of the speakers or the date of the communications</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2480	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 There is no clear indication of the speakers or the date of the communications</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights
JTX-2481	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 There is no clear indication of the speakers or the date of the communications</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights
JTX-2482	LEHMAN0000366-LEHMAN0000366	Hickman	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 There is no clear indication of the speakers or the date of the communications</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant as it demonstrates third party sentiment regarding Yuga during the relevant time period /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of the group chat, including author and timestamps There is nothing misleading /// Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect third party impressions of Yuga</p>
JTX-2483	LEHMAN0000367-LEHMAN0000367	Cahen	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 There is no clear indication of the date of the communications</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant to willfulness and intent as it demonstrates Defendants' criticisms of Yuga /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of the group chat, including author and timestamps There is nothing misleading /// Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect Defendants' state of mind</p>
JTX-2484	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 There is no clear indication of the date of the communications</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights
JTX-2485	LEHMAN0000369-LEHMAN0000369	Ripps	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 There is no clear indication of the date of the communications</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant to willfulness and intent as it demonstrates Defendants' criticisms of Yuga /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of the group chat message, including author and timestamps /// Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect Defendants' state of mind</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2486	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights
JTX-2487	LEHMAN0000371-LEHMAN0000371	Hickman, Lehman	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to willfulness as it sheds light on Defendants' criticisms of Yuga /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of group chat message, including authors and timestamps /// Hearsay: The exhibit is not being used to prove the truth of the matter asserted, but instead to show Defendants' state of mind
JTX-2488	LEHMAN0000372-LEHMAN0000372	Hickman, Lehman	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to willfulness as it sheds light on Defendants' criticisms of Yuga /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of group chat message, including authors and timestamps /// Hearsay: The exhibit is not being used to prove the truth of the matter asserted, but instead to show Defendants' state of mind
JTX-2489	LEHMAN0000373-LEHMAN0000373	Hickman	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: This document is relevant to willfulness and intent as it relates to Defendants' criticisms of Yuga /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of the group chat message, including author and timestamp /// Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect the purpose of the rrbayc project
JTX-2490	LEHMAN0000374-LEHMAN0000374	Hickman	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 There is no clear indication of the speakers To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: This document is relevant to willfulness and intent as it relates to Defendants' criticisms of Yuga /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of the group chat message, including authors and timestamps /// Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect the purpose of the rrbayc project
JTX-2491	LEHMAN0000375-LEHMAN0000375	Cahen	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit contains information that is further irrelevant as Defendants' claims on this issues have been dismissed FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: This document is relevant to willfulness It demonstrates events that were impacting Defendants' state of mind during the relevant time period

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2492	LEHMAN0000376- LEHMAN0000376	Cahen	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit contains information that is further irrelevant as Defendants' claims on this issues have been dismissed FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: The document is relevant because it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga. Thus, it is relevant to damages and intent // Completeness: The document contains a complete tweet, including author and timestamp /// Hearsay: The document is not being offered for the truth, but only for the existence of other occurrences that were impacting Yuga financially during the relevant time period
JTX-2493	LEHMAN0000377- LEHMAN0000377	Cahen	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation, except to the extent to establish that this is an exceptional case FRE 401, 402, 403 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: This document is relevant to willfulness and intent as it demonstrates Defendants' criticisms of Yuga /// Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect Defendants' state of mind
JTX-2494	LEHMAN0000378- LEHMAN0000378	Cahen	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation, except to the extent to establish that this is an exceptional case FRE 401, 402, 403 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: This document is relevant to willfulness and intent as it demonstrates Defendants' criticisms of Yuga /// Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect Defendants' state of mind
JTX-2495	LEHMAN0000379- LEHMAN0000379	Cahen	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit contains information that is further irrelevant as Defendants' claims on this issues have been dismissed FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: This document is relevant to willfulness and intent as it demonstrates Defendants' criticisms of Yuga /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of the group chat message, including author and timestamp /// Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect Defendants' state of mind
JTX-2496	LEHMAN0000380- LEHMAN0000380	Cahen	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: This document is relevant to willfulness and intent as it demonstrates Defendants' criticisms of Yuga /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of the group chat message, including author and timestamp /// Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect Defendants' state of mind
JTX-2497	LEHMAN0000381- LEHMAN0000381	Hickman	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation, except to the extent to establish that this is an exceptional case FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to willfulness as it sheds light on Defendants' criticisms of Yuga /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of group chat message, including author and timestamps /// Hearsay: The exhibit is not being used to prove the truth of the matter asserted, but instead to show Defendants' state of mind

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2498	LEHMAN0000382- LEHMAN0000382	Hickman	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: This exhibit is relevant to willfulness as it sheds light on Defendants' criticisms of Yuga /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of group chat message, including author and timestamps /// Hearsay: The exhibit is not being used to prove the truth of the matter asserted, but instead to show Defendants' state of mind
JTX-2499	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation, except to the extent to establish that this is an exceptional case FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It is unclear who is being referred to To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights
JTX-2500	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation, except to the extent to establish that this is an exceptional case FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It is unclear who is being referred to To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights
JTX-2501	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation, except to the extent to establish that this is an exceptional case FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It is unclear who is being referred to To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights
JTX-2502	RIPPSCAHEN00013404- RIPPSCAHEN00013404	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It is unclear if this image was ever even shown to the public To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: This document is relevant to willfulness and intent as it demonstrates Defendants' intentions for the rrbaye project and their criticisms of Yuga /// Completeness: This exhibit is a complete picture, as it appeared in the Discord group chat There is nothing misleading /// Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect Defendants' state of mind
JTX-2503	RIPPSCAHEN00013438- RIPPSCAHEN00013438	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman	No objection; except for relevance FRE 401 as the source and date of the exhibit is unclear	
JTX-2504	RIPPSCAHEN00014222- RIPPSCAHEN00014222	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman	No objection	

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2505	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation, except to the extent to establish that this is an exceptional case FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It lacks many of the associated images or attachments To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights
JTX-2506	RIPPSCAHEN00013249- RIPPSCAHEN00013250	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: This document is relevant as it shows reservation procedures for the rrbayc project, which is relevant to willfulness and confusion /// Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect the rrbayc project reservation procedures
JTX-2507	RIPPSCAHEN00013255- RIPPSCAHEN00013255	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation, except to the extent to establish that this is an exceptional case FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It lacks many of the associated text This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation or authentication FRE 602, 901 This is not an authentic exhibit as it is a document created by counsel or Defendants It is not evidence that exists independently of counsel's or Defendants' creation	Relevance: This document is relevant to willfulness and intent as it demonstrates Defendants' intentions for the rrbayc project and their criticisms of Yuga /// Completeness: This exhibit is a complete picture, as it appeared in the Discord group chat There is nothing misleading
JTX-2508	RIPPSCAHEN00013341- RIPPSCAHEN00013341	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman	No objection	
JTX-2509	RIPPSCAHEN00013479- RIPPSCAHEN00013479	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman	No objection	
JTX-2510	RIPPSCAHEN00013504- RIPPSCAHEN00013504	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman	No objection	
JTX-2511	RIPPSCAHEN00013545- RIPPSCAHEN00013545	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman	No objection	
JTX-2512	RIPPSCAHEN00013899- RIPPSCAHEN00013899	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman	No objection	
JTX-2513	RIPPSCAHEN00013976- RIPPSCAHEN00013976	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 This exhibit lacks scientific reliability or is improper expert analysis FRE 702, 703, 103(c), 602, 1006 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: This document is relevant to damages as it demonstrates a partial accounting for RR/BAYC /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of the relevant accounting document There is nothing misleading /// Hearsay: the document is not hearsay because it is a business record created during regularly conducted activity

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2514	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation, except to the extent to establish that this is an exceptional case FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It lacks many of the associated images or attachments</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights
JTX-2515	RIPPSCAHEN00015811- RIPPSCAHEN00015811	Lehman, Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation And it is unclear who the speakers are</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant to willfulness and intent as it demonstrates Defendants' intentions for the rrbayc project /// Completeness: This exhibit includes complete and accurate direct messages, including author and timestamps There is nothing misleading /// Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect Defendants' intentions for the rrbayc project</p>
JTX-2516	RIPPSCAHEN00015812- RIPPSCAHEN00015812	Lehman, Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation And it is unclear who the speakers are</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant to willfulness and intent as it demonstrates Defendants' intentions for the rrbayc project /// Completeness: This exhibit includes complete and accurate direct messages, including author and timestamps There is nothing misleading /// Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect Defendants' intentions for the rrbayc project</p>
JTX-2517	RIPPSCAHEN00015813- RIPPSCAHEN00015813	Lehman, Ripps	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant to willfulness and intent as it demonstrates Defendants' intentions for the rrbayc project /// Completeness: This exhibit is a complete email, and includes the sender's email address There is nothing misleading /// Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect Defendants' intentions for the rrbayc project</p>
JTX-2518	RIPPSCAHEN00015814- RIPPSCAHEN00015814	Lehman, Ripps	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation And it is unclear who the speakers are</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant to willfulness and intent as it demonstrates Defendants' criticisms of Yuga /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of each Defendant's direct message conversation, including author and timestamps There is nothing misleading /// Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect Defendants' state of mind</p>
JTX-2519	RIPPSCAHEN00015815- RIPPSCAHEN00015815	Lehman, Ripps	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation And it is unclear who the speakers are</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant to willfulness and intent as it demonstrates Defendants' criticisms of Yuga /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of each Defendant's direct message conversation, including author and timestamps There is nothing misleading /// Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect Defendants' state of mind</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2520	RIPPSCAHEN00015816- RIPPSCAHEN00015816	Lehman, Ripps	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation And it is unclear who the speakers are</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant to willfulness and intent as it demonstrates Defendants' criticisms of Yuga /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of each Defendant's direct message conversation, including author and timestamps There is nothing misleading /// Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect Defendants' state of mind</p>
JTX-2521	RIPPSCAHEN00018489- RIPPSCAHEN00018489	Ripps	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibits contains Defendants' false claims regarding Yuga Labs which the Court has ruled are not at issue in this case and thus are more prejudicial than probative for the jury FRE 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation And it is unclear who the speakers are</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant to willfulness and intent as it demonstrates Defendants' intentions for the rrbayc project and their criticisms of Yuga /// 403: This document is probative with respect to willfulness and intent Thus, the court has not ruled on these issues and they should be resolved by the jury /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of direct messages, including author and timestamps There is nothing misleading /// Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect Defendants' intentions for creating the rrbayc project</p>
JTX-2522	RIPPSCAHEN00018490- RIPPSCAHEN00018490	Ripps	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibits contains Defendants' false claims regarding Yuga Labs which the Court has ruled are not at issue in this case and thus are more prejudicial than probative for the jury FRE 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation And it is unclear who the speakers are</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant to willfulness and intent as it demonstrates Defendants' intentions for the rrbayc project and their criticisms of Yuga /// 403: This document is probative with respect to willfulness and intent Thus, the court has not ruled on these issues and they should be resolved by the jury /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of each Defendant's direct message conversation with Seneca, including timestamps There is nothing misleading /// Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect Defendants' intentions for creating the rrbayc project</p>
JTX-2523	SENECA0001-0013-SENECA0001- 0013	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibits contains Defendants' false claims regarding Yuga Labs which the Court has ruled are not at issue in this case and thus are more prejudicial than probative for the jury FRE 403</p> <p>This exhibit includes multiple unrelated conversations, not relevant to this case, all combined together in single document, which is misleading and an improper exhibit to which there is no foundation FRE 401, 402, 611(a), 602</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant to willfulness and intent as it demonstrates Defendants' intentions for the rrbayc project and their criticisms of Yuga /// 403: This document is probative with respect to willfulness and intent Thus, the court has not ruled on these issues and they should be resolved by the jury /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of each Defendant's direct message conversation with Seneca, including timestamps There is nothing misleading /// Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect Defendants' intentions for creating the rrbayc project</p>
JTX-2524	RIPPSCAHEN00025497- RIPPSCAHEN00025497	Hickman, Cahen	No objection	
JTX-2525	RIPPSCAHEN00025498- RIPPSCAHEN00025498	Hickman, Cahen	No objection	
JTX-2526	RIPPSCAHEN00025499- RIPPSCAHEN00025499	Hickman, Cahen	No objection	
JTX-2527	RIPPSCAHEN00025500- RIPPSCAHEN00025500	Hickman, Cahen	No objection	

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2528	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights
JTX-2529	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights
JTX-2530	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights
JTX-2531	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights
JTX-2532	RIPPSCAHEN00025505- RIPPSCAHEN00025505	Hickman, Cahen	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This document is relevant to willfulness and intent as it demonstrates Defendants' intentions for the rrbayc project and their criticisms of Yuga /// Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect Defendants' state of mind and their intentions for creating the rrbayc project
JTX-2533	RIPPSCAHEN00025514- RIPPSCAHEN00025514	Cahen	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibits contains Defendants' false claims regarding Yuga Labs which the Court has ruled are not at issue in this case and thus are more prejudicial than probative for the jury FRE 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation And it is unclear who the speakers are This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This document is relevant to willfulness and intent as it demonstrates Defendants' intentions for the rrbayc project and their criticisms of Yuga /// 403: The Court did not rule of the willfulness element of Plaintiff's remaining claim Willfulness and intent can also play a role in determining damages Thus, willfulness should be decided by the jury ///Completeness: This exhibit is a complete and accurate representation of the direct messages, including author and timestamps There is nothing misleading /// Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect Defendants' state of mind and their intentions for creating the rrbayc project
JTX-2534	RIPPSCAHEN00025515- RIPPSCAHEN00025515	Cahen	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibits contains Defendants' false claims regarding Yuga Labs which the Court has ruled are not at issue in this case and thus are more prejudicial than probative for the jury FRE 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation And it is unclear who the speakers are This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This document is relevant to willfulness and intent as it demonstrates Defendants' intentions for the rrbayc project and their criticisms of Yuga /// 403: The Court did not rule of the willfulness element of Plaintiff's remaining claim Willfulness and intent can also play a role in determining damages Thus, willfulness should be decided by the jury ///Completeness: This exhibit is a complete and accurate representation of the direct messages, including author and timestamps There is nothing misleading /// Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect Defendants' state of mind and their intentions for creating the rrbayc project

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2535	RIPPSCAHEN00025516- RIPPSCAHEN00025516	Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibits contains Defendants' false claims regarding Yuga Labs which the Court has ruled are not at issue in this case and thus are more prejudicial than probative for the jury FRE 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation And it is unclear who the speakers are</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant to willfulness and intent as it demonstrates Defendants' intentions for the rrbayc project and their criticisms of Yuga /// 403: The Court did not rule of the willfulness element of Plaintiff's remaining claim Willfulness and intent can also play a role in determining damages Thus, willfulness should be decided by the jury ///Completeness: This exhibit is a complete and accurate representation of the direct messages, including author and timestamps There is nothing misleading /// Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect Defendants' state of mind and their intentions for creating the rrbayc project</p>
JTX-2536	RIPPSCAHEN00025517- RIPPSCAHEN00025517	Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibits contains Defendants' false claims regarding Yuga Labs which the Court has ruled are not at issue in this case and thus are more prejudicial than probative for the jury FRE 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation And it is unclear who the speakers are</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant to willfulness and intent as it demonstrates Defendants' intentions for the rrbayc project and their criticisms of Yuga /// 403: The Court did not rule of the willfulness element of Plaintiff's remaining claim Willfulness and intent can also play a role in determining damages Thus, willfulness should be decided by the jury ///Completeness: This exhibit is a complete and accurate representation of the direct messages, including author and timestamps There is nothing misleading /// Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect Defendants' state of mind and their intentions for creating the rrbayc project</p>
JTX-2537	RIPPSCAHEN00025518- RIPPSCAHEN00025518	Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibits contains Defendants' false claims regarding Yuga Labs which the Court has ruled are not at issue in this case and thus are more prejudicial than probative for the jury FRE 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation And it is unclear who the speakers are</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant to willfulness and intent as it demonstrates Defendants' intentions for the rrbayc project and their criticisms of Yuga /// 403: The Court did not rule of the willfulness element of Plaintiff's remaining claim Willfulness and intent can also play a role in determining damages Thus, willfulness should be decided by the jury ///Completeness: This exhibit is a complete and accurate representation of the direct messages, including author and timestamps There is nothing misleading /// Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect Defendants' state of mind and their intentions for creating the rrbayc project</p>
JTX-2538	RIPPSCAHEN00025519- RIPPSCAHEN00025519	Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibits contains Defendants' false claims regarding Yuga Labs which the Court has ruled are not at issue in this case and thus are more prejudicial than probative for the jury FRE 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation And it is unclear who the speakers are</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant to willfulness and intent as it demonstrates Defendants' intentions for the rrbayc project and their criticisms of Yuga /// 403: The Court did not rule of the willfulness element of Plaintiff's remaining claim Willfulness and intent can also play a role in determining damages Thus, willfulness should be decided by the jury ///Completeness: This exhibit is a complete and accurate representation of the direct messages, including author and timestamps There is nothing misleading /// Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect Defendants' state of mind and their intentions for creating the rrbayc project</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2539	RIPPSCAHEN00025520- RIPPSCAHEN00025520	Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibits contains Defendants' false claims regarding Yuga Labs which the Court has ruled are not at issue in this case and thus are more prejudicial than probative for the jury FRE 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation And it is unclear who the speakers are</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant to willfulness and intent as it demonstrates Defendants' intentions for the rrbayc project and their criticisms of Yuga /// 403: The Court did not rule of the willfulness element of Plaintiff's remaining claim Willfulness and intent can also play a role in determining damages Thus, willfulness should be decided by the jury ///Completeness: This exhibit is a complete and accurate representation of the direct messages, including author and timestamps There is nothing misleading /// Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect Defendants' state of mind and their intentions for creating the rrbayc project</p>
JTX-2540	RIPPSCAHEN00025521- RIPPSCAHEN00025521	Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibits contains Defendants' false claims regarding Yuga Labs which the Court has ruled are not at issue in this case and thus are more prejudicial than probative for the jury FRE 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation And it is unclear who the speakers are</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant to willfulness and intent as it demonstrates Defendants' intentions for the rrbayc project and their criticisms of Yuga /// 403: The Court did not rule of the willfulness element of Plaintiff's remaining claim Willfulness and intent can also play a role in determining damages Thus, willfulness should be decided by the jury ///Completeness: This exhibit is a complete and accurate representation of the direct messages, including author and timestamps There is nothing misleading /// Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect Defendants' state of mind and their intentions for creating the rrbayc project</p>
JTX-2541	RIPPSCAHEN00025522- RIPPSCAHEN00025522	Cahen, Lehman	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant as it shows information about developments Defendants wanted to make to rrbayc project, which is relevant to willfulness and intent /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of the direct message and is a complete inclusion of the relevant time period for this group chat There is nothing misleading about the presentation of this group chat /// Foundation: Defendants can provide foundation for their messages within the group chat and the timeline of the group chat /// Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect the rrbayc project development timeline or Defendants' state of mind Furthermore, some direct messages fall under the present sense impression hearsay exception</p>
JTX-2542	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights
JTX-2543	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights
JTX-2544	RIPPSCAHEN00025525- RIPPSCAHEN00025525	Cahen, Hickman	No objection	
JTX-2545	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2546	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights
JTX-2547	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights
JTX-2548	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights
JTX-2549	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights
JTX-2550	RIPPSCAHEN00025531- RIPPSCAHEN00025531	Cahen, Lehman	No objection	
JTX-2551	RIPPSCAHEN00025532- RIPPSCAHEN00025532	Cahen, Lehman	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This document is relevant as it shows information about developments Defendants wanted to make to rrbayc project, which is relevant to willfulness and intent /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of the text messages and is a complete inclusion of the text messages during the relevant exchange The messages include timestamps and can be read alongside Defendants' other exhibits to determine the authors of the messages /// Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect the rrbayc project development timeline or Defendants' state of mind
JTX-2552	RIPPSCAHEN00025533- RIPPSCAHEN00025533	Cahen, Lehman	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation And it is unclear who the speakers are This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This document is relevant as it shows information about developments Defendants wanted to make to rrbayc project, which is relevant to willfulness and intent /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of the text messages and is a complete inclusion of the text messages during the relevant exchange The messages include timestamps and can be read alongside Defendants' other exhibits to determine the authors of the messages /// Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect the rrbayc project development timeline or Defendants' state of mind
JTX-2553	RIPPSCAHEN00025534- RIPPSCAHEN00025534	Cahen, Lehman	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation And it is unclear who the speakers are This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This document is relevant as it shows information about developments Defendants wanted to make to rrbayc project, which is relevant to willfulness and intent /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of the text messages and is a complete inclusion of the text messages during the relevant exchange The messages include timestamps and can be read alongside Defendants' other exhibits to determine the authors of the messages /// Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect the rrbayc project development timeline or Defendants' state of mind

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2554	RIPPSCAHEN00025535- RIPPSCAHEN00025535	Cahen, Lehman	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation And it is unclear who the speakers are This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This document is relevant as it shows information about developments Defendants wanted to make to rrbayc project, which is relevant to willfulness and intent /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of the text messages and is a complete inclusion of the text messages during the relevant exchange The messages include timestamps and can be read alongside Defendants' other exhibits to determine the authors of the messages /// Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect rrbayc project development timeline or Defendants' state of mind
JTX-2555	RIPPSCAHEN00025537- RIPPSCAHEN00025537	Cahen, Lehman	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation And it is unclear who the speakers are This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This document is relevant as it shows information about developments Defendants wanted to make to rrbayc project, which is relevant to willfulness and intent /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of the text messages and is a complete inclusion of the text messages during the relevant exchange The messages include timestamps and can be read alongside Defendants' other exhibits to determine the authors of the messages /// Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect the rrbayc project development timeline or Defendants' state of mind
JTX-2556	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation And it is unclear who the speakers are This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights
JTX-2557	RIPPSCAHEN00025539- RIPPSCAHEN00025539	Cahen, Lehman	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation And it is unclear who the speakers are This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This document is relevant as it shows information about developments Defendants wanted to make to rrbayc project, which is relevant to willfulness and intent /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of the text messages and is a complete inclusion of the text messages during the relevant exchange There is nothing misleading about the presentation of these messages /// Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect the rrbayc project development timeline or Defendants' state of mind
JTX-2558	RIPPSCAHEN00025540- RIPPSCAHEN00025540	Cahen, Lehman	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation And it is unclear who the speakers are This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This document is relevant as it shows information about developments Defendants wanted to make to rrbayc project, which is relevant to willfulness and intent /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of the text messages and is a complete inclusion of the text messages during the relevant exchange There is nothing misleading about the presentation of these messages /// Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect the rrbayc project development timeline or Defendants' state of mind
JTX-2559	RIPPSCAHEN00025541- RIPPSCAHEN00025541	Cahen, Lehman	No objection	
JTX-2560	RIPPSCAHEN00025542- RIPPSCAHEN00025542	Cahen, Lehman	No objection	
JTX-2561	RIPPSCAHEN00025543- RIPPSCAHEN00025543	Cahen, Lehman	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation And it is unclear who the speakers are This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This document is relevant as it shows information about developments Defendants wanted to make to rrbayc project, which is relevant to willfulness and intent /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of the text messages and is a complete inclusion of the text messages during the relevant exchange There is nothing misleading about the presentation of these messages /// Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect the rrbayc project development timeline or Defendants' state of mind

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2562	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation And it is unclear who the speakers are</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights
JTX-2563	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation And it is unclear who the speakers are</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights
JTX-2564	RIPPSCAHEN00025546- RIPPSCAHEN00025546	Cahen, Lehman	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation And it is unclear who the speakers are</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant as it shows information about developments in the apemarket project, which is relevant to damages, willfulness, and intent ///</p> <p>Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of the text messages and is a complete inclusion of the text messages during the relevant exchange There is nothing misleading about the presentation of these messages ///</p> <p>Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect the apemarket project development timeline or Defendants' state of mind</p>
JTX-2565	RIPPSCAHEN00025547- RIPPSCAHEN00025547	Cahen, Lehman	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation And it is unclear who the speakers are</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant as it shows information about developments in the apemarket project, which is relevant to damages, willfulness, and intent ///</p> <p>Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of the text messages and is a complete inclusion of the text messages during the relevant exchange There is nothing misleading about the presentation of these messages ///</p> <p>Hearsay: the document may be offered for other purposes, outside of the truth of the matter, such as to reflect the apemarket project development timeline or Defendants' state of mind</p>
JTX-2566	RIPPSCAHEN00025548- RIPPSCAHEN00025548	Cahen, Lehman	No objection	
JTX-2567	RIPPSCAHEN00025549- RIPPSCAHEN00025549	Cahen, Lehman	No objection	
JTX-2568	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation And it is unclear who the speakers are</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights
JTX-2569	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation And it is unclear who the speakers are</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2570	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation And it is unclear who the speakers are</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights
JTX-2571	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation And it is unclear who the speakers are</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights
JTX-2572	RIPPSCAHEN00025554-RIPPSCAHEN00025554	Cahen, Lehman	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation And it is unclear who the speakers are</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document provides information about Yuga's contact with the Defendants' family members, which are relevant to wilfulness /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of the direct message and is complete inclusion of the relevant portion along with the other exhibits of the conversation One of the speakers is listed at the top of the document ///Hearsay: This document is an exception to hearsay and includes a then-existing mental, emotional, or physical condition</p>
JTX-2573	RIPPSCAHEN00025555-RIPPSCAHEN00025555	Cahen, Lehman	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation And it is unclear who the speakers are</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document provides information about Defendants' reaction to the current litigation, which are relevant to wilfulness /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of the direct message and is complete inclusion of the relevant portion along with the other exhibits of the conversation One of the speakers is listed at the top of the document ///Hearsay: This document is an exception to hearsay and includes a then-existing mental, emotional, or physical condition</p>
JTX-2574	RIPPSCAHEN00025556-RIPPSCAHEN00025556	Cahen, Lehman	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation And it is unclear who the speakers are</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document provides information about Yuga's statements about the meaning of the symbolism it uses, which is relevant to wilfulness /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of the direct message and is complete inclusion of the relevant portion along with the other exhibits of the conversation One of the speakers is listed at the top of the document ///Hearsay: This document is not hearsay and includes statements by a party opponent</p>
JTX-2575	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation And it is unclear who the speakers are</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2576	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation And it is unclear who the speakers are</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights
JTX-2577	RIPPSCAHEN00025559- RIPPSCAHEN00025559	Cahen, Lehman	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation And it is unclear who the speakers are</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant as it shows information about updates Defendants wanted to make to rrbayc.com, which is relevant to willfulness and intent and confusion: /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of the direct message and is complete inclusion of the relevant portion along with the other exhibits of the conversation One of the speakers is listed at the top of the document /// Hearsay: the document may be offered not for the truth</p>
JTX-2578	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant and misleading to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation And it is unclear who the speakers are</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights
JTX-2579	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant and misleading to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation And it is unclear who the speakers are</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights
JTX-2580	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation And it is unclear who the speakers are</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights
JTX-2581	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation And it is unclear who the speakers are</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2582	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation And it is unclear who the speakers are</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights
JTX-2583	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation And it is unclear who the speakers are</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights
JTX-2584	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation And it is unclear who the speakers are</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights
JTX-2585	RIPPSCAHEN00025981-RIPPSCAHEN00025981	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation And it is unclear who the speakers are</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document provides information about the removal of RR/BAYC NFTs from a marketplace and Defendant and third parties reactions, which are relevant to confusion and willfulness /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of the direct message and is complete inclusion of the relevant portion One of the speakers is listed at the top of the document and Defendants can lay foundation for the others in the thread /// Hearsay: the document may be offered not for the truth, but merely the existence of third parties thoughts about RR/BAYC (independent of its veracity)</p>
JTX-2586	RIPPSCAHEN00026089-RIPPSCAHEN00026089	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation And it is unclear who the speakers are</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document represents commentary from third parties about RR/BAYC and is relevant toward confusion and willfulness /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of the direct message and is complete inclusion of the relevant portion One of the speakers is listed at the top of the document and Defendants can lay foundation for the others in the thread /// Hearsay: the document may be offered not for the truth, but merely the existence of third parties thoughts about RR/BAYC (independent of its veracity)</p>
JTX-2587	RIPPSCAHEN00026300-RIPPSCAHEN00026300	Ripps	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It's only part of a conversation And it is unclear who the speakers are</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document represents commentary from third parties about RR/BAYC and is relevant toward confusion and willfulness /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of the direct message and is complete inclusion of the relevant portion One of the speakers is listed at the top of the document and Defendants can lay foundation for the others in the thread /// Hearsay: the document may be offered not for the truth, but merely the existence of third parties thoughts about RR/BAYC (independent of its veracity)</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2588	RIPPSCAHEN00001374- RIPPSCAHEN00001374	Ripps	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This document represents commentary from third parties about RR/BAYC They are not offered for the truth, but to show the impact the project had and the way it was understood by others in the marketplace These factors are relevant to damages, both in terms of the harm to Yuga's brand and to willfulness /// Hearsay: the document may be offered not for the truth, but merely the existence of third parties thoughts (independent of its veracity)
JTX-2589	RIPPSCAHEN00001380- RIPPSCAHEN00001380	Ripps	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It is unclear who the speakers are This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This document represents commentary from third parties about RR/BAYC They are not offered for the truth, but to show the impact the project had and the way it was understood by others in the marketplace These factors are relevant to damages, both in terms of the harm to Yuga's brand and to willfulness /// Hearsay: the document may be offered not for the truth, but merely the existence of third parties thoughts (independent of its veracity)
JTX-2590	RIPPSCAHEN00001396- RIPPSCAHEN00001396	Ripps	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It is unclear who the speakers are One speaker appears to be outside the US This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This document represents commentary from third parties about RR/BAYC They are not offered for the truth, but to show the impact the project had and the way it was understood by others in the marketplace These factors are relevant to damages, both in terms of the harm to Yuga's brand and to willfulness /// Hearsay: the document may be offered not for the truth, but merely the existence of third parties thoughts (independent of its veracity)
JTX-2591	RIPPSCAHEN00001397- RIPPSCAHEN00001397	Ripps	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This document represents commentary from third parties about RR/BAYC They are not offered for the truth, but to show the impact the project had and the way it was understood by others in the marketplace These factors are relevant to damages, both in terms of the harm to Yuga's brand and to willfulness /// Hearsay: the document may be offered not for the truth, but merely the existence of third parties thoughts (independent of its veracity)
JTX-2592	RIPPSCAHEN00001408- RIPPSCAHEN00001408	Ripps	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This document represents commentary from third parties about RR/BAYC They are not offered for the truth, but to show the impact the project had and the way it was understood by others in the marketplace These factors are relevant to damages, both in terms of the harm to Yuga's brand and to willfulness /// Hearsay: the document may be offered not for the truth, but merely the existence of third parties thoughts (independent of its veracity)
JTX-2593	RIPPSCAHEN00001448- RIPPSCAHEN00001448	Ripps	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This document represents commentary from third parties about RR/BAYC They are not offered for the truth, but to show the impact the project had and the way it was understood by others in the marketplace These factors are relevant to damages, both in terms of the harm to Yuga's brand and to willfulness /// Hearsay: the document may be offered not for the truth, but merely the existence of third parties thoughts (independent of its veracity)
JTX-2594	RIPPSCAHEN00001449- RIPPSCAHEN00001449	Ripps	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This document represents commentary from third parties about RR/BAYC They are not offered for the truth, but to show the impact the project had and the way it was understood by others in the marketplace These factors are relevant to damages, both in terms of the harm to Yuga's brand and to willfulness /// Hearsay: the document may be offered not for the truth, but merely the existence of third parties thoughts (independent of its veracity)
JTX-2595	RIPPSCAHEN00001475- RIPPSCAHEN00001475	Ripps	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It is unclear who the speakers are This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This document represents commentary from third parties about RR/BAYC They are not offered for the truth, but to show the impact the project had and the way it was understood by others in the marketplace These factors are relevant to damages, both in terms of the harm to Yuga's brand and to willfulness /// Hearsay: the document may be offered not for the truth, but merely the existence of third parties thoughts (independent of its veracity)

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2596	RIPPSCAHEN00001479- RIPPSCAHEN00001479	Ripps	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This document represents commentary from third parties about RR/BAYC They are not offered for the truth, but to show the impact the project had and the way it was understood by others in the marketplace These factors are relevant to damages, both in terms of the harm to Yuga's brand and to willfulness /// Hearsay: the document may be offered not for the truth, but merely the existence of third parties thoughts (independent of its veracity)
JTX-2597	RIPPSCAHEN00001482- RIPPSCAHEN00001482	Ripps	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This document represents commentary from third parties about RR/BAYC They are not offered for the truth, but to show the impact the project had and the way it was understood by others in the marketplace These factors are relevant to damages, both in terms of the harm to Yuga's brand and to willfulness /// Hearsay: the document may be offered not for the truth, but merely the existence of third parties thoughts (independent of its veracity)
JTX-2598	RIPPSCAHEN00001495- RIPPSCAHEN00001495	Ripps	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This document represents commentary from third parties about RR/BAYC They are not offered for the truth, but to show the impact the project had and the way it was understood by others in the marketplace These factors are relevant to damages, both in terms of the harm to Yuga's brand and to willfulness /// Hearsay: the document may be offered not for the truth, but merely the existence of third parties thoughts (independent of its veracity)
JTX-2599	RIPPSCAHEN00001523- RIPPSCAHEN00001523	Ripps	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: This document represents commentary from third parties about RR/BAYC They are not offered for the truth, but to show the impact the project had and the way it was understood by others in the marketplace These factors are relevant to damages, both in terms of the harm to Yuga's brand and to willfulness /// Hearsay: the document may be offered not for the truth, but merely the existence of third parties thoughts (independent of its veracity)
JTX-2600	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights	N/A	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation, except to the extent to establish that this is an exceptional case FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It lacks many of the associated images or attachments It is also often unclear as to who the speaker is To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Withdrawn Defendants reserve all rights
JTX-2601	RIPPSCAHEN00018702- RIPPSCAHEN00018702	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: This document is relevant because, for example, it evidences what RR/BAYC NFTs looked like on a marketplace which is relevant to willfulness and intent and confusion ///Hearsay: This document may be used for the effect it had on those who viewed it, not for the truth of the matter asserted
JTX-2602	RIPPSCAHEN00018984- RIPPSCAHEN00018984	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It is also often unclear as to who the speaker is To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: This document is relevant because, for example, it evidences what RR/BAYC NFTs looked like on a marketplace which is relevant to willfulness and intent and confusion /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post The speakers are identified by their user names, which are complete ///Hearsay: This document may be used for the effect it had on those who viewed it, not for the truth of the matter asserted

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2603	RIPPSCAHEN00018986- RIPPSCAHEN00018986	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It is also often unclear as to who the speaker is To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: This document is relevant because, for example, it evidences what RR/BAYC NFTs looked like on OpenSea marketplace which is relevant to willfulness and intent and confusion /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post as it appears on Twitter ///Hearsay: This document may be used for the effect it had on those who viewed it, not for the truth of the matter asserted
JTX-2604	LEHMAN0000241_CONFIDENTIAL LEHMAN0000241_CONFIDENTIAL	Lehman	No objection	
JTX-2605	LEHMAN0000242_CONFIDENTIAL LEHMAN0000242_CONFIDENTIAL	Lehman	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 This exhibit lacks scientific reliability or is improper expert analysis FRE 702, 703, 103(c), 602, 1006 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: The document is relevant, because, for example, it provides information about revenue received and transactions for the RR/BAYC NFTs, which are relevant for damages /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of a spreadsheet and provides the entire spreadsheet /// Expert: The lay person analysis of the revenue received is appropriate and relevant here /// Hearsay: This document is an exception to hearsay as a record of regularly conducted activity
JTX-2606	LEHMAN0000243_CONFIDENTIAL LEHMAN0000243_CONFIDENTIAL	Lehman	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 This exhibit lacks scientific reliability or is improper expert analysis FRE 702, 703, 103(c), 602, 1006 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: The document is relevant, because, for example, it provides information about revenue received and transactions for the RR/BAYC NFTs, which are relevant for damages /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of a spreadsheet and provides the entire spreadsheet /// Expert: The lay person analysis of the revenue received is appropriate and relevant here /// Hearsay: This document is an exception to hearsay as a record of regularly conducted activity
JTX-2607	LEHMAN0000246_CONFIDENTIAL LEHMAN0000246_CONFIDENTIAL	Lehman	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 This exhibit lacks scientific reliability or is improper expert analysis FRE 702, 703, 103(c), 602, 1006 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: The document is relevant, because, for example, it provides information about revenue received for the RR/BAYC NFTs, which are relevant for damages /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of a spreadsheet and provides the entire spreadsheet /// Expert: The lay person analysis of the revenue received is appropriate and relevant here /// Hearsay: This document is an exception to hearsay as a record of regularly conducted activity
JTX-2608	Live9000-00000014-Live9000-00000014	Ripps	No objection	
JTX-2609	Live9000-00000015-Live9000-00000015	Ripps	No objection	
JTX-2610	Live9000-00000016-Live9000-00000016	Ripps	No objection	
JTX-2611	Live9000-00000017-Live9000-00000017	Ripps	No objection	
JTX-2612	Live9000-00000018-Live9000-00000018	Ripps	No objection	
JTX-2613	Live9000-00000019-Live9000-00000019	Ripps	No objection	

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2614	RIPPSCAHEN00015592- RIPPSCAHEN00015592	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 This exhibit lacks scientific reliability or is improper expert analysis FRE 702, 703, 103(c), 602, 1006 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: The document is relevant, because, for example, it provides information about revenue received for the RR/BAYC NFTs, which are relevant for damages /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of a chart and along with other exhibits is a complete representation /// Expert: The lay person analysis of the revenue received is appropriate and relevant here /// Hearsay: This document is an exception to hearsay as a record of regularly conducted activity
JTX-2615	RIPPSCAHEN00015594- RIPPSCAHEN00015594	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 This exhibit lacks scientific reliability or is improper expert analysis FRE 702, 703, 103(c), 602, 1006 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: The document is relevant, because, for example, it provides information about revenue received for the RR/BAYC NFTs, which are relevant for damages /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of a chart and along with other exhibits is a complete representation /// Expert: The lay person analysis of the revenue received is appropriate and relevant here /// Hearsay: This document is an exception to hearsay as a record of regularly conducted activity
JTX-2616	RIPPSCAHEN00015595- RIPPSCAHEN00015595	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 This exhibit lacks scientific reliability or is improper expert analysis FRE 702, 703, 103(c), 602, 1006 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: The document is relevant, because, for example, it provides information about revenue received for the RR/BAYC NFTs, which are relevant for damages /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of the spreadsheet and along with other exhibits is a complete representation /// Expert: The lay person analysis of the revenue received is appropriate and relevant here /// Hearsay: This document is an exception to hearsay as a record of regularly conducted activity
JTX-2617	RIPPSCAHEN00015596- RIPPSCAHEN00015596	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 This exhibit lacks scientific reliability or is improper expert analysis FRE 702, 703, 103(c), 602, 1006 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: The document is relevant, because, for example, it provides information about revenue received for the RR/BAYC NFTs, which are relevant for damages /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of a chart and along with other exhibits is a complete representation /// Expert: The lay person analysis of the revenue received is appropriate and relevant here /// Hearsay: This document is an exception to hearsay as a record of regularly conducted activity
JTX-2618	RIPPSCAHEN00015643- RIPPSCAHEN00015643	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 This exhibit lacks scientific reliability or is improper expert analysis FRE 702, 703, 103(c), 602, 1006 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: The document is relevant, because, for example, it provides information about revenue received for the RR/BAYC NFTs, which are relevant for damages /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of the spreadsheet and along with other exhibits is a complete representation /// Expert: The lay person analysis of the revenue received is appropriate and relevant here /// Hearsay: This document is an exception to hearsay as a record of regularly conducted activity

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2619	RIPPSCAHEN00015644- RIPPSCAHEN00015644	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 This exhibit lacks scientific reliability or is improper expert analysis FRE 702, 703, 103(c), 602, 1006 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: The document is relevant, because, for example, it provides information about revenue received for the RR/BAYC NFTs, which are relevant for damages /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of the spreadsheet and includes the entire spreadsheet /// Expert: The lay person analysis of the revenue received is appropriate and relevant here /// Hearsay: This document is an exception to hearsay as a record of regularly conducted activity
JTX-2620	RIPPSCAHEN00017694- RIPPSCAHEN00017694	Ripps	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It is unclear who the speakers are This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803	Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it provides information about royalties received from RR/BAYC NFTs, which are relevant for damages and willfulness and intent /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post and is complete Additionally, speakers are identified by their usernames /// Hearsay: This document is an exception to hearsay and includes a then-existing mental, emotional, or physical condition Additionally, this document may be used for the effect it had on those who viewed it, not for the truth of the matter asserted
JTX-2621	RIPPSCAHEN00023101- RIPPSCAHEN00023101	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 This exhibit lacks scientific reliability or is improper expert analysis FRE 702, 703, 103(c), 602, 1006 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: The document is relevant, because, for example, it provides information about transactions for the RR/BAYC NFTs, which are relevant for damages /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate representation of the spreadsheet and along with other exhibits is a complete representation /// Expert: The lay person analysis of the revenue received is appropriate and relevant here /// Hearsay: This document is an exception to hearsay as a record of regularly conducted activity
JTX-2622	RIPPSCAHEN00017185- RIPPSCAHEN00017186	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It is unclear who the speakers are To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it provides information about BAYC consumer's thoughts on its price which are relevant to damages /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post and is complete Additionally, speakers are identified by their usernames ///Hearsay: This document may be offered not for the truth, but merely the existence of BAYC consumers' thoughts on prices (independent of its veracity)
JTX-2623	RIPPSCAHEN00017448- RIPPSCAHEN00017509	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It is unclear who the speakers are This exhibit lacks scientific reliability or is improper expert analysis FRE 702, 703, 103(c), 602, 1006 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga including the fact that people other than Defendants posted information criticizing Yuga's assets /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post and is complete Additionally, speakers are identified by their usernames /// Expert: The information provided as a lay person's opinion about Yuga selling unregistered securities is relevant to damages /// Hearsay: This document may be offered not for the truth, but merely the existence of people's thoughts on whether Yuga sold unregistered securities (independent of its veracity)

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2624	RIPPSCAHEN00017603- RIPPSCAHEN00017603	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It is unclear who the speakers are</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it provides information about the history of BAYC NFT prices which are relevant to damages ///</p> <p>Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post and is complete Additionally, speakers are identified by their usernames ///</p> <p>Hearsay: This document may be offered not for the truth, but merely the existence of BAYC consumers' thoughts on prices (independent of its veracity)</p>
JTX-2625	RIPPSCAHEN00017606- RIPPSCAHEN00017608	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It is unclear who the speakers are It also contains unrelated Tweets not connected to the original Tweet</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga including the fact that people other than Defendants posted information criticizing Yuga's assets as unregistered securities which are relevant to damages ///</p> <p>Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post and is complete Additionally, speakers are identified by their usernames The "More Tweets" section is how the information appeared on the website and is an accurate capture ///</p> <p>Hearsay: This document may be offered not for the truth, but merely the existence of people's thoughts on whether Yuga sold unregistered securities (independent of its veracity)</p>
JTX-2626	RIPPSCAHEN00018881- RIPPSCAHEN00018888	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It is unclear who the speakers are</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it provides information about the history of Yuga's product's prices which is relevant to damages ///</p> <p>Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post and is complete Additionally, speakers are identified by their usernames ///</p> <p>Hearsay: This document may be offered not for the truth, but merely the existence of statements about BAYC NFT prices (independent of its veracity)</p>
JTX-2627	RIPPSCAHEN00018955- RIPPSCAHEN00018956	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It is unclear who the speakers are</p> <p>This exhibit lacks scientific reliability or is improper expert analysis FRE 702, 703, 103(c), 602, 1006</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga including the fact that people other than Defendants posted information criticizing Yuga's assets as unregistered securities which are relevant to damages ///</p> <p>Completeness and Foundation: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post and is complete Additionally, speakers are identified by their usernames ///</p> <p>Expert: The information provided as a lay person's opinion about Yuga selling unregistered securities is relevant to damages ///</p> <p>Hearsay: This document may be offered not for the truth, but merely the existence of people's thoughts on whether Yuga sold unregistered securities (independent of its veracity)</p>
JTX-2628	RIPPSCAHEN00018974- RIPPSCAHEN00018974	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It is unclear who the speakers are</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it provides information about the history of BAYC NFT prices which are relevant to damages ///</p> <p>Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post and is complete Additionally, speakers are identified by their usernames ///</p> <p>Hearsay: This document may be offered not for the truth, but merely the existence of statements about BAYC NFT prices (independent of its veracity)</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2629	RIPPSCAHEN00019847- RIPPSCAHEN00019868	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it provides information about the history of BAYC NFT prices which are relevant to damages ///</p> <p>Completeness and Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this public article based on their personal knowledge The exhibit is the complete article and is not misleading ///</p> <p>Hearsay: This document is not hearsay because it is not being offered for the truth of the matter asserted but background on BAYC NFTs</p>
JTX-2630	RIPPSCAHEN00020034- RIPPSCAHEN00020063	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901 Indeed, the article appears to be missing images and appears to be from a publication outside the US</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it provides background on what NFTs are and crypto generally Given NFTs are a global item that can be purchased in any country, the location of the publication is not relevant ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this public article based on their personal knowledge Additionally, Defendants will provide an updated version that includes the images ///</p> <p>Hearsay: This document is not hearsay because it is not being offered for the truth of the matter asserted but background on NFTs</p>
JTX-2631	RIPPSCAHEN00020064- RIPPSCAHEN00020076	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it provides background on what NFTs are and crypto generally ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this public article based on their personal knowledge ///</p> <p>Hearsay: This document is not hearsay because it is not being offered for the truth of the matter asserted but background on NFTs</p>
JTX-2632	RIPPSCAHEN00020630- RIPPSCAHEN00020642	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it provides background on what NFTs are and crypto generally ///</p> <p>Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this public article based on their personal knowledge ///</p> <p>Hearsay: This document is not hearsay because it is not being offered for the truth of the matter asserted but background on NFTs</p>
JTX-2633	RIPPSCAHEN00020737- RIPPSCAHEN00020737	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Solano, Aronow, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106 It is missing other pages from the website</p>	<p>Relevance: The BAYC Roadmap is relevant to damages issues in this case, including the extent to which any damage Yuga suffered is attributable to its own conduct ///</p> <p>Completeness: The roadmap itself is a complete capture of one page from Plaintiff's website Other pages are in the record, as are numerous other individual webpages Therefore it is not incomplete</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2634	RIPPSCAHEN00022414- RIPPSCAHEN00022415	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Solano, Aronow, Atalaya, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's representations or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602, 901</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: Yuga's transfer of NFTs is relevant to damages, including the extent to which Yuga has policed its marks and whether its own conduct is responsible for damages. Additionally, this exhibit is relevant to damages as it shows how BAYC NFTs appeared in the market and to consumers. // Completeness and Foundation: This exhibit is an accurate capture of the Etherscan transaction and is complete. Defendants can lay foundation for this public article based on their personal knowledge. //Hearsay: This document is not hearsay because it is not being offered for the truth of the matter asserted. It is being offered as a record of the marketplace of NFTs as well as for effect on state of mind.</p>
JTX-2635	RIPPSCAHEN00022420- RIPPSCAHEN00022426	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the information therein or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602. To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga, including the fact that people other than Defendants posted information criticizing Yuga's connection to FTX. // Completeness and Foundation: This exhibit is an accurate capture of the article and is complete. Defendants can lay foundation for this public article based on their personal knowledge. //Hearsay: This document may be offered not for the truth, but merely the existence of criticism from others (independent of its veracity).</p>
JTX-2636	RIPPSCAHEN00022549- RIPPSCAHEN00022549	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the information therein or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602. To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga, including the fact that people other than the Defendants posted information about Yuga's connection to FTX. // Completeness and Foundation: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post and is complete. Defendants can lay foundation for this public post based on their personal knowledge. //Hearsay: This document may be offered not for the truth, but merely the existence of criticism from others (independent of its veracity).</p>
JTX-2637	RIPPSCAHEN00022553- RIPPSCAHEN00022553	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant because, for example, it evidences a source of criticism against Yuga and goes towards willfulness and intent. // Completeness and Foundation: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post and is complete. Defendants can lay foundation for this public post based on their personal knowledge. Yuga can also lay foundation for the statements it made.</p>
JTX-2638	RIPPSCAHEN00018889- RIPPSCAHEN00018889	Ripps	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602. To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant because, for example, it evidences criticism the Defendants received which show a lack of confusion and are relevant to damages and willfulness. // Completeness and Foundation: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post and is complete. Defendants can lay foundation for this public post based on their personal knowledge. //Hearsay: This document is an exception to hearsay and includes a then-existing mental, emotional, or physical condition. Additionally, this document may be used for the effect it had on those who viewed it, not for the truth of the matter asserted. Finally, the document may be offered not for the truth, but merely the existence of criticism from others (independent of its veracity).</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2639	RIPPSCAHEN00000291- RIPPSCAHEN00000291	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation, except to the extent to establish that this is an exceptional case FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It lacks many of the associated images or attachments It is also often unclear as to who the speaker is</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant because, for example, it evidences Defendants' goal in creating the RR/BAYC NFTs which goes to willfulness and intent ///</p> <p>Completeness: This exhibit includes links to the corresponding images and is, therefore, complete through its references The column "screen_name" includes the username of the person that posted the tweet //Hearsay: This document is an exception to hearsay and includes a then-existing mental, emotional, or physical condition Additionally, this document may be used for the effect it had on those who viewed it, not for the truth of the matter asserted</p>
JTX-2640	RIPPSCAHEN00000292- RIPPSCAHEN00000292	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman	No objection; subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-2641	RIPPSCAHEN00000293- RIPPSCAHEN00000293	Lehman	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation, except to the extent to establish that this is an exceptional case FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It lacks many of the associated images or attachments It is also often unclear as to who the speaker is</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant because, for example, it evidences Defendants' goal in creating the RR/BAYC NFTs which goes to willfulness and intent ///</p> <p>Completeness: This exhibit includes links to the corresponding images and is, therefore, complete through its references The column "screen_name" includes the username of the person that posted the tweet //Hearsay: This document is an exception to hearsay and includes a then-existing mental, emotional, or physical condition Additionally, this document may be used for the effect it had on those who viewed it, not for the truth of the matter asserted</p>
JTX-2642	RIPPSCAHEN00000294- RIPPSCAHEN00000294	Aronow, Muniz	No objection; subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-2643	RIPPSCAHEN00000295- RIPPSCAHEN00000295	Hickman	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation, except to the extent to establish that this is an exceptional case FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It lacks many of the associated images or attachments It is also often unclear as to who the speaker is</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant because, for example, it evidences Defendants' goal in creating the RR/BAYC NFTs which goes to willfulness and intent ///</p> <p>Completeness: This exhibit includes links to the corresponding images and is, therefore, complete through its references The column "screen_name" includes the username of the person that posted the tweet //Hearsay: This document is an exception to hearsay and includes a then-existing mental, emotional, or physical condition Additionally, this document may be used for the effect it had on those who viewed it, not for the truth of the matter asserted</p>
JTX-2644	RIPPSCAHEN00000296- RIPPSCAHEN00000296	Cahen	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation, except to the extent to establish that this is an exceptional case FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It lacks many of the associated images or attachments It is also often unclear as to who the speaker is</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant because, for example, it evidences Defendants' goal in creating the RR/BAYC NFTs which goes to willfulness and intent ///</p> <p>Completeness: This exhibit includes links to the corresponding images and is, therefore, complete through its references The column "screen_name" includes the username of the person that posted the tweet //Hearsay: This document is an exception to hearsay and includes a then-existing mental, emotional, or physical condition Additionally, this document may be used for the effect it had on those who viewed it, not for the truth of the matter asserted</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2645	RIPPSCAHEN00000297- RIPPSCAHEN00000297	Cahen	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation, except to the extent to establish that this is an exceptional case FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It lacks many of the associated images or attachments It is also often unclear as to who the speaker is</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant because, for example, it evidences Defendants' goal in creating the RR/BAYC NFTs which goes to willfulness and intent ///</p> <p>Completeness: This exhibit includes links to the corresponding images and is, therefore, complete through its references The column "screen_name" includes the username of the person that posted the tweet //Hearsay: This document is an exception to hearsay and includes a then-existing mental, emotional, or physical condition Additionally, this document may be used for the effect it had on those who viewed it, not for the truth of the matter asserted</p>
JTX-2646	RIPPSCAHEN00000298- RIPPSCAHEN00000298	Ripps	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation, except to the extent to establish that this is an exceptional case FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It lacks many of the associated images or attachments It is also often unclear as to who the speaker is</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant because, for example, it evidences Defendants' goal in creating the RR/BAYC NFTs which goes to willfulness and intent ///</p> <p>Completeness: This exhibit includes links to the corresponding images and is, therefore, complete through its references The column "screen_name" includes the username of the person that posted the tweet //Hearsay: This document is an exception to hearsay and includes a then-existing mental, emotional, or physical condition Additionally, this document may be used for the effect it had on those who viewed it, not for the truth of the matter asserted</p>
JTX-2647	RIPPSCAHEN00000299- RIPPSCAHEN00000299	Ali, Muniz	No objection; subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-2648	RIPPSCAHEN00000300- RIPPSCAHEN00000300	Atalay, Muniz	No objection; subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-2649	RIPPSCAHEN00016788- RIPPSCAHEN00016791	Ripps	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It is also often unclear as to who the speaker is</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga, including the fact that people other than the Defendants posted criticizing BAYC and the problematic imagery ///</p> <p>Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post The speakers are identified by their user names, which are complete //Hearsay: This document is an exception to hearsay and includes a then-existing mental, emotional, or physical condition Additionally, this document may be used for the effect it had on those who viewed it, not for the truth of the matter asserted Finally, the document may be offered not for the truth, but merely the existence of criticism from others (independent of its veracity)</p>
JTX-2650	RIPPSCAHEN00016855- RIPPSCAHEN00016856	Ripps	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It is also often unclear as to who the speaker is</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant because, for example, it evidences Defendants' goal in creating the RR/BAYC NFTs which goes to willfulness and intent ///</p> <p>Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post The speakers are identified by their user names, which are complete //Hearsay: This document is an exception to hearsay and includes a then-existing mental, emotional, or physical condition Additionally, this document may be used for the effect it had on those who viewed it, not for the truth of the matter asserted Finally, the document may be offered not for the truth, but merely the existence of criticism from others (independent of its veracity)</p>
JTX-2651	RIPPSCAHEN00017330- RIPPSCAHEN00017330	Ripps	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It is also often unclear as to who the speaker is</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant because, for example, it evidences Defendants' goal in creating the RR/BAYC NFTs which goes to willfulness and intent Additionally, the RR/BAYC logo is plainly relevant ///</p> <p>Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post The speakers are identified by their user names, which are complete //Hearsay: This document is an exception to hearsay and includes a then-existing mental, emotional, or physical condition Additionally, this document may be used for the effect it had on those who viewed it, not for the truth of the matter asserted</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2652	RIPPSCAHEN00017542- RIPPSCAHEN00017542	Ripps	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It is also often unclear as to who the speaker is</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant because, for example, it evidences Defendants' goal in creating the RR/BAYC NFTs which goes to willfulness and intent ///</p> <p>Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post as it appears on Twitter //Hearsay: This document is an exception to hearsay and includes a then-existing mental, emotional, or physical condition Additionally, this document may be used for the effect it had on those who viewed it, not for the truth of the matter asserted Finally, the document may be offered not for the truth, but merely the existence of criticism from others (independent of its veracity)</p>
JTX-2653	RIPPSCAHEN00017624- RIPPSCAHEN00017624	Ripps	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant because, for example, it evidences Defendants' goal in creating the RR/BAYC NFTs which goes to willfulness and intent ///</p> <p>Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post //Hearsay: This document is an exception to hearsay and includes a then-existing mental, emotional, or physical condition Additionally, this document may be used for the effect it had on those who viewed it, not for the truth of the matter asserted</p>
JTX-2654	RIPPSCAHEN00017779- RIPPSCAHEN00017787	Ripps	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It is also often unclear as to who the speaker is</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant because, for example, it evidences Defendants' goal in creating the RR/BAYC NFTs which goes to willfulness and intent ///</p> <p>Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post The speakers are identified by their user names, which are complete //Hearsay: This document is an exception to hearsay and includes a then-existing mental, emotional, or physical condition Additionally, this document may be used for the effect it had on those who viewed it, not for the truth of the matter asserted Finally, the document may be offered not for the truth, but merely the existence of criticism from others (independent of its veracity)</p>
JTX-2655	RIPPSCAHEN00017830- RIPPSCAHEN00017835	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman, Lehman	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It is also often unclear as to who the speaker is</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant because, for example, it evidences Defendants' goals in creating ApeMarket which goes to willfulness and intent ///</p> <p>Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post The speakers are identified by their user names, which are complete //Hearsay: This document is an exception to hearsay and includes a then-existing mental, emotional, or physical condition Additionally, this document may be used for the effect it had on those who viewed it, not for the truth of the matter asserted</p>
JTX-2656	RIPPSCAHEN00017836- RIPPSCAHEN00017839	Ripps	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It is also often unclear as to who the speaker is</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant because, for example, it evidences Defendants' goal in creating the RR/BAYC NFTs which goes to willfulness and intent ///</p> <p>Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post The speakers are identified by their user names, which are complete //Hearsay: This document is an exception to hearsay and includes a then-existing mental, emotional, or physical condition Additionally, this document may be used for the effect it had on those who viewed it, not for the truth of the matter asserted Finally, the document may be offered not for the truth, but merely the existence of criticism from others (independent of its veracity)</p>
JTX-2657	RIPPSCAHEN00017871- RIPPSCAHEN00017874	Ripps	<p>This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It is also often unclear as to who the speaker is</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This document is relevant because, for example, it evidences Defendants' process for creating the RR/BAYC NFTs which goes to willfulness and intent ///</p> <p>Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post The speakers are identified by their user names, which are complete //Hearsay: This document is an exception to hearsay and includes a then-existing mental, emotional, or physical condition Additionally, this document may be used for the effect it had on those who viewed it, not for the truth of the matter asserted</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2658	RIPPSCAHEN00017985- RIPPSCAHEN00017988	Ripps	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It is also often unclear as to who the speaker is To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: This document is relevant because, for example, it evidences Defendants' goal in creating the RR/BAYC NFTs which goes to willfulness and intent /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post The speakers are identified by their user names, which are complete //Hearsay: This document is an exception to hearsay and includes a then-existing mental, emotional, or physical condition Additionally, this document may be used for the effect it had on those who viewed it, not for the truth of the matter asserted Finally, the document may be offered not for the truth, but merely the existence of criticism from others (independent of its veracity)
JTX-2659	RIPPSCAHEN00018035- RIPPSCAHEN00018053	Ripps	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It is also often unclear as to who the speaker is To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: This document is relevant because, for example, it evidences Defendants' goal in creating the RR/BAYC NFTs which goes to willfulness and intent /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post The speakers are identified by their user names, which are complete //Hearsay: This document is an exception to hearsay and includes a then-existing mental, emotional, or physical condition Additionally, this document may be used for the effect it had on those who viewed it, not for the truth of the matter asserted Finally, the document may be offered not for the truth, but merely the existence of criticism from others (independent of its veracity)
JTX-2660	RIPPSCAHEN00018894- RIPPSCAHEN00018894	Ripps	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It is also often unclear as to who the speaker is To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: This document is relevant because, for example, it evidences Defendants' goal in creating the RR/BAYC NFTs which goes to willfulness and intent /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post The speakers are identified by their user names, which are complete //Hearsay: This document is an exception to hearsay and includes a then-existing mental, emotional, or physical condition Additionally, this document may be used for the effect it had on those who viewed it, not for the truth of the matter asserted
JTX-2661	RIPPSCAHEN00018897- RIPPSCAHEN00018897	Ripps	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It is also often unclear as to who the speaker is To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: This document is relevant because, for example, it evidences Defendants' goal in creating the RR/BAYC NFTs which goes to willfulness and intent ///Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post as it appears on Twitter //Hearsay: This document is an exception to hearsay and includes a then-existing mental, emotional, or physical condition Additionally, this document may be used for the effect it had on those who viewed it, not for the truth of the matter asserted Finally, the document may be offered not for the truth, but merely the existence of criticism from others (independent of its veracity)
JTX-2662	RIPPSCAHEN00018913- RIPPSCAHEN00018913	Ripps	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It is also often unclear as to who the speaker is To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: This document is relevant because, for example, it evidences Defendants' goal in creating the RR/BAYC NFTs which goes to willfulness and intent /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post as it appears on Twitter //Hearsay: This document is an exception to hearsay and includes a then-existing mental, emotional, or physical condition Additionally, this document may be used for the effect it had on those who viewed it, not for the truth of the matter asserted Finally, the document may be offered not for the truth, but merely the existence of criticism from others (independent of its veracity)
JTX-2663	RIPPSCAHEN00018995- RIPPSCAHEN00018995	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: This document is relevant because, for example, it evidences Defendants' goal in creating the RR/BAYC NFTs which goes to willfulness and intent /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post //Hearsay: This document is an exception to hearsay and includes a then-existing mental, emotional, or physical condition Additionally, this document may be used for the effect it had on those who viewed it, not for the truth of the matter asserted

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2664	RIPPSCAHEN00019026- RIPPSCAHEN00019030	Ripps, Cahen, Hickman	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It is also often unclear as to who the speaker is To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: This document is relevant because, for example, it evidences Defendants' goal in creating the RR/BAYC NFTs which goes to willfulness and intent /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post The speakers are identified by their user names, which are complete //Hearsay: This document is an exception to hearsay and includes a then-existing mental, emotional, or physical condition Additionally, this document may be used for the effect it had on those who viewed it, not for the truth of the matter asserted
JTX-2665	RIPPSCAHEN00019039- RIPPSCAHEN00019040	Ripps	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It is also often unclear as to who the speaker is To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: This document is relevant because, for example, it evidences Defendants' goal in creating the RR/BAYC NFTs which goes to willfulness and intent Additionally it evidences others using the alleged marks, which goes to willfulness and intent /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post The speakers are identified by their user names, which are complete //Hearsay: This document is an exception to hearsay and includes a then-existing mental, emotional, or physical condition
JTX-2666	RIPPSCAHEN00019220- RIPPSCAHEN00019223	Ripps	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It is also often unclear as to who the speaker is To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: This document is relevant because, for example, it evidences Defendants' goal in creating the RR/BAYC NFTs which goes to willfulness and intent /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post The speakers are identified by their user names, which are complete //Hearsay: This document is an exception to hearsay and includes a then-existing mental, emotional, or physical condition Additionally, this document may be used for the effect it had on those who viewed it, not for the truth of the matter asserted Finally, the document may be offered not for the truth, but merely the existence of criticism from others (independent of its veracity)
JTX-2667	RIPPSCAHEN00020105- RIPPSCAHEN00020108	Cahen, Ripps	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It is also often unclear as to who the speaker is To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: This document is relevant because, for example, it evidences sources of income from RR/BAYC, which is relevant for damages Additionally the document provides evidence that goes to willfulness and intent /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post The speakers are identified by their user names, which are complete //Hearsay: This document is an exception to hearsay and includes a then-existing mental, emotional, or physical condition
JTX-2668	RIPPSCAHEN00021585- RIPPSCAHEN00021585	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz, Aronow, Solano, Atalay	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It is also often unclear as to who the speaker is To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: This document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga, including the fact that people other than the Defendants posted information critical of Yuga /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post The speakers are identified by their user names, which are complete /// Hearsay: The document is not offered for the truth, but merely the existence of criticism from others (independent of its veracity) Additionally, the statements made by Yuga are an exception to hearsay for party opponents
JTX-2669	RIPPSCAHEN00021586- RIPPSCAHEN00021587	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz, Aronow, Solano, Atalay, Oseary	No objection; subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2670	RIPPSCAHEN00026238- RIPPSCAHEN00026238	Ripps, Cahen	This exhibit contains information that is irrelevant or cumulative to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403 It is also unclear as to who the speaker is To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given Defendants' or third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: This document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga, including the fact that people other than the Defendants posted information that BAYC has problematic imagery /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post The speaker is user @Ivan87403 /// Hearsay: The document is not offered for the truth, but merely the existence of criticism from others (independent of its veracity)
JTX-2671	RIPPSCAHEN00002282- RIPPSCAHEN00002282	Ripps, Cahen, Aronow, Solano	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403	Relevance: This evidence is relevant because, for example, whether Yuga's transference of rights to its holders impacted the damage it suffered is relevant
JTX-2672	RIPPSCAHEN00017611- RIPPSCAHEN00017611	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit lacks foundation, is incomplete and misleading as presented, and violates the best evidence rule FRE 106, 403, 602, 1002 The exhibit appears to be only a clip of a fuller recording This exhibit contains nonparty information for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: This evidence is relevant because, for example, whether Yuga's transference of rights to its holders impacted the damage it suffered is relevant /// Completeness/ Foundation: The evidence shows the entire relevant portion of the video and therefore there is no completeness problem and Defendants can lay foundation for this public post based on their personal knowledge Additionally, Yuga can testify about the statements they made /// Hearsay: The evidence includes statements made by Yuga, which are admissible as party opponent exception
JTX-2673	RIPPSCAHEN00017612- RIPPSCAHEN00017612	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit lacks foundation, is incomplete and misleading as presented, and violates the best evidence rule FRE 106, 403, 602, 1002 The exhibit appears to be only a clip of a fuller recording This exhibit contains nonparty information for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: This evidence is relevant because, for example, whether Yuga's transference of rights to its holders impacted the damage it suffered is relevant /// Completeness and Foundation: The evidence shows the entire relevant portion of the video and therefore there is no completeness problem and Defendants can lay foundation for this public post based on their personal knowledge Additionally, Yuga can testify about the statements they made /// Hearsay: The evidence includes statements made by Yuga, which are admissible as party opponent exception
JTX-2674	RIPPSCAHEN00022848- RIPPSCAHEN00022848	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz, Solano, Aronow	This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403 This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602 This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported sales or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602 This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803	Relevance: This evidence is relevant because, for example, whether Yuga's transference of rights to its holders impacted the damage it suffered is relevant /// Completeness and Foundation: The evidence shows the entire relevant portion of the video and therefore there is no completeness problem and Defendants can lay foundation for this public post based on their personal knowledge Additionally, Yuga can testify about the statements they made /// Hearsay: The evidence is not offered for the truth, but merely the existence of discussion about Yuga's transference of rights from others (independent of its veracity) Additionally, the evidence includes statements made by Yuga, which are admissible as party opponent exception

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2675	RIPPSCAHEN00022940-RIPPSCAHEN00022940	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported claims or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party or Defendants' information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This evidence is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga, including the fact that people other than the Defendants posted information critical of Yuga /// Completeness and Foundation: The evidence shows the entire video and therefore there is no completeness problem and Defendants can lay foundation for this public post based on their personal knowledge // Hearsay: The evidence is not offered for the truth, but merely the existence of criticism from others (independent of its veracity)</p>
JTX-2676	YUGALABS_00030243-YUGALABS_00030243	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz	No objection; subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document In particular, the video should be limited to the relevant segment discussing the Bored Ape Yacht Club and Defendants' infringement	
JTX-2677	YUGALABS_00030338-YUGALABS_00030338	Muniz	No objection; subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-2678	YUGALABS_00027791-YUGALABS_00027971	Muniz, Atalay	No objection	
JTX-2679	YUGALABS_00027972-YUGALABS_00028012	Muniz, Atalay	No objection	
JTX-2680	YUGALABS_00028013-YUGALABS_00028022	Muniz, Atalay	No objection	
JTX-2681	YUGALABS_00028023-YUGALABS_00028088	Muniz, Atalay	No objection	
JTX-2682	YUGALABS_00028089-YUGALABS_00028108	Muniz, Atalay	No objection	
JTX-2683	YUGALABS_00028109-YUGALABS_00029075	Muniz, Atalay	No objection	
JTX-2684	YUGALABS_00031208-YUGALABS_00031297	Muniz, Aronow, Solano, Atalay, Oseary	No objection; subject to relevance FRE 401 and 403 depending on Defendants' purpose for using the document	
JTX-2685	YUGALABS_00031474-YUGALABS_00031553	Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: Yuga's stock valuation is relevant to alternative sources of harm and damages /// Hearsay: The document can be used for a non-hearsay purpose, such as to provide context for Yuga's business</p>
JTX-2686	RIPPSCAHEN00019875-RIPPSCAHEN00019875	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation as it includes worldwide information FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported information or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This evidence is relevant because, for example, it evidence trends associated with BAYC Google searches, which is relevant to alternative sources of harm and damages and wilfulness and intent Additionally the United States is included in the information provided and is, therefore, relevant /// Completeness and Foundation: The document shows the entire webpage and therefore there is no completeness problem and Defendants can lay foundation for this public post based on their personal knowledge /// Hearsay: this document is not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2687	RIPPSCAHEN00019876- RIPPSCAHEN00019876	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation as it includes only Los Angeles information FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported information or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This evidence is relevant because, for example, it evidence trends associated with BAYC Google searches, which is relevant to alternative sources of harm and damages and wilfullness and intent Additionally, Los Angeles is in the United States and the information provided is, therefore, relevant /// Completeness and Foundation: The document shows the entire webpage and therefore there is no completeness problem and Defendants can lay foundation for this public post based on their personal knowledge /// Hearsay: this document is not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred</p>
JTX-2688	RIPPSCAHEN00019877- RIPPSCAHEN00019877	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation as it includes worldwide information FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported information or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This evidence is relevant because, for example, it evidence trends associated with BAYC Google searches, which is relevant to alternative sources of harm and damages and wilfullness and intent Additionally the United States is included in the information provided and is, therefore, relevant /// Completeness and Foundation: The document shows the entire webpage and therefore there is no completeness problem and Defendants can lay foundation for this public post based on their personal knowledge /// Hearsay: this document is not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred</p>
JTX-2689	RIPPSCAHEN00019883- RIPPSCAHEN00019883	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation as it includes worldwide information FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported information or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This evidence is relevant because, for example, it evidence trends associated with BAYC Google searches, which is relevant to alternative sources of harm and damages and wilfullness and intent Additionally the United States is included in the information provided and is, therefore, relevant /// Completeness and Foundation: The document shows the entire webpage and therefore there is no completeness problem and Defendants can lay foundation for this public post based on their personal knowledge /// Hearsay: this document is not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2690	RIPPSCAHEN00019884- RIPPSCAHEN00019884	Ripps, Cahen	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation as it includes worldwide information FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is irrelevant, incomplete, and lacks foundation as there is no witness with personal knowledge of the nonparty's purported information or how the document was created FRE 106, 401, 402, 602</p> <p>This exhibit contains nonparty data for which there is no foundation FRE 602 To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the third-party comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This evidence is relevant because, for example, it evidence trends associated with BAYC Google searches, which is relevant to alternative sources of harm and damages and wilfulness and intent Additionally the United States is included in the information provided and is, therefore, relevant /// Completeness and Foundation: The document shows the entire webpage and therefore there is no completeness problem and Defendants can lay foundation for this public post based on their personal knowledge /// Hearsay: this document is not being brought in for the truth of the matter asserted It is evidence of public activity that occurred</p>
JTX-2691	YUGALABS_00031555- YUGALABS_00031561	Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This evidence is relevant because, for example, it evidences BAYC's social media impact which is relevant to damages /// Hearsay: The document can be used for a non-hearsay purpose, such as to provide context for Yuga's business and social media status</p>
JTX-2692	YUGALABS_00031562- YUGALABS_00031562	Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This evidence is relevant because, for example, it evidences BAYC's social media impact which is relevant to damages /// Hearsay: The document can be used for a non-hearsay purpose, such as to provide context for Yuga's business and social media status</p>
JTX-2693	YUGALABS_00031565- YUGALABS_00031565	Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>To the extent Defendants attempt to rely on the exhibit for the truth of the matter, given the comments and information in the exhibit, it is inadmissible hearsay for which no exception applies FRE 801-803</p>	<p>Relevance: This evidence is relevant because, for example, it evidences BAYC's social media impact which is relevant to damages /// Hearsay: The document can be used for a non-hearsay purpose, such as to provide context for Yuga's business and social media status</p>
JTX-2694	RIPPSCAHEN00018813- RIPPSCAHEN00018816	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit includes multiple unrelated Tweets, not relevant to this case, all combined together in single document, which is misleading and an improper exhibit to which there is no foundation FRE 401, 402, 611(a), 602</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga, including whether Yuga's terms and conditions transferred rights to its holders and possible impact on the damages suffered /// Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this public post based on their personal knowledge /// Completeness: This exhibit is an accurate capture of a Twitter post No unrelated tweets are included in this document This document presents a full and accurate depiction of a Tweet shown on the same webpage as this post /// Hearsay: The document is not offered for the truth, but merely the existence of criticism from others (independent of its veracity)</p>
JTX-2695	RIPPSCAHEN00018817- RIPPSCAHEN00018817	Ripps, Cahen, Muniz	<p>This exhibit is irrelevant to the claims at issue in this litigation FRE 401, 402, 403</p> <p>This exhibit lacks foundation and is incomplete and misleading as presented FRE 106, 403, 602</p> <p>This exhibit is hearsay to the extent Defendants intend to use it to support their purported defenses or is otherwise proffered for the truth of the matter asserted FRE 801, 802, 803</p>	<p>Relevance: The document is relevant because, for example, it evidences alternative sources of harm to Yuga, including whether Yuga's terms and confidtions transferred rights to its holders and possible impact on the damages suffered /// Foundation: Defendants can lay foundation for this public post based on their personal knowledge /// Hearsay: The document is not offered for the truth, but merely the existence of criticism from others (independent of its veracity)</p>

Defendants' Exhibits

Ex. No.	Description	Witness	If Objection, State Grounds	Response to Objection
JTX-2696	Deposition Transcript of Wylie Aronow, Mar 6, 2023	Aronow	This exhibit is improper use of deposition testimony FRCP 32	This deposition testimony will be entered in accordance with the limitations in FRCP 32 and is not in violation of said rule. This deposition transcript is included for identification purposes only. Deposition designations will be entered in accordance with the limitations in FRCP 32.
JTX-2697	Deposition Transcript of Kerem Atalay, Jan 20, 2023	Atalay	This exhibit is improper use of deposition testimony FRCP 32	This deposition testimony will be entered in accordance with the limitations in FRCP 32 and is not in violation of said rule. This deposition transcript is included for identification purposes only. Deposition designations will be entered in accordance with the limitations in FRCP 32.
JTX-2698	Deposition Transcript of Jonah Berger, Mar 9, 2023	Berger	This exhibit is improper use of deposition testimony FRCP 32	This deposition testimony will be entered in accordance with the limitations in FRCP 32 and is not in violation of said rule. This deposition transcript is included for identification purposes only. Deposition designations will be entered in accordance with the limitations in FRCP 32.
JTX-2699	Deposition Transcript of Jeremy Cahen, Jan 11, 2023	Cahen	This exhibit is improper use of deposition testimony FRCP 32	This deposition testimony will be entered in accordance with the limitations in FRCP 32 and is not in violation of said rule. This deposition transcript is included for identification purposes only. Deposition designations will be entered in accordance with the limitations in FRCP 32.
JTX-2700	Deposition Transcript of Patrick Ehrlund, Mar 16, 2023	Ehrlund	This exhibit is improper use of deposition testimony FRCP 32	This deposition testimony will be entered in accordance with the limitations in FRCP 32 and is not in violation of said rule. This deposition transcript is included for identification purposes only. Deposition designations will be entered in accordance with the limitations in FRCP 32.
JTX-2701	Deposition Transcript of Ryan Hickman, Dec 7, 2022	Hickman	This exhibit is improper use of deposition testimony FRCP 32	This deposition testimony will be entered in accordance with the limitations in FRCP 32 and is not in violation of said rule. This deposition transcript is included for identification purposes only. Deposition designations will be entered in accordance with the limitations in FRCP 32.
JTX-2702	Deposition Transcript of Jason Cline, Mar 24, 2023	Cline	This exhibit is improper use of deposition testimony FRCP 32	This deposition testimony will be entered in accordance with the limitations in FRCP 32 and is not in violation of said rule. This deposition transcript is included for identification purposes only. Deposition designations will be entered in accordance with the limitations in FRCP 32.
JTX-2703	Deposition Transcript of Lauren Kindler, Mar 17, 2023	Kindler	This exhibit is improper use of deposition testimony FRCP 32	This deposition testimony will be entered in accordance with the limitations in FRCP 32 and is not in violation of said rule. This deposition transcript is included for identification purposes only. Deposition designations will be entered in accordance with the limitations in FRCP 32.
JTX-2704	Deposition Transcript of Thomas Lehman, Mar 27, 2023	Lehman	This exhibit is improper use of deposition testimony FRCP 32	This deposition testimony will be entered in accordance with the limitations in FRCP 32 and is not in violation of said rule. This deposition transcript is included for identification purposes only. Deposition designations will be entered in accordance with the limitations in FRCP 32.
JTX-2705	Deposition Transcript of Nicole Muniz, Jan 24, 2023	Muniz	This exhibit is improper use of deposition testimony FRCP 32	This deposition testimony will be entered in accordance with the limitations in FRCP 32 and is not in violation of said rule. This deposition transcript is included for identification purposes only. Deposition designations will be entered in accordance with the limitations in FRCP 32.
JTX-2706	Deposition Transcript of Laura O'Laughlin, Mar 22, 2023	O'Laughlin	This exhibit is improper use of deposition testimony FRCP 32	This deposition testimony will be entered in accordance with the limitations in FRCP 32 and is not in violation of said rule. This deposition transcript is included for identification purposes only. Deposition designations will be entered in accordance with the limitations in FRCP 32.
JTX-2707	Deposition Transcript of Guy Oseary, Mar 1, 2023	Oseary	This exhibit is improper use of deposition testimony FRCP 32	This deposition testimony will be entered in accordance with the limitations in FRCP 32 and is not in violation of said rule. This deposition transcript is included for identification purposes only. Deposition designations will be entered in accordance with the limitations in FRCP 32.
JTX-2708	Deposition Transcript of Ryder Ripps, Jan 12, 2023	Ripps	This exhibit is improper use of deposition testimony FRCP 32	This deposition testimony will be entered in accordance with the limitations in FRCP 32 and is not in violation of said rule. This deposition transcript is included for identification purposes only. Deposition designations will be entered in accordance with the limitations in FRCP 32.
JTX-2709	Deposition Transcript of Greg Solano, Jan 17, 2023	Solano	This exhibit is improper use of deposition testimony FRCP 32	This deposition testimony will be entered in accordance with the limitations in FRCP 32 and is not in violation of said rule. This deposition transcript is included for identification purposes only. Deposition designations will be entered in accordance with the limitations in FRCP 32.

1 Dated: May 25, 2023 FENWICK & WEST LLP

2

3

By: /s/ Eric Ball
Eric Ball
Attorneys for Plaintiff
YUGA LABS, INC.

4

5

6

7 Dated: May 25, 2023

WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE &
DORR LLP

8

9

By: /s/ Louis W. Tompros
Louis W. Tompros
Attorneys for Defendants
RYDER RIPPS and JEREMY CAHEN

10

11

12

13

14

ATTESTATION OF CONCURRENCE IN FILING

15

Pursuant to the United States District Court for the Central District of California’s Civil L.R. 5-4.3.4(a)(2)(i), Eric Ball attests that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from Louis W. Tompros.

16

17

18

19

/s/ Eric Ball
Eric Ball

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28