Case 2:	22-cv-01837-ODW-JEM	Document 31	Filed 01/11/23	Page 1 of 18	Page ID #:251
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	KING, HOLMES, PAT Howard E. King, Esq. HKING@KHPSLAW.COM JOHN G. SNOW, Esq., S JSNOW@KHPSLAW.COM 1900 AVENUE OF THE S LOS ANGELES, CALIFOR TELEPHONE: (310) 282- FACSIMILE: (310) 282- Attorneys for Defendar MAGUIRE, JAKE NY ANTONIUS WIRIADJ DONGLEE HAN	TATE BAR NO. TARS, 25 <sup>th</sup> FLC NIA 90067-459 8989 8903 ats CLARE	280790		
10	U	NITED STAT	TES DISTRIC	<b>F COURT</b>	
11	CE	NTRAL DIST	<b>FRICT OF CA</b>	LIFORNIA	
12		WEST	ERN DIVISIO	Ν	
13					
14	TAYLOR WHITLEY, WTF.INDUSTRIES, L	and LC,	CASE NO	D. 22-CV-018	37-ODW-JEM
15	Plai	ntiffs,		DANTS' ANS IFFS' FIRST	
16	v.		COMPL	AINT	AMENDED
17	CLARE MAGUIRE, JA ANTONIUS WIRIADJ DONGLEE HAN, and	AKE NYGAR	D, <b><u>REQUES</u></b>	<u>ST FOR JUR</u>	Y TRIAL
18	DONGLEE HAN, and inclusive,	DOES 1-10,	The Hono	orable Otis D.	Wright II
19		endants.			
20					
21					
22					
23 24					
24					
23 26					
27					
28					
	5862.060/1887502.1				
			ANS	WER TO FIRST AN	MENDED COMPLAINT

	1	Defendants Clare Maguire, Jake Nygard, Antonius Wiriadjaja, and Do	nglee		
	2	Han (collectively, "Defendants") hereby answer and provide their affirmative			
	3	defenses to the First Amended Complaint ("Complaint") of Plaintiffs Taylor			
	4	Whitley and WTF.Industries, LLC ("Plaintiffs") as follows. By this answer,			
	5	Defendants do not impliedly admit anything.			
	6	<b>NATURE OF THE ACTION</b>			
	7	1. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 1.			
	8	2. Defendants lack knowledge and information sufficient to form	ı a		
	9	belief as to the allegations in paragraph 2, and on that basis deny those allegations	ations.		
	10	3. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 3.			
	11	4. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 4.			
	12	5. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 5.			
	13	6. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 6.			
	14	THE PARTIES			
	15	7. Defendants lack knowledge and information sufficient to form	ı a		
	16	belief as to the allegations in paragraph 7, and on that basis deny those allegations.			
	17	8. Defendants lack knowledge and information sufficient to form	ı a		
	18	belief as to the allegations in paragraph 8, and on that basis deny those allegations	ations.		
	19	9. Defendants admit the allegations in paragraph 9.			
	20	10. Defendants admit the allegations in paragraph 10.			
	21	11. Defendants admit the allegations in paragraph 11.			
	22	12. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 12.			
	23	13. Defendants lack knowledge and information sufficient to form a			
	24	belief as to the allegations in paragraph 13, and on that basis deny those allegations.			
	25				
	26				
	27				
KING, HOLMES,	28				
PATERNO & SORIANO, LLP		5862.060/1887502.1 1 ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED CC	MPLAINT		

Case 2	22-cv-01837-ODV	/-JEM Document 31	Filed 01/11/23	Page 3 of 18 Page ID #:253		
1		JURISDIC <sup>7</sup>	FION AND VE	CNUE <sup>1</sup>		
2	9. Defendants deny the allegations in the second paragraph 9, including					
3	because Plaintif	fs' Copyright Act clai	ms were dismis	ssed.		
4	10. D	efendants deny the al	legations in the	second paragraph 10.		
5	11. D	efendants admit that	they have filed s	suit in this district against		
6	Whitley. Except	as expressly admitted	d, Defendants d	eny the allegations in the		
7	second paragrap	h 11.				
8	12. D	efendants deny the al	legations in the	second paragraph 12.		
9		FACTUA	L BACKGRO	UND		
10	13. D	efendants lack knowl	edge and inform	nation sufficient to form a		
11	belief as to the a	llegations in the seco	nd paragraph 13	3, and on that basis deny those		
12	allegations.					
13	14. D	efendants lack knowl	edge and inforn	nation sufficient to form a		
14	belief as to the a	llegations in paragrap	oh 14, and on th	at basis deny those allegations.		
15	15. D	efendants lack knowl	edge and inforn	nation sufficient to form a		
16	belief as to the allegations in paragraph 15, and on that basis deny those allegations.					
17	16. D	efendants lack knowl	edge and inforn	nation sufficient to form a		
18	belief as to the a	llegations in paragrap	oh 16, and on th	at basis deny those allegations.		
19	17. D	efendants lack knowl	edge and inforn	nation sufficient to form a		
20	belief as to the a	llegations in paragrap	oh 17, and on th	at basis deny those allegations.		
21	18. D	efendants lack knowl	edge and inforn	nation sufficient to form a		
22	belief as to the a	llegations in paragrap	oh 18, and on th	at basis deny those allegations.		
23	19. D	efendants lack knowl	edge and inforn	nation sufficient to form a		
24						
25			1 1 0 1			
26			-	aint are erroneously numbered, eginning with Paragraph "9,"		
27	despite there bei	ng 13 preceding para	graphs. For clar	ity, this Answer will mirror the		
28	_	e First Amended Con phs with numbers "9	-	ough it contains two sets of		
LMES, NO & , LLP	<u>5862.060/1887502.1</u>	1	2			
	11		ANSV	WER TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT		

King, Hol Paterno Soriano,

1	belief as to the allegations in paragraph 19, and on that basis deny those allegations.				
2	20. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 20.				
3	21. Defendants lack knowledge and information sufficient to form a				
4	belief as to th	e allegations in paragraph 21, and on that basis deny those allegations.			
5	22.	22. Defendant Wiriadjaja admits that he was involved in what the			
6	Complaint re	fers to as the Art Discord. Except as expressly admitted, Defendants			
7	lack knowled	ge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the remaining			
8	allegations in	paragraph 22, and on that basis deny those allegations.			
9	23. Defendants admit that Whitley transferred ownership of the Art				
10	Discord to W	iriadjaja. Except as expressly admitted, Defendants deny the remaining			
11	allegations in	paragraph 23.			
12	24.	Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 24.			
13	25. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 25.				
14	26.	Defendants admit that Wiriadjaja acted within his rights as owner of			
15	the Art Discord. Except as expressly admitted, Defendants deny the remaining				
16	allegations in paragraph 26.				
17	27. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 27.				
18	28.	Defendants lack knowledge and information sufficient to form a			
19	belief as to the allegations in paragraph 28, and on that basis deny those allegations.				
20	29.	Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 29.			
21	30.	Defendant Maguire admits that she was involved in the Art Discord.			
22	Except as expressly admitted, Defendants deny the remaining allegations in				
23	paragraph 30.				
24	31.	Defendant Han admits that she was involved in the Art Discord, and			
25	Defendants admit that art and NFT releases were discussed in the Art Discord.				
26	Except as expressly admitted, Defendants deny the remaining allegations in				
27	paragraph 31				
28	32.	Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 32.			
,	5862.060/1887502.1	3			

33. Defendants admit that at one point the Art Discord's name was
 changed to "WTF Industries." Except as expressly admitted Defendants deny the
 allegations in paragraph 33.

4 34. Defendant Han admits that she launched an NFT project named Pixel
5 Tots. Except as expressly admitted, Defendants deny the remaining allegations in
6 paragraph 34.

7 35. Defendant Nygard admits that he created an NFT project named
8 Caked Apes. Except as expressly admitted, Defendants deny the allegations in
9 paragraph 35.

10 36. Defendants lack knowledge and information sufficient to form a
11 belief as to the allegations in paragraph 36, and on that basis deny those allegations.

12

37. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 37.

13 38. Defendants admit that they launched a website and social media
14 accounts for Caked Apes and that Caked Apes were listed for sale on OpenSea.
15 Except as expressly admitted, Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 38.

**16** 39. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 39.

17 40. Defendants admit that the Caked Apes NFTs sold out of their original
18 run and generated primary and secondary sale revenue. Except as expressly
19 admitted, Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 40.

20 41. Defendants deny that WTF.Industries was entitled to any Caked Apes
21 NFT revenue and the remaining allegations in paragraph 41.

42. Defendants deny that WTF.Industries was entitled to any Caked Apes
NFT revenue and the remaining allegations in paragraph 42.

24 43. Defendants lack knowledge and information sufficient to form a
25 belief as to the allegations in paragraph 43, and on that basis deny those allegations.

26 44. Defendants deny that Whitley had any right to control social media
27 accounts related to the Caked Apes NFT project and the remaining allegations in

**28** || paragraph 44.

5862.060/1887502.1

KING, HOLMES, PATERNO & SORIANO, LLP

45. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 45. 1 2 46. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 46. 3 47. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 47. 48. 4 Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 48. 5 49. Defendants lack knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations in paragraph 49, and on that basis deny those allegations. 6 50. 7 Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 50. 8 51. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 51. 9 52. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 52. 10 FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF Defendants repeat and reallege the denials, averments, and admissions 11 53. in paragraphs 1 to 52, as if fully set forth herein. 12 13 54. No response is required to the allegations of paragraph 54 relating to Plaintiff's First Claim for Relief because the Court dismissed the claim on 14 December 5, 2022. Out of an abundance of caution, to the extent any answer is 15 required, Defendants deny each and every allegation in the paragraph. 16 17 No response is required to the allegations of paragraph 55 relating to 55. Plaintiff's First Claim for Relief because the Court dismissed the claim on 18 December 5, 2022. Out of an abundance of caution, to the extent any answer is 19 required, Defendants deny each and every allegation in the paragraph. 20 21 56. No response is required to the allegations of paragraph 56 relating to 22 Plaintiff's First Claim for Relief because the Court dismissed the claim on 23 December 5, 2022. Out of an abundance of caution, to the extent any answer is 24 required, Defendants deny each and every allegation in the paragraph. 25 No response is required to the allegations of paragraph 57 relating to 57. Plaintiff's First Claim for Relief because the Court dismissed the claim on 26 27 December 5, 2022. Out of an abundance of caution, to the extent any answer is 28 required, Defendants deny each and every allegation in the paragraph. 5 5862.060/1887502.1

KING, HOLMES, PATERNO & SORIANO, LLP 58. No response is required to the allegations of paragraph 58 relating to
 Plaintiff's First Claim for Relief because the Court dismissed the claim on
 December 5, 2022. Out of an abundance of caution, to the extent any answer is
 required, Defendants deny each and every allegation in the paragraph.

5

### **SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

6 59. Defendants repeat and reallege the denials, averments, and admissions
7 in paragraphs 1 to 58, as if fully set forth herein.

8 60. No response is required to the allegations of paragraph 60 relating to
9 Plaintiff's Second Claim for Relief because the Court dismissed the claim on
10 December 5, 2022. Out of an abundance of caution, to the extent any answer is
11 required, Defendants deny each and every allegation in the paragraph.

12 61. No response is required to the allegations of paragraph 61 relating to
13 Plaintiff's Second Claim for Relief because the Court dismissed the claim on
14 December 5, 2022. Out of an abundance of caution, to the extent any answer is
15 required, Defendants deny each and every allegation in the paragraph.

16 62. No response is required to the allegations of paragraph 62 relating to
17 Plaintiff's Second Claim for Relief because the Court dismissed the claim on
18 December 5, 2022. Out of an abundance of caution, to the extent any answer is
19 required, Defendants deny each and every allegation in the paragraph.

20 63. No response is required to the allegations of paragraph 63 relating to
21 Plaintiff's Second Claim for Relief because the Court dismissed the claim on
22 December 5, 2022. Out of an abundance of caution, to the extent any answer is
23 required, Defendants deny each and every allegation in the paragraph.

24

# THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

25 64. Defendants repeat and reallege the denials, averments, and admissions
26 in paragraphs 1 to 63, as if fully set forth herein.

27 65. No response is required to the allegations of paragraph 65 relating to
28 Plaintiff's Third Claim for Relief because the Court dismissed the claim on

KING, HOLMES, PATERNO & SORIANO, LLP

December 5, 2022. Out of an abundance of caution, to the extent any answer is
 required, Defendants deny each and every allegation in the paragraph.

3 66. No response is required to the allegations of paragraph 66 relating to
4 Plaintiff's Third Claim for Relief because the Court dismissed the claim on
5 December 5, 2022. Out of an abundance of caution, to the extent any answer is
6 required, Defendants deny each and every allegation in the paragraph.

7 67. No response is required to the allegations of paragraph 67 relating to
8 Plaintiff's Third Claim for Relief because the Court dismissed the claim on
9 December 5, 2022. Out of an abundance of caution, to the extent any answer is
10 required, Defendants deny each and every allegation in the paragraph.

11 68. No response is required to the allegations of paragraph 68 relating to
12 Plaintiff's Third Claim for Relief because the Court dismissed the claim on
13 December 5, 2022. Out of an abundance of caution, to the extent any answer is
14 required, Defendants deny each and every allegation in the paragraph.

15 69. No response is required to the allegations of paragraph 69 relating to
16 Plaintiff's Third Claim for Relief because the Court dismissed the claim on
17 December 5, 2022. Out of an abundance of caution, to the extent any answer is
18 required, Defendants deny each and every allegation in the paragraph.

19 70. No response is required to the allegations of paragraph 70 relating to
20 Plaintiff's Third Claim for Relief because the Court dismissed the claim on
21 December 5, 2022. Out of an abundance of caution, to the extent any answer is
22 required, Defendants deny each and every allegation in the paragraph.

23

### FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

24 71. Defendants repeat and reallege the denials, averments, and admissions
25 in paragraphs 1 to 70, as if fully set forth herein.

26 72. As the Fourth Claim is not asserted against Defendant Han, she does
27 not need to and does not answer paragraph 72. The remaining Defendants deny the
28 allegations in the paragraph.

KING, HOLMES, PATERNO & SORIANO, LLP

73. As the Fourth Claim is not asserted against Defendant Han, she does
 not need to and does not answer paragraph 73. The remaining Defendants deny the
 allegations in the paragraph.

4 74. As the Fourth Claim is not asserted against Defendant Han, she does
5 not need to and does not answer paragraph 74. The remaining Defendants deny the
6 allegations in the paragraph.

7 75. As the Fourth Claim is not asserted against Defendant Han, she does
8 not need to and does not answer paragraph 75. The remaining Defendants deny the
9 allegations in the paragraph.

10 76. As the Fourth Claim is not asserted against Defendant Han, she does
11 not need to and does not answer paragraph 76. The remaining Defendants deny the
12 allegations in the paragraph.

13 77. As the Fourth Claim is not asserted against Defendant Han, she does
14 not need to and does not answer paragraph 77. The remaining Defendants deny the
15 allegations in the paragraph.

16

### FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

17 78. Defendants repeat and reallege the denials, averments, and admissions
18 in paragraphs 1 to 77, as if fully set forth herein.

19 79. No response is required to the allegations of paragraph 79 relating to
20 Plaintiff's Fifth Claim for Relief because the Court dismissed the claim on
21 December 5, 2022. Out of an abundance of caution, to the extent any answer is
22 required, Defendants deny each and every allegation in the paragraph.

80. No response is required to the allegations of paragraph 80 relating to
Plaintiff's Fifth Claim for Relief because the Court dismissed the claim on
December 5, 2022. Out of an abundance of caution, to the extent any answer is
required, Defendants deny each and every allegation in the paragraph.

81. No response is required to the allegations of paragraph 81 relating to
Plaintiff's Fifth Claim for Relief because the Court dismissed the claim on

8

KING, HOLMES, PATERNO & SORIANO, LLP

5862.060/1887502.1

.

.

.

1	December 5, 2022. Out of an abundance of caution, to the extent any answer is				
2	required, Defendants deny each and every allegation in the paragraph.				
3	82. No response is required to the allegations of paragraph 82 relating to				
4	Plaintiff's Fif	th Claim for Relief because the Court dismissed the claim on			
5	December 5, 2	2022. Out of an abundance of caution, to the extent any answer is			
6	required, Def	endants deny each and every allegation in the paragraph.			
7	83. No response is required to the allegations of paragraph 83 relating to				
8	Plaintiff's Fif	th Claim for Relief because the Court dismissed the claim on			
9	December 5, 2	2022. Out of an abundance of caution, to the extent any answer is			
10	required, Def	endants deny each and every allegation in the paragraph.			
11		SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF			
12	84.	Defendants repeat and reallege the denials, averments, and admissions			
13	in paragraphs 1 to 83, as if fully set forth herein.				
14	85.	Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 85.			
15	86.	Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 86.			
16	87. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 87.				
17	88. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 88.				
18	89.	Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 89.			
19	90.	Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 90.			
20	91.	Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 91.			
21	SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF				
22	92.	Defendants repeat and reallege the denials, averments, and admissions			
23	in paragraphs 1 to 91, as if fully set forth herein.				
24	93.	Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 93.			
25	94.	Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 94.			
26	95.	Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 95.			
27	96.	Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 96.			
28	97.	Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 97.			
	5862.060/1887502.1	9			

KING, HOLMES, PATERNO & SORIANO, LLP .

1

#### **EIGHTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

98. Defendants repeat and reallege the denials, averments, and admissions in paragraphs 1 to 97, as if fully set forth herein.

4 99. No response is required to the allegations of paragraph 99 relating to
5 Plaintiff's Eighth Claim for Relief because the Court dismissed the claim on
6 December 5, 2022. Out of an abundance of caution, to the extent any answer is
7 required, Defendants deny each and every allegation in the paragraph.

8 100. No response is required to the allegations of paragraph 100 relating to
9 Plaintiff's Eighth Claim for Relief because the Court dismissed the claim on
10 December 5, 2022. Out of an abundance of caution, to the extent any answer is
11 required, Defendants deny each and every allegation in the paragraph.

101. No response is required to the allegations of paragraph 101 relating to
Plaintiff's Eighth Claim for Relief because the Court dismissed the claim on
December 5, 2022. Out of an abundance of caution, to the extent any answer is
required, Defendants deny each and every allegation in the paragraph.

### **NINTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

17 102. Defendants repeat and reallege the denials, averments, and admissions18 in paragraphs 1 to 101, as if fully set forth herein.

9 103. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 103.

- 104. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 104.
- **21** 105. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 105.
- $\mathbf{2}$  106. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 106.
- **3** 107. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 107.
- 108. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 108.
- 109. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 109.

# **TENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

27 110. Defendants repeat and reallege the denials, averments, and admissions
28 in paragraphs 1 to 109, as if fully set forth herein.

111. As the Tenth Claim is not asserted against Defendants Nygard, 1 2 Wiriadjaja, and Han, they do not need to and do not answer paragraph 111. 3 Defendant Maguire denies the allegations in the paragraph. 112. 4 As the Tenth Claim is not asserted against Defendants Nygard, 5 Wiriadjaja, and Han, they do not need to and do not answer paragraph 112. Defendant Maguire denies the allegations in the paragraph. 6 7 113. As the Tenth Claim is not asserted against Defendants Nygard, 8 Wiriadjaja, and Han, they do not need to and do not answer paragraph 113. 9 Defendant Maguire denies the allegations in the paragraph. 10 114. As the Tenth Claim is not asserted against Defendants Nygard, Wiriadjaja, and Han, they do not need to and do not answer paragraph 114. 11 Defendant Maguire denies the allegations in the paragraph. 12 13 115. As the Tenth Claim is not asserted against Defendants Nygard, Wiriadjaja, and Han, they do not need to and do not answer paragraph 115. 14 15 Defendant Maguire denies the allegations in the paragraph. 16 116. As the Tenth Claim is not asserted against Defendants Nygard, 17 Wiriadjaja, and Han, they do not need to and do not answer paragraph 116. 18 Defendant Maguire denies the allegations in the paragraph. 19 117. As the Tenth Claim is not asserted against Defendants Nygard, Wiriadjaja, and Han, they do not need to and do not answer paragraph 117. 20 21 Defendant Maguire denies the allegations in the paragraph. As the Tenth Claim is not asserted against Defendants Nygard, 22 118. 23 Wiriadjaja, and Han, they do not need to and do not answer paragraph 118. 24 Defendant Maguire denies the allegations in the paragraph. 25 119. As the Tenth Claim is not asserted against Defendants Nygard, 26 Wiriadjaja, and Han, they do not need to and do not answer paragraph 119. Defendant Maguire denies the allegations in the paragraph. 27 28 As the Tenth Claim is not asserted against Defendants Nygard, 120.

5862.060/1887502.1

1 Wiriadjaja, and Han, they do not need to and do not answer paragraph 120. 2 Defendant Maguire denies the allegations in the paragraph. 3 121. As the Tenth Claim is not asserted against Defendants Nygard, 4 Wiriadjaja, and Han, they do not need to and do not answer paragraph 121. 5 Defendant Maguire denies the allegations in the paragraph. 122. 6 As the Tenth Claim is not asserted against Defendants Nygard, 7 Wiriadjaja, and Han, they do not need to and do not answer paragraph 122. 8 Defendant Maguire denies the allegations in the paragraph. 9 123. As the Tenth Claim is not asserted against Defendants Nygard, 10 Wiriadjaja, and Han, they do not need to and do not answer paragraph 123. Defendant Maguire denies the allegations in the paragraph. 11 12 124. As the Tenth Claim is not asserted against Defendants Nygard, 13 Wiriadjaja, and Han, they do not need to and do not answer paragraph 124. Defendant Maguire denies the allegations in the paragraph. 14 **ELEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF** 15 125. Defendants repeat and reallege the denials, averments, and admissions 16 in paragraphs 1 to 125, as if fully set forth herein. 17 18 126. No response is required to the allegations of paragraph 126 relating to Plaintiff's Eleventh Claim for Relief because the Court dismissed the claim on 19 December 5, 2022. Out of an abundance of caution, to the extent any answer is 20 21 required, Defendants deny each and every allegation in the paragraph. No response is required to the allegations of paragraph 127 relating to 22 127. 23 Plaintiff's Eleventh Claim for Relief because the Court dismissed the claim on December 5, 2022. Out of an abundance of caution, to the extent any answer is 24 required, Defendants deny each and every allegation in the paragraph. 25 26 128. No response is required to the allegations of paragraph 128 relating to Plaintiff's Eleventh Claim for Relief because the Court dismissed the claim on 27 28 December 5, 2022. Out of an abundance of caution, to the extent any answer is 12 5862.060/1887502.1

1 required, Defendants deny each and every allegation in the paragraph.

129. No response is required to the allegations of paragraph 129 relating to
Plaintiff's Eleventh Claim for Relief because the Court dismissed the claim on
December 5, 2022. Out of an abundance of caution, to the extent any answer is
required, Defendants deny each and every allegation in the paragraph.

6 130. No response is required to the allegations of paragraph 130 relating to
7 Plaintiff's Eleventh Claim for Relief because the Court dismissed the claim on
8 December 5, 2022. Out of an abundance of caution, to the extent any answer is
9 required, Defendants deny each and every allegation in the paragraph.

10 131. No response is required to the allegations of paragraph 131 relating to
11 Plaintiff's Eleventh Claim for Relief because the Court dismissed the claim on
12 December 5, 2022. Out of an abundance of caution, to the extent any answer is
13 required, Defendants deny each and every allegation in the paragraph.

14 132. No response is required to the allegations of paragraph 132 relating to
15 Plaintiff's Eleventh Claim for Relief because the Court dismissed the claim on
16 December 5, 2022. Out of an abundance of caution, to the extent any answer is
17 required, Defendants deny each and every allegation in the paragraph.

18 133. No response is required to the allegations of paragraph 133 relating to
19 Plaintiff's Eleventh Claim for Relief because the Court dismissed the claim on
20 December 5, 2022. Out of an abundance of caution, to the extent any answer is
21 required, Defendants deny each and every allegation in the paragraph.

134. No response is required to the allegations of paragraph 134 relating to
Plaintiff's Eleventh Claim for Relief because the Court dismissed the claim on
December 5, 2022. Out of an abundance of caution, to the extent any answer is
required, Defendants deny each and every allegation in the paragraph.

26 135. No response is required to the allegations of paragraph 135 relating to
27 Plaintiff's Eleventh Claim for Relief because the Court dismissed the claim on
28 December 5, 2022. Out of an abundance of caution, to the extent any answer is

KING, HOLMES, PATERNO & SORIANO, LLP

required, Defendants deny each and every allegation in the paragraph. 1 No response is required to the allegations of paragraph 136 relating to 2 136. Plaintiff's Eleventh Claim for Relief because the Court dismissed the claim on 3 December 5, 2022. Out of an abundance of caution, to the extent any answer is 4 5 required, Defendants deny each and every allegation in the paragraph. **TWELFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF** 6 Defendants repeat and reallege the denials, averments, and admissions 7 137. in paragraphs 1 to 136, as if fully set forth herein. 8 9 Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 138. 138. 10 139. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 139. **ANSWER TO PRAYER FOR RELIEF** 11 Defendants deny that Plaintiffs are entitled to relief against Defendants, and 12 13 request that the Court dismiss all claims against Defendants with prejudice and order such further relief in favor of Defendants as the Court deems just and proper. 14 15 <u>AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES</u> 16 Defendants set forth below their affirmative defenses. By setting forth these 17 affirmative defenses, Defendants do not assume the burden of proving any fact, 18 issue, or element of a cause of action where such burden properly belongs to Plaintiffs. 19 **First Affirmative Defense** 20 The Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. 21 1. **Second Affirmative Defense** 22 23 2. This Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction over some or all of the claims in the Complaint. 24 25 **Third Affirmative Defense** Plaintiffs lack standing to maintain some or all of the claims in the 26 3. Complaint. 27 28 KING, HOLMES, 14 5862.060/1887502.1 ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

PATERNO &

SORIANO, LLP

1	<b>Fourth Affirmative Defense</b>			
2	4. The Complaint is barred in whole or in part by the applicable statutes of			
3	limitations.			
4	Fifth Affirmative Defense			
5	5. The Complaint is barred in whole or in part by the doctrine of laches.			
6	Sixth Affirmative Defense			
7	6. The Complaint is barred in whole or in part by the doctrine of waiver.			
8	Seventh Affirmative Defense			
9	7. The Complaint is barred in whole or in part by the doctrine of estoppel.			
10	<b>Eighth Affirmative Defense</b>			
11	8. The Complaint is barred in whole or in part because the conduct			
12	complained of was consented to, acquiesced in, authorized, and/or licensed.			
13	Ninth Affirmative Defense			
14	9. The Complaint is barred in whole or in part by the doctrine of unclean			
15	hands.			
16	Tenth Affirmative Defense			
17	10. The Complaint is barred in whole or in part because Plaintiffs failed to			
18	mitigate their damages, if any.			
19	Eleventh Affirmative Defense			
20	11. Defendants have insufficient knowledge or information upon which to			
21	form a belief as to whether they may have additional, as yet unstated, separate			
22	defenses available to them. Defendants reserve the right to assert additional			
23	affirmative defenses in the event discovery indicates such defenses would be			
24	appropriate.			
25	WHEREFORE, Defendants pray:			
26	1. That Plaintiffs take nothing by their Complaint.			
27	2. That the Complaint, and all claims therein, be dismissed with prejudice.			
<b>28</b> 3. That Defendants be awarded their costs of suit.				
PATERNO & SORIANO, LLP	5862.060/1887502.1 15 ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT			

Case 2:	22-cv-01837-ODW-JEM	Document 31	Filed 01/11/23	Page 17 of 18	Page ID #:267
1	4. For such	other relief as	he Court deems	just and prope	r.
2					
3	DATED: January 11,	2023 KI	NG, HOLMES,	PATERNO &	SORIANO, LLP
5			, ,		ý
6					
7		By	/: /s/ Jo	hn G. Snow	
8				HOWARD E. K JOHN G. SNO	
9		At	torneys for Defe		
10			KE NYGARD, ONGLEE HAN	ANTONIUS V	VIRIADJAJA, and
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28 King, Holmes,			1.6		
PATERNO & SORIANO, LLP	5862.060/1887502.1		16 ANSV	WER TO FIRST AM	ENDED COMPLAINT

Ш

1	REQ	DUEST FOR JURY TRIAL
2	Defendants hereby reque	est a jury trial.
3		
4	DATED: January 11, 2023	KING, HOLMES, PATERNO & SORIANO, LLP
5		
6		
7		By: /s/ John G. Snow
8		HOWARD E. KING John G. Snow
9		Attorneys for Defendants CLARE MAGUIRE,
10		JAKE NYGARD, ANTONIUS WIRIADJAJA, and DONGLEE HAN
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28 King, Holmes,		
PATERNO & SORIANO, LLP	5862.060/1887502.1	ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT