

## Glaser Weil

## TO THE COURT, ALL PARTIES, AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff Jeffrey B. Sedlik ("Plaintiff") hereby appeals to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit from the judgment entered on January 30, 2024 (the "Judgment," Dkt. No. 223) as well as any and all rulings, decisions, and orders adverse to Plaintiff, including, but not limited to, rulings, decisions, and orders on the record at hearings prior to and during the trial.

7

8

9

1

2

3

4

5

6

A true and correct copy of the Judgment is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.

For the avoidance of doubt, Plaintiff's appeal includes, but is not limited to, the following:

The rulings adverse to Plaintiff in the order regarding Defendants'
 motion to compel (Dkt. No. 25, Exhibit B);

2. The rulings adverse to Plaintiff in the Court's summary judgment order
 (Dkt. No. 69, Exhibit C);

3. The rulings adverse to Plaintiff in the Court's order on the motions to
exclude Defendants' expert testimony (Dkt. No. 71, Exhibit D);

4. The rulings adverse to Plaintiff in the Court's order regarding the parties'
motions for reconsideration of the summary judgment order (Dkt. No. 160, Exhibit
E);

5. The rulings adverse to Plaintiff in the Court's order granting Defendants'
motion to quash (Dkt. No. 200, Exhibit F);

6. The rulings adverse to Plaintiff in the Court's order on the parties'
motions *in limine*, including, but not limited to, the Court's exclusion of Plaintiff's
expert testimony (Dkt. No. 201, Exhibit G);

7. The rulings adverse to Plaintiff in the Court's written order (Dkt. No.
206, Exhibit H) and oral orders at trial regarding the parties' evidentiary objections to
the trial exhibits;

8. The rulings adverse to Plaintiff in the Court's order on the parties'
evidentiary objections to the deposition of Bryan Vanegas (Dkt. No. 207, Exhibit I);

**Glaser** Weil

PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF APPEAL

9. The rulings adverse to Plaintiff regarding Plaintiff's motions for directed
 verdict or judgment as a matter of law during trial;

3 10. The Jury Verdict dated January 26, 2024 (Dkt. No. 217,
4 Exhibit J);

5 11. The rulings adverse to Plaintiff regarding the jury instructions (Dkt. No.
6 219, Exhibit K) and all previous versions thereof;

7 12. The rulings adverse to Plaintiff in the Court's order on Defendants'
8 motion to tax costs (Dkt. No. 244, Exhibit L); and

9 13. The rulings adverse to Plaintiff in the Court's order on Plaintiff's motion
10 for judgment as a matter of law and request for a new trial (Dkt. No. 249, Exhibit M).

Pursuant to Ninth Circuit Rule 3-2, a Representation Statement identifying all parties to the action, along with the names, addresses, and telephone number of their respective counsel, is attached hereto as **Exhibit N**.

DATED: May 22, 2024

## GLASER WEIL FINK HOWARD JORDAN & SHAPIRO LLP

By: <u>/s/ Robert E. Allen</u>

ROBERT E. ALLEN LARA A. PETERSEN JASON C. LINGER

Attorneys for Plaintiff JEFFREY B. SEDLIK

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28