FILED CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUN 2 7 2024 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA IN RE: NATIONAL FOOTBALL Case No. 2:15-ml-02668-PSG (SKx) LEAGUE'S "SUNDAY TICKET" **ANTITRUST LITIGATION VERDICT FORM** THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **ALL ACTIONS** Case No. 2:15-ml-02668-PSG (SKx) **Verdict Form**

When answering the following questions and filling out this Verdict Form, please 1 follow the directions provided throughout the form. Your answer to each question 2 3 must be unanimous. 4 We, the jury, unanimously return these answers as our verdict in this case: 5 6 Conspiracy to Restrain Trade (Sherman Act Section 1) 7 **Question No. 1:** 8 Have Plaintiffs proven the existence of a relevant antitrust market for professional 9 football telecasts in the United States? 10 11 NO 12 13 If you answered "Yes," then continue to Question 2. 14 If you answered "No," then stop, and sign and date the last page of the 15 verdict form. 16 17 18 **Question No. 2:** 19 Have Plaintiffs proven that there was a conspiracy that unreasonably restrained 20 trade in that market? 21 22 23 24 If you answered "Yes," then continue to Question 3. 25 If you answered "No," then stop, and sign and date the last page of the 26 verdict form. 27 28

1

1	Question No. 3:		
2	Have Plaintiffs proven that DirecTV knowingly joined this conspiracy?		
3			
4	VES NO		
5			
6	If you answered "Yes," then continue to Question 4. If you answered "No," then stop, and sign and date the last page of the verdict form.		
7			
8			
9			
10	Ornertian No. 4		
11	Question No. 4:		
12	Have Plaintiffs proven that the Commercial Class suffered injury to their business		
13	or property as a result of this conspiracy?		
14			
15	YESNO		
16			
17	Overtion No. 5.		
18	Question No. 5:		
19	Have Plaintiffs proven that the Residential Class suffered injury to their business or		
20	property as a result of this conspiracy?		
21			
22	NO		
23			
24	If you answered "Yes" to <u>either</u> Question 4 <u>or</u> 5, continue to Question 6.		
25	If you answered "No" to both Question 4 and 5, then stop, and sign and		
26	date the last page of the verdict form.		
27			
28			

Case No. 2:15-ml-02668-PSG (SKx)

Verdict Form

1	Conspiracy to Monopolize (Sherman Act Section 2)		
2	Question No. 6:		
3	Question No. 6:		
4	Have Plaintiffs proven that there was a conspiracy to engage in anticompetitive		
5	conduct with the specific intent that one of the parties to the agreement would		
6	obtain or maintain monopoly power in the relevant market?		
7			
8	NO		
9	If we are an arranged "Wes " then continue to Overtion 7		
10	If you answered "Yes," then continue to Question 7.		
11	If you answered "No," then continue to Question 11.		
12			
13	Question No. 7:		
14	Have Plaintiffs proven that the Defendants committed an overt act in furtherance of		
15			
16	that conspiracy?		
17			
18	NO		
19			
20	If you answered "Yes," then continue to Question 8.		
21	If you answered "No," then continue to Question 11.		
22			
23	Question No. 8:		
24	Have Plaintiffs proven that DirecTV knowingly joined this conspiracy and		
25	committed an overt act in furtherance of that conspiracy?		
26			
2728	NO		
∠0	3		

Case No. 2:15-ml-02668-PSG (SKx)

Verdict Form

1	If you answered "Yes," then continue to Question 9.		
2	If you answered "No," then continue to Question 11.		
3			
4	Question No. 9:		
5	Have Plaintiffs proven that the Commercial Class suffered injury to their business		
6	or property as a result of this conspiracy?		
7			
8	VES NO		
9			
10	Question No. 10.		
11	Question No. 10:		
12	Have Plaintiffs proven that the Residential Class suffered injury to their business or		
13	property as a result of this conspiracy?		
14			
15	YESNO		
16			
17	Continue to the next section.		
18			
19	<u>Damages</u>		
20	If you answered yes to any of Questions 4 or 9, answer Question 11, below.		
21	If you answered yes to any of Questions 5 or 10, answer Question 12, below.		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	4		

Verdict Form

Case No. 2:15-ml-02668-PSG (SKx)

1	Question No. 11:				
2	We award the following damages caused by the Defendants' conduct to the Commercial Class:				
3					
4	e al ans no 90				
5	\$ 96,928,272.90				
6					
7	Question No. 12:				
8	We award the following damages caused by the Defendants' conduct to the				
9	Residential Class:				
10	\$ \(\tau_1\)\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\				
11					
12		ß.			
13	Sign and date this Verdict Form and inform the Court Wat the iury has reached a				
14	verdict.	REDACTED BY THE COURT			
15	DATED: <u>6-27-24</u>	Foreperson			
16		•			
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
2425					
26					
27					
28					
~0		5			

Verdict Form

Case No. 2:15-ml-02668-PSG (SKx)