Michael J. Wise, Bar No. 143501
MWise@perkinscoie.com
Joseph P. Hamilton, Bar No. 211544
JHamilton@perkinscoie.com
Lara J. Dueppen, Bar No. 259075
LDueppen@perkinscoie.com
PERKINS COIE LLP
1888 Century Park E., Suite 1700
Los Angeles, CA 90067-1721
Telephone: 310.788.9900
Facsimile: 310.788.3399

Attorneys for Plaintiffs
FONTEM VENTURES B.V. and
FONTEM HOLDINGS 1 B.V.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FONTEM VENTURES B.V., a Netherlands company; and FONTEM HOLDINGS 1 B.V., a Netherlands company,

Plaintiffs,

v.

VAPOR CORP., a Delaware corporation, and DOES 1-5, Inclusive,

Defendant.

Case No. CV14-8155

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

For its Complaint against Defendant VAPOR CORP. ("Defendant"), Plaintiff Fontem Ventures B.V. ("Fontem Ventures") and Plaintiff Fontem Holdings 1 B.V. ("Fontem Holdings") allege as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This is a civil action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 101, et seq., and in particular § 271.

2. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this patent infringement action under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

3. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because it solicits and conducts business in California, including the provision of goods over the Internet, derives revenue from goods sold in California and within this judicial district, and has committed acts of infringement in this judicial district.

4. Venue lies in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c), and 1400(b).

PARTIES

5. Plaintiff Fontem Ventures is a company organized and existing under the laws of the Netherlands, with its principal place of business at 12th Floor, 101 Barbara Strozzilaan, 1083 HN Amsterdam, The Netherlands. Fontem Ventures is in the business of developing innovative non-tobacco products, including electronic cigarettes.

6. Plaintiff Fontem Holdings is a company organized and existing under the laws of the Netherlands, with its principal place of business at 12th Floor, 101 Barbara Strozzilaan, 1083 HN Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

7. Plaintiffs Fontem Ventures and Fontem Holdings (together, "the Plaintiffs") are informed and believe that: Defendant VAPOR CORP. ("VAPOR") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, having its principal place of business at 3001 Griffin Road, Dania Beach, Florida,

33312, USA. VAPOR is doing business in this judicial district related to the claims asserted in this Complaint.

8. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise of defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 5, inclusive, are unknown to the Plaintiffs at the present time, and the Plaintiffs therefore sue said Defendants by such fictitious names. The Plaintiffs, after obtaining leave of court, if necessary, will amend this Complaint to show such true names and capacities when the same have been ascertained.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8,863,752)

9. The Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-8 above.

10. Plaintiff Fontem Holdings is the owner of the entire right, title, and interest in and to United States Patent No. 8,863,752 ("the '752 Patent") and Plaintiff Fontem Ventures is the exclusive licensee of the '752 Patent. The '752 Patent was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent Office on October 21, 2014 and is valid, subsisting, and in full force and effect. A copy of the '752 Patent is attached to the Complaint as Exhibit A.

11. The Plaintiffs are informed and believe that: Defendant has directly infringed the '752 Patent in violation of at least 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), by itself and/or through its agents, unlawfully and wrongfully making, using, importing, offering to sell, and/or selling electronic cigarette products embodying one or more of the inventions claimed in the '752 Patent, within and/or from the United States without permission or license from the Plaintiffs, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court. Examples of electronic cigarette products that directly infringe the '752 Patent either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents include, but are not limited to, (1) Fifty-One Duo (2.0) Rechargeable Electronic Cigarettes as found in Fifty-One Duo (2.0) Starter Kits; (2) Fifty-One 2.0 Cartomizer Refills for use with

Fifty-One Duo Rechargeable Batteries purchased separately or as part of a Fifty-One (2.0) Starter Kit; (3) Krave Rechargeable Electronic Cigarettes, including Krave King Rechargeable Electronic Cigarettes and Krave Classic Rechargeable Electronic Cigarettes; and (4) Krave Refill Filters for use with Krave Rechargeable Electronic Cigarette Batteries purchased separately or as part of a Krave Starter Kit. Such products infringe at least claims 1, 11, 14, 16, and 19 of the '752 Patent.

12. As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing acts of Defendant, the Plaintiffs have suffered, and are entitled to, monetary damages in an amount not yet determined. The Plaintiffs are also entitled to the costs of suit and interest.

13. Defendant's continuing infringement has inflicted and, unless restrained by this court, will continue to inflict great and irreparable harm upon the Plaintiffs. The Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law. The Plaintiffs are entitled to preliminary and permanent injunctions enjoining Defendant from engaging in further acts of infringement.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

The Plaintiffs request entry of judgment that:

A. The '752 Patent is valid and enforceable;

B. Defendant is liable for infringement of the '752 Patent under at least the provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a);

C. Defendant and all affiliates, subsidiaries, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensees, successors, assigns, and all those acting in concert with, or for or on behalf of Defendant, shall be enjoined from infringing the '752 Patent;

D. Defendant shall pay damages to the Plaintiffs resulting from Defendant's patent infringement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;

E. The Plaintiffs be entitled to prejudgment interest and post-judgment interest on the damages; and

F. The Plaintiffs be awarded such other and further relief, in law or in equity, as the Court deems just, equitable or appropriate.

DATED: October 21, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

PERKINS COIE LLP

By: */s/Joseph P. Hamilton*
Joseph P. Hamilton

Attorneys for Plaintiff
FONTEM VENTURES B.V. and
FONTEM HOLDINGS 1 B.V.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiffs Fontem Ventures and Fontem Holdings hereby demand a trial by jury of all issues triable by a jury.

DATED: October 21, 2014

PERKINS COIE LLP

By: */s/Joseph P. Hamilton*
Joseph P. Hamilton

Attorneys for Plaintiff
FONTEM VENTURES B.V. and
FONTEM HOLDINGS 1 B.V.