EXHIBIT O

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

Performance Pricing, Inc. Plaintiff, v. Google, Inc. et al., Defendants.	2:07-cv-432 (LED) **REVISED PRIVILEGE LOG CORRESPONDING TO: 1) SUBPOENA COMMANDING NON-PARTY NEAL M. COHEN TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS; AND 2) SUBPOENA COMMANDING NON-PARTY VISTA IP LAW GROUP LLP TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS**

The Revised Privilege Log attached hereto as Exhibit A is being produced in connection with: 1) **RESPONSE TO SUBPOENA COMMANDING NON-PARTY NEAL M. COHEN TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS;** and 2) **RESPONSE TO SUBPOENA COMMANDING NON-PARTY VISTA IP LAW GROUP LLP TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS.** All documents identified in said Privilege Log are being withheld on the bases identified, and are not being produced.

Abbreviations used in the Privilege Log:

"NMC" means Neal M. Cohen;

"WL" means Wayne Lin;

"VLS" means Valerie L. Sarigumba, who was at all relevant times an associate attorney at Vista IP Law Group;

"JLL" means Jocelyn L. Lee, who was at all relevant times a senior paralegal at Vista IP Law Group;

"HO" means Hidehiko Okada, who was at all relevant times an attorney at the law firm, Okada Patent & Trademark Office;

"SS" means Samuel B. Stone, who was at all relevant times a partner at Lyon & Lyon LLP;

"JT" means Jeff Tekanic, who was at all relevant times an attorney at the law firm, Okada Patent & Trademark Office;

"PJE" means Patricia J. English, who was at all relevant times an employee at Cohen, Sakaguchi & English LLP;

"YPY" means Yeo-Pyo Yoon, who was at all relevant times an attorney at Honesty & Patent;

EXHIBIT D
PAGE 262

"A/C" means a claim of Attorney/Client Privilege;

"W/P" means a claim of Work Product;

"TPM" means a claim of Trial Preparation Material;

"patent" means US Patent No. 6,978,253;

"litigation" means Performance Pricing, Inc. v. Google, Inc., et al.;

"licensing" means licensing of US Patent No. 6,978,253;

"representation" means representation of Wayne Lin by Neal Cohen.

EXHIBIT O
PAGE 263

Ref	Date	Author(s)	Recipient(s)	Description	Privilege(s) Claimed
1-1	8/2/2001	NMC	WL	Letter concerning the Final Office Action including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
1-2	8/13/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning the Response After Final including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
1-3	3/29/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning strategy for patent application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
1-4	1/12/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning publishing of statement regarding patent application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
1-5	2/20/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning the first Office Action for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
1-6	12/27/99	NMC	WL	Letter concerning correspondence address re patent prosecution including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
1-7	1/3/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning communication with the PTO for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
1-8	10/1/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning the Advisory Action received on 10/1/01 for the purpose of legal	A/C

EXHIBIT 9
PAGE 264

Ref	Date	Author(s)	Recipient(s)	Description	Privilege(s) Claimed
				advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	
1-9	12/13/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning publication of application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
1-10	11/15/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning appeal brief for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
1-11	4/2/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning amendment and response filed 4/2/01 including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
1-12	7/28/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning certified copy of application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
1-13	5/10/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning status of application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
1-14	10/14/02	NMC	WL	Letter concerning the Examiner's Answer to the Supplemental Appeal for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
1-15	8/2/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning the Final Office Action received 8/2/01 for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C

EXHIBIT 9
PAGE 265

Ref	Date	Author(s)	Recipient(s)	Description	Privilege(s) Claimed
1-16	11/30/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning formal drawings for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
1-17	10/27/99	NMC	WL	Letter concerning Information Disclosure Statement for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
1-18	6/1/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning the Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
1-19	4/18/02	NMC	WL	Letter concerning preparation for interview with Examiner for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
1-20	10/16/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning Interview Summary including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
1-21	3/6/02	NMC	WL	Letter concerning Office Action received 3/6/02 including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
1-22	10/9/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning Notice of Appeal for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
1-23	7/5/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning certified copy of application including confidential communications between client and	A/C

EXHIBIT D
PAGE 24

Ref.	Date	Author(s)	Recipient(s)	Description	Privilege(s) Claimed
				attorney.	
1-24	2/18/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning preliminary amendment filed 2/18/00 including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
1-25	8/13/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning the Response After Final filed 8/13/01 including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
1-26	4/17/02	NMC	WL	Letter concerning the Supplemental Appeal Brief for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
1-27	7/31/02	NMC	WL	Letter concerning the filing of the Supplemental Appeal Brief including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
2-5	8/2/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning the Final Office Action received 8/2/01 including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
2-6	8/13/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning the Response after Final filed 8/13/01 including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
2-11	3/17/07	NMC	WL	Letter concerning prosecution representation for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
3-1	5/7/02	NMC	WL	Letter concerning '119 trademark application for the purpose of legal advice	A/C

EXHIBIT O
PAGE 267

Ref	Date	Author(s)	Recipient(s)	Description	Privilege(s) Claimed
				and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	
3-2	9/10/01	NMC	WL	Letter re '068 trademark application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
3-3	9/10/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning '356 trademark application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
3-4	8/21/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning '119 trademark application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
3-5		NMC	WL	Document concerning patent prosecution for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
3-6	8/21/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning Certificate of Registration re '243 trademark application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
3-7	5/2/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning Notice of Publication received on 5/2/01 re '243 trademark application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
3-8	4/30/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning Notice of Approval of Extension Request re '119 trademark application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C

EXHIBIT O
PAGE 268

Ref	Date	Author(s)	Recipient(s)	Description	Privilege(s) Claimed
3-9	4/23/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning Statement of Use re '068 and '356 trademark applications for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
3-10	4/6/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning Statement of Use re '356 trademark application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
3-11	4/6/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning filing of Statement of Use re '119 trademark application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
3-12	4/6/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning filing of Statement of Use re '068 trademark application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
3-13	3/20/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning filing of request for extension of time to file Statement of Use re '119 trademark application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
3-14	1/29/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning '227 trademark application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
3-15	11/30/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning '227 trademark application including confidential	A/C

EXHIBIT D
PAGE 269

Ref	Date	Author(s)	Recipient(s)	Description	Privilege(s) Claimed
				communications between client and attorney.	
3-16	11/13/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning Examiner's Amendment re '243 trademark application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
3-17	11/6/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning Notice of Allowance re '068 trademark application for trademark application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
3-18	11/6/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning Notice of Allowance re '356 trademark application for trademark application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
3-19	10/16/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning First Office Action re '243 trademark application for trademark application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
3-20	9/28/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning Notice of Allowance re '119 trademark application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
3-21	9/12/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning '243 foreign trademark applications for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
3-22	6/5/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning Notice of Publication re '119 trademark application including confidential communications between client	A/C

EXHIBIT D
PAGE 220

Ref	Date	Author(s)	Recipient(s)	Description	Privilege(s) Claimed
				and attorney.	
3-23	6/5/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning the First Office Action for '227 trademark application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
3-24	5/30/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning filing of '243 trademark application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
3-25	1/26/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning foreign rights re trademark applications for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
3-26	4/24/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning Examiner's Amendment re trademark application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
3-27	3/23/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning filing of '227 trademark application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
3-28	3/9/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning Official Filing Receipt re '068 trademark application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
3-29	2/9/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning filing of trademark application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
3-31	1/26/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning filing of trademark	A/C

EXHIBIT O
PAGE 21

Ref	Date	Author(s)	Recipient(s)	Description	Privilege(s) Claimed
				application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	
3-33	1/26/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning foreign rights trademark application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
3-34	1/17/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning foreign trademark options re application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
3-35	12/6/99	NMC	WL	Letter concerning filing of '356 trademark application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
3-36	11/29/99	NMC	WL	Letter concerning filing of '119 trademark application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
4-1	10/16/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning filing of international patent applications for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
4-2	8/14/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning the International Preliminary Examination Report including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
4-3	4/5/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning foreign patent application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C

EXHIBIT O
PAGE 222

Ref	Date	Author(s)	Recipient(s)	Description	Privilege(s) Claimed
4-4	1/19/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning international application and search report including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
4-5	6/26/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning the Chapter II demand re international patent application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
4-6	6/1/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning communication with the International Searching Authority re international patent application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
4-7	2/15/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning PCT communication including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
4-8	12/21/99	NMC	WL	Letter concerning filing of international application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
4-9	12/13/99	NMC	WL	Letter concerning Formal International application papers including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
5-1	4/17/02	NMC	WL	Letter concerning filing of Information Disclosure Statement including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
5-2	8/13/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning filing of Information Disclosure Statement including confidential communications between client and	A/C

EXHIBIT O
PAGE 223

Ref	Date	Author(s)	Recipient(s)	Description	Privilege(s) Claimed
				attorney.	
5-3	8/28/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning the Filing Receipt received from PTO including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
5-4	6/5/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning filing of Continuation In Part application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
6-1	7/9/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning filing of Japanese patent application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
6-2	3/2/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning Japanese patent application process for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
6-3	3/2/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning Japanese patent application process for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
6-4	7/12/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning filing of Japanese patent application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
6-5	4/11/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning status of Japanese patent application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
6-6	4/11/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning status of Japanese patent	A/C

EXHIBIT O
PAGE 224

Ref	Date	Author(s)	Recipient(s)	Description	Privilege(s) Claimed
				application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	
7-1		NMC(on behalf of WL)	HO	Document concerning Japanese patent prosecution representation for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
7-2		NMC(on behalf of WL)	HO	Document concerning legal invoices related to Japanese patent application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
7-3		NMC(on behalf of WL)	HO	Document concerning US patent application status related to Japanese patent application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
7-4		NMC(on behalf of WL)	HO	Document concerning Japanese patent application process including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
7-5		NMC(on behalf of WL)	HO	Document concerning preliminary US amendment related to Japanese patent application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
7-6		NMC(on behalf of WL)	HO	Document concerning legal invoices related to Japanese patent application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
7-7	4/17/00	NMC(on behalf of WL)	HO	Letter concerning US patent application related to Japanese patent prosecution.	A/C

EXHIBIT C
PAGE 225

Ref	Date	Author(s)	Recipient(s)	Description	Privilege(s) Claimed
8-1	1/2/02	NMC	WL	Letter concerning Official Filing receipt of Korean patent application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
8-2	4/17/02	NMC	WL	Letter concerning Korean patent application publication including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
8-3	4/17/02	NMC	WL	Letter concerning Korean patent application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
8-4		NMC	WL	Document concerning Power of Attorney form re Korean patent application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
8-5	4/17/02	NMC	WL	Letter concerning Official Filing receipt of Korean patent application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
8-6	4/25/02	NMC	WL	Letter concerning priority documents re Korean patent application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
9-1		NMC(on behalf of WL)	YPY	Document concerning filing of Korean patent application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
9-2	12/14/01	NMC(on behalf of	YPY	Letter concerning Power of Attorney forms	A/C

EXHIBIT D
PAGE 2 of 4

Ref	Date	Author(s)	Recipient(s)	Description	Privilege(s) Claimed
		WL)		and International Preliminary Examination report related to Korean patent application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	
10-1	3/21/00	NMC	WL	Drafts of User Agreement including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
10-2	3/21/00	NMC	WL	Drafts of User Agreement including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
10-3	12/13/99	NMC	WL	Document concerning legal research regarding business models for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
10-4	11/10/99	NMC	WL	Draft of licensing document for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
10-5	9/28/99	NMC	WL	Draft of licensing document for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
10-6	9/26/99	NMC	WL	Draft of licensing document for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
10-7	9/24/99	NMC	WL	Draft of licensing document for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
11-1	3/17/07	NMC	WL	Letter concerning publication laws including	A/C

EXHIBIT O
PAGE 82

Ref	Date	Author(s)	Recipient(s)	Description	Privilege(s) Claimed
				confidential communications between client and attorney.	
11-3	7/5/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning legal invoices including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
11-4	7/1/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning legal invoice #10099 including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
11-5	5/4/00	NMC	WL	Document concerning patent and trademark prosecution status for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
11-6	3/13/00	NMC	WL	Letter regarding a previous financial meeting including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
11-7	3/13/00	NMC	WL	Document concerning foreign patent application costs for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
11-8	2/16/00	NMC	WL	Document concerning foreign trademark application costs for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
11-9	2/10/00	NMC(on behalf of WL)	Taiwanese attorney	Document related to Taiwanese patent application.	A/C
11-10	12/31/99	NMC	WL	Letter concerning legal fees and costs including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
11-11	12/14/99	NMC	WL	Letter concerning research regarding	A/C

EXHIBIT O
PAGE 28

Ref	Date	Author(s)	Recipient(s)	Description	Privilege(s) Claimed
				business models for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	
11-12	11/10/99	NMC	WL	Letter concerning licensing agreement draft for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
11-13	9/27/99	NMC	WL	Document concerning representation for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
11-14	9/28/99	NMC	WL	Letter concerning draft assignments and agreements for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
12	6/14/99	SS	WL, NMC	Document concerning legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
13	06/10/1999 - 10/04/2002	WL, NMC, SS	WL, NMC, SS	Document concerning patent prosecution for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
14	06/14/1999	SS	NMC, WL	Email chain concerning patent description and drawings for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
15	06/28/1999	WL	NMC	Document concerning legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
16	11/10/1999	NMC	WL	Document concerning legal advice and	A/C

EXHIBIT D
PAGE 24

Ref	Date	Author(s)	Recipient(s)	Description	Privilege(s) Claimed
				including confidential communications between client and attorney.	
17-1	5/7/02	NMC	WL	Letter concerning '119 trademark application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
17-2	9/19/01	NMC	WL	Email chain concerning trademark application status for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
17-3	8/21/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning Statement of Use re '119 trademark application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
17-4	4/30/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning Statement of Use re '119 trademark application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
17-5	4/6/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning Statement of Use re '119 trademark application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
17-6	3/20/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning deadline for filing '119 Statement of Use re trademark for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
17-7	9/28/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning Notice of Allowance re	A/C

EXHIBIT D
PAGE 28D

Ref	Date	Author(s)	Recipient(s)	Description	Privilege(s) Claimed
				'119 trademark for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	
17-8-9	6/5/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning Notice of Publication re '119 trademark including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
17-10	4/24/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning Examiner's Amendment re trademark application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
17-11-12	3/13/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning foreign filing costs for trademark applications for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
17-13-15	2/16/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning foreign filing costs re trademark applications for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
17-16-19	1/17/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning foreign trademark rights for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
17-20	11/29/99	NMC	WL	Letter concerning filing of trademark application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
18-1	9/10/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning '356 trademark application for the purpose of legal advice	A/C

EXHIBIT O
PAGE 281

Ref	Date	Author(s)	Recipient(s)	Description	Privilege(s) Claimed
				and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	
18-2	4/23/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning filing of Statement of Use re '356 trademark application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
18-3	4/6/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning deadline for filing Statement of Use re '356 trademark application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
18-4-5	11/6/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning Notice of Allowance re '356 trademark including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
18-6-7	3/13/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning foreign '356 trademark application costs for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
18-8-11	2/16/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning foreign '356 trademark application costs for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
18-12-14	1/26/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning filing of '356 trademark application and foreign trademark rights for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
18-15-	12/6/99	NMC	WL	Letter concerning filing of '356 trademark	A/C

EXHIBIT O
PAGE 282

Ref	Date	Author(s)	Recipient(s)	Description	Privilege(s) Claimed
17				application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	
19	12/13/1999 - 01/17/2002	NMC	WL	Document concerning patent prosecution for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
22	12/14/1999	NMC	WL	Letter concerning legal research for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
23-1	9/10/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning '068 trademark application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
23-2	4/23/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning filing of Statement of Use re '068 trademark for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
23-3	4/6/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning extension of time to file Statement of Use re '068 trademark application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
23-4-5	11/6/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning Notice of Allowance re '068 trademark application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
23-6-7	3/13/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning foreign '068 trademark application costs for the purpose of legal	A/C

EXHIBIT D
PAGE 283

Ref	Date	Author(s)	Recipient(s)	Description	Privilege(s) Claimed
				advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	
23-8	3/9/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning filing of '068 trademark application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
23-9-11	2/16/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning foreign '068 trademark application costs for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
23-12-14	1/26/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning filing of '068 trademark application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
24-1	1/29/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning '227 trademark application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
24-2	11/30/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning '227 trademark for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
24-3-4	6/5/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning first Office Action re '227 trademark application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
24-5	3/23/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning filing of '227 trademark application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential	A/C

EXHIBIT O
PAGE 2 of 4

Ref	Date	Author(s)	Recipient(s)	Description	Privilege(s) Claimed
				communications between client and attorney.	
24-6-7	3/13/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning foreign '227 trademark application costs for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
24-8-10	2/16/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning filing of '227 trademark application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
24-11-13	2/9/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning filing of '227 trademark application costs for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
24-14-15	2/5/00	NMC	WL	Email concerning preparation for filing of '227 trademark application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
24-16	2/5/00	NMC	WL	Email concerning filing of '227 trademark application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
25-1	9/26/02	NMC	WL	Email concerning power of attorney forms for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
25-2	7/9/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning publication of Japanese patent application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and	A/C

EXHIBIT D
PAGE 285

Ref	Date	Author(s)	Recipient(s)	Description	Privilege(s) Claimed
				attorney.	
25-3	3/2/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning Japanese patent laws for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
25-4	7/12/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning filing of Japanese patent application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
25-5	4/11/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning preparation for filing of Japanese patent application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
25-6-7	3/13/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning Japanese patent and trademark application costs for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
25-8	10/8/02	NMC	JT, WL	Email concerning Japanese patent application status for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
26-1	9/27/02	NMC	JT, WL	Email concerning representation for Japanese patent application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
26-2-3	9/26/02	NMC	JT, WL	Email concerning representation for Japanese patent application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential	A/C

EXHIBIT O
PAGE 286

Ref	Date	Author(s)	Recipient(s)	Description	Privilege(s) Claimed
				communications between client and attorney.	
26-4	7/2/01	HO	NMC(on behalf of WL)	Letter concerning publication of Japanese patent application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
26-5	3/2/01	JT	NMC(on behalf of WL)	Email concerning Japanese patent prosecution process for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
26-6-7	2/28/01	NMC(on behalf of WL)	HO	Document concerning Japanese patent prosecution process status including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
26-8-9	7/12/00	NMC(on behalf of WL)	HO	Document concerning legal invoice re Japanese patent application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
26-10-11	7/4/00	HO	NMC(on behalf of WL)	Document concerning legal invoice re Japanese patent application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
26-12-14	7/5/00	HO	NMC(on behalf of WL)	Document concerning filing of Japanese patent application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
26-15-16	6/22/00	HO	NMC(on behalf of WL)	Letter concerning filing of Japanese patent application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
26-17-	6/21/00	NMC(on behalf of	HO	Document concerning filing deadlines for	A/C

EXHIBIT D
PAGE 282

Ref	Date	Author(s)	Recipient(s)	Description	Privilege(s) Claimed
18		WL)		Japanese patent application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	
26-19	4/21/00	NMC(on behalf of WL)	HO	Letter concerning filing of Japanese patent application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
26-20	4/21/00	HO	NMC(on behalf of WL)	Letter concerning filing of Japanese patent application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
26-21	4/21/00	HO	NMC(on behalf of WL)	Letter concerning logistical information re Japanese patent application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
26-22	4/17/00	NMC(on behalf of WL)	HO	Letter concerning US patent application re Japanese patent application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
26-23-24	4/11/00	NMC(on behalf of WL)	HO	Letter concerning US patent application re Japanese patent application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
26-25	4/7/00	HO	NMC(on behalf of WL)	Letter concerning filing preparation for the Japanese patent application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
26-26	4/11/00	HO	NMC(on behalf of WL)	Letter concerning specification of the Japanese patent application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
26-27	4/11/00	HO	NMC(on behalf of	Letter concerning specification of the	A/C

EXHIBIT D
PAGE 288

Ref	Date	Author(s)	Recipient(s)	Description	Privilege(s) Claimed
			WL)	Japanese patent application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	
26-28-29	4/7/00	NMC(on behalf of WL)	HO	Letter concerning filing details of the Japanese patent application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
26-30	4/7/00	HO	NMC(on behalf of WL)	Letter concerning filing preparation for the Japanese patent application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
26-31-33	4/5/00	NMC(on behalf of WL)	HO	Letter concerning representation re Japanese patent application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
27-1	9/26/02	NMC	WL	Letter concerning Power of Attorney forms re trademark application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
27-2	8/21/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning deadlines re '243 trademark application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
27-3-4	11/14/01	WL	NMC	Document concerning trademark application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
27-5	5/2/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning Notice of Publication re '243 trademark application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential	A/C

EXHIBIT 0
PAGE 285

Ref	Date	Author(s)	Recipient(s)	Description	Privilege(s) Claimed
				communications between client and attorney.	
27-6	11/13/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning Examiner's Amendment re '243 trademark application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
27-7	10/16/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning the First Office Action re '243 trademark application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
27-8	9/12/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning filing of foreign trademark applications for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
27-9	5/30/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning filing of '243 trademark application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
27-10	5/16/00	WL	NMC	Letter concerning patent technology developments including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
28-1	10/4/02	NMC	WL	Email concerning Power of Attorney re trademark and patent applications for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
28-2	9/30/02	NMC	WL	Email concerning of Attorney re trademark and patent applications for the purpose of legal advice and including	A/C

EXHIBIT D
PAGE 2 of 2

Ref	Date	Author(s)	Recipient(s)	Description	Privilege(s) Claimed
				confidential communications between client and attorney.	
28-3	9/26/02	NMC	WL	Email concerning Power of Attorney forms and foreign trademark and patent applications for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
28-4	4/17/02	NMC	WL	Letter concerning Information Disclosure Statement filed 4/17/02 including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
28-5	8/13/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning Information Disclosure Statement filed 8/13/02 including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
28-6	8/28/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning filing of patent application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
28-7	6/5/00	NMC	WL	Email concerning Continuation-In-Part patent application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
28-8	5/31/00	NMC	WL	Email chain concerning logistical issues re patent application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
29-1	9/13/00	NMC	WL	Draft of license agreement including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
29-2	9/13/00	NMC	WL	Draft of license agreement including	A/C

EXHIBIT O
PAGE 29/

Ref	Date	Author(s)	Recipient(s)	Description	Privilege(s) Claimed
				confidential communications between client and attorney.	
30-1	10/13/04	Honesty & Patent	PJE (on behalf of WL)	Email concerning logistical details re Korean patent application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
30-2	10/11/04	Honesty & Patent	PJE (on behalf of WL)	Email concerning representation re Korean patent application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
30-3	10/13/04	PJE (on behalf of WL)	Honesty & Patent	Email concerning representation re Korean patent application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
30-4	10/6/04	Se-Chang Kang , Korean patent counsel	NMC (on behalf of WL)	Letter concerning deadlines and costs re Korean patent application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
30-5	10/19/02	NMC (on behalf of WL)	YPY	Email concerning representation re Korean patent application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
30-6-7	10/18/02	NMC	YPY, WL	Email concerning representation re Korean patent application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
30-8-9	10/17/02	NMC	YPY, WL	Email concerning representation re Korean patent application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
30-10-11	10/17/02	YPY	NMC(on behalf of WL)	Letter concerning file transfer of Korean patent application including confidential	A/C

EXHIBIT O
PAGE 252

Ref	Date	Author(s)	Recipient(s)	Description	Privilege(s) Claimed
				communications between client and attorney.	
30-12-13	9/26/02	NMC	YPY, WL	Email concerning Korean patent application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
30-14	7/29/02	YPY	NMC(on behalf of WL)	Letter concerning logistical details re Korean patent application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
30-15	7/29/02	YPY	NMC(on behalf of WL)	Letter concerning priority documents re Korean patent application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
30-16	4/17/02	YPY	NMC(on behalf of WL)	Letter concerning public disclosure of Korean patent application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
30-17-19	12/29/01	YPY	NMC(on behalf of WL)	Letter concerning filing of Korean patent application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
30-20	12/26/01	Honest & Patent	NMC(on behalf of WL)	Letter concerning filing of Korean patent application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
30-21	12/25/01	YPY	NMC(on behalf of WL)	Letter concerning preparation of filing Korean patent application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
30-22-23	12/24/01	NMC(on behalf of WL)	YPY	Document concerning preparation of filing Korean patent application including	A/C

EXHIBIT D
PAGE 23

Ref	Date	Author(s)	Recipient(s)	Description	Privilege(s) Claimed
				confidential communications between client and attorney.	
30-24	12/14/01	NMC(on behalf of WL)	YPY	Letter concerning Power of Attorney forms re Korean patent application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
30-25-26	12/14/01	NMC(on behalf of WL)	YPY	Letter concerning filing of Korean patent application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
30-27-32	12/9/01	NMC(on behalf of WL)	YPY	Email concerning preparation of filing Korean patent application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
30-33-34	11/15/01	YPY	NMC(on behalf of WL)	Letter concerning filing of Korean patent application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
30-35	11/15/01	YPY	NMC(on behalf of WL)	Email concerning Korean patent application process including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
30-36-37	11/15/01	YPY	NMC(on behalf of WL)	Letter concerning legal costs re Korean patent application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
30-38	11/14/01	NMC	Honest & Patent	Email concerning representation re Korean patent application including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
30-39-40	11/1/01	Honest & Patent	NMC(on behalf of WL)	Letter concerning Korean patent application including confidential communications	A/C

EXHIBIT O
PAGE 297

Ref	Date	Author(s)	Recipient(s)	Description	Privilege(s) Claimed
				between client and attorney.	
31-1	10/4/02	NMC	WL	Email concerning Supplemental Appeal and Power of Attorney for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
31-2	9/30/02	NMC	WL	Email concerning Power of Attorney form including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
31-3	9/26/02	NMC	WL	Email concerning patent prosecution correspondence including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
31-4	4/17/02	NMC	WL	Letter concerning Information Disclosure Statement filed 4/17/02 including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
31-5	8/13/01	NMC	WL	Letter concerning Information Disclosure Statement filed 8/13/01 including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
31-6	8/28/00	NMC	WL	Letter concerning Filing Receipt including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
31-7	6/5/02	NMC	WL	Letter concerning Continuation-In-Patent application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
31-8	5/31/01	NMC	WL	Email chain concerning legal costs including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C

EXHIBIT O
PAGE 225

Ref	Date	Author(s)	Recipient(s)	Description	Privilege(s) Claimed
32	10/29/2002	NMC	WL	Email concerning Examiner's Answer including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
33	12/27/2002	NMC	WL	Email concerning status of patent prosecution and legal invoices including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
34	06/12/2003	NMC	WL	Email concerning communication with PTO and legal invoices including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
35	07/22/2003	WL	NMC	Email concerning legal invoices and continuation of patent prosecution including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
36	12/02/2004	NMC	WL	Email concerning pending patent application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
37	12/03/2004	NMC, WL	WL, NMC	Email concerning prosecuting of patent and legal invoices for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
38	12/03/2004	WL	NMC	Email concerning pursuit of patent application for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
39	12/03/2004	NMC, WL	WL, NMC	Email chain concerning legal fees for the purpose of legal advice and including	A/C

EXHIBIT O
PAGE 296

Ref	Date	Author(s)	Recipient(s)	Description	Privilege(s) Claimed
				confidential communications between client and attorney.	
40	12/13/2004	NMC	WL	Email concerning logistical details of patent prosecution including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
41	09/17/2005-09/20/2005	NMC, WL	WL, NMC	Email concerning date of issue of patent for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
42	09/17/2005-09/23/2005	NMC, WL	WL, NMC	Email chain concerning Notice of Allowance for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
43	10/06/2005	NMC	WL	Document relating to draft of patent drawings including confidential communications between client and attorney.	AC
44	12/20/2005	WL	NMC	Email concerning issuing of patent including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
45	12/22/2005	NMC, WL	WL, NMC	Email chain concerning potential licensees and issuing of patent for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
46	02/02/2006	NMC	WL	Email concerning representation logistical details for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
47	02/08/2006	NMC, WL	WL, NMC	Email chain concerning licensing process	A/C

EXHIBIT O
PAGE 227

Ref	Date	Author(s)	Recipient(s)	Description	Privilege(s) Claimed
				for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	
48-1	3/3/07	NMC	WL	Email concerning legal invoices and patent prosecution including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
48-2	2/26/07	NMC	WL	Email concerning legal invoices and patent prosecution including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
48-3	2/26/07	NMC	WL	Email concerning legal invoices and patent prosecution including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
49-1	2/26/07	WL	NMC	Email concerning financial arrangements and patent prosecution including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
49-2	2/26/07	WL	NMC	Email concerning financial arrangements and patent prosecution including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
49-3	2/26/07	WL	NMC	Email concerning financial arrangements and patent prosecution including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
50-1	2/27/07	WL	NMC	Email concerning financial arrangements and patent prosecution including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
50-2	2/27/07	WL	NMC	Email concerning deadlines including	A/C

EXHIBIT C
PAGE 228

Ref	Date	Author(s)	Recipient(s)	Description	Privilege(s) Claimed
				confidential communications between client and attorney.	
50-3	2/27/07	WL	NMC	Email concerning licensing and patent claims including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
51	02/27/2007	NMC	WL	Email concerning financial negotiations and legal invoices including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
52	03/02/2007	WL	NMC	Email concerning meeting re patent prosecution including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
53	03/05/2007	WL	NMC	Email concerning meeting re preliminary amendment including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
54	03/06/2007	WL	NMC	Email concerning communication with Examiner for the purpose of legal advice including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
55	03/06/2007	NMC	WL	Email concerning communication with Examiner for the purpose of legal advice including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
56	03/07/2007	WL	NMC	Email concerning filing of preliminary amendment for the purpose of legal advice including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
57	03/07/2007	NMC	WL	Email concerning filing of preliminary amendment for the purpose of legal	A/C

EXHIBIT O
PAGE 299

Ref	Date	Author(s)	Recipient(s)	Description	Privilege(s) Claimed
				advice including confidential communications between client and attorney.	
58	03/11/2007	NMC	WL, VLS	Email concerning prior art and material to be addressed in Preliminary Amendment for the purpose of legal advice including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
59-1	3/13/07	WL	NMC	Email concerning prior art for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
59-2	3/13/07	WL	NMC	Email concerning Preliminary Amendment for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
60-1	3/13/07	NMC	WL	Email concerning Preliminary Amendment and prior art for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
60-2	3/13/07	NMC	WL	Email concerning Preliminary Amendment and potential licensees for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
61-1	3/17/07	NMC	WL, VLS	Email concerning proposed claim set and legal invoices for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
61-2	3/17/07	NMC	WL, VLS	Email concerning review of issues from 3/13/07 discussion for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential	A/C

EXHIBIT O
PAGE 3 OF

Ref	Date	Author(s)	Recipient(s)	Description	Privilege(s) Claimed
				communications between client and attorney.	
62	03/17/2007	WL	NMC	Email concerning claim revision for the purpose of legal advice including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
63	03/17/2007	WL	NMC, VLS	Email concerning revised claims and financial arrangements for the purpose of legal advice including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
64	03/17/2007	NMC	WL	Letter concerning representation including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
65	03/17/2007	NMC	WL	Letter concerning representation including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
66	03/20/2007	WL	NMC, VLS	Email chain concerning representation and potential infringers for the purpose of legal advice including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
67	03/20/2007	NMC	WL	Email chain concerning representation and infringement research for the purpose of legal advice including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
68	03/21/2007	WL	NMC, VLS	Email chain concerning licensing and potential infringers for the purpose of legal advice including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C

EXHIBIT O
PAGE 327

Ref	Date	Author(s)	Recipient(s)	Description	Privilege(s) Claimed
69	03/26/2007	NMC	WL, VLS	Email chain concerning new infringers for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
70	03/26/2007	WL	NMC, VLS	Email chain concerning new infringers and claim issues for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
71	03/28/2007	WL	NMC, VLS	Email chain concerning drafts of patent documents for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
72	03/29/2007	JLL	NMC	Email concerning filing of patent documents including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
74	03/30/2007	NMC	WL	Email chain concerning licensing for the purpose of legal advice including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
75	03/30/2007	NMC	WL, VLS	Email chain concerning draft of claims for the purpose of legal advice including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
76	03/30/2007	WL	NMC, VLS	Email concerning draft of claims and entity type for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
77	03/30/2007	NMC	WL, VLS, JLL	Email concerning draft of claims for the purpose of legal advice and including	A/C

EXHIBIT O
PAGE 302

Ref	Date	Author(s)	Recipient(s)	Description	Privilege(s) Claimed
				confidential communications between client and attorney.	
78	03/30/2007	WL	NMC, VLS, JLL	Email concerning draft of claims and filing deadlines for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
79	03/30/2007	NMC	WL	Email concerning potential infringers and licensees for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
80	03/30/2007	NMC	VLS, JLL	Email concerning draft of claims including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
82	05/01/2007	WL	NMC	Email concerning patent prosecution process changes for the purpose of legal advice and including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
83	05/01/2007	NMC	WL	Email chain concerning scheduling and patent prosecution process developments for the purpose of legal advice including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
84	05/03/2007	NMC	WL	Email chain concerning patent prosecution developments for the purpose of legal advice including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
85	05/09/2007	WL	NMC	Email chain concerning changes to	A/C

EXHIBIT O
PAGE 303

Ref	Date	Author(s)	Recipient(s)	Description	Privilege(s) Claimed
				prosecution process for the purpose of legal advice including confidential communications between client and attorney.	
86	05/18/2007	NMC	WL	Email chain concerning changes to prosecution process for the purpose of legal advice including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
87	07/04/2007	NMC	WL	Email chain concerning trademark applications affidavits for the purpose of legal advice including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
88	10/02/2007	NMC	WL	Email chain concerning filing continuation application for the purpose of legal advice including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
89	10/02/2007	WL	NMC	Email chain concerning filing continuation application details for the purpose of legal advice including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
90	10/03/2007	WL	NMC	Email chain concerning filing of patent document or the purpose of legal advice including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
91	10/05/2007	NMC	WL	Email chain concerning filing additional continuation applications for the purpose of legal advice including confidential communications between client and	A/C

EXHIBIT O
PAGE 304

Ref	Date	Author(s)	Recipient(s)	Description	Privilege(s) Claimed
				attorney.	
92	10/05/2007	WL	NMC	Email chain concerning filing additional continuation applications for the purpose of legal advice including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
93	12/17/2007	NMC	WL	Email chain concerning financial issues for the purpose of legal advice including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
94	06/11/2008	WL	NMC	Email concerning litigation representation for the purpose of legal advice including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
95	06/14/2008	NMC	WL	Email concerning prosecution documents for the purpose of legal advice including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C
96	10/26/2008	Christin Cho	NMC	Email concerning discovery related to the litigation.	W/P, TPM
97	10/27/2008	WL	NMC	Email chain concerning representation and patent prosecution for the purpose of legal advice including confidential communications between client and attorney.	A/C

EXHIBIT O
PAGE 395

EXHIBIT P

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

PERFORMANCE PRICING, INC., Plaintiff, v. GOOGLE INC., AOL LLC, MICROSOFT CORPORATION, YAHOO! INC., IAC SEARCH & MEDIA, INC., and A9.COM, INC., Defendants.	Case No. 2:07-cv-432 (LED) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

DISCOVERY ORDER

After review of the pleaded claims and defenses in this action and in furtherance of the management of the Court's docket under Fed. R. Civ. P. 16, the Court enters the following Discovery Order:

1. **Disclosures.** On or by June 20, 2008 and without awaiting a discovery request, each party shall disclose to every other party the following information:

   A. the correct names of the parties to the lawsuit;
   B the name, address, and telephone number of any potential parties;
   C. the legal theories and, in general, the factual bases of the disclosing party's claims or defenses (the disclosing party need not marshal all evidence that may be offered at trial);
   D. the name, address, and telephone number of persons having knowledge of relevant facts, a brief statement of each identified person's connection with the case, and a brief, fair summary of the substance of the information known by such person;
   E. any indemnity and insuring agreements under which any person or entity may be liable to satisfy part or all of a judgment entered in this action or to indemnify or reimburse for payments made to satisfy the judgment;
   F. any settlement agreements relevant to the subject matter of this action;
   G. any statement of any party to the litigation;

2. **Additional Disclosures.** Each party shall provide to every other party the following information:

   A. the disclosures required by the Court's Patent Rules in accordance with the deadlines set forth in said rules and the Court's Docket Control Order;

EXHIBIT P
PAGE 306

B. to the extent that any party pleads a claim for relief or defensive matter other than those addressed in the Patent Rules[1], on or by October 21, 2008, and without awaiting a discovery request, a copy of all documents, data compilations and tangible things in the possession, custody, or control of the party that are relevant to those additionally pleaded claims or defenses involved in this action. By written agreement of all parties, alternative forms of disclosure may be provided in lieu of paper copies. For example, the parties may agree to exchange images of documents electronically or by means of computer disk; or the parties may agree to review and copy disclosure materials at the offices of the attorneys representing the parties instead of requiring each side to furnish paper copies of the disclosure materials; and

C. on or by October 21, 2008, a complete computation of any category of damages claimed by any party to the action, wherein Plaintiff shall specify as to each Defendant the categories of damages that it seeks in regard to that Defendant, and making available for inspection and copying (See Local Rule CV-34), the documents or other evidentiary materials on which such computation is based, including materials bearing on the nature and extent of injuries suffered; and those documents and authorizations described in Local Rule CV-34.

D. The parties have discussed the electronic production of electronically-stored and hard copy information. The parties have agreed to further meet and confer regarding the format of document production in advance thereof, including the format for the production of electronically-stored information.

3. **Testifying Experts.** By the date provided in the Docket Control Order, each party shall disclose to the other party or parties:

A. the expert's name, address, and telephone number;

B. the subject matter on which the expert will testify;

C. the general substance of the expert's mental impressions and opinions and a brief summary of the basis for them, or if the expert is not retained by, employed by, or otherwise subject to the control of the disclosing party, documents reflecting such information;

D. if the expert is retained by, employed by, or otherwise subject to the control of the disclosing party;

(1) the final versions of their expert reports, materials relied upon, and their invoices for work performed; and

(2) the expert's current resume and bibliography.

E. Testifying experts' draft reports, notes, and communications with counsel will not be subject to discovery, except to the extent relied upon by the expert in the final version of his or her report(s). The foregoing does not otherwise restrict discovery by oral deposition, and does not obligate any party to retain draft reports.

[1] The Patent Rules are Appendix M to the Local Rules, which are available on the Court's website at www.txed.uscourts.gov.

EXHIBIT A

PAGE 307

4. **Discovery Limitations.** Discovery is limited in this case to the disclosures described in Paragraphs 1 through 3, together with the following:

A. Interrogatories: Plaintiff may serve up to 20 common interrogatories as to all Defendants, and an additional 10 interrogatories separately on each Defendant. Defendants may collectively serve up to 20 common interrogatories on Plaintiff, and each Defendant may serve separately up to 10 additional interrogatories on Plaintiff.

B. Requests for Admission: Plaintiff may serve up to 50 common requests for admission as to all Defendants, and an additional 30 requests for admission separately on each Defendant. Defendants may collectively serve up to 50 common requests for admission on Plaintiff, and each Defendant may serve separately up to 30 additional requests for admission on Plaintiff. There is no limit on the number of requests for admission the parties may serve to establish the authenticity of documents. Requests for admission directed to document authentication shall be clearly denoted as such and shall be served separately from any requests for admission subject to the numerical limitations stated above.

C. Depositions of Parties and Third-Parties: The parties agree to a limit of 30 fact depositions per side (presumptively no more than eight against each Defendant). The parties further agree that expert depositions will not count toward these limits. The parties further agree that depositions taken pursuant to FRCP 30(b)(6) will count towards the 30 fact deposition limit. The parties further agree that individual and 30(b)(6) depositions of third parties shall also count towards the 30 fact deposition limit. The parties reserve the right to revisit the issue of the number and length of depositions as discovery progresses. If any party requests more than 7 hours for a particular deposition or if any side seeks more than 30 depositions, the parties agree to meet and confer in good faith to attempt to resolve the issue without intervention by the Court.

D. Depositions of Experts: Plaintiff shall be entitled to a total of three expert witnesses on issues for which Plaintiff has the burden of proof. Each Defendant shall be entitled to a total of two expert witnesses individually, and the defendants shall collectively share up to a total of three group experts on issues for which Defendants have the burden of proof. Depositions of Defendant's expert witnesses shall be limited to 7 hours per witness per report. Depositions of Plaintiff's experts also shall be limited to 7 hours per witness per report, unless their report addresses issues relating to multiple defendants. If so, the parties agree that 7 hours per Plaintiff's expert may be insufficient to address issues relating to each defendant. As such, the parties agree to meet and confer regarding additional hours for any such deposition. The parties' ability to seek additional deposition hours of expert(s) shall not be prejudiced in any way by this subparagraph.

E. Document Subpoenas on Third-Parties: The parties may serve as many document subpoenas on third-parties, and as many depositions on written questions of custodians of business records of third-parties, as needed. However, oral depositions of third-parties are included in the calculation of the 30 fact

deposition limit set forth above in subparagraph C.

For the purposes of this Order, "side" means a party or a group of parties with a common interest.

5. **Privileged Information.** There is no duty to disclose privileged documents or information. However, the parties are directed to meet and confer concerning privileged documents or information after the Scheduling Conference. By the date provided in the Docket Control Order, the parties shall exchange privilege logs identifying the documents or information and the basis for any disputed claim of privilege in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the other parties to assess the applicability of the privilege or protection. The parties agree that only documents created prior to September 27, 2007 need be identified on the privilege log. A party may move the Court for an order compelling the production of any privileged documents or information identified on any other party's privilege log. If such a motion is made, the party asserting privilege shall file with the Court within thirty (30) days of the filing of the motion to compel any proof in the form of declarations or affidavits to support their assertions of privilege, along with the documents over which privilege is asserted for *in camera* inspection. If the parties have no disputes concerning privileged documents or information, then the parties shall inform the Court of that fact by the date provided in the Docket Control Order.

6. **Pre-trial Disclosures.** By the date provided in the Docket Control Order, each party shall provide to every other party the following disclosures regarding the evidence that the disclosing party intends to present at trial:

   A. The name and, if not previously provided, the address and telephone number, of each witness, separately identifying those whom the party expects to present at trial and those whom the party may call if the need arises.
   B. The designation of those witnesses whose testimony is expected to be presented by means of a deposition and, if not taken stenographically, a transcript of the pertinent portions of the deposition testimony.
   C. An appropriate identification of each document or other exhibit, including summaries of other evidence, separately identifying those which the party expects to offer and those which the party may offer if the need arises.

   By the date provided in the Docket Control Order, a party may serve and file a list disclosing (1) any objections to the use under Rule 32(a) of a deposition designated by another party under subparagraph "B." above; and (2) any objections, together with the grounds therefor, that may be made to the admissibility of materials identified under subparagraph "C." above. Objections not so disclosed, other than objections under Rules 402 and 403 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, shall be deemed waived unless excused by the Court for good cause shown.

7. **Signature.** The disclosures required by this order shall be made in writing and signed by the party or counsel and shall constitute a certification that, to the best of the signer's

EXHIBIT A
PAGE 309

knowledge, information and belief, such disclosure is complete and correct as of the time it is made.

8. **Exchange of Disclosures.** If feasible, counsel shall meet to exchange disclosures required by this order; otherwise, such disclosures shall be served as provided by Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.

9. **Notification of the Court.** The parties shall promptly file a notice with the Court that the disclosures required under this order have taken place.

10. **Duty to Supplement.** After disclosure is made pursuant to this order, each party is under a duty to supplement or correct its disclosures immediately if the party obtains information on the basis of which it knows that the information disclosed was either incomplete or incorrect when made, or is no longer complete or true.

11. **Protective Orders.** The parties intend to submit an agreed-upon Protective Order or their competing versions by June 13, 2008.

12. **Discovery Disputes.** Counsel are directed to contact the chambers of the undersigned for any "hotline" disputes before contacting the Discovery Hotline provided by Local Rule CV-26(f). If the undersigned is not available, the parties shall proceed in accordance with Local Rule CV-26(f).

14. **Discovery Conferences.** Within 72 hours of the Court setting any discovery motion for hearing, each party's lead trial counsel and local counsel shall meet and confer in person by telephone in an effort to resolve the dispute without Court intervention. Counsel shall promptly notify the Court of the results of the meeting. Attendance by proxy is not permitted. Unless excused by the Court, lead counsel shall attend any discovery hearing set by the Court.

14. **No Excuses.** A party is not excused from the requirements of this Discovery Order because it has not fully completed its investigation of the case, or because it challenges the sufficiency of another party's disclosures, or because another party has not made its disclosures. Absent court order to the contrary, a party is not excused from disclosure because there are pending motions to dismiss, to remand or to change venue. Parties asserting the defense of qualified immunity may submit a motion to limit disclosure to those materials necessary to decide the issue of qualified immunity.

15. **Courtesy Paper Copies.** In cases pending before this Court, the parties are exempt from complying with Local Rule CV-5 which requires that paper copies be provided to the presiding judge's chambers if a document exceeds five pages in length. Paper copies will not be accepted by this Court unless specifically requested or as provided below.

16. **Hearing Notebooks.** With the exception of *Markman* notebooks required in the Docket Control Order, hearing notebooks are no longer required or requested. However, the Court may request hearing notebooks in specific instances.

EXHIBIT P
PAGE 310

17. **Requests for Production.** Because documents relevant to any claim or defense are to be produced pursuant to the patent rules and paragraphs one and two of this order, requests for production are unnecessary. However, should a party believe that certain relevant documents have not been produced, that party may request said documents by letter.

The Court will entertain a motion to compel documents without the necessity of a movant propounding formal requests for production.

Dated: May 8, 2008

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 9th day of May, 2008.

JOHN D. LOVE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

EXHIBIT 4
PAGE 311

EXHIBIT A
PAGE 312

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

PERFORMANCE PRICING, INC., Plaintiff, v. GOOGLE INC., AOL LLC, MICROSOFT CORPORATION, YAHOO! INC., IAC SEARCH & MEDIA, INC., and A9.COM, INC., Defendants.	Case No. 2:07-cv-432 (LED) **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

Agreed Docket Control Order

DATE DUE	ACTION
4/12/2010	9:00 a.m. JURY TRIAL as reached at the United States District Court, 100 E. Houston, Room 125, Marshall, Texas 75670.
4/6/2010	9:00 a.m. JURY SELECTION at the United States District Court, 100 E. Houston, Room 125, Marshall, Texas 75670.
3/18/2010	PRETRIAL CONFERENCE at the United States District Court, 211 W. Ferguson, Tyler, Texas. All pending motions will be heard. Lead trial counsel must attend the pretrial conference.
3/16/2010	Parties to file estimates of the amount of time they request at jury selection and trial for (1) voir dire, (2) opening statements, (3) direct and cross examinations, and (4) closing arguments.
3/15/2010	Pretrial Objections due.
3/11/2010	The parties are directed to confer and advise the Court on or before 3:00 o'clock p.m. the day before the pre-trial conference which paragraphs are agreed to and those that need to be addressed at the pre-trial conference.
2/26/2010	Objections to Rebuttal Deposition Testimony due.
2/25/2010	Motions in Limine due.
2/12/2010	Rebuttal Designations and Objections to Deposition Testimony due. Cross examination line and page numbers to be included. In video depositions, each party is responsible for preparation of the final edited video in accordance with their parties' designations and the Court's rulings on objections.
1/22/2010	Pretrial Disclosures due. Video and Stenographic Deposition Designations due. Each party who proposes to offer deposition testimony shall file a disclosure identifying the

EXHIBIT P
PAGE 313

	line and page numbers to be offered. In video depositions, each party is responsible for preparation of the final edited video in accordance with their parties' designations and the Court's rulings on objections.
1/12/2010	Joint Pretrial Order, Joint Proposed Jury Instructions with citation to authority, and Form of the Verdict for jury trials. Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law with citation to authority for bench trials. Notice of Request for Daily Transcript or Real Time Reporting of Court Proceedings. If a daily transcript or real time reporting of court proceedings is requested for trial or hearings, the party or parties making said request shall file a notice with the Court and email the Court Reporter, Shea Sloan, at shea_sloan@txed.uscourts.gov.
1/8/2010	Parties to Identify Rebuttal Trial Witnesses.
12/10/2009	Last Day for Response to Dispositive Motions (including Daubert motions). Responses to dispositive motions filed prior to the dispositive motion deadline, including Daubert Motions, shall be due in accordance with Local Rule CV-7(e).
11/12/2009	Last Day for Dispositive Motions from all parties and any other motions that may require a hearing (including Daubert motions); Motion for Summary Judgment shall comply with Local Rule CV56. Answer to Amended Pleadings (after Markman Hearing) due.
12/4/2009	Parties to Identify Trial Witnesses
10/23/2009	Amend Pleadings (after Markman Hearing). It is not necessary to file a Motion for Leave to Amend before the deadline to amend pleadings. It is necessary to file a Motion for Leave to Amend after the deadline. However, except as provided in Patent Rule 3-6, if the amendment would effect preliminary or final infringement contentions or preliminary or final invalidity contentions, a motion must be made pursuant to Patent Rule 3-7 irrespective of whether the amendment is made prior to this deadline.
10/16/2009	Discovery Deadline. This date is the last day to complete discovery.
9/18/2009	Parties designate responsive expert witnesses (non-construction issues). Expert witness reports due. Refer to Local Rules for required information.
8/17/2009	Parties with burden of proof designate expert witnesses (non-construction issues). Expert witness reports due. Refer to Local Rules for required information.
8/12/2009	Comply with P.R.3-7- Furnishing documents and privilege logs pertaining to willful infringement.
6/18/2009	**Comply with P.R. 4-6- Markman Hearing at 9:00 a.m. at the United States District Court, 211 West Ferguson, 2nd Floor, Courtroom of Judge John D. Love, Tyler, Texas.**
6/11/2009	Reply to Motion for Summary Judgment of Indefiniteness due. The filing party is to provide the Court with 2 binders containing their brief and exhibits appropriately tabbed. If a technical advisor has been appointed the moving party is to provide their brief on disk or CD along with a hard copy, tabbed and bound in notebook format with exhibits to the advisor. Briefing shall comply with Local Rules CV-7 and 56 and Patent Rule 4-5(e). Motions to extend page limits will only be granted in exceptional

EXHIBIT A
PAGE 314

	circumstances.
6/11/2009	Comply with P.R. 4-5(d)- Chart due. Parties shall jointly submit a claim construction chart on computer disk in WordPerfect format or in such other format as the Court may direct in accordance with P.R. 4-5(d).
6/8/2009	Parties to file a notice with the Court stating the estimated amount of time requested for the Markman Hearing. The Court will notify the parties if it is unable to accommodate this request.
6/6/2009	Comply with P.R. 4-5(c)- Reply brief and supporting evidence due re response to claim construction. The filing party is to provide the Court with 2 binders containing their reply brief and exhibits appropriately tabbed. If a technical advisor has been appointed the moving party is to provide their brief on disk or CD along with a hard copy, tabbed and bound in notebook format with exhibits to the advisor.
5/21/2009	Response to Motion for Summary Judgment on Indefiniteness due. The filing party is to provide the Court with 2 binders containing their brief and exhibits appropriately tabbed. If a technical advisor has been appointed the moving party is to provide their brief on disk or CD along with a hard copy, tabbed and bound in notebook format with exhibits to the advisor. Briefing shall comply with Local Rules CV-7 and 56 and Patent Rule 4-5(e). Motions to extend page limits will only be granted in exceptional circumstances.
5/15/2009	Responsive brief and supporting evidence due to party claiming patent infringement. The filing party is to provide the Court with 2 binders containing their Markman brief and exhibits appropriately tabbed. If a technical advisor has been appointed the moving party is to provide their Markman brief on disk or CD along with a hard copy, tabbed and bound in notebook format with exhibits to the advisor.
4/30/2009	Motion for Summary Judgment on Indefiniteness due. The moving party is to provide the Court with 2 binders containing their brief and exhibits appropriately tabbed. If a technical advisor has been appointed the moving party is to provide their brief on disk or CD along with a hard copy, tabbed and bound in notebook format with exhibits to the advisor. Briefing shall comply with Local Rules CV-7 and 56 and Patent Rule 4-5(e). Motions to extend page limits will only be granted in exceptional circumstances.
4/15/2009	Comply with P.R. 4-5(a)- The party claiming patent infringement shall serve and file an opening brief and any evidence supporting its claim construction. The filing party is to provide the Court with 2 binders containing their Markman brief and exhibits appropriately tabbed. If a technical advisor has been appointed the moving party is to provide their Markman brief on disk or CD along with a hard copy, tabbed and bound in notebook format with exhibits to the advisor. Briefing shall comply with Local Rules CV-7 and 56 and Patent Rule 4-5(e). Motions to extend page limits will only be granted in exceptional circumstances.
3/12/2009	Tutorials due. Deadline for parties, if they desire, to provide Court with

EXHIBIT Y
PAGE 315

	tutorials concerning technology involved in patent. If a technical advisor has been appointed, each party that provides a tutorial shall provide a copy to the advisor.
2/26/2009	Discovery Deadline - Claim Construction Issues. This date is the last day to complete discovery on claim construction issues.
1/30/2009	Respond to Amended Pleadings.
1/30/2009	Proposed Technical Advisors due. Parties to provide name, address, phone number, and curriculum vitae for up to three agreed technical advisors and information regarding the nominees' availability for Markman hearing or a statement that they could not reach an agreement as to any potential technical advisor. If the parties cannot agree on a technical advisor, they shall not submit any proposed technical advisors to the Court.
1/30/2009	Comply with P.R. 4-3- Filing of Joint Claim Construction and Pre-hearing Statement.
1/16/2009	Defendant shall assert any counterclaims. After this deadline, leave of Court must be obtained to assert any counterclaims. Add any inequitable conduct allegations to pleadings. It is not necessary to file a motion for leave to add inequitable conduct allegations to pleadings prior to this date. Thereafter, it is necessary to obtain leave of Court to add inequitable conduct allegations to pleadings.
1/16/2009	Amended Pleadings (pre-claim construction) due from all parties. It is not necessary to file a Motion for Leave to Amend before the deadline to amend pleadings. It is necessary to file a Motion for Leave to Amend after the deadline. However, if the amendment would affect infringement contentions or invalidity contentions, a motion must be made pursuant to Patent Rule 3-7 irrespective of whether the amendment is made prior to this deadline.
12/5/2008	Comply with P.R. 4-2- Exchange of Preliminary Claim Constructions and Extrinsic Evidence. Privilege Logs to be exchanged by parties (or a letter to the Court stating that there are no disputes as to claims of privileged documents).
10/30/2008	Comply with P.R. 4-1- Exchange Proposed Terms and Claim Elements for Construction.
7/14/2008	Comply with P.R. 3-3 and 3-4- Invalidity Contentions due. Thereafter, it is necessary to obtain leave of Court to add and/or amend invalidity contentions, pursuant to Patent Rule 3-6. Defendant shall join additional parties. It is not necessary to file a motion to join additional parties prior to this date. Thereafter, it is necessary to obtain leave of Court to join additional parties.
5/19/2008	Parties submit the name of a mediator.
5/14/2008	Comply with P.R. 3-1 and P.R. 3-2- Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Infringement Contentions due. Thereafter, it is necessary to obtain leave of Court to add and/or amend infringement contentions, pursuant to Patent Rule 3-6. Plaintiff shall join additional parties. It is not necessary to file a motion to join additional parties prior to this date. Thereafter, it is necessary to obtain leave of Court to join additional parties. Plaintiff shall add new patents

EXHIBIT D
PAGE 3/6

	and/or claims for patents-in-suit. It is not necessary to file a motion to add additional patents or claims prior to this date. Thereafter, it is necessary to obtain leave of Court to add patents or claims.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 14th day of May, 2008.

JOHN D. LOVE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

EXHIBIT A
PAGE 312

EXHIBIT 4
PAGE 318

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

PERFORMANCE PRICING, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

GOOGLE INC., AOL LLC, MICROSOFT CORPORATION, YAHOO! INC., IAC SEARCH & MEDIA, INC., and A9.COM, INC.

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 2:07-cv-432 (LED/JDL)

JURY DEMANDED

ORDER

Before the Court is Plaintiff Performance Pricing, Inc.'s Agreed Amended Motion for Leave to Amend Plaintiff's Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Infringement Contentions regarding Defendants Microsoft Corporation and IAC Search & Media, Inc. and Motion for Extension for Defendants to Comply with Patent Rules 3-3 and 3-4. After careful consideration, the Court concludes that the Motion should be GRANTED.

It is therefore ORDERED that Plaintiff Performance Pricing, Inc.'s Agreed Amended Motion for Leave to Amend Plaintiff's Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Infringement Contentions regarding Defendants Microsoft Corporation and IAC Search & Media, Inc. is GRANTED. It is further ORDERED that Plaintiff Performance Pricing, Inc. may serve its First Amended Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Infringement Contentions upon Defendants in this matter. It is further ORDERED that the Motion for Extension for Defendants to Comply with

EXHIBIT P
PAGE 319

Patent Rules 3-3 and 3-4 is GRANTED. Defendants are hereby granted an extension to July 30, 2008 to comply with Patent Rules 3-3 and 3-4.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 9th day of June, 2008.

JOHN D. LOVE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

EXHIBIT A
PAGE 320

EXHIBIT P
PAGE 321

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

PERFORMANCE PRICING, INC.,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	CASE NO. 2:07-cv-432 (LED)
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
GOOGLE INC., AOL LLC, MICROSOFT CORPORATION, YAHOO! INC., IAC SEARCH & MEDIA, INC., A9.COM, INC.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

ORDER

ON THIS 16 day of June, 2008, the Court considered the Joint Motion to Extend Deadlines for Filing Protective Order and Serving Initial Disclosures filed by Plaintiff Performance Pricing, Inc. and Defendants Google Inc., AOL LLC, Microsoft Corporation, YAHOO! Inc., IAC Search & Media, Inc. and A9. Com, Inc. After considering the motion, the Court is of the opinion that it is meritorious. It is therefore:

ORDERED that the new deadline for filing a Protective Order is June 27, 2008 and the new deadline for serving initial disclosures is June 27, 2008.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 16th day of June, 2008.

JOHN D. LOVE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

EXHIBIT 4
PAGE 322

EXHIBIT 9
PAGE 333

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

PERFORMANCE PRICING, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

GOOGLE INC., AOL LLC, MICROSOFT CORPORATION, YAHOO! INC., IAC SEARCH & MEDIA, INC., and A9.COM, INC.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:07-cv-432 (LED/JDL)

ORDER GRANTING THE JOINT MOTION FOR AN ADDITIONAL EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE A JOINT, PROPOSED PROTECTIVE ORDER OR COMPETING VERSIONS THEREOF

Performance Pricing, Inc. ("Plaintiff") and Google Inc., AOL, LLC, Microsoft Corporation, Yahoo! Inc., IAC Search & Media, Inc., and A9.Com, Inc. ("Defendants") filed their joint motion and asked the Court to further extend the deadline to provide the Court with a proposed protective order, or competing versions thereof, until and through July 8, 2008. Upon consideration, it is hereby ORDERED that said Motion shall be in all things granted, and it is further ORDERED that the deadline for Plaintiff and Defendants to file their proposed protective order, or competing versions thereof, shall be extended until and through July 8, 2008.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 30th day of June, 2008.

JOHN D. LOVE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

EXHIBIT P
PAGE 334

EXHIBIT 4
PAGE 335

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

PERFORMANCE PRICING, INC. v. GOOGLE INC., AOL LLC, MICROSOFT CORPORATION, YAHOO! INC., IAC SEARCH & MEDIA, INC., and A9.COM, INC.	Case No. 2:07-cv-432 (LED/JDL)

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' JOINT UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR AN ADDITIONAL EXTENSION OF TIME TO COMPLY WITH PATENT RULES 3-3 AND 3-4

Defendants Google Inc., AOL, LLC, Microsoft Corporation, Yahoo! Inc., IAC Search & Media, Inc., and A9.Com, Inc. ("Defendants") filed their joint unopposed motion and asked the Court to further extend the deadline to comply with PR 3-3 and 3-4 up to an including August 6, 2008. Such motion is GRANTED.

It is therefore ORDERED that Defendants Google Inc., AOL, LLC, Microsoft Corporation, Yahoo! Inc., IAC Search & Media, Inc., and A9.Com, Inc. have until and through August 6, 2008 to comply with Patent Rules 3-3 and 3-4.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 29th day of July, 2008.

JOHN D. LOVE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

EXHIBIT 4
PAGE 336

EXHIBIT 9
PAGE 337

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

PERFORMANCE PRICING, INC., a Texas corporation;	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
v.	)	Civil Action No. 2:07-cv-432-LED-JDL
GOOGLE INC., a Delaware corporation; AOL LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; MICROSOFT CORPORATION, a Washington corporation; YAHOO! INC., a Delaware corporation; IAC SEARCH & MEDIA, INC., a Delaware corporation; A9.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation;	)	
Defendants.	)	(JURY)

ORDER GRANTING THE JOINT MOTION TO EXTEND DEADLINE FOR EXCHANGE OF PROPOSED TERMS AND CLAIM ELEMENTS FOR CONSTRUCTION UNDER PATENT RULE 4-1

Performance Pricing, Inc. ("Plaintiff") and Google Inc., AOL, LLC, Microsoft Corporation, Yahoo! Inc., IAC Search & Media, Inc., and A9.Com, Inc. ("Defendants") filed their joint motion and asked the Court to extend the deadline to Exchange Proposed Terms and Claim Elements for Construction under P.R. 4-1 through and until November 3, 2008. Upon consideration, it is hereby ORDERED that said Motion shall be in all things granted, and it is further ORDERED that the deadline for Plaintiff and Defendants to Exchange Proposed Terms and Claim Elements for Construction under P.R. 4-1 shall be extended until and through November 3, 2008.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 31st day of October, 2008.

EXHIBIT 4
PAGE 338

John D. Love

JOHN D. LOVE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

EXHIBIT 4
PAGE 338

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

PERFORMANCE PRICING, INC.,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	CASE NO. 2:07-cv-432 (LED)
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
GOOGLE INC., AOL LLC, MICROSOFT CORPORATION, YAHOO! INC., IAC SEARCH & MEDIA, INC., A9.COM, INC.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

ORDER

On this day, the Court considered the Joint Motion to Extend Deadlines for Exchange of Preliminary Claim Constructions and Extrinsic Evidence filed by Plaintiff Performance Pricing, Inc. and Defendants Google Inc., AOL LLC, Microsoft Corporation, YAHOO! Inc., and IAC Search & Media, Inc. After considering the motion, the Court is of the opinion that it is meritorious. It is therefore:

ORDERED that the new deadline for serving preliminary claim constructions and identification of extrinsic evidence is December 12, 2008.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 5th day of December, 2008.

JOHN D. LOVE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

EXHIBIT P
PAGE 340

EXHIBIT Ø
PAGE 341

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

PERFORMANCE PRICING, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

GOOGLE INC., AOL LLC, MICROSOFT CORPORATION, YAHOO! INC., IAC SEARCH & MEDIA, INC., and A9.COM, INC.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:07-CV-432 (LED)

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

ORDER GRANTING JOINT MOTION TO EXTEND DEADLINE FOR EXCHANGE OF PRELIMINARY CLAIM CONSTRUCTIONS AND EXTRINSIC EVIDENCE

On this day, the Court considered the Joint Motion to Extend Deadline for Exchange of Preliminary Claim Constructions and Extrinsic Evidence filed by Plaintiff Performance Pricing, Inc. and Defendants Google Inc., AOL LLC, Microsoft Corporation, Yahoo! Inc., and IAC Search & Media, Inc. After considering the motion, the Court is of the opinion that it is meritorious. It is therefore:

ORDERED that the new deadline for serving preliminary claim constructions and identification of extrinsic evidence is December 23, 2008.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 12th day of December, 2008.

JOHN D. LOVE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

sf-2617079

EXHIBIT P
PAGE 342

EXHIBIT P
PAGE 343

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

PERFORMANCE PRICING, INC., Plaintiff, v. GOOGLE INC., AOL LLC, MICROSOFT CORPORATION, YAHOO! INC., IAC SEARCH & MEDIA, INC., and A9.COM, INC., Defendants.	Case No. 2:07-CV-432 (LED) **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

ORDER GRANTING JOINT MOTION TO EXTEND DEADLINE FOR FIRST ROUND OF MEDIATION

On this day, the Court considered the Joint Motion to Extend Deadline for First Round of Mediation filed by Plaintiff Performance Pricing, Inc. and Defendants Google Inc., AOL LLC, Microsoft Corporation, Yahoo! Inc., and IAC Search & Media, Inc. After considering the motion, the Court is of the opinion that it is meritorious. It is therefore:

ORDERED that the new deadline for the first round of mediation is May 15, 2009.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 17th day of December, 2008.

JOHN D. LOVE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

EXHIBIT 9
PAGE 344

EXHIBIT 9
PAGE 345

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

PERFORMANCE PRICING, INC., Plaintiff, v. GOOGLE INC., AOL LLC, MICROSOFT CORPORATION, YAHOO! INC., IAC SEARCH & MEDIA, INC., and A9.COM, INC., Defendants.	Case No. 2:07-CV-432 (LED) **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

ORDER GRANTING JOINT MOTION TO AMEND THE DOCKET CONTROL ORDER REGARDING THE P.R. 4-3 DEADLINE

On this day, the Court considered the Joint Motion to Amend the Docket Control Order Regarding the P.R. 4-3 Deadline filed by Plaintiff Performance Pricing, Inc. and Defendants Google Inc., AOL LLC, Microsoft Corporation, Yahoo! Inc., and IAC Search & Media, Inc. After considering the motion, the Court is of the opinion that it is meritorious. It is therefore:

ORDERED that the new deadline for the parties to file their P.R. 4-3 Joint Claim Construction and Pre-hearing Statement is February 10, 2009.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 27th day of January, 2009.

JOHN D. LOVE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

{A07\7854\0004\W0383988.1 }
sf-2617079

EXHIBIT P
PAGE 346

EXHIBIT A
PAGE 342

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

PERFORMANCE PRICING, INC., Plaintiff, v. GOOGLE INC., AOL LLC, MICROSOFT CORPORATION, YAHOO! INC., IAC SEARCH & MEDIA, INC., and A9.COM, INC., Defendants.	Case No. 2:07-CV-432 (LED) **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

ORDER GRANTING JOINT MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF DEADLINE TO PROVIDE PROPOSED TECHNICAL ADVISORS

Having considered the parties' Joint Motion for Extension of Deadline to Provide Proposed Technical Advisors, the Court hereby GRANTS said Motion. It is therefore

ORDERED that the deadline for the parties to provide the name, address, phone number, and curriculum vitae for three (3) agreed technical advisors and information regarding the nominees' availability for Markman hearing or a statement that they could not reach an agreement as to any potential technical advisor is extended until and through February 13, 2009.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 2nd day of February, 2009.

JOHN D. LOVE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

{A07\7854\0004\W0384702.1 }
sf-2617079

EXHIBIT P
PAGE 348

EXHIBIT P
PAGE 349

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

PERFORMANCE PRICING, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

GOOGLE INC., AOL LLC, MICROSOFT CORPORATION, YAHOO! INC., IAC SEARCH & MEDIA, INC., and A9.COM, INC.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:07-CV-432 (LED)

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

ORDER GRANTING JOINT MOTION FOR ADDITIONAL EXTENSION OF TIME REGARDING THE PARTIES' P.R. 4-3 DEADLINE

On this day, the Court considered the Joint Motion for Additional Extension of Time Regarding the Parties' P.R. 4-3 Deadline filed by Plaintiff Performance Pricing, Inc. and Defendants Google Inc., AOL LLC, Microsoft Corporation, Yahoo! Inc., and IAC Search & Media, Inc. After considering the motion, the Court is of the opinion that it is meritorious. It is therefore:

ORDERED that the new deadline for the parties to file their P.R. 4-3 Joint Claim Construction and Pre-hearing Statement is February 12, 2009.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 11th day of February, 2009.

JOHN D. LOVE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

{A07\7854\0004\W0385546.1 }
sf-2617079

EXHIBIT 9
PAGE 350

EXHIBIT 9
PAGE 351

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

PERFORMANCE PRICING, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

GOOGLE INC., AOL LLC, MICROSOFT CORPORATION, YAHOO! INC., IAC SEARCH & MEDIA, INC., and A9.COM, INC.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:07-CV-432 (LED)

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

ORDER GRANTING SECOND JOINT MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF DEADLINE TO PROVIDE PROPOSED TECHNICAL ADVISORS

Having considered the parties' Second Joint Motion for Extension of Deadline to Provide Proposed Technical Advisors, the Court hereby GRANTS said Motion. It is therefore

ORDERED that the deadline for the parties to provide the name, address, phone number, and curriculum vitae for three (3) agreed technical advisors and information regarding the nominees' availability for Markman hearing or a statement that they could not reach an agreement as to any potential technical advisor is extended until and through February 27, 2009.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 17th day of February, 2009.

JOHN D. LOVE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

EXHIBIT 4
PAGE 352

EXHIBIT P
PAGE 353

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

PERFORMANCE PRICING, INC., Plaintiff, v. GOOGLE INC., AOL LLC, MICROSOFT CORPORATION, YAHOO! INC., IAC SEARCH & MEDIA, INC., and A9.COM, INC., Defendants.	Case No. 2:07-cv-432 (LED) **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

ORDER GRANTING JOINT MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF DEADLINE TO PROVIDE PROPOSED TECHNICAL ADVISORS

Having considered the parties' Joint Motion for Extension of Deadline to Provide Proposed Technical Advisors, the Court hereby GRANTS said Motion. It is therefore

ORDERED that the deadline for the parties to provide the name, address, phone number, and curriculum vitae for up to three (3) agreed technical advisors and information regarding the nominees' availability for Markman hearing or a statement that they could not reach an agreement as to any potential technical advisor is extended until and through March 13, 2009.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 3rd day of March, 2009.

JOHN D. LOVE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

EXHIBIT 4
PAGE 354

EXHIBIT 9
PAGE 355

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

PERFORMANCE PRICING, INC., Plaintiff, v. GOOGLE INC., AOL LLC, MICROSOFT CORPORATION, YAHOO! INC., IAC SEARCH & MEDIA, INC., and A9.COM, INC., Defendants.	Case No. 2:07-CV-432 (LED) **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

ORDER GRANTING JOINT MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF DEADLINE TO PROVIDE TECHNICAL TUTORIALS

Having considered the parties' Joint Motion for Extension of Deadline to Provide Proposed Technical Tutorials, the Court hereby GRANTS said Motion. It is therefore

ORDERED that the deadline for the parties to provide technical tutorials is extended until and through March 26, 2009.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 4th day of March, 2009.

JOHN D. LOVE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

EXHIBIT 9
PAGE 356

EXHIBIT P
PAGE 357

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

PERFORMANCE PRICING, INC.,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	CASE NO. 2:07-cv-432 (LED)
	)	
v.	)	
	)	**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**
GOOGLE INC., AOL LLC,	)	
MICROSOFT CORPORATION,	)	
YAHOO! INC., IAC SEARCH &	)	
MEDIA, INC., A9.COM, INC.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

ORDER GRANTING JOINT MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF DEADLINE TO SUBMIT TECHNOLOGY TUTORIALS

The Joint Motion for Extension of Deadline to Submit Technology Tutorials is GRANTED. It is hereby

ORDERED that the deadline for the parties to provide technical tutorials to the Court is extended until and through April 2, 2009.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 25th day of March, 2009.

JOHN D. LOVE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

EXHIBIT Y
PAGE 358

EXHIBIT 9
PAGE 359

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

PERFORMANCE PRICING, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

GOOGLE INC., AOL LLC, MICROSOFT CORPORATION, YAHOO! INC., IAC SEARCH & MEDIA, INC., and A9.COM, INC.

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 2-07-cv-432 (LED)

JURY DEMANDED

ORDER

Came on for consideration Plaintiff Performance Pricing, Inc.'s Agreed Motion for Extension of Time for Parties to Submit Technology Tutorials to the Court and the Court is of the opinion that the Motion should be GRANTED.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that Plaintiff's Agreed Motion for Extension of Time for Parties to Submit Technology Tutorials to the Court is GRANTED and the deadline for submission of technology tutorials is extended up to and including April 3, 2009.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 3rd day of April, 2009.

JOHN D. LOVE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

EXHIBIT P
PAGE 360

EXHIBIT 9
PAGE 361

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

PERFORMANCE PRICING, INC., Plaintiff, v. GOOGLE INC.; AOL LLC; MICROSOFT CORP.; YAHOO! INC.; AND IAC SEARCH & MEDIA, INC., Defendants.	Civil Action No. 2-07CV-432-LED (Eastern District of Texas) **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

ORDER GRANTING JOINT MOTION TO EXTEND DEADLINE FOR FIRST ROUND OF MEDIATION

On this day, the Court considered the Joint Motion to Extend Deadline for First Round of Mediation filed by Plaintiff Performance Pricing, Inc. and Defendants Google Inc., AOL LLC, Microsoft Corporation, and Yahoo! Inc. After considering the motion, the Court is of the opinion that it is meritorious. It is therefore:

ORDERED that the new deadline for the first round of mediation is July 31, 2009.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 18th day of May, 2009.

JOHN D. LOVE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

EXHIBIT P
PAGE 362

EXHIBIT P
PAGE 363

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

PERFORMANCE PRICING, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

GOOGLE INC., AOL LLC, MICROSOFT CORPORATION, YAHOO! INC., IAC SEARCH & MEDIA, INC., and A9.COM, INC.

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 2:07-cv-432 (LED/JDL)

JURY DEMANDED

ORDER

Before the Court is Plaintiff Performance Pricing, Inc.'s Agreed Motion for Extension of Certain Deadlines and Page Limits. After careful consideration, the Court concludes that the Motion should be GRANTED.

It is therefore ORDERED that Plaintiff Performance Pricing, Inc.'s Agreed Motion for Extension of Certain Deadlines and Page Limits is GRANTED. It is further ORDERED that Plaintiff Performance Pricing, Inc. may file its Reply Claim Construction Brief on or before June 8, 2009 and may have an additional eight (8) pages in which to file their Reply Claim Construction Brief for a total of eighteen (18) pages.

It is further ORDERED that Defendants may file a Sur-Reply Claim Construction Brief on or before June 12, such brief not to exceed 10 pages.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 8th day of June, 2009.

EXHIBIT P
PAGE

JOHN D. LOVE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

364

EXHIBIT P
PAGE 365

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

PERFORMANCE PRICING, INC., Plaintiff, v. GOOGLE INC.; AOL LLC; MICROSOFT CORP.; YAHOO! INC.; AND IAC SEARCH & MEDIA, INC., Defendants.	Civil Action No. 2-07CV-432-LED (Eastern District of Texas) **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

ORDER GRANTING JOINT MOTION TO EXTEND DEADLINE FOR FIRST ROUND OF MEDIATION

On this day, the Court considered the Joint Motion to Extend Deadline for First Round of Mediation filed by Plaintiff Performance Pricing, Inc. and Defendants Google Inc., AOL LLC, and Microsoft Corporation. After considering the motion, the Court is of the opinion that it is meritorious. It is therefore:

ORDERED that the new deadline for the first round of mediation is August 13, 2009.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 12th day of June, 2009.

JOHN D. LOVE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

EXHIBIT 9
PAGE 366

EXHIBIT 4
PAGE 367

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

PERFORMANCE PRICING, INC., Plaintiff, v. GOOGLE INC.; AOL LLC; MICROSOFT CORP.; YAHOO! INC.; AND IAC SEARCH & MEDIA, INC., Defendants.	Civil Action No. 2-07CV-432-LED (Eastern District of Texas) **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

ORDER GRANTING JOINT MOTION TO EXTEND DEADLINE FOR PATENT RULE 4-5(d) FILING

On this day, the Court considered the Joint Motion to Extend Deadline for Patent Rule 4-5(d) Filing filed by Plaintiff Performance Pricing, Inc. and Defendants Google Inc., AOL LLC, and Microsoft Corporation. After considering the motion, the Court is of the opinion that it is meritorious. It is therefore:

ORDERED that the new deadline for the parties to comply with Patent Rule 4-5(d) is June 12, 2009.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 12th day of June, 2009.

JOHN D. LOVE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

EXHIBIT 9
PAGE 368

EXHIBIT 9
PAGE 369

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

PERFORMANCE PRICING, INC., Plaintiff, v. GOOGLE INC.; AOL LLC; MICROSOFT CORP.; YAHOO! INC.; AND IAC SEARCH & MEDIA, INC., Defendants.	Civil Action No. 2-07CV-432-LED (Eastern District of Texas) **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

ORDER GRANTING JOINT MOTION TO EXTEND DEADLINES FOR EXPERT DISCLOSURES, DISCOVERY AND DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS

On this day, the Court considered the Joint Motion to Extend Deadlines for Expert Disclosures, Discovery and Dispositive Motions filed by Plaintiff Performance Pricing, Inc. and Defendants Google Inc., AOL LLC, and Microsoft Corporation. After considering the motion, the Court is of the opinion that it is meritorious. It is therefore ORDERED that the:

Deadline for parties with the burden of proof to designate expert witnesses, and that expert reports are due, is September 18, 2009;

Deadline to designate responsive expert witnesses, and that are expert reports due, is October 30, 2009;

Discovery deadline is November 13, 2009;

Last day to file dispositive motions (including Daubert) is November 20, 2009; and

Last day to respond to dispositive motions (including Daubert) is December 18, 2009.

EXHIBIT P
PAGE 320

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 22nd day of June, 2009.

JOHN D. LOVE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

EXHIBIT P
PAGE 321

EXHIBIT P
PAGE 372

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

PERFORMANCE PRICING, INC., Plaintiff, v. GOOGLE INC., AOL LLC, MICROSOFT CORPORATION, YAHOO! INC., IAC SEARCH & MEDIA, INC., and A9.COM, INC., Defendants.	Case No. 2:07-cv-432 (LED) **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

ORDER

Came on for consideration Plaintiff Performance Pricing, Inc.'s Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to Submit Further Limited Claim Construction Briefing and the Court is of the opinion that the Motion should be GRANTED. It is therefore:

ORDERED that the new deadline for Plaintiff to submit the further limited claim construction briefing under section 4 (pp. 4-5) of the Court's July 15, 2009 Order is Wednesday, July 22, 2009, and the new deadline for Defendants to submit its response is Tuesday, July 28, 2009.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 17th day of July, 2009.

JOHN D. LOVE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

EXHIBIT P
PAGE 374

EXHIBIT 9
PAGE 325

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

PERFORMANCE PRICING, INC., Plaintiff, v. GOOGLE INC. and AOL LLC, Defendants.	Case No. 2:07-cv-432 (LED) **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS GOOGLE INC. AND AOL LLC'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO SUBMIT THEIR SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE BRIEF ON CLAIM CONSTRUCTION

Before the Court is Defendants Google Inc. and AOL LLC's (collectively, "Defendants") Unopposed Motion for an Extension of Time to Submit to Submit Their Supplemental Response Brief on Claim Construction as ordered by the Court in section 4 (pp. 4-5) of the Court's Order issued on July 15, 2009 (Docket No. 218) ("Order"). The Court finds that the motion should be GRANTED. Therefore, it is hereby

ORDERED that the deadline for Defendants to submit a supplemental response brief on claim constructing is extended to Thursday, July 30, 2009.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 29th day of July, 2009.

JOHN D. LOVE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

EXHIBIT 9
PAGE 376