

AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP

Marshall L. Baker (SBN 300987)
mbaker@akingump.com
Lauren E. Huennekens (SBN 328855)
lhuennekens@akingump.com
1999 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 600
Los Angeles, CA 90067-6022
Telephone: 310.229.1000
Facsimile: 310.229.1001

AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP

Anthony T. Pierce (*pro hac vice*)
apierce@akingump.com
Mark R. Herring (*pro hac vice*)
mherring@akingump.com
Caroline L. Wolverton (*pro hac vice*)
cwolverton@akingump.com
Robert S. Strauss Tower
2001 K Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20006-1037
Telephone: 202.887.4000
Facsimile: 202.887.4288

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs Epic Systems Corporation, OCHIN, Inc.,
Reid Hospital & Health Care Services, Inc., Trinity Health Corporation,
and UMass Memorial Health Care, Inc.*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Epic Systems Corporation; OCHIN,
Inc.; Reid Hospital & Health Care
Services, Inc. d/b/a Reid Health;
Trinity Health Corporation; and UMass
Memorial Health Care, Inc.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Health Gorilla, Inc.; RavillaMed PLLC;
Avinash Ravilla; Shere Saidon;
LlamaLab, Inc.; Unique Medi Tech
LLC, d/b/a Mammoth Dx; Mammoth
Path Solution, LLC; Mammoth Rx,
Inc.; Ryan Hilton; Daniel Baker; Max
Toovey; Unit 387 LLC; SelfRx, LLC
d/b/a Myself.Health; Critical Care
Nurse Consultants, LLC d/b/a
GuardDog Telehealth; Hoppr, LLC;
Meredith Manak, and DOES 1-100,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:26-cv-00321-FMO-RAO

Assigned to: Hon. Fernando M. Olguin

**STIPULATION RE: JUDGMENT AND
PERMANENT INJUNCTION**

Date Action Filed: January 13, 2026

On January 13, 2026, Plaintiffs Epic Systems Corporation (“Epic”); OCHIN, Inc. (“OCHIN”); Reid Hospital & Health Care Services, Inc. d/b/a Reid Health; Trinity Health Corporation; and UMass Memorial Health Care, Inc. (collectively, “Plaintiffs”), filed a Complaint Seeking Immediate and Permanent Injunctive Relief [Dkt. 1] (the “Complaint”) in the above-captioned action against Defendants Health Gorilla, Inc. (“Health Gorilla”); RavillaMed PLLC; Avinash Ravilla; Shere Saidon; LlamaLab, Inc.; Unique Medi Tech LLC, d/b/a Mammoth Dx; Mammoth Path Solution, LLC; Mammoth Rx, Inc.; Ryan Hilton; Daniel Baker; Max Toovey; Unit 387 LLC (“Unit 387”); SelfRx, LLC d/b/a Myself.Health; Critical Care Nurse Consultants, LLC d/b/a GuardDog Telehealth (“GuardDog”); Hoppr, LLC; Meredith Manak, and DOES 1-100 alleging, among other things, that Defendants Health Gorilla, Unit 387, and GuardDog defrauded Plaintiffs when GuardDog, using connections to the Carequality interoperability framework (the “Carequality Framework”) provided by Health Gorilla and Unit 387, obtained thousands of patient medical records from Plaintiffs based on the false assertion that the patient medical records were all being requested for treatment purposes.

Now, Plaintiffs and GuardDog (collectively, and for purposes of this Judgment, the “Parties”) have reached an agreement to resolve Plaintiffs’ claims for immediate and permanent injunctive relief against GuardDog in the above-captioned action, and as part of that agreement, have agreed to the Stipulated Judgment and Permanent Injunction filed concurrently herewith and set forth below (the “Judgment”). The Parties’ agreement to resolve the above-captioned action is conditioned upon entry by the Court of this Judgment.

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, BY AND AMONG THE PARTIES, THROUGH THEIR UNDERSIGNED COUNSEL, AS FOLLOWS:

1. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter;
2. The Complaint alleges that GuardDog committed fraud against Plaintiffs (Count III), aided and abetted fraud against Plaintiffs (Count VI), violated California

1 Business & Professions Code § 17200 et seq. (Count VII), and violated the Computer
2 Fraud and Abuse Act (Count X);

3 3. GuardDog neither admits nor denies any of the allegations in the Complaint,
4 except as specifically stated in this Judgment;

5 4. This Judgment is a compromise of disputed claims only. The factual
6 stipulations provided herein are made solely for the limited purpose of supporting
7 jurisdiction, entry, and enforcement of this Judgment in this action, and for no other
8 purpose;

9 5. GuardDog admits that, since it began operating as a company in 2024, its
10 goal was to provide chronic care management (“CCM”) and remote patient monitoring
11 (“RPM”) for patients, but that did not happen. For the duration of its existence, its business
12 instead focused on requesting, reviewing, and summarizing medical records, and
13 providing those medical records to law firms. GuardDog further admits that its
14 predecessor, Critical Care Nurse Consulting LLC (“CCNC”), provided similar services
15 and medical records to law firms between 2022 and 2024;

16 6. GuardDog admits that, pursuant to the business purpose described in
17 Paragraph 5 of requesting, reviewing, and summarizing medical records, and providing
18 those medical records to law firms, GuardDog obtained medical records in 2024 through
19 the Carequality Framework by asserting a treatment purpose for those records. GuardDog
20 further admits that some of those records may have been medical records of patients of
21 OCHIN’s and Epic’s healthcare provider customers, including Plaintiffs Reid Hospital &
22 Health Care Services, Inc. d/b/a Reid Health; Trinity Health Corporation; and UMass
23 Memorial Health Care, Inc.;

24 7. GuardDog admits that GuardDog’s predecessor, CCNC, indirectly accessed
25 the Carequality Framework through Unit 387. GuardDog further admits that Defendant
26 Meredith Manak of Unit 387 informed CCNC that it was permissible for CCNC to
27 indirectly access the Carequality Framework for the purpose of requesting, reviewing, and
28 summarizing medical records and providing those medical records to law firms.

1 Throughout this time period, GuardDog understands that Unit 387 was directly accessing
2 the Carequality Framework on behalf of CCNC, except as further explained below;

3 8. GuardDog further admits that, in 2024, GuardDog obtained direct access to
4 the Carequality Framework through a contractual relationship with Health Gorilla after
5 GuardDog informed Health Gorilla that Unit 387 was impermissibly holding itself out as
6 CCNC and requesting medical records from the Carequality Framework under the false
7 assertion that those medical records were being requested by CCNC, when the medical
8 records were in fact being requested directly by Unit 387 without CCNC's knowledge.
9 GuardDog did not discover the full extent of the number of medical record requests made
10 by Unit 387 under CCNC's credentials without CCNC's knowledge or permission until
11 2025;

12 9. GuardDog admits that, at the time GuardDog was connected to the
13 Carequality Framework, GuardDog understood and believed that Health Gorilla was
14 aware of GuardDog's business activities in requesting, reviewing, and summarizing
15 medical records, and providing those medical records to law firms;

16 10. GuardDog admits that, based on conversations with and representations made
17 by Meredith Manak of Unit 387 and representatives of Health Gorilla, GuardDog
18 understood and believed that it was permissible for GuardDog to request medical records
19 through the Carequality Framework using a "treatment" purpose to fulfill GuardDog's
20 business purposes, including, but not limited to, requesting, reviewing, and summarizing
21 medical records and providing those medical records to law firms;

22 11. This Judgment is not a judicial determination concerning the amount of
23 Plaintiffs' damages caused by the conduct alleged in the above-captioned action and has
24 no preclusive or conclusive effect on the amount of damages caused by that conduct,
25 provided, however, that Plaintiffs are not seeking and will not seek damages or monetary
26 recompense of any kind from or against GuardDog;

27 12. This Judgment does not in any way prejudice Plaintiffs' or GuardDog's
28 ability to pursue legal action or obtain any available remedies, including damages, against

1 any persons or entities other than GuardDog based on the conduct alleged in the above-
2 captioned action; and

3 13. This Judgment does not affect the rights, claims, defenses and/or other
4 positions of any Defendant to this Action other than GuardDog; and

5 14. Entry of this Judgment is in the public interest.

6 BASED ON THE FOREGOING, IT IS FURTHER HEREBY STIPULATED AND
7 AGREED, BY AND AMONG THE PARTIES, THROUGH THEIR UNDERSIGNED
8 COUNSEL, THAT THE COURT SHOULD ENTER AN ORDER AS FOLLOWS:

9 15. Judgment is entered for Plaintiffs and against GuardDog on the Third, Sixth,
10 Seventh, and Tenth causes of action set forth in Plaintiffs' Complaint pursuant to this
11 Stipulation between Plaintiffs and GuardDog;

12 16. The Court determines that there is no just reason for delay and therefore
13 directs the entry of final judgment against GuardDog and in favor of Plaintiffs according
14 to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(b). All claims and defenses in the above-captioned
15 action against GuardDog are hereby resolved by this Judgment;

16 17. GuardDog, including any affiliates, subsidiaries, or entities that now exist or
17 may hereafter be formed, whether by spin-off, merger, consolidation, reorganization,
18 divestiture, or otherwise, that carry on any portion of GuardDog's business are:

- 19 a. Permanently enjoined from requesting records using the
20 TEFCA or Carequality interoperability frameworks;
- 21 b. Required to delete any patient health information or
22 records obtained from the TEFCA or Carequality
23 frameworks within one week of the entry of this Judgment,
24 except as required to preserve documentation necessary
25 for this litigation;
- 26 c. Permanently enjoined from any further use or
27 dissemination of any patient health information or records
28 obtained from the TEFCA or Carequality interoperability
frameworks;

18 18. Upon entry of this Judgment, Plaintiffs fully and finally release, acquit, and
forever discharge GuardDog from any and all claims, causes of action, and relief of any
kind that Plaintiffs asserted or could have asserted against GuardDog arising out of or

1 relating to the facts, transactions, occurrences, or conduct alleged in the Complaint
2 through the date of entry of this Judgment (the “Released Claims”). This Judgment
3 constitutes a full accord, satisfaction, and discharge of all Released Claims;

4 19. GuardDog understands and agrees that a violation of the Judgment will
5 expose it to all penalties provided by law;

6 20. GuardDog understands and agrees that the violation of the Judgment will
7 constitute immediate and irreparable injury to Plaintiffs and that Plaintiffs shall have the
8 right to enforce this Judgment and any of its provisions by injunction, specific
9 performance or other equitable relief, without bond and without prejudice to any other
10 rights and remedies that Plaintiffs may have for a breach or threatened breach of this
11 Judgment;

12 21. GuardDog irrevocably and fully waives any and all rights to appeal the
13 Judgment, to have it vacated or set aside, or otherwise to attack in any way, directly or
14 collaterally, its validity or enforceability, but nothing herein waives GuardDog’s right to
15 oppose, seek clarification of, or appeal any later order interpreting, modifying, or
16 enforcing this Judgment in a manner inconsistent with its express terms;

17 22. GuardDog consents to the continuing jurisdiction of this Court for purposes
18 of enforcement of the Judgment;

19 23. The Court will maintain continuing jurisdiction over this action for purposes
20 of enforcing the Judgment; and

21 24. The Parties shall bear their own costs and attorneys’ fees.

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

SO STIPULATED AND AGREED.

Dated: March 13, 2026

AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLPBy: /s/ Marshall L. Baker

Marshall L. Baker

Counsel for Plaintiffs Epic Systems Corporation,
OCHIN, Inc., Reid Hospital & Health Care
Services Inc. Trinity Health Corporation, and
UMass Memorial Health Care, Inc.

Dated: March 13, 2026

UMHOFER, MITCHELL & KING LLPBy: /s/ Elizabeth Mitchell

Elizabeth Mitchell

Counsel for Defendant Critical Care Nurse
Consultants, LLC d/b/a GuardDog Telehealth

* * *

ATTORNEY ATTESTATION

Pursuant to Local Rule 5-4.3.4(a)(2)(i), I attest that all other signatures listed, on whose behalf the filing is submitted, concur in the filing's content and have authorized the filing.

Dated: March 13, 2026

By: /s/ Marshall L. Baker

Marshall L. Baker

**AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD
LLP**

Counsel for Plaintiffs Epic Systems Corporation,
OCHIN, Inc., Reid Hospital & Health Care
Services Inc. Trinity Health Corporation, and
UMass Memorial Health Care, Inc.