

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

PATRICIA S. DODSZUWEIT

CLERK



UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

21400 UNITED STATES COURTHOUSE

601 MARKET STREET

PHILADELPHIA, PA 19106-1790

Website: [www.ca3.uscourts.gov](http://www.ca3.uscourts.gov)

TELEPHONE

215-597-2995

October 7, 2024

Joseph P. Ashbrook, Esq.  
Charles L. Becker, Esq.  
Craig B. Bleifer, Esq.  
Hannah W. Brennan, Esq.  
Jeffrey S. Bucholtz, Esq.  
Brian T. Burgess, Esq.  
Ananda V. Burra, Esq.  
D. Adam Candeub, Esq.  
Louis J. Capozzi III, Esq.  
Alyssa H. Card, Esq.  
Noel J. Francisco, Esq.  
Brianna J. Gorod, Esq.  
Deepak Gupta, Esq.  
Nandan M. Joshi, Esq.  
Neil Lloyd, Esq.  
Sylvia M. Mailman, Esq.  
Tyler L. Martinez, Esq.  
John M. Masslon II, Esq.  
Andrew M. Milz, Esq.  
Claudia Morera, Esq.  
Andrew J. Morris, Esq.  
Rajeev Muttreja, Esq.  
Steven A. Myers, Esq.  
Catherine M. Padhi, Esq.  
David Peters, Esq.  
Lindsey Powell, Esq.  
Charles E.T. Roberts, Esq.  
Jacob M. Roth, Esq.  
William B. Schultz, Esq.  
Benjamin M. Seel, Esq.  
Brett A. Shumate, Esq.  
Catherine E. Stetson, Esq.

Jay R. Carson, Esq.  
Jeffrey S. Chiesa, Esq.  
Toni-Ann Citera, Esq.  
Susan M. Cook, Esq.  
Margaret Dotzel, Esq.  
Lawrence S. Ebner, Esq.  
Ilana H. Eisenstein, Esq.  
Felicia H. Ellsworth, Esq.  
Richard A. Epstein, Esq.  
Benjamin M. Flowers, Esq.  
Rebekah Glickman-Simon, Esq.  
Jeffrey J. Greenbaum, Esq.  
Maame A. Gyamfi, Esq.  
Kevin F. King, Esq.  
Jody T. Lopez-Jacobs, Esq.  
Robin F. Thurston, Esq.  
Sophia Weaver, Esq.  
Caroline L. Wolverton, Esq.  
David A. Luttinger Jr., Esq.  
David A. Hatchett, Esq.  
Matthew P. Hooker, Esq.  
Paul W. Hughes III, Esq.  
Ronald L. Israel, Esq.  
Daniel G. Jarcho, Esq.  
Thomas S. Jones, Esq.  
Alexander Kazam, Esq.  
Flavio L. Komuves, Esq.  
Katherine M. Lieb, Esq.  
Michael D. Lieberman, Esq.  
Elizabeth J. Sher, Esq.  
Danielle D. Stempel, Esq.  
John H. Thompson, Esq.

RE: AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, et al v. Secretary United States Department of Health and H, et al

Case Number: 24-1819/24-1820/24-1821

District Court Case Number: 1-23-cv-00931

Dear Counsel:

The Court has directed me to advise counsel in the above-entitled case that oral argument on the merits is scheduled on **Wednesday, October 30, 2024** pursuant to 3rd LAR 34.1 (a). Court will convene at **9:30 a.m.** and argument in this matter is scheduled in **The Albert Branson Maris Courtroom, (19th Floor, U.S. Courthouse, 6th & Market Sts., Philadelphia, PA.)** Counsel who will present oral argument should register with the Court Crier in the courtroom 30 minutes prior to the time when court is scheduled to convene. Oral argument time is arranged as follows:

***Bristol Myers Squibb and Janssen* in case nos. 24-1820 and 24-1821 for Appellants' Fifth Amendment claims – Counsel will be allotted 20 minutes of oral argument time per side including time reserved for rebuttal.**

***Bristol Myers Squibb and Janssen* in case nos. 24-1820 and 24-1821 for Appellants' First Amendment claims – Counsel will be allotted 20 minutes of oral argument time per side including time reserved for rebuttal.**

***AstraZeneca* in case no. 24-1819 – Counsel will be allotted 20 minutes of oral argument time per side including time reserved for rebuttal.**

Counsel should read the [instructions for oral argument](#). If multiple parties are arguing for each side, counsel shall file a [division of time form](#) (a writeable form), if necessary, through CM/ECF.

October 7, 2024

Appellants and Appellees are asked to provide a very brief summary of the issues in the case by docketing the **Summary of Oral Argument** event, located in the **Argument Notices & Acknowledgements** category, within five (5) business days. This is part of a program to provide the public with information about the Court's cases. Both summaries will be posted on the Court internet site prior to the argument date.

Audio of all arguments are posted on the Court's internet website shortly after the conclusion of arguments for the day. In addition, the Court may direct that video of oral argument<sup>1</sup> in cases that are of significant interest to the public, the bar or the academic community be posted on the website. In order to assist the Court in this determination, Appellants and Appellees will be asked when providing the summary of oral argument whether they recommend that video be posted or whether they object to the posting of video. Whether video is posted is within the sole discretion of the judges hearing oral argument. The panel of the Court will determine after oral argument whether video of any argument should be posted. Attorneys will be notified if video will be posted and given a further opportunity to object by close of business the next day.

Pursuant to IOP Chapter 2, you are hereby advised that your appeal will be argued before the following panel: **HARDIMAN, PHIPPS and FREEMAN, Circuit Judges**

Very truly yours,



PATRICIA S. DODSZUWEIT  
Clerk

By:



Khadija Younger  
Calendar Clerk  
267-299-4914

---

<sup>1</sup> Only arguments scheduled in the Albert Branson Maris and Collins J. Seitz courtrooms in Philadelphia, PA are video recorded