No. 23-12958

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

State of Georgia,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

Mark R. Meadows,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia The Honorable Steve C. Jones, Presiding No. 1:23-CV-3621-SCJ

Motion to Withdraw Pending Requests in Emergency Motion for Stay of Remand Order or Alternative Injunctive Relief

John S. Moran George J. Terwilliger, III Michael Francisco Francis J. Aul MCGUIREWOODS LLP 888 16th Street NW, Suite 500 Washington, DC 20006 (202) 857-1700

Counsel for Defendant-Appellant Mark R. Meadows

CERTIFICATE OF INTERESTED PERSONS AND CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

To the best of Appellant's knowledge, no associations of persons, partnerships, or corporations have an interest in the outcome of this case or appeal, including subsidiaries, conglomerates, affiliates, parent corporations, any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more of the party's stock; the following is a list, in alphabetical order, of all trial judges, attorneys, law firms, and persons with such an interest^{*}:

- 1. Alksne, Cynthia, *amicus* below
- 2. Anulewicz, Christopher Scott, attorney for Robert David Cheeley
- 3. Arora, Manubir, attorney for Kenneth John Chesebro
- 4. Aul, Francis, attorney for Mark R. Meadows
- 5. Ayer, Donald B., *amicus* below
- 6. Barron, Lynsey M., attorney for Scott Graham Hall
- 7. Beckermann, Wayne R., attorney for Robert David Cheeley
- 8. Bever, Thomas Dean, attorney for Shawn Micah Tresher Still
- 9. Bittman, Robert, attorney for Mark R. Meadows
- 10. Bondurant Mixson & Elmore LLP

^{*} For all parties who appeared below, Appellant has included the parties, their attorneys, and their attorneys' law firms. In order to facilitate this Court's review for potential conflicts, Appellant has also included the other named defendants in the state proceeding and their attorneys, where available.

- 11. Carr, Christopher M., Attorney General of the State of Georgia
- 12. Cheeley, Robert David, Defendant in Georgia v. Trump
- 13. Chemerinsky, Erwin, amicus below
- 14. Chesebro, Kenneth John, Defendant in Georgia v. Trump
- 15. Christenson, David Andrew, pro se, denied intervention below
- 16. Clark, Jeffrey Bossert, Defendant in Georgia v. Trump
- 17. Cohen, Darryl B., attorney for Trevian C. Kutti
- 18. Copeland, Amy, *amicus* below
- 19. Cromwell, William Grant, attorney for Cathleen Alston Latham
- 20. Cross, Anna Green, Fulton County District Attorney's Office
- 21. Cross Kincaid LLC
- 22. Durham, James D., attorney for Mark R. Meadows in Georgia v. Trump
- 23. Eastman, John Charles, Defendant in Georgia v. Trump
- 24. Ellis, Jenna Lynn, Defendant in Georgia v. Trump
- 25. Englert, Joseph Matthew, attorney for Mark R. Meadows
- 26. Farmer, John J. Jr., *amicus* below
- 27. Floyd, Harrison William Prescott, Defendant in Georgia v. Trump
- 28. Floyd, John Earl, Fulton County District Attorney's Office
- 29. Francisco, Michael Lee, attorney for Mark R. Meadows
- 30. Fried, Charles A., *amicus* below
- 31. Fulton County District Attorney's Office

- 32. Gerson, Stuart M., *amicus* below
- 33. Gillen, Craig A., attorney for David James Shafer
- 34. Giuliani, Rudolph William Louis, Defendant in Georgia v. Trump
- 35. Griffin Durham Tanner & Clarkson LLC
- 36. Grohovsky, Julie, *amicus* below
- 37. Grubman, Scott R., attorney for Kenneth John Chesebro
- 38. Hall, Scott Graham, Defendant in Georgia v. Trump
- 39. Hampton, Misty (a/k/a Emily Misty Hayes), Defendant in Georgia v. Trump
- 40. Harding, Todd A., attorney for Harrison William Prescott Floyd
- 41. Hogue, Franklin James, attorney for Jenna Lynn Ellis
- 42. Hogue, Laura Diane, attorney for Jenna Lynn Ellis
- 43. Jones, Steve C., U.S. District Court Judge for the Northern District of Georgia
- 44. Kammer, Brian S., attorney for *amici* below
- 45. Kelley, Emily E., attorney for Mark R. Meadows
- 46. Kutti, Trevian C., Defendant in Georgia v. Trump
- 47. Lake, Anthony C., attorney for David James Shafer
- 48. Latham, Cathleen Alston, Defendant in Georgia v. Trump
- 49. Lee, Stephen Cliffgard, Defendant in Georgia v. Trump
- 50. Little, Jennifer L., attorney for Donald J. Trump
- 51. Luttig, J. Michael, *amicus* below

- 52. MacDougald, Harry W., attorney for Jeffrey Bossert Clark
- 53. McAfee, Scott, Fulton County Superior Court Judge
- 54. McFerren, William Coleman, attorney for Shawn Micah Tresher Still
- 55. McGuireWoods, LLP
- 56. Meyer, Joseph Michael, attorney for *amici* below
- 57. Moran, John S., attorney for Mark R. Meadows
- 58. Morgan, John Thomas III, attorney for *amici* below
- 59. Morris, Bruce H., attorney for Ray Stallings Smith, III
- 60. Ney, Adam, Fulton County District Attorney's Office
- 61. Novay, Kristen Wright, attorney for Ray Stallings Smith, III
- 62. Palmer, Amanda, attorney for Ray Stallings Smith, III
- 63. Parker, Wilmer, attorney for John Charles Eastman
- 64. Pierson, Holly Anne, attorney for David James Shafer
- 65. Powell, Sidney Katherine, Defendant in Georgia v. Trump
- 66. Rafferty, Brian T., attorney for Sidney Katherine Powell
- 67. Ragas, Arnold M., attorney for Harrison William Prescott Floyd
- 68. Raul, Alan Charles, *amicus* below
- 69. Rice, Richard A., Jr., attorney for Robert David Cheeley
- 70. Roman, Michael A., Defendant in Georgia v. Trump
- 71. Rood, Grant H., Fulton County District Attorney's Office
- 72. Sadow, Steven H., attorney for Donald J. Trump

- 73. Saldana, Sarah R., *amicus* below
- 74. Samuel, Donald Franklin, attorney for Ray Stallings Smith, III
- 75. Shafer, David James, Defendant in Georgia v. Trump
- 76. Smith, Ray Stallings, III, Defendant in Georgia v. Trump
- 77. Still, Shawn Micah Tresher, Defendant in Georgia v. Trump
- 78. Terwilliger, George J., III, attorney for Mark R. Meadows
- 79. Trump, Donald J., Defendant in Georgia v. Trump
- 80. Twardy, Stanley A. Jr., *amicus* below
- 81. Volchok, Daniel, attorney for *amici* below
- 82. Wade, Nathan J., Fulton County District Attorney's Office
- 83. Wade & Campbell Firm
- 84. Wakeford, Francis McDonald IV, Fulton County District Attorney's Office
- 85. Waxman, Seth P., attorney for *amici* below
- 86. Weld, William F., *amicus* below
- 87. Wertheimer, Fred, attorney for *amici* below
- 88. Willis, Fani T., Fulton County District Attorney's Office
- 89. Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP
- 90. Wooten, John William, Fulton County District Attorney's Office
- 91. Wu, Shan, *amicus* below
- 92. Young, Daysha D'Anya, Fulton County District Attorney's Office

Mr. Meadows wishes to withdraw his pending requests, presented in his Emergency Motion for Stay Pending Appeal and for Expedited Review, *see* ECF No. 4, for a stay of the Remand Order, or in the alternative, for an injunction against the State's prosecution of Mr. Meadows pending appeal. Consistent with this Court's Rule 27-1(a)(5), undersigned counsel reached out to counsel for the State this morning; the State does not oppose the motion and does not intend to file any objection.

In support of this motion, Mr. Meadows states the following:

On September 11, 2023, the first business day after the Remand Order and his Notice of Appeal, Mr. Meadows filed his Emergency Motion. He sought three forms of potential relief: "(1) a stay of the Remand Order, and (2) expedited review" or, alternatively "(3) an injunction against state prosecution pending appeal." *Id.* at 3. Mr. Meadows also suggested an expedited briefing schedule. *Id.* at 3–4.

This Court ordered the State respond by Noon on September 13, 2023. The State responded and opposed the requested stay and any injunction against the ongoing prosecution. *See* ECF No. 11. However, the State "acknowledge[d] that expedited review may be appropriate" and did "not object to a form of expedited review," though it proposed a more relaxed briefing schedule. *Id.* at 13.

This Court granted Mr. Meadow's request to "expedite this appeal," Order, ECF No. 17, at 2 (Sept. 13, 2023), and set the following timeline:

- Mr. Meadows's opening brief is due on Monday, September 18
- The State's response brief is due on Monday, September 25
- Mr. Meadows's reply brief is due on Thursday, September 28

*See id.*¹ Accordingly, this Court has granted Mr. Meadows one of the forms of relief sought in his Emergency Motion.

This Court also asked the parties to brief whether the Federal Officer Removal Statute "permit[s] former federal officers to remove state actions to federal court or does it permit only current federal officers to remove." The parties filed their respective supplemental briefs on September 13, 2023, as directed.

This Court scheduled oral argument on the Emergency Motion for tomorrow, Friday, September 15 at 10:15 a.m. *See* Order, ECF No. 23 (Sept. 13, 2023).

Earlier today, the state court entered an order severing the case against Mr. Meadows and several other defendants from the case headed to trial on October 23, 2023, making clear that he will not be brought to trial on that date. *See* Ex. A, Order on Defendants' Motions for Severance and Stay, *Georgia v. Trump*, No.

¹ The Court further stated that, "[i]f the Court determines oral argument is warranted, it will be scheduled at a later date." *Id*.

23SC188947 (Ga. Sup. Ct., Fulton Cnty.) (Sept. 14, 2023). Also this morning, in a separate order, the state court established pre-trial deadlines of October 6, 2023, for pretrial discovery and December 1, 2023, for all motions (other than motions *in limine*). *See* Ex. B, Case Specific Scheduling Order, *Georgia v. Trump*, No. 23SC188947 (Ga. Sup. Ct., Fulton Cnty.) (Sept. 14, 2023). The state court did not stay the state proceedings altogether but explained that its pretrial deadlines would minimize the burden of state-court litigation while this appeal is pending. *See* Ex A, at 6–7. The Court further stated that "[a]ny hearings on [defendants'] motions are unlikely to be scheduled until after the trial of Defendants Chesebro and Powell, which the State contends will stretch well into 2024." *Id.* at 7.

Mr. Meadows wishes to withdraw his pending requests in light of this Court's expedited merits consideration and the state court's new scheduling order. The Court may therefore conclude that oral argument tomorrow, September 15, is no longer needed. The parties and the Court can address all remaining issues in connection with the merits of the appeal.

Under this Court's order expediting the appeal, the matter will be fully briefed and ripe for a decision well before Mr. Meadows would be required to proceed to trial and ahead of the presently scheduled pre-trial deadlines. The sole effect of staying the Remand Order—preventing the state court from entering a judgment of conviction, *see* 28 U.S.C. § 1455(b)(3)—is extremely unlikely to matter during the pendency of this Court's expedited consideration. The state court's postponing of pre-trial deadlines also substantially alleviates the burdens on Mr. Meadows of litigating in state court pending this appeal.

If future developments in this case warrant it, Mr. Meadows may seek interim relief at a later date. But this Court has given every indication that it intends to adjudicate Mr. Meadows's appeal on the merits in a timely fashion.

* * *

For these reasons, the Court should allow Mr. Meadows to withdraw his requests for a stay or alternatively for an injunction pending appeal.

Dated: September 14, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

<u>/s/ John S. Moran</u> John S. Moran George J. Terwilliger III Michael Francisco Francis J. Aul MCGUIREWOODS LLP 888 16th Street NW, Suite 500 Washington, DC 20006 (202) 857-1700 jmoran@mcguirewoods.com mfrancisco@mcguirewoods.com

Counsel to Mark R. Meadows

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I hereby certify that this motion complies with the type-volume limitation of Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 27(d) and Eleventh Circuit Rule 27-1. This brief contains 792 words and uses a Times New Roman 14-point font.

Respectfully submitted this 14th day of September, 2023.

/s/ John S. Moran

John S. Moran

Counsel for Defendant-Appellant Mark R. Meadows