

FILED

AUG 12 2022

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA *GH*

JURY VERDICT FORM

Count 1: Defamation (pages 7-11)

Do you find that Roy S. Moore proved by a preponderance of the evidence:

- 1. That SMP made a false statement about Moore? YES NO
- 2. That the statement was defamatory? YES NO
- 3. That SMP published the statement to another person? YES NO

Do you find that Roy S. Moore proved by clear and convincing evidence:

- 4. That SMP published the statement with actual malice (that is, SMP knew that a statement was false or acted with reckless disregard to whether a statement was false)? YES NO

Count 2: Invasion of Privacy, False Light (pages 11-13)

Do you find that Roy S. Moore proved by a preponderance of the evidence:

- 1. That SMP intentionally publicized false information about Moore? YES NO
- 2. That the information placed Moore in a false light in the public eye and the false light would be highly offensive to a reasonable person? YES NO

Do you find that Roy S. Moore proved by clear and convincing evidence:

- 3. That SMP published information that it knew was false or SMP acted with reckless disregard about whether the information was false? YES NO
- 4. That SMP knew the information would place Moore in a false light, or SMP acted in reckless disregard to whether Moore would be placed in a false light? YES NO

Instructions

If you circled "Yes" on all four questions for Count 1, Count 2, or both, answer the questions about damages below.

If you circled "No" on any question for Count 1 and Count 2, skip the questions about damages and sign and date the bottom of this form.

Damages (page 14)

1. Did Moore prove that SMP's publication of a false statement or false information about Moore caused Moore actual harm? YES NO

a. If you answered "YES," enter a dollar amount to compensate for Moore's damages:

\$ 8,200,000.00

b. If you answered "NO," enter a dollar amount to award nominal damages:

SO SAY WE ALL.

DATE: 8-12-22

Foreperson's Signature