## S. LANE TUCKER United States Attorney

JACK S. SCHMIDT Assistant United States Attorney Federal Building & U.S. Courthouse 709 West 9th Street, Room 937 Post Office Box 21627 Juneau, Alaska 99802

Phone: (907) 796-0400 Fax: (907) 796-0409

Email: jack.schmidt@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff

### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

### FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) No. 1:23-cr-00009-TMB-MMS
Plaintiff,	)
vs.	)
TRAVIS LEE MACASAET,	)
Defendant.	)
	)
	)

### PLEA AGREEMENT

Unless the parties jointly inform the Court in writing of any additional agreements, this document in its entirety contains the terms of the plea agreement between the defendant and the United States. This agreement is limited to the District of Alaska; it does not bind other federal, state, or local prosecuting authorities.

### I. SUMMARY OF AGREEMENT, FEDERAL RULE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE 11

### **Summary of Agreement**

The defendant agrees to plead guilty to the following count(s) of the Information in this case: Count 1 - Conspiracy, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371; and Count 2 -Misrepresentation of Indian Produced Goods and Products, in violation of 18 U.S.C.  $\S 1159(a)$  and (b)(1)(A)(i). The parties stipulate and agree to the amount of loss of \$32,290 to be used for the purpose of determining the underlying guideline calculation pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 2B1.1 (b)(1)(C) calling for a +4 level increase from the base level of 6, for a total offense level of 10. The parties stipulate and agree that the government will not seek a period of incarceration, however, the parties agree that the defendant will be placed on five years of probation. The parties stipulate and agree that the defendant is to not to pay a fine, however, the parties stipulate and agree the defendant will pay the Indian Arts and Crafts Board a donation in the amount of \$2,700 and agree to perform 250 hours of community service. The parties further stipulate and agree to joint and several restitution to be paid to customers defrauded by MACASAET to be determined at sentencing. The parties further stipulate and agree that the defendant is to write and sign a letter of apology, approved by the United States Attorney's Office to be provided to victims in this case. The United States agrees not to prosecute the defendant further for any other offense related to the event(s) that resulted in the charge(s) contained in the Information.

The defendant will waive all rights to appeal the conviction(s) and sentence imposed under this agreement. The defendant will also waive all rights to collaterally attack the conviction(s) and sentence, except on the grounds of ineffective assistance of counsel or the voluntariness of the plea(s).

#### В. Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11

Unless the parties otherwise inform the Court in writing, Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(c)(1)(A), (B), and (C) will control this plea agreement. Thus, the defendant may withdraw from this agreement or the guilty plea(s) if the Court rejects the parties' sentencing recommendations at the sentencing hearing.

### II. CHARGES, ELEMENTS, FACTUAL BASIS, STATUTORY PENALTIES AND OTHER MATTERS AFFECTING SENTENCE

### Α. Charges

1. The defendant agrees to plead guilty to the following count(s) of the Information:

Count 1: Conspiracy, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371

Count 2: Misrepresentation of Indian Produced Goods and Products, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1159(a) and (b)(1)(A)(i)

### В. **Elements**

The elements of the charge(s) in Count 1 to which the defendant is pleading guilty are as follows:

> 1. Beginning on or about March 2016 and continuing through on or about December 8, 2021, there was an agreement between two or

more persons to commit Misrepresentation of Indian Produced Goods and Products, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1159(a) and (b)(1)(A)(i);

- 2. The defendant joined in the agreement knowing of its purpose and intending to help accomplish that purpose; and
- 3. One of the members of the conspiracy performed at least one overt act on or after March 2016 for the purpose of carrying out the conspiracy.

The elements of the charge(s) in Count 2 to which the defendant is pleading guilty are as follows:

- 1. The defendant offered or displayed for sale or sold any good;
- 2. In a manner that falsely suggested the good was Indian produced, an Indian product of a particular Indian, Indian Tribe, or Indian arts and crafts acts organization;
- 3. The defendant committed those acts knowingly; and
- 4. The goods offered, displayed, or sold, were offered, displayed, or sold for a price of \$1,000 or more.

### C. **Factual Basis**

The defendant admits the truth of the allegations in Counts 1 and 2 of the Information and the truth of the following statement, and the parties stipulate that the Court may rely upon this statement to support the factual basis for the guilty plea(s) and for the imposition of the sentence:

Beginning in or about March 2016, and continuing through on or about December 8, 2021, in the District of Alaska, in or near Ketchikan, and elsewhere, defendants TRAVIS LEE MACASAET, Cristobal Mango Rodrigo (C.M. Rodrigo), Glenda Tiglao Rodrigo (G.T. Rodrigo), and Christian Ryan Tiglao Rodrigo (C.R. Rodrigo), and other known and unknown, conspired with each other and others to knowingly display, offer for sale, and sell for \$1,000 or more, stone carvings and wood totem poles, manufactured in the Philippines, in a manner that suggested the stone carvings and wood totem poles were authentic artwork produced by Alaska Natives, when in fact they were not a Alaska Native produced, product, or a product of an Alaska Native Indian or Tribe.

Between May 2019 through September 27, 2021, MACASAET, knowingly display, offer for sale, and sold for \$1,000 or more, wood totem poles and stone carvings, manufactured in the Philippines, in a manner that suggested the wood totem poles and stone carvings were authentic artwork produced by Alaska Natives, when in fact they were not Alaska Native produced, product, or a product of an Alaska Native Indian or Tribe.

MACASAET lived in Alaska and was employed at Rail Creek and Alaska Stone Arts in Ketchikan, Alaska, which sold a wide range of wood totem poles and stone carvings, respectively. The defendant knew products sold in the above identified stores were not made by Alaska Native artists, but were produced in the Philippines with Filipino labor, and the items sold were crafted based upon traditional Alaska Native designs or styles but not made by Alaska Natives or members of any American Indian tribe. The business in Alaska utilized Alaska Native and non-Alaska Native employees,

which falsely represented the employees were part of an Alaska Native family business and those employees were Alaska Natives who produced the Philippine wood totem poles and stone carvings sold in both businesses knowing that the products were made in the Philippines with Filipino labor.

During the course of MACASAET's employment, MACASAET, an Alaska Native and enrolled Central Council of the Tlingit and Haida Indian Tribes of Alaska member, held himself out as a wood totem pole carver "Travis" for Rail Creek and Alaska Stone Arts to customers of the store, and other Alaska Native or non-native employees as Alaska Native artisans of stone carvings, when they were not.

MACASAET knew that all the totem poles he claimed to carver for and all the stone carvings displayed and sold in the store were made in the Philippines and made with Filipino labor.

On or about July 25, 2019, at Rail Creek, MACASAET, an Alaska Native, sold and misrepresented to a customer he carved a Philippine produced 5-foot-tall wood totem pole for \$2,435.00. MACASAET signed the totem pole "Travis LM", which identifies MACASAET as the artisan. MACASAET received a 20% sales commission on this sale.

On or about August 8, 2019, at Rail Creek, MACASAET, an Alaska Native, offered to sell and misrepresented to an undercover law enforcement agent he carved a Philippine produced 14-inch-tall wood totem pole for \$100.00. MACASAET offered to sign the totem pole as the artisan after the purchase.

On or about August 19, 2019, at Alaska Stone Arts, MACASAET, an Alaska Native, with C.R. Rodrigo, a Filipino, introduces C.R. Rodrigo as one of the Alaska

U.S. v. Macasaet

1:23-cr-00009-TMB-MMS Page 6 of 23 Case 1:23-cr-00009-TMB-MMS Document 2 Filed 06/12/23 Page 6 of 23 Native artists of the stone carvings to a customer. MACASAET sold and misrepresented two Philippine produced stone carvings for \$2,500.00. MACASAET represented a humpback whale carving made by an Alaska Native artist signed by "ir" and a whale tail carving signed by "AR", which identifies C.R. Rodrigo as the artisan. MACASAET received a 17% sales commission on this sale.

On or about September 23, 2019, MACASAET, an Alaska Native, sold and misrepresented to a customer he carved a Philippine produced 4-foot-tall wood totem pole for \$1,500.00. MACASAET signed the totem pole "Travis LM", which identifies MACASAET as the artisan. On or about September 27, 2019, MACASAET, sold and misrepresented to the same customer he carved a Philippine produced 3-foot-tall wood totem pole for \$900.00. MACASAET signed the totem pole "Travis LM", which identifies MACASAET as the artisan. MACASAET described in text messages to the customer that his master carver taught him to carve the totem pole designs. MACASAET described the totem poles in a text message as "authentic tlingit totems". MACASAET received a 17% sales commission on this sale.

On or about September 28, 2019, at Alaska Stone Arts, MACASAET, an Alaska Native, offered to sell and misrepresented to an undercover law enforcement agent a Philippine produced four bears stone carving signed by "Kilit" for \$2,050.00. MACASAET offered to sell the same undercover agent a Philippine produced eagle stone carving signed by "Kilit" for \$2,500.00. MACASAET represented Alaska Native artists made the stone carvings. MACASAET represented "Kilit", which identifies C.M. Rodrigo, a Filipino, as the artisan. MACASAET stated "Kilit" is his uncle and the master

carver who taught his cousins to carve stone. MACASAET stated it is a family operated business and the carvers are "blood related" to the master carver.

On or about June 7, 2021, MACASAET, an Alaska Native, misrepresented he carved a Philippine produced 4-foot-tall wood totem pole. MACASAET told the customer he is the only wood carver in the Tlingit family and the rest of the family are marble carvers. MACASAET described the marble carvers C.M. Rodrigo and C.R. Rodrigo, both Filipino, as his Tlingit cousins. The same customer previously purchased the totem pole from C.M. Rodrigo for \$2,000, who misrepresented MACASAET carved the totem pole as a Tlingit.

On or about June 9, 2021, at Alaska Stone Arts, MACASAET, an Alaska Native, with C.M. Rodrigo present, a Filipino, misrepresented he carved a Philippine produced 4foot-tall wood totem pole and signed the totem pole "Travis LM", which identifies MACASAET as the artisan. MACASAET described stone carver C.R. Rodrigo, a Filipino, as his Tlingit cousin and the son to his Tlingit uncle. MACASAET stated the carvers are "blood related" and his uncle "Kilit" is the master carver. MACASAET told the customer he is the only wood carver in the Tlingit family of stone carvers and the carvers belonged to the same clan. The same customer previously purchased the totem pole from C.R. Rodrigo for \$3,000, who misrepresented MACASAET carved the totem pole as a Tlingit.

On or about May 20, 2021, MACASAET, an Alaska Native, sold and misrepresented to a customer a Philippine produced bear on a base stone carving signed "Kilit" for \$2,535.00. MACASAET described in text messages to the customer that

carving is his livelihood, provided 50% off the retail sticker price \$5,900.00, and explained it is his "way of saying thank you for supporting us as artists". MACASAET described the carving will come with all the proper paperwork, artist biography, and a certificate of authenticity. MACASAET received a 20% sales commission on this sale. Previously, on September 16, 2019, at Alaska Stone Arts, S.P.C., an Alaska Native, sold and misrepresented to the same customer(s) a Philippine produced bear stone carving. S.P.C. introduces C.M. Rodrigo, a Filipino, as the Alaska Native master carver of the stone carvings and all the stone carvers were from the same Alaska Native family.

On or about August 8, 2021, at Alaska Stone Arts, MACASAET, an Alaska Native, and C.T.L., of American Indian descent, sold and misrepresented to a customer a Philippine produced sea turtle stone carving for \$1,870.00. MACASAET and C.T.L. represented the stone carvings are made by Alaska Native artists and the stone carvers are related. MACASAET negotiated the final price of the sale. MACASAET received a 10% sales commission on this sale.

On or about August 8, 2021, at Alaska Stone Arts, MACASAET, an Alaska Native, with C.T.L. present, of American Indian descent, sold and misrepresented to an undercover law enforcement agent he carved a Philippine produced 22-inch-tall wood totem pole for \$1,500.00. MACASAET signed the totem pole "Travis LM", which identifies MACASAET as the artisan. MACASAET told the undercover agent he is the only wood carver in the Tlingit family of stone carvers and C.T.L., his cousin, is one of the stone carvers. MACASAET received a 10% sales commission on this sale. Then, C.T.L., offered to sell the same undercover agent two Philippine produced stone carvings

for \$1,500.00. C.T.L. represented Alaska Native artists made the stone carvings. C.T.L. misrepresented a dancing bear carving made by his "girl cousin's husband" signed by "jr" and a bear standing on a rock carving signed by "Kilit", which identifies C.M. Rodrigo, a Filipino, as the artisan. C.T.L. represented "Kilit" as his uncle the master carver.

On or about August 21, 2021, at Alaska Stone Arts, MACASAET, an Alaska Native, and C.T.L., of American Indian descent, sold and misrepresented to a customer a Philippine produced Inuit figurine stone carving for \$1,200.00. MACASAET and C.T.L. represented the stone carvings are made by Alaska Native artists and the stone carvers are related. MACASAET negotiated the final price of the sale. MACASAET received a 10% sales commission on this sale.

On or about August 29, 2021, at Alaska Stone Arts, MACASAET, an Alaska Native, with S.P.C. present, an Alaska Native, sold and misrepresented to customers a Philippine produced bear with a fish in its mouth stone carving signed by "Kilit" for \$3,200.00. MACASAET represented Alaska Native artists made the stone carvings. MACASAET represented "Kilit", which identifies C.M. Rodrigo, a Filipino, as the artisan. MACASAET and S.P.C. represented each other as cousins, is a family operated business, and their uncle is the master carver. MACASAET received a 20% sales commission on this sale.

On or about August 29, 2021, at Alaska Stone Arts, MACASAET, an Alaska Native, and S.P.C., an Alaska Native, introduces S.P.C. as one of the Alaska Native artists of the stone carvings. S.P.C. and MACASAET sold and misrepresented to

customers that S.P.C. carved a Philippine produced humpback whale stone carving for

\$3,000.00. S.P.C. signed the stone carving "Simeon", which identifies S.P.C. as the

artisan. MACASAET and S.P.C. represented each other as cousins, is a family operated

business, and their uncle is the master carver. MACASAET received a 10% sales

commission on this sale.

On or about September 17, 2021, at Alaska Stone Arts, MACASAET, an Alaska

Native, with C.M. Rodrigo present, a Filipino, sold and misrepresented to an undercover

law enforcement agent he carved a Philippine produced 22-inch-tall wood totem pole for

\$1,200.00. MACASAET signed the totem pole "Travis LM", which identifies

MACASAET as the artisan. MACASAET told the undercover agent he is the only wood

carver in the Tlingit family of stone carvers. MACASAET stated the carvers are "blood

related" and his uncle "Kilit" is the master carver. MACASAET sold and misrepresented

to the same undercover agent a Philippine produced 3-inch bear stone carving for \$50.

The stone carving signed by "ir", who MACASAET represented is made by Kilit's son,

C.R. Rodrigo. MACASAET received a 20% sales commission on this sale.

**Statutory Penalties and Other Matters Affecting Sentence** 

1. **Statutory Penalties** 

The maximum statutory penalties applicable to the charges to which the defendant

is pleading guilty, based on the facts to which the defendant will admit in support of the

guilty plea(s), are as follows:

Count 1: 18 U.S.C. § 371 (Conspiracy)

1) 5 years' imprisonment;

D.

- 2) a \$250,000 fine;
- 3) a \$100 special assessment; and
- 4) three years of supervised release.

Count 2: 18 U.S.C. § 1159 (Misrepresentation of Indian Produced Goods and Products)

- 1) 5 years' imprisonment;
- 2) a fine of \$250,000 or twice the pecuniary gain to the defendant or pecuniary loss to the victim;
- 3) a \$100 special assessment; and
- 4) three years of supervised release.

## 2. Other Matters Affecting Sentence

## a. Conditions Affecting the Defendant's Sentence

The following conditions may also apply and affect the defendant's sentence: 1) pursuant to Comment 7 of United States Sentencing Guidelines (U.S.S.G). § 5E1.2, the Court may impose an additional fine to pay the costs to the government of any imprisonment and supervised release term; 2) pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3612(f), unless otherwise ordered, if the Court imposes a fine of more than \$2,500, interest will be charged on the balance not paid within 15 days after the judgment date; 3) upon violating any condition of supervised release, a further term of imprisonment equal to the period of the supervised release may be imposed, with no credit for the time already spent on supervised release; 4) the Court may order the defendant to pay restitution pursuant to the 18 U.S.C. § 3663 and U.S.S.G. § 5E1.1, and if 18 U.S.C. § 3663A (mandatory

restitution for certain crimes) applies, the Court shall order the defendant to pay restitution.

## b. Payment of Special Assessment

The defendant agrees to pay the entire special assessment in this case on the day the Court imposes the sentence. All payments will be by check or money order, and are to be delivered to the Clerk of Court, United States District Court, 222 W. 7th Ave. Box 4, Rm. 229, Anchorage, AK 99513-7564.

### c. Consequences of Felony Conviction

Any person convicted of a federal felony offense may lose or be denied federal benefits including any grants, loans, licenses, food stamps, welfare or other forms of public assistance, as well as the right to own or possess any firearms, the right to vote, the right to hold public office, and the right to sit on a jury. If applicable, any defendant who is not a United States citizen may be subject to deportation from the United States following conviction for a criminal offense, be denied citizenship, and not permitted to return to the United States unless the defendant specifically receives the prior approval of the United States Attorney General. In some circumstances, upon conviction for a criminal offense, any defendant who is a naturalized United States citizen may suffer adverse immigration consequences, including but not limited to possible denaturalization.

### E. Restitution

The defendant agrees that the Court will order restitution to the following victim(s), to be determined at sentencing.

## F. Voluntary Abandonment

"Personal property to be voluntarily abandoned under this agreement include the following items: The defendant has agreed to the voluntary abandonment of all stone carvings and wood totem poles that were seized pursuant to the execution of multiple search warrants in Alaska and Washington on December 8, 2021. The defendant warrants that the defendant had sole possession and ownership of the property referenced above, and that there are no claims to, or liens or encumbrances on the property referenced above. Defendant will take all steps as requested by the United States to pass clear title to the property, including but not limited to, executing documents, and testifying truthfully in any legal proceeding. The defendant agrees to forever abandon and disclaim any right, title, and interest the defendant may have in the property described above, and warrant to the Court free, clear and unencumbered title to the aforelisted property.

## III. ADVISORY UNITED STATES SENTENCING GUIDELINES, GUIDELINE APPLICATION AGREEMENTS, SENTENCING RECOMMENDATIONS

## A. Advisory United States Sentencing Guidelines

The Court must consult the advisory United States Sentencing Guidelines (U.S.S.G.) as well as the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) when considering the sentence to impose. The U.S.S.G. do not establish the statutory maximum or minimum sentence applicable to the offense(s) to which the defendant is pleading guilty. The U.S.S.G. are not mandatory and the Court is not bound to impose a sentence recommended by the U.S.S.G.

### B. **Guideline Application Agreements**

The parties have no agreements on any guideline applications unless set forth below in this section.

### 1. **Acceptance of Responsibility**

If the United States concludes that the defendant has satisfied the criteria set out in U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1 and the applicable application notes, the United States agrees to recommend the defendant for a two-level downward adjustment for acceptance of responsibility and, if U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1(b) applies, to move for the additional one level adjustment for acceptance of responsibility. If, at any time prior to imposition of the sentence, the United States concludes that the defendant has failed to fully satisfy the criteria set out in U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1, or has acted in a manner inconsistent with acceptance of responsibility, the United States will not make or, if already made, will withdraw this recommendation and motion.

### C. **Sentencing Recommendations**

The United States Probation Office (U.S.P.O.) will prepare the defendant's presentence report in which it will include a recommended calculation of the defendant's sentence range under the U.S.S.G. Both the United States and the defendant will have the opportunity to argue in support of or in opposition to the guideline sentence range calculation the U.S.P.O. recommends, as well as present evidence in support of their respective sentencing arguments.

The parties stipulate and agree that the government will not seek a period of incarceration, however, the parties agree that the defendant will be placed on five years of probation. The parties stipulate and agree that the defendant is to not to pay a fine, however, the parties stipulate and agree the defendant will pay the Indian Arts and Crafts Board a donation in the amount of \$2,700 and agree to perform 250 hours of community service. The parties further stipulate and agree to joint and several restitution to be paid to customers defrauded by MACASAET to be determined at sentencing. The parties further stipulate and agree that the defendant to write and sign a letter of apology, approved by the United States Attorney's Office to be provided to victims in this case. The parties are free to recommend to the Court their respective positions on the appropriate sentence to be imposed in this case based on the stipulated facts set forth in Section II.C, any additional facts established at the imposition of sentence hearing, the applicable statutory penalty sections, the advisory U.S.S.G., and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553.

### IV. ADDITIONAL AGREEMENTS BY UNITED STATES

In exchange for the defendant's guilty plea(s) and the Court's acceptance of the defendant's plea(s) and the terms of this agreement, the United States agrees that it will not prosecute the defendant further for any other offense – now known – arising out of the subject of the investigation related to the charges brought in the Information in this case and the defendant's admissions set forth in Section II.C.

Provided, however, if the defendant's guilty plea(s) or sentence is/are rejected, withdrawn, vacated, reversed, set aside, or modified, at any time, in any proceeding, for any reason, the United States will be free to prosecute the defendant on all charges arising out of the investigation of this case including any charges dismissed pursuant to the terms

of this agreement, which charges will be automatically reinstated as well as for perjury and false statements. The defendant hereby agrees that he/she waives any defense that the statute of limitations bars the prosecution of such a reinstated charge.

# V. WAIVER OF TRIAL RIGHTS, APPELLATE RIGHTS, COLLATERAL ATTACK RIGHTS, CLAIM FOR ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS, AND RULE 410

### A. Trial Rights

Being aware of the following, the defendant waives these trial rights:

- If pleading to an Information, the right to have the charges presented to
   the grand jury prior to entering the guilty plea;
- The right to a speedy and public trial by jury on the factual issues
   establishing guilt or any fact affecting the mandatory minimum and
   statutory penalties, and any issue affecting any interest in any assets
   subject to forfeiture;
- The right to object to the composition of the grand or trial jury;
- The right to plead not guilty or to persist in that plea if it has already been made;
- The right to be presumed innocent and not to suffer any criminal penalty unless and until the defendant's guilt is established beyond a reasonable doubt;
- The right to be represented by counsel at trial and if necessary to have a
   counsel appointed at public expense to represent the defendant at trial –

the defendant is not waiving the right to have counsel continue to represent the defendant during the sentencing phase of this case;

- The right to confront and cross examine witnesses against the defendant, and the right to subpoena witnesses to appear in the defendant's behalf;
- The right to remain silent at trial, with such silence not to be used against the defendant, and the right to testify in the defendant's own behalf; and
- The right to contest the validity of any searches conducted on the defendant's property or person.

## B. Appellate Rights

The defendant waives the right to appeal the conviction(s) resulting from the entry of guilty plea(s) to the charges set forth in this agreement. The defendant further agrees that if the Court imposes a sentence that does not exceed the statutory maximum penalties – as set forth in Section II.D above in this agreement, the defendant waives without exception the right to appeal on all grounds contained in 18 U.S.C. § 3742 the sentence the Court imposes. The defendant understands that this waiver includes, but is not limited to, forfeiture (if applicable), terms or conditions of probation (if applicable) or supervised release, any fines or restitution, and any and all constitutional (or legal) challenges to defendant's conviction(s) and guilty plea[s], including arguments that the statute(s) to which defendant is pleading guilty (is/are) unconstitutional, and any and all claims that the statement of facts provided herein is insufficient to support defendant's plea[s] of guilty.

Should the defendant file a notice of appeal in violation of this agreement, it will constitute a material breach of the agreement. The government is free to reinstate any dismissed charges, and withdraw any motions for downward departures, or sentences below the mandatory minimum made pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3553(e).

## C. Collateral Attack Rights

The defendant agrees to waive all rights to collaterally attack the resulting conviction(s) and/or sentence – including forfeiture (if applicable) or terms or conditions of probation (if applicable) or supervised release, and any fines or restitution – the Court imposes. The only exceptions to this collateral attack waiver are as follows: 1) any challenge to the conviction or sentence alleging ineffective assistance of counsel – based on information not now known to the defendant and which, in the exercise of reasonable diligence, could not be known by the defendant at the time the Court imposes sentence; and 2) a challenge to the voluntariness of the defendant's guilty plea(s).

## **D.** Claim for Attorney Fees and Costs

Because this is a negotiated resolution of the case, the parties waive any claim for the award of attorney fees and costs from the other party.

## E. Evidence Rule 410 and Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(f)

By signing this agreement, the defendant admits the truth of the facts in the Factual Basis portion of this agreement set forth in Section II.C. The defendant agrees that the statements made by him in signing this agreement shall be deemed usable and admissible against the defendant as stipulations in any hearing, trial or sentencing that may follow. The foregoing provision acts as a modification, and express waiver, of

Federal Rule of Evidence 410 and Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(f), and is effective upon the defendant's in-court admission to the factual basis supporting the plea(s). This provision applies regardless of whether the court accepts this plea agreement.

### F. Potential Plea before Magistrate Judge

The defendant has the right to enter a plea before a United States District Court Judge. The defendant, defense counsel, and the attorney for the Government consent to have the defendant's plea(s) taken by a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to Fed. R. Cr. P. 11 and 59. The parties understand that if the Magistrate Judge recommends that the plea(s) of guilty be accepted, a pre-sentence investigation report will be ordered pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32. The parties agree to file objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation within seven calendar days, thereby shortening the time for objections set forth in Fed. R. Crim. P. 59. The District Court Judge will decide whether to accept this plea agreement at the time it imposes sentence in the case. The defendant agrees that if the defendant is pleading guilty to an offense described in 18 U.S.C. §. 3142(f)(1)(A), (B), or (C) (involving a crime of violence, a crime punishable by a maximum sentence of life or death, or a Title 21 controlled substance offense for which the maximum sentence is ten years or more), that the defendant will remand into custody on the day that he/she agrees in court to the factual basis supporting the plea. The defendant further agrees not to seek release at any time between the date of the guilty plea before the Magistrate Judge and the date of imposition of sentence before the District Court Judge.

### VI. THE DEFENDANT'S ACCEPTANCE OF THE TERMS OF THIS PLEA **AGREEMENT**

I, TRAVIS LEE MACASAET, the defendant, affirm this document contains all of the agreements made between me – with the assistance of my attorney – and the United States regarding my plea(s). There are no other promises, assurances, or agreements the United States has made or entered into with me that have affected my decision to enter any plea of guilty or to enter into this agreement. If there are any additional promises, assurances, or agreements, United States and I will jointly inform the Court in writing before I enter my guilty plea(s).

I understand that no one, including my attorney, can guarantee the outcome of my case or what sentence the Court may impose if I plead guilty. If anyone, including my attorney, has done or said anything other than what is contained in this agreement, I will inform the Court when I stand before it to enter my plea.

I enter into this agreement understanding and agreeing that the conditions set forth herein are obligatory and material to this agreement and that any failure on my part to fulfill these obligations will constitute a material breach of this agreement. If I breach this agreement, I agree the United States, in its sole discretion, may withdraw from this agreement and may reinstate prosecution against me on any charges arising out of the investigation in this matter. If my compliance with the terms of this plea agreement becomes an issue, at an appropriate hearing, during which I agree any of my disclosures will be admissible, the Court will determine whether or not I have violated the terms of

this agreement. I understand the government's burden to prove a breach will be by a preponderance of the evidence.

I understand the Court will ask me under an oath to answer questions about the offense(s) to which I am pleading guilty and my understanding of this plea agreement. I understand that I may be prosecuted if I make false statements or give false answers and may suffer other consequences set forth in this agreement.

I have read this plea agreement carefully and understand it thoroughly. I know of no reason why the Court should find me incompetent to enter into this agreement or to enter my plea(s). I enter into this agreement knowingly and voluntarily. I understand that anything that I discuss with my attorney is privileged and confidential, and cannot be revealed without my permission. Knowing this, I agree that this document will be filed with the Court.

I am fully satisfied with the representation given me by my attorney and am prepared to repeat this statement at the time I stand before the Court and enter my guilty plea(s). My attorney and I have discussed all possible defenses to the charge(s) to which I am pleading guilty. My attorney has investigated my case and followed up on any information and issues I have raised to my satisfaction. My attorney has taken the time to fully explain the legal and factual issues involved in my case to my satisfaction. We have discussed the statute(s) applicable to my offense and sentence as well as the possible effect the U.S.S.G. may have on my sentence.

//

Based on my complete understanding of this plea agreement, I therefore admit that I am guilty of Count 1 - Conspiracy, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371; and Count 2 - Misrepresentation of Indian Produced Goods and Products, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1159 of the Information.

DATED:

As counsel for the defendant, I have conveyed all formal plea offers. I have discussed the terms of this plea agreement with the defendant, have fully explained the charge(s) to which the defendant is pleading guilty, the necessary elements thereto, all possible defenses, and the consequences of a guilty plea to a felony. Based on these

As counsel for the defendant, I have conveyed all formal plea offers. I have discussed the terms of this plea agreement with the defendant, have fully explained the charge(s) to which the defendant is pleading guilty, the necessary elements thereto, all possible defenses, and the consequences of a guilty plea to a felony. Based on these discussions, I have no reason to doubt that the defendant is knowingly and voluntarily entering into this agreement and entering a plea of guilty. I know of no reason to question the defendant's competence to make these decisions. If, prior to the imposition of sentence, I become aware of any reason to question the defendant's competency to enter into this plea agreement or to enter a plea of guilty, I will immediately inform the court.

DATED: 6 7 2023

THERESA YVETTE SOUTIERE
Attorney for MACASAET

On behalf of the United States, the following accepts the defendant's offer to plead guilty under the terms of this plea agreement.

S. LANE TUCKER United States Attorney

6/12/23 DATED:\_\_\_\_

JACK S. SCHMIDT

Assistant United States Attorney