NOTICE: NOT FOR OFFICIAL PUBLICATION.
UNDER ARIZONA RULE OF THE SUPREME COURT 111(c), THIS DECISION IS NOT PRECEDENTIAL
AND MAY BE CITED ONLY AS AUTHORIZED BY RULE.

IN THE
ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION ONE

STATE OF ARIZONA, *Respondent*,

v.

BRENDAN JOSEPH MURPHY, *Petitioner*.

No. 1 CA-CR 20-0208 PRPC
FILED 9-17-2020

Petition for Review from the Superior Court in Maricopa County
No. CR2014-159629-001
The Honorable Danielle J. Viola, Judge

REVIEW GRANTED; RELIEF DENIED

COUNSEL

Maricopa County Attorney's Office, Phoenix
By Amanda M. Parker
Counsel for Respondent

Brendan Joseph Murphy, Kingman
Petitioner

MEMORANDUM DECISION

Presiding Judge Jennifer M. Perkins, Judge David B. Gass, and Judge Michael J. Brown delivered the decision of the Court.

PER CURIAM:

¶1 Petitioner Brendan Joseph Murphy seeks review of the superior court's order denying his petition for post-conviction relief, filed pursuant to Arizona Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1. This is petitioner's second petition.

¶2 Absent an abuse of discretion or error of law, this court will not disturb a superior court's ruling on a petition for post-conviction relief. *State v. Gutierrez*, 229 Ariz. 573, 577, ¶ 19 (2012). It is petitioner's burden to show that the superior court abused its discretion by denying the petition for post-conviction relief. *See State v. Poblete*, 227 Ariz. 537, 538, ¶ 1 (App. 2011) (petitioner has burden of establishing abuse of discretion on review).

¶3 We have reviewed the record in this matter, the superior court's order denying the petition for post-conviction relief, and the petition for review. Petitioner has not established an abuse of discretion.

¶4 For the foregoing reasons, we grant review but deny relief.



AMY M. WOOD • Clerk of the Court
FILED: AA