IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA
EASTERN DISTRICT

KEYSTONE RX LLC,	:	No. 93 EAL 2020
	:	
v.	:	Petition for Allowance of Appeal from the Order of the Commonwealth Court
BUREAU OF WORKERS' COMPENSATION FEE REVIEW HEARING OFFICE (COMPSERVICES INC./AMERIHEALTH CASUALTY SERVICES)	:	
PETITION OF: COMPSERVICES/AMERIHEALTH CASUALTY SERVICES	:	
KEYSTONE RX LLC	:	No. 94 EAL 2020
	:	
v.	:	Petition for Allowance of Appeal from the Order of the Commonwealth Court
BUREAU OF WORKERS' COMPENSATION FEE REVIEW HEARING OFFICE (COMPSERVICES INC./AMERIHEALTH CASUALTY SERVICES),	:	
PETITION OF: BUREAU OF WORKERS' COMPENSATION FEE REVIEW HEARING OFFICE	:	

ORDER

PER CURIAM

AND NOW, this 1st day of September, 2020, the Petition for Allowance of Appeal at 93 EAL 2020 is **GRANTED**, **LIMITED TO** the issues set forth below. Allocatur is **DENIED** as to all remaining issues. The issues, as stated by Petitioner, are:

(1) Did the Commonwealth Court exceed the scope of its authority and substitute its judgment for that of the Pennsylvania Legislature when it promulgated a new rule which mandates non-healthcare providers are entities with standing and the right to intervene in the Workers' Compensation Act's Utilization Review process?

(2) Did the Commonwealth Court err when it gave non-healthcare providers via the right to void at any time, a Utilization Review Determination regarding the reasonableness and necessity of the care of the physician who wrote the prescription which led to the non-healthcare provider providing a good or service to the injured worker?

The Petition for Allowance of Appeal at 94 EAL 2020 is **GRANTED**. The issue, as stated by Petitioner, is:

(1) Whether the Commonwealth Court violated the separation of powers doctrine by engrafting a new requirement onto the Pennsylvania Workers' Compensation Act's process for conducting utilization review of treatment by a health care provider by prospectively directing that non-treating entities be given notice and an opportunity to intervene in utilization reviews?