Affirmed; Opinion Filed June 28, 2016.

In The
Court of Appeals
Fifth District of Texas at Dallas

No. 05-15-01365-CR

PERCY KING, Appellant
V.
THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 363rd Judicial District Court
Dallas County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. F14-00185-W

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Before Justices Lang-Miers, Evans, and Brown
Opinion by Justice Evans

A jury convicted Percy King of continuous violence against the family. *See* TEX. PENAL CODE ANN. § 25.11(a) (West 2011). After finding two enhancement paragraphs true, the jury assessed punishment at forty years' imprisonment. On appeal, appellant's attorney filed a brief in which she concludes the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). The brief presents a professional evaluation of the record showing why, in effect, there are no arguable grounds to advance. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807, 811–12 (Tex. Crim. App. [Panel Op.] 1978). Counsel delivered a copy of the brief to appellant. We advised appellant of his right to file a pro se response, but he did not file a pro se response. *See Kelly v. State*, 436 S.W.3d 313, 319–21 (Tex. Crim. App. 2014) (identifying duties of appellate courts and counsel in *Anders* cases).

We have reviewed the record and counsel's brief. *See Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 826–27 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005) (explaining appellate court's duty in *Anders* cases). We agree the appeal is frivolous and without merit. We find nothing in the record that might arguably support the appeal.

We affirm the trial court's judgment.

/David Evans/
DAVID EVANS
JUSTICE

Do Not Publish
TEX. R. APP. P. 47
151365F.U05

Court of Appeals
Fifth District of Texas at Dallas

JUDGMENT

PERCY KING, Appellant

No. 05-15-01365-CR V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 363rd Judicial District Court, Dallas County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. F14-00185-W.
Opinion delivered by Justice Evans. Justices Lang-Miers and Brown participating.

Based on the Court's opinion of this date, the judgment of the trial court is **AFFIRMED**.

Judgment entered this 28th day of June, 2016.