NOTICE: NOT FOR OFFICIAL PUBLICATION.
UNDER ARIZONA RULE OF THE SUPREME COURT 111(c), THIS DECISION IS NOT PRECEDENTIAL
AND MAY BE CITED ONLY AS AUTHORIZED BY RULE.

IN THE
ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION ONE

STATE OF ARIZONA, *Appellee*,

v.

JAMESON MARCUS WHITE, *Appellant*.

No. 1 CA-CR 14-0485
FILED 8-20-2015

Appeal from the Superior Court in Maricopa County
No. CR2012-006355-001
The Honorable Phemonia L. Miller, Commissioner

AFFIRMED

COUNSEL

Arizona Attorney General's Office, Phoenix
By Joseph T. Maziarz
Counsel for Appellee

Maricopa County Public Defender's Office, Phoenix
By Jeffrey L. Force
Counsel for Appellant

MEMORANDUM DECISION

Judge Jon W. Thompson delivered the decision of the Court, in which Presiding Judge Margaret H. Downie and Judge Kenton D. Jones joined.

T H O M P S O N, Judge:

¶1 Jameson Marcus White (defendant) timely appeals his convictions and sentences for one count of aggravated driving under the influence and one count of aggravated driving with a BAC of .08% or more with a suspended license. Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *State v. Leon*, 104 Ariz. 297, 451 P.2d 878 (1969), counsel for defendant has advised us that, after searching the entire record, he has been unable to discover any arguable questions of law and has filed a brief requesting this court to conduct an *Anders* review of the record. Defendant has been afforded an opportunity to file a supplemental brief *in propia persona*, and he has not done so.

¶2 At approximately midnight on December 3, 2011, a Phoenix police officer observed defendant driving a vehicle the wrong way down a one-way street, without the car's headlights on. After the officer stopped Defendant, he noticed that defendant had watery, bloodshot eyes and smelled of alcohol. Defendant admitted to drinking beer that night and was arrested; a blood draw later revealed that defendant had a .203% blood alcohol concentration (BAC). At the time of defendant's arrest, his driving privileges had been suspended.

¶3 The State charged defendant with one count of aggravated driving under the influence, and one count of aggravated driving with a BAC of .08% or more with a suspended license, both class four felonies. After trial, the jury found defendant guilty on both counts. The trial court suspended the imposition of sentence and placed defendant on two years supervised probation to begin upon his release from prison after serving the mandatory four months.

¶4 We have read and considered defendant's *Anders* brief, and we have searched the entire record for reversible error. *See Leon*, 104 Ariz. at 300, 451 P.2d at 881. We find none. All of the proceedings were conducted in compliance with the Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedure, and the sentence imposed was within the statutory limits. Pursuant to *State*

v. Shattuck, 140 Ariz. 582, 584-85, 684 P.2d 154, 156-57 (1984), counsel's obligations in this appeal are at an end. Defendant has thirty days from the date of this decision in which to proceed, if he so desires, with an *in propria persona* motion for reconsideration or petition for review.



Ruth A. Willingham · Clerk of the Court
FILED: ama